

US00RE48437E

(19) **United States**  
(12) **Reissued Patent**  
**Park et al.**

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE48,437 E**  
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** **Feb. 16, 2021**

(54) **COLLECTING AND SCORING ONLINE REFERENCES**

6,810,356 B1 10/2004 Garcia-Franco  
6,925,442 B1 8/2005 Shapira  
6,983,282 B2 1/2006 Stern  
7,043,450 B2 5/2006 Vélez

(Continued)

(71) Applicant: **BrightEdge Technologies, Inc.**, Foster City, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Lemuel S. Park**, Foster City, CA (US);  
**Jimmy Yu**, Foster City, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **BrightEdge Technologies, Inc.**, San Mateo, CA (US)

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 2600910 3/2008  
EP 1 182 581 A1 2/2002

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **14/290,672**

(22) Filed: **May 29, 2014**

#### Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **8,190,594**  
Issued: **May 29, 2012**  
Appl. No.: **12/436,704**  
Filed: **May 6, 2009**

U.S. Applications:

(60) Provisional application No. 61/060,033, filed on Jun. 9, 2008.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06F 16/951** (2019.01)  
**G06F 7/00** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **G06F 16/951** (2019.01); **G06F 7/00** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... G06F 17/30864; G06Q 30/02  
USPC ..... 707/711, 736, 741, E17.108, 709, 707/999.003; 706/45  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,401,075 B1 6/2002 Mason  
6,601,100 B2 7/2003 Lee

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Omniture, Measure What Matters: Defining Keyword Performance Indicators and Driving Business Performance Using Dashboards and Alerts, 2005.

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Peng Ke

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Baker Botts L.L.P.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

One example embodiment includes a method for indexing online references of an entity. The method includes identifying one or more channels of the Internet to be searched for references to an entity and identifying one or more signals to be evaluated within each of the one or more channels. The method also includes crawling the Internet for online references to the entity, wherein crawling the Internet comprises searching the one or more channels of the Internet for references to the entity and evaluating the one or more signals. The method further includes constructing a reverse index of the references, wherein the reverse index is based on each channel in which a reference is found and the one or more signals evaluated for the reference.

**49 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets**





(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,185,085	B2	2/2007	Bean	
7,206,838	B2	4/2007	Boyd	
7,225,246	B2	5/2007	Shapira	
7,343,412	B1	3/2008	Zimowski	
7,584,194	B2 *	9/2009	Tuttle et al.	707/999.01
7,617,193	B2	11/2009	Bitan	
7,788,216	B2 *	8/2010	Li et al.	707/603
7,801,881	B1 *	9/2010	Brawer et al.	707/709
7,877,392	B2	1/2011	Grieselhuber	
8,041,596	B2	10/2011	Graham	
8,190,594	B2	5/2012	Park	
8,271,473	B2	9/2012	Berg	
8,306,966	B2	11/2012	Liu	
8,312,022	B2	11/2012	Wilde	
8,316,392	B2	11/2012	Ahanger	
8,341,047	B1	12/2012	Furney	
8,364,529	B1	1/2013	Zwicky	
8,386,321	B2	2/2013	Grant	
8,396,742	B1	3/2013	Blume	
8,417,569	B2	4/2013	Gross	
8,447,751	B2	5/2013	Stouffer	
8,473,495	B2	6/2013	Grieselhuber	
8,515,937	B1	8/2013	Sun	
8,554,775	B2	10/2013	Kon	
8,620,892	B2	12/2013	Park	
8,676,798	B1	3/2014	Datta	
8,700,618	B2	4/2014	Evans	
8,706,548	B1	4/2014	Blume	
8,972,379	B1 *	3/2015	Grieselhuber	G06F 16/958 707/713
2002/0073199	A1	6/2002	Levine	
2002/0082901	A1	6/2002	Dunning	
2002/0083188	A1	6/2002	Hericy	
2002/0112048	A1	8/2002	Gruyer	
2002/0154163	A1	10/2002	Melchner	
2003/0014519	A1	1/2003	Bowers	
2003/0046389	A1	3/2003	Thieme	
2003/0208482	A1	11/2003	Kim et al.	
2004/0059625	A1	3/2004	Schrader	
2005/0223061	A1 *	10/2005	Auerbach et al.	709/206
2006/0167749	A1	7/2006	Pitkow	
2006/0173822	A1	8/2006	Watson	
2006/0253345	A1	11/2006	Heber	
2006/0282328	A1 *	12/2006	Gerace et al.	705/14
2006/0294085	A1 *	12/2006	Rose et al.	707/3
2007/0027754	A1	2/2007	Collins	
2007/0038608	A1	2/2007	Chen	
2007/0067217	A1	3/2007	Schachter	
2007/0067331	A1	3/2007	Schachter	
2007/0073758	A1 *	3/2007	Perry et al.	707/102
2007/0112959	A1	5/2007	Bean	
2007/0156621	A1	7/2007	Wright	
2007/0198459	A1 *	8/2007	Boone et al.	707/1
2007/0203789	A1	8/2007	Jain	
2007/0203891	A1	8/2007	Solaro et al.	
2007/0233566	A1	10/2007	Zlotin	
2007/0233649	A1	10/2007	Wang et al.	
2007/0255754	A1	11/2007	Gheel	
2007/0288454	A1	12/2007	Bolivar	
2007/0288514	A1	12/2007	Reitter	
2008/0010142	A1	1/2008	O'Brien	
2008/0032608	A1	2/2008	Aida et al.	
2008/0040342	A1	2/2008	Hust et al.	
2008/0052278	A1	2/2008	Zlotin	
2008/0071767	A1	3/2008	Grieselhuber	
2008/0104113	A1	5/2008	Wong	
2008/0109318	A1	5/2008	Szmanda	
2008/0133500	A1	6/2008	Edwards	
2008/0201324	A1 *	8/2008	Aronowich et al.	707/5
2008/0270158	A1 *	10/2008	Abhyanker	705/1
2009/0037393	A1 *	2/2009	Fredricksen et al.	707/3
2009/0164431	A1 *	6/2009	Zivkovic et al.	707/3
2009/0222404	A1 *	9/2009	Dolin et al.	707/2
2009/0307056	A1 *	12/2009	Park	G06F 16/951 707/709

2009/0319365	A1	12/2009	Waggoner	
2010/0070485	A1 *	3/2010	Parsons et al.	707/709
2010/0121707	A1 *	5/2010	Goeldi	G06Q 10/00 705/14.49

2010/0217647	A1	8/2010	Jacobs	
2011/0219295	A1	9/2011	Adams	
2011/0320461	A1	12/2011	Grieselhuber	
2012/0072409	A1 *	3/2012	Perry et al.	707/710
2012/0089429	A1	4/2012	Geddes	

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	2199969	A1	6/2010
JP	2008192157	A	8/2008
WO	WO 2004/003701		1/2004
WO	WO 2004/079551		9/2004
WO	WO 2005/052755		6/2005
WO	WO 2007/070199	A1	6/2007
WO	WO 2007/103646		9/2007
WO	WO 2008/024997		2/2008

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 13/428,975, filed Mar. 23, 2012.  
U.S. Appl. No. 13/668,131, filed Nov. 2, 2012.  
U.S. Appl. No. 13/668,133, filed Nov. 2, 2012.  
U.S. Appl. No. 60/778,594, filed Mar. 1, 2006, Brian Bartell.  
U.S. Appl. No. 60/823,615, filed Aug. 25, 2006, Dema Zlotin.  
U.S. Appl. No. 60/868,702, filed Dec. 5, 2006, Ray Grieselhuber.  
U.S. Appl. No. 60/868,705, filed Dec. 5, 2006, Ray Grieselhuber.  
U.S. Appl. No. 61/052,596, filed May 12, 2008, Michael Evans.  
U.S. Appl. No. 61/111,639, filed Nov. 5, 2008, Michael Evans.  
U.S. Appl. No. 61/114,400, filed Nov. 13, 2008, Matty Noble.  
U.S. Appl. No. 61/160,628, filed Mar. 16, 2009, Matty Noble.  
International Search Report and Written Opinion from International Application No. PCT/US2009/046100, 12 pages, dated Dec. 30, 2009.  
Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Aug. 26, 2014.  
Exhibit D1, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,671,089 Based on Search Engine Marketing, Inc., Driving Search Traffic to your Company's Web Site, Mike Moran et al., Aug. 26, 2014.  
Exhibit D2, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,671,089 Based on Search Engine Marketing, Inc., Second Edition, Aug. 26, 2014.  
Exhibit E1, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,577,863 Based on Search Engine Marketing Inc.: Driving Search Traffic to Your Company's Web Site, Aug. 26, 2014.  
Exhibit E-2, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,577,863 Based on Search Engine Marketing Inc., Second Edition, Aug. 26, 2014.  
Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, Oct. 27, 2014.  
Mike Moran and Bill Hunt, Search Engine Marketing, Inc., IBM Press (uploaded in two parts), 2006.  
Mike Moran and Bill Hunt, Search Engine Marketing, Inc., Second Edition, IBM Press (uploaded in three parts), 2009.  
Kristopher Jones, Search Engine Optimization, Wiley Publishing, 2008.  
Exhibit A-1 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700 Based on Search Engine Marketing, Inc., Aug. 26, 2014.  
Exhibit A-2 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700 Based on U.S. Pat. No. 8,396,742 ("Blume"), Aug. 26, 2014.  
Exhibit A-3 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700 Based on WO 2008/024997 A2 ("Zlotin"), Aug. 26, 2014.  
Exhibit A-4 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700 Based on SEMDirector and Covario Enterprise Search Engine Optimization ("Covario"), Aug. 26, 2014.



(56)

**References Cited**

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Exhibit A-5 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700 Based on Search Engine Marketing Inc., Second Edition, Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit A-6 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700 Based on Products Made Available by Searchmetrics, Including Searchmetrics Suite ("Suite"), Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit A-7 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700 Based on Enge, E. et al., "The Art of SEO", Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit B-1 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746 Based on Search Engine Marketing, Inc., Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit B-2 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746 Based on SEMDirector and Covario Enterprise Search Engine Optimization ("Covario"), Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit B-3 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746 Based on Search Engine Marketing, Inc., Second Edition, Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit B-4 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746 Based on Products Made Available by Searchmetrics, Including Searchmetrics Suite ("Suite"), Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit B-5 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746 Based on Products Sold and Services Provided by SEMRush, Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit B-6 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746 Based on Enge, E. et al., "The Art of SEO", Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit C-1 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,135,706 Based on Search Engine Marketing, Inc., Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit C-2 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,135,706 Based on SEMDirector and Covario Enterprise Search Engine Optimization, Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit C-3 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,135,706 Based on Search Engine Marketing, Inc., Second Edition, Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit C-4 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,135,706 Based on Products Sold and Services Provided by SEMRush, Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit C-5 to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Invalidity Claim Chart for U.S. Pat. No. 8,135,706 Based on Products Made Available by Searchmetrics, Including Searchmetrics Suite, Aug. 26, 2014.

Exhibit F to Defendants Searchmetrics GmbH and Searchmetrics, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions, Aug. 26, 2014.

U.S. District Court California Northern California District (San Francisco) Civil Docket for Case # 3:14-cv-01009-HSG, Feb. 27, 2015.

The Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, Case Information, 1-13-CV-256794, *BrightEdge Technologies, Inc. vs. G. Martinez*, Feb. 27, 2015.

AOL, SEO Tools Guide, Technical User Manual, Aug. 2006.

Eric Enge et al., The Art of SEO (uploaded in three parts), Oct. 2009.

Mike Roberts, Building Metrics to Highlight Content Opportunities, 2009.

Brian Clifton, Advanced Web Metrics with Google Analytics, 2008. Omniture, Measure What Matters: Defining Key Performance Indicators and Driving Business Performance Using Dashboard and Alerts, 2005.

Omniture, Best Practices for Conversion: The New Engagement Funnel in 7 Steps, May 2006.

SEMRush, la herramienta SEO definitiva, <http://www.3wmk.com/blog/semrush-la-herramienta-seo-definitiva.htm>, Aug. 16, 2010.

Glenn Sanford, Glenn's Mega Agent and SEO Blog, SEMRush—What is the Value of Your Traffic?, <http://activerain.trulia.com/blogsview/817826/semrush--what-is-the-value-of-your-traffic->, Dec. 2, 2008.

Fábio Ricotta, SEM Rush no Brasil?, <http://www.agenciamestre.com/ferramentas/sem-rush-no-brasil/>, Jun. 22, 2010.

Fábio Ricotta, Táticas Avançadas de SEO e Links Patrocinados com o SEM Rush, <http://www.agenciamestre.com/ferramentas/taticas-avancadas-de-seo-e-links-patrocinados-com-o-sem-rush/>, Oct. 15, 2010.

Blog referencement, SEMRush un outil d'analyse compétitive disponible pour la France, <http://blog.referencementschool.com/semrush-un-outil-danalyse-competitive-disponible-pour-la-france/>, Jan. 25, 2010.

SEMRush: Logiciel francophone gratuit de recherche de mots clés, <http://catalystentrepreneur.com/world/2010/04/26/semrush-logiciel-grancophone-gratuit-de-recherche-de-mots-cles/>, Apr. 26, 2010.

SEMRush lanza su base de datos en español, <http://www.enfoquesdigitales.com/blog/semrush-lanza-su-base-de-datos-en-espaol.html>, Apr. 16, 2010.

Luke Molton, How to Value a Website that Doesn't Report Revenue, <http://flippa.com/blog/how-to-value-a-website-without-revenue/>, Dec. 8, 2009.

Ben McKay, 4 tips to starting your keyword research with SEM Rush, <http://www.goodwebpractices.com/seo-sem-rush-keyword-research-tips.html>, Nov. 30, 2009.

Bill Sebald, Tools to Help Learn from Competition, <http://www.greenlaneseo.com/blog/2009/10/tools-to-help-learn-from-competition/>, Oct. 9, 2009.

Jamey Bridges, A balanced online marketing approach with SEMRush, <http://internetrealstatesuccess.com/2008/11/20/391/>, Nov. 20, 2008.

SEMRush lanza su aplicación de gestión SEO/SEM en español, <http://jorgequintas.com/search-engine-optimization/semrush-lanza-su-aplicacion-de-gestion-seosem-en-espanol>, May 14, 2010.

Carte de la visibilité et mots clés d'un site Web avec SEMRush, <http://www.laurentboureilly.com/blog/670.php>, Apr. 30, 2010.

Semrush SEO Competition Keyword Tool Review, <http://muratos.hubpages.com/hub/Semrush--Beyond-Your-SEO-Expectations>, Oct. 3, 2010.

SEMRush Keyword Analyse, <http://netheweb.de/marketing-tipstricks/semrush-keyword-analyze/>, Apr. 1, 2010.

Learn More about SEM Rush-Sponsored Post, <http://www.ppchero.com/learn-more-about-sem-rush-sponsored-post/>, Oct. 29, 2009.

Ann Smarty, SEMRush: Advanced Keyword and Competitor Research, <http://www.searchenginejournal.com/semrush-advanced-keyword-and-competitor-research/8000/>, Dec. 10, 2008.

Adam Audette, Enterprise SEO Tools, Part 2: The Cloud, <http://searchengineland.com/enterprise-seo-tools-part-2-the-cloud-46133>, Jul. 16, 2010.

Josh Dreier, Essential Competitive Intelligence Platforms for Your PPC Toolbox, <http://searchengineland.com/essential-competitive-intelligence-platforms-for-your-ppc-toolbox-38613>, Mar. 25, 2010.

Aaron Wall, Tracking Your SEO Success With Charts, <http://searchengineland.com/tracking-your-seo-success-with-charts-33321>, Jan. 14, 2010.

SEMRush Review, <http://www.seerinteractive.com/blog/semrush-review>, Oct. 15, 2009.

SEMRush, la version francophone est Live!, <http://semrusheurope.agence-press.e.net/2010/04/26/outil-gratuit-de-recherche-de-mots-cles/>, Apr. 26, 2010.

SEMRush—What's Inside?, <http://seocorner.wordpress.com/2009/01/26/semrush-whats-inside/>, Jan. 26, 2009.

Aaron Wall, SEM Rush Review & Free Trial SEMRush Coupons, <http://www.seobook.com/sem-rush-coupons>, Oct. 20, 2011.



(56)

**References Cited**

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Aaron Wall, SEM Rush Search Marketing Research—Review of SEMRush.com, <http://www.seobook.com/sem-rush-search-marketing-research-review-semrush-com>, Nov. 8, 2008.

SEMRush Suchmaschinenmarketing Tool im Alfa-Test, <http://seo-scout.org/gadget/semrush-suchmaschinenmarketing-tool-im-alfa-test.html>, Jan. 28, 2009.

Tout savoir des sites web concurrents: mots clés, adwords, provenance du trafic, <http://www.tubbydev.com/2010/03/tout-savoir-des-sites-wb-concurrents-mots-cles-adwords-provenance-du-traffic.html#>. U\_uJEPldXup, Mar. 3, 2010.

SEO Tool Review: SEM Rush, <http://webmarketcentral.blogspot.com/2009/12/seo-tool-review-semrush.html>, Dec. 21, 2009.

SEM Marketing with SEMRush, <http://www.webtrafficroi.com/sem-marketing-with-semrush/>, Jun. 9, 2009.

“Two main SEMRush reports—organic and AdWords keywords”, SEMRush, [online], Internet URL: <<<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1XN4UFFS5mc>>>, Oct. 29, 2009.

Using SEMRush to find out competing sites in Google, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EARxcdepz7I>, Oct. 29, 2009.

SEMRush Keywords, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bZYrY6GLE0>, Jun. 30, 2009.

Competitors in Google organic search results—how to, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b2kGrV55X5s>, Jun. 30, 2009.

Competitors in Google AdWords results—how to find, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EUn2ZFTxg64>, May 21, 2009.

SEMRush—Adwords keywords report overview, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1xtffF5flq8>, May 8, 2009.

semrush.com: organic report overview, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6Lg7-rrDq8>, Apr. 30, 2009.

How to use SEMRush.com for keywords research?, [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zm\\_U9KMVOHc](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zm_U9KMVOHc), Jan. 10, 2010.

Keyword Research with AdWordAccelerator & SEMRush, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fOpY40f3-zg>, Nov. 8, 2009.

Introduction à SEMRish, <http://vimeo.com/8952147>, 2010.

SEO Tool SEM Rush Adds New Keyword Trend Data, <http://seodesignsolutions.com/blog/seo-resources/seo-tool-sem-rush-adds-new-keyword-trend-data/>, Dec. 22, 2009.

Bo Xing et al., The Impact of Search Engine Optimization on Online Advertizing Market, 2004.

Ravi Sen, Optimal Search Engine Marketing Strategy, 2005.

Harold Davis, Search Engine Optimization, Building Traffic and Making Money with SEO, 2006.

Michael P. Evans, Analysing Google rankings through search engine optimization data, 2007.

Covario, Inc., Competitive Search Insight, [http://web.archive.org/web/2009/0823055051/http://www.covario.com/products\\_competitive\\_search\\_insight.shtml](http://web.archive.org/web/2009/0823055051/http://www.covario.com/products_competitive_search_insight.shtml), 2009.

Covario, Inc., Interactive Marketing Analytics, <http://web.archive.org/web/20090823041004/http://www.covario.com/>, 2009.

Covario, Inc., Interactive Marketing Analytics Solutions for Corporate Marketing, 2008.

Covario, Inc., Paid Search Insight, [http://web.archive.org/web/200908064509/http://covario.com/products\\_paid\\_search\\_insight.shtml](http://web.archive.org/web/200908064509/http://covario.com/products_paid_search_insight.shtml), 2009.

Covario, Inc., Organic Search Insight, [http://web.archive.org/web/20090823055621/http://www.covario.com/products\\_organic\\_search\\_insight.shtml](http://web.archive.org/web/20090823055621/http://www.covario.com/products_organic_search_insight.shtml), 2009.

Covario, Inc., Organic Search Insight, Interactive Marketing Analytics Software, 2008.

Covario, Paid Search Insight, Interactive Marketing Analytics Software, 2008.

Glev (SEOQuake) no viene, pero . . . , <http://www.congresoseoprofesional.com/2010/08/10/goev-seoquake-no-pero/>, Jul. 5, 2014.

Keyword Research: SEMRush, <http://seoexpert.tv/seo-tools/semrush.html>, Sep. 17, 2009.

Searchmetrics Rapid—Testbericht, <http://www.seo-united.de/blog/seo/searchmetrics-rapid-testbericht.hm>, Dec. 10, 2009.

Omniure, Metric Definitions, Metrics for SiteCatalyst, and Discover, Aug. 13, 2008.

Omniure, The Conversion Funnel & Persuasion Architecture: How to Continually Fine-tune Your Site to Deliver Superior Results, 2005.

Semphonic, Implementation Toolkit for Omniure SiteCatalyst: Omniure SiteCatalyst Implementation Guide, Jul. 2010.

Omniure, SiteCatalyst Metrics, Visits and Unique Visitors, Apr. 17, 2008.

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700, Jul. 31, 2014.

Declaration of Ivan Zatkovich in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700, Sep. 3, 2014.

Patent Owner BrightEdge Technologies, Inc.’s Preliminary Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700, Nov. 13, 2014.

Decision Denying Institution of Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700, Feb. 2, 2015.

Petitioner’s Motion for Rehearing of Decision Denying Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,700, Mar. 3, 2015.

Excerpt from Webster’s Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary (“correlate” and “correlation”), 1990.

WebPosition, Product Reviews, <https://web.archive.org/web/19981201072230/http://webposition.com/product-reviews.htm>, 1998.

WebPosition, Key Features, <https://web.archive.org/web/19981201224227/http://webposition.com/keyfeatures.htm>, 1998.

Press Releases, Webtrends Celebrates 20 Years of Digital Marketing Success, <http://webtrends.com/about/press-room/press-releases/webtrends-celebrates-20-years-of-digital-marketing-success>, Jan. 21, 2014.

Urchin from Google, The End of an Era for Urchin Software, <http://www.google.com/urchin/index.html>, Unknown.

WordTracker, Discover the keywords that will skyrocket your website traffic!, <https://web.archive.org/web/19991009013532/http://www.wordtracker.com/>, Oct. 9, 1999.

grub.org, <https://web.archive.org/web/20001206121900/http://www.grub.org/>, Dec. 6, 2000.

SEOMoz.org, <https://web.archive.org/web/20050601023538/http://seomoz.com/tools.php>, Jun. 1, 2005.

SoftwareSolutions.net, <http://web.archive.org/web/19990210173627/http://softwaresolutions.net/netsubmitter/plugins.htm>, Feb. 10, 1999.

SEO Administrator, Seo tool, site promotion software program, <https://web.archive.org/web/20050331055423/http://www.seoadministrator.com/>, Mar. 31, 2005.

SpyFu.com, <https://web.archive.org/web/20071006135847/http://spyfu.com/>, Oct. 6, 2007.

Excerpt from a Dictionary of Modern American Usage (“correlation”), 1998.

Excerpt from Kaushik, Web Analytics 2.0, 2010.

Excerpt from Landing Page Optimization, 2012.

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746, Sep. 18, 2014.

Patent Owner BrightEdge Technologies, Inc.’s Preliminary Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746, Jan. 6, 2015.

Decision Denying Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746, Feb. 10, 2015.

Declaration of Ivan Zatkovich in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,746, Sep. 17, 2014.

Google Advanced Search, [https://web.archive.org/web/20060623174653/http://www.google.com/advanced\\_search?hl=en](https://web.archive.org/web/20060623174653/http://www.google.com/advanced_search?hl=en), Jun. 23, 2006.

Google Search, <https://web.archive.org/web/20021008072141/http://www.google.com>, Oct. 8, 2002.

Google Blog Search, <https://web.archive.org/web/20051101004851/http://blogsearch.google.com/>, Nov. 1, 2005.

Google Advanced Search, [https://web.archive.org/web/20001214081900/http://www.google.com/advanced\\_search?hl=en](https://web.archive.org/web/20001214081900/http://www.google.com/advanced_search?hl=en), Dec. 14, 2000.

WebPosition Gold Features, <https://web.archive.org/web/19990421042139/http://www.webposition.com/product.htm>, Apr. 21, 1999.

(56)

**References Cited**

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Google Inside AdWords, <http://adwords.blogspot.com/2007/07/discover-your-share-of-voice-with.html>, Jul. 5, 2007.

Radian6, <http://web.archive.org/web/20070216144611/http://www.radian6.com/technology/>, Feb. 16, 2007.

PostRank, <http://web.archive.org/web/20080912073326/http://postrank.com/>, Sep. 12, 2008.

Marketing TechBlog, History of Web and Social Analytics, <http://www.marketingtechblog.com/infographic-history-web-social-analytics/>, Jun. 21, 2011.

Samuel Axon, Mashable, Webtrends Launches Analytics for Facebook Marketers, <http://mashable.com/2010/02/25/webtrends-facebook-analytics/>, Feb. 25, 2010.

Excerpt from English Dictionary, Complete and Unabridged ("share of voice"), 2003.

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,135,706, Nov. 11, 2014.

Patent Owner BrightEdge Technologies, Inc.'s Preliminary Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,135,706, Mar. 3, 2015.

Declaration of Ivan Zatkovich in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,135,706, Nov. 11, 2014.

Press Releases, BrightEdge Announces US Patent for Operationalizing SEO, <http://www.brightedge.com/2012-04-12-BrightEdge-Announces-US-Patent>, Apr. 12, 2012.

Extended European Search Report dated Aug. 26, 2011 as received in EP Application No. 09763298.8.

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Dec. 30, 2009 as issued in International Application No. PCT/US2009/046100 filed Jun. 3, 2009.

\* cited by examiner






Figure 1




Figure 2A




Figure 2B




Figure 2C




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5






Figure 6A






Figure 6B



**Figure 6C**



**Figure 7**



## COLLECTING AND SCORING ONLINE REFERENCES

**Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [ ] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue; a claim printed with strikethrough indicates that the claim was canceled, disclaimed, or held invalid by a prior post-patent action or proceeding.**

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/060,033, entitled "COLLECTING AND SCORING ONLINE ADVERTISEMENTS, ONLINE MARKETING CHANNELS, AND ORGANIC SEARCH," filed on Jun. 9, 2008, which application is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Search engine optimization, in general, is a process webmasters apply to improve the volume and quality of traffic to a given Web Page or other Internet site. Typical techniques include keywords in title tags, keywords in meta tags, keywords in body text, anchor text in inbound links, age of site, site structure, link popularity in a site's internal link structure, amount of indexable text/page content, number of links to a site, popularity/relevance of links to site and topical relevance of inbound link tags. Additional techniques are sometimes employed based on the search engine for which the webmaster is attempting to optimize. Since search engine algorithms and metrics are proprietary, search engine optimization techniques are widely used to improve visibility of a Web Page or other Internet site on search engine result pages.

Search engine marketing is a form of Internet marketing that includes search engine optimization (SEO), paid inclusion, and paid placement. Paid inclusion and paid placement are forms of paid Internet advertising that place advertisements on the result page of a particular keyword search. Paid inclusion and paid placement vary in price based on factors such as keyword or search term.

Online advertising is a form of advertising that leverages the Internet or World Wide Web to convey a message. Online advertisements include text advertisements, banner advertisements, skyscraper advertisements, floating advertisements, expanding advertisements, polite advertisements, wallpaper advertisements, trick banner advertisements, pop-up advertisements, pop-under advertisements, video advertisements, map advertisements, mobile advertisements and many other forms of online advertisement.

The subject matter claimed herein is not limited to embodiments that solve any disadvantages or that operate only in environments such as those described above. Rather, this background is only provided to illustrate one exemplary technology area where some embodiments described herein may be practiced.

### BRIEF SUMMARY OF SOME EXAMPLE EMBODIMENTS

This Summary is provided to introduce a selection of concepts in a simplified form that are further described below in the Detailed Description. This Summary is not

intended to identify key features or essential characteristics of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended to be used as an aid in determining the scope of the claimed subject matter.

In general, example embodiments of the invention relate to collecting and scoring online references of an entity. One example embodiment includes a method for indexing online references of an entity. The method includes identifying one or more channels of the Internet to be searched for references to an entity and identifying one or more signals to be evaluated within each of the one or more channels. The method also includes crawling the Internet for online references to the entity, wherein crawling the Internet comprises searching the one or more channels of the Internet for references to the entity and evaluating the one or more signals. The method further includes constructing a reverse index of the references, wherein the reverse index is based on each channel in which a reference is found and the one or more signals evaluated for the reference.

Another example embodiment includes a system for indexing online references of an entity. The system includes a deep index engine, wherein the deep index engine is configured to assemble parameters for crawling the Internet and to insert crawls to be performed into a job queue. The system also includes one or more worker nodes, wherein the worker nodes are configured to perform the Internet crawls assembled by the deep index engine. The system further includes one or more coordinators, wherein the coordinators are configured to launch jobs for the one or more worker nodes from the job queue.

These and other aspects of example embodiments of the invention will become more fully apparent from the following description and appended claims.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

To further clarify various aspects of some embodiments of the present invention, a more particular description of the invention will be rendered by reference to specific embodiments thereof that are illustrated in the appended drawings. It is appreciated that these drawings depict only typical embodiments of the invention and are therefore not to be considered limiting of its scope. The invention will be described and explained with additional specificity and detail through the use of the accompanying drawings in which:

FIG. 1 illustrates an embodiment of an example system for indexing online references of an entity;

FIGS. 2A-2C illustrate various configurations of worker nodes that can be employed in the system of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 illustrates aspects of a method of using a page search to find appropriate Web Pages to be searched for online references;

FIG. 4 illustrates aspects of a method of parsing a search engine result page;

FIG. 5 illustrates an example of a method for presenting results within a reverse index;

FIGS. 6A-6C illustrate various examples of presenting results to a user; and

FIG. 7 illustrates a flow chart of an example method for indexing online references of an entity.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXAMPLE EMBODIMENTS

Reference will now be made to the figures wherein like structures will be provided with like reference designations.



It is understood that the figures are diagrammatic and schematic representations of some embodiments of the invention, and are not limiting of the present invention, nor are they necessarily drawn to scale.

Reference is first made to FIG. 1, which illustrates an embodiment of a system **105** for indexing online references of an entity. The entities whose online references are indexed can include individuals, corporations, brands, products, models or any other entities referenced anywhere on the Internet. References can include organic references, online advertisements, news items or any other reference to the entity. In particular, the system **105** can be used to identify online references of an entity where both the type of online reference and the entity are identified based on a customer's request. For example, in response to receiving a request from a customer to index online advertisements of a competitor, the system **105** can be used to perform the index.

The system **105** includes a deep index engine **110**. The deep index engine **110** is configured to assemble the parameters for crawling a network **112** into a search job. The network **112** exemplarily includes the Internet, comprising a global internetwork formed by logical and physical connections between multiple wide area networks and/or local area networks and can optionally include the World Wide Web ("Web"), comprising a system of interlinked hypertext documents accessed via the Internet. Alternately or additionally, the network **112** includes one or more cellular RF networks and/or one or more wired and/or wireless networks such as, but not limited to, 802.xx networks, Bluetooth access points, wireless access points, IP-based networks, or the like. The network **112** also includes servers that enable one type of network to interface with another type of network.

The parameters assembled by the deep index engine **110** can include one or more channels. These channels are the particular media within the Internet/network **112** that is to be searched. In some embodiments, channels can include organic searches, page searches, linked advertisement networks, banner advertisements, contextual advertisements, e-mail, blogs, social networks, social news, affiliate marketing, mobile advertisements, media advertisements, video advertisements, discussion forums, news sites, rich media, social bookmarks, paid searches and in-game advertisements. Nevertheless, the channels are not limited to those mentioned but can include any relevant areas of the Internet to be searched whether now existing or created in the future.

Organic searches refer to those listings in search engine result pages that appear by virtue of their relevance to the search terms, as opposed to their being advertisements. Page searches refer to the listings in search engine result pages regardless of the reason for appearing. Linked advertisement networks are advertisements that are automatically inserted into Web Pages if they contain relevant subject matter. Banner advertisements are advertisements that are placed on a particular Web Page in a particular location. Contextual advertisements are advertisements that are placed when certain key words or other identifiers are present, e.g., keyword advertisements. E-mail—electronic mail or email—is any method of creating, transmitting, or storing primarily text-based human communications with digital communications systems. Blogs—weblogs—are a type of Web Page, usually maintained by an individual with regular entries of commentary, descriptions of events, or other material such as graphics or video. Social networks are social structure made of nodes (which are generally individuals or organizations) that are tied by one or more specific types of interdependency, such as values, visions, ideas, financial exchange, friendship, kinship, dislike, con-

flict or trade. Social news refers to Web Pages where users submit and vote on news stories or other links, thus determining which links are presented. Affiliate marketing includes using a Web Page to drive traffic to a different Web Page maintained by an affiliate of the first Web Page's owner. Mobile advertisements include personalized advertisements presented on wireless devices. Media advertisements include advertisements placed within a type of media, or means of communication, whether online, in print, in video or any other format. Video advertisements are advertisements presented in video format. Discussion forums—or message boards—are online discussion sites featuring user-generated content. News sites are Web Pages with the primary purpose of reporting news, including both general news and subject-specific news. Rich media—or interactive media—is media that allows for active participation by the recipient. Social bookmarks relate to a method for network users to store, organize, search, and manage bookmarks of Web Pages on the network and save the bookmarks privately, share the bookmarks with the general public, share the bookmarks with specified people or groups, share the bookmarks within certain networks or share the network with any other combination of private and public access. Paid searches are a type of contextual advertising where Web site owners pay an advertising fee, usually based on click-throughs or ad views, to have their Web Site search results shown in top placement on search engine result pages. In-game advertisements are advertisements placed within a video game either online or on a game console.

Returning to FIG. 1, the parameters assembled by the deep index engine **110** can also include one or more signals to be evaluated. The signals include information about the references to the entity. For example, advertisements placed at the top of a Web Page are much more visible, and therefore, are generally more expensive and are considered more effective than advertisements placed at the bottom of a Web Page. Therefore, if the references to be indexed include online advertisements, advertisement placement is a signal that can be identified for the indexing. Alternately or additionally, signals to be evaluated can include one or more of: frequency of the reference on a given Web Page, location of the reference on the Web Page, calendar date of the crawl, calendar date of Web Page posting, time of day of the crawl, time of day of Web Page posting, context-driven Web indexing, time to download the Web Page, Web browser compatibility of the Web Page, Web plug-in compatibility of the Web Page, or the like. Additionally or alternatively, signals within an e-mail message to be evaluated can include frequency of the e-mail message received, outbound links on the e-mail message, calendar date of the e-mail message received, time of day of the e-mail message received, or the like. The context-driven Web indexing signal can further include links within the Web Page and/or current events surrounding the posting and the topic of the Web Page. Nevertheless, the signals to be evaluated are not limited to those mentioned but can include any relevant information about the references to the entity, whether now existing or created in the future. It should also be noted that "Web Page" as used herein refers to any online posting, including domains, subdomains, Web posts, Uniform Resource Identifiers ("URIs"), Uniform Resource Locators ("URLs") and non-permanent postings such as e-mail and chat unless otherwise specified.

With continued reference to FIG. 1, the deep index engine **110** creates, defines and/or identifies jobs and inserts the jobs, including, for search jobs, the assembled parameters of each search job, into a job queue **115**. Insertion into the job



queue **115** may be through direct insertion or by sending the job through a coordinator **120** or through any other method by which the job is sent from the deep index engine **110** to the job queue **115**. In some embodiments, the job queue **115** maintains the jobs that are to be performed and provides the jobs to worker nodes **125** for execution.

Jobs in the job queue **115** include, but are not limited to, search jobs, e.g., crawling the Internet. In some embodiments, once the Internet has been crawled data is obtained. In general, data refers to any information that the deep index engine has specified as relevant. In some embodiments, data can include information regarding the channels searched and the signals evaluated. In other embodiments, data can include downloading the Web Page for further processing, as discussed below. In further embodiments, data can include search results to be parsed, as discussed below.

In some embodiments, once data has been obtained, it must be processed. The deep index engine **110** can insert such processing jobs into the job queue **115**. In some embodiments, processing the data can include evaluating the signals. In other embodiments, processing the data can include parsing search results, as discussed below. In further embodiments, processing can include evaluating the reference for positive or negative connotations. For example, a blog entry about a product can be processed to determine whether the entry is generally positive or negative in regards to the product.

In other embodiments, once the data has been obtained, the data may need to be compressed, which is another job that can be inserted by the deep index engine **110** into the job queue **115**. In some embodiments, compressing the data can include saving the data for later processing. In other embodiments, compressing the data can include parsing the Web Page for the relevant signals and saving only the portion of the Web Page which relates to the relevant signals. It will be appreciated, with the benefit of the present disclosure, that the deep index engine **110** may insert any job that needs to be performed, including collecting and/or processing data, into the job queue **115**.

In some embodiments, the system **105** includes worker nodes **125**. Worker nodes **125** comprise nodes that perform the jobs that have been inserted by the deep index engine **110** into the job queue **115**. In some embodiments, jobs performed by the worker nodes **125** include: crawling the Web and performing the relevant search, compressing the data, processing the data, constructing a reverse index, calculating a search engine optimization score or any other job that has been inserted into the job queue **115**. In some embodiments, every worker node **125** can be a general worker node that is configured to perform any job inserted into the job queue **115**. In other embodiments, worker nodes **125** can be specialized worker nodes that are each configured to perform a single job. In further embodiments, worker nodes **125** can be any combination of general worker nodes and specialized worker nodes.

In some embodiments, the worker nodes **125** are further configured to simulate the activities of a human user of the Internet. In some embodiments, simulating the activities of a human user of the internet includes mimicking and/or providing one or more attributes typically associated with a human user, including one or more of: a geographic location, a particular time of browsing, an age, an income level, an e-mail address, or other demographics of human users. For example, the worker nodes **125** can be configured to connect to the Internet through multiple Internet service providers to simulate human users of the Internet in different geographic locations. Alternately or additionally, the worker nodes **125**

can be configured to connect to the Internet at a particular time of day. Alternately or additionally, the worker nodes **125** can be configured to input, in certain websites, an age, income level, or the like, corresponding to a particular demographic of human users. Alternately or additionally, the worker nodes **125** can be configured to input, in certain websites, an e-mail address. In some embodiments of the invention, simulating the activities of a human user of the Internet allows for more relevant search results as the search references concern how such references would be presented to a user of the Internet.

FIG. 2A illustrates one example configuration of a worker node **205** crawling the Internet in the performance of a search job, for instance. The worker node **205** connects directly to a Web Page **210**. The worker node **205** may then perform a search for online references to an entity within the Web Page **210** and/or within additional Web Pages by looking through the appropriate channels. In some embodiments, the worker node **205** may also locate and/or evaluate the appropriate signals to obtain signal information, as directed within the search job by the deep index engine **110** of FIG. 1, outlined above, for later evaluation. In this and other embodiments, the worker node **205** may evaluate the appropriate signals while connected to the Web Page **210**.

FIG. 2B illustrates another example of a worker node **220** crawling the Internet in the performance of a search job. In this example, the worker node **220** uses a proxy **225** to connect to a Web Page **230**. In computer networks, a proxy is a server (e.g., a computer system or an application program), that acts as a go-between for requests from clients seeking resources from other servers. A client connects to the proxy, requesting some service, such as a file, connection, Web Page, or other resource, available from a different server. In some embodiments, a worker node **220** connecting to a Web Page **230** through a proxy **225** may allow the worker node **220** to appear to be from a different geographic origin than it is actually from.

FIG. 2C illustrates yet another example of a worker node **240** crawling the Internet in the performance of a search job. In this example, the worker node **240** connects to the Internet through a proxy **245** and locates a Web Page **250** through a backlink **255**. Backlinks **255** are incoming links to a Web site or Web Page **250**. The backlinks **255** of a Web Page **250** may be of significant personal, cultural or semantic interest because they can indicate who is paying attention to that Web Page **250**. A backlink **255** can include any link to a Web Page **250** from another Web Page. Backlinks **255** are also known as incoming links, inbound links, inlinks, and inward links. Backlinks **255** are sometimes used as a measure of the popularity of Web Page **250** and several search engines provide backlink **255** information of Web Pages **250**. In some embodiments, the backlinks **255** are used to help find online references of an entity or otherwise evaluate the references.

Returning to FIG. 1, in some embodiments, the system **105** also includes one or more coordinators **120**. The coordinators **120** can receive jobs from the deep index engine **110** and insert them into the job queue **115** as described above. The coordinators **120** can also remove jobs from the job queue **115** and provide them to the worker nodes **125** as needed for completion of the jobs. The coordinators **120** can also track which jobs are being performed by which worker nodes **125** to optimize the performance of the worker nodes **125** (e.g., to make sure that specialized nodes have the work available after finishing their current task).

The modules, or individual components, of the system **105**, including the deep index engine **110**, the job queue **115**,



the worker nodes **125** and the coordinators **120**, can be implemented in hardware, software or any combination thereof. If implemented in software, the modules of the system **105** are stored in a computer-readable medium, to be accessed as needed to perform their functions. Additionally, if implemented in software, the tasks assigned to each module can be carried out by a processor, field-programmable gate array (FPGA) or any other logic device capable of carrying out software instructions or other logic functions.

FIG. **3** illustrates aspects of a method of using a page search to find appropriate Web Pages to be searched for online references. The method of FIG. **3** can be performed by a worker node **305** in conjunction with a keyword database **310**. The keyword database **310** contains one or more keywords to be used in the page search. In some embodiments, the deep index engine **110** of FIG. **1** can compile the keyword database **310**. In other embodiments, the worker nodes **125** of FIG. **1** can, prior to the page search, compile the keyword database **310**.

Returning to FIG. **3**, the worker node **305** obtains **315** a keyword from the keyword database **310**. The worker node **305** then queries **320** a search engine for a page search. The search engine can be any public or private search engine used to perform searches, whether now existing or created in the future. Multiple search engines can be used for each keyword to compile results or individual search engines can be used as preferred for each entity.

Once the search is performed, the worker node **305** collects **325** the search engine result page. The result page can be collected **325** as text to be processed by the worker node **305** or to be inserted into the job queue for processing by other worker nodes. The search engine result page can also be collected **325** in the original format or only the links themselves preserved with the links inserted into the job queue for additional Web crawls by the worker nodes. Nevertheless, any method that collects the search engine result page, either now existing or created in the future, is contemplated for collecting the search engine result page **325**.

After the search engine result page is collected, the search engine result page is parsed **330** for relevant information. The result page can be parsed **330** by worker node **305** or can be inserted into a job queue for parsing by other worker nodes. The information that is considered relevant can be determined by the parameters assembled previously by the deep index engine **110** of FIG. **1**. For example, if so desired, only organic search results can be considered. Alternatively, only paid results may be considered if the online references are limited to paid advertisements within search engines. In some embodiments, the rank of the search result may be relevant to the indexing, whereas in other embodiments the rank may be of little or no relevance to the indexing.

FIG. **4** illustrates aspects of a method of parsing a search engine result page for references to an entity, such as a search engine result page obtained from a keyword search as illustrated in FIG. **3**. In some embodiments, the method of FIG. **4** is performed by one or more worker nodes.

The method of FIG. **4** begins by parsing **405** the search engine result page into one or more channel-related groupings, such as organic results and paid advertisements. For the purposes of this example, organic results and paid advertisements are treated differently, although in other embodiments they may be treated the same or differently. The worker node parses **410** the organic results to identify **415** one or more signals in the organic results of the search engine result page that refer to the entity, the one or more

signals including, for example, rank, URL, title and/or description of a corresponding search engine result that refers to the entity. These signals can help identify the relevance of a search engine result as well as help identify one or more Web Pages **420** to be searched in the future. The Web Pages **420** can then be parsed for references to the entity.

The worker node can also parse **425** the paid advertisement results to identify **430** one or more signals in the paid advertisement results of the search engine result page that refer to the entity, the one or more signals including, for example, placement and/or URL of a corresponding paid advertisement in the search engine result page that refers to the entity. Prominent placement is often considered more effective and, therefore, will normally cost more, than less prominent placement of a paid advertisement. Therefore, placement of the paid advertisement within a search engine result page and/or other Web Page gives an indication of how much was paid for an advertisement and the relevance that is placed on the correlation between the keyword searched and the marketer placing the advertisement. As with the organic search results, a Web Page **435** pointed to by a paid advertisement can be identified and itself parsed for additional references to the entity.

With combined reference to FIGS. **3** and **4**, it is noted that, in this example, the same worker node that performs the search need not visit the Web Page that is ultimately searched for online references. For example, one worker node can obtain **315** a keyword from the keyword database **310**, query **320** the search engine and collect **325** the results. A second worker node can parse **330**, **405** the result page, identifying **410**, **425** which results are organic and which results are paid. A third worker node can identify **415** the appropriate signals of the organic results while a fourth worker node can identify **430** the appropriate signals of the paid results. The Web Pages **420**, **435** can then be inserted into the job queue for crawling by additional worker nodes. In other embodiments, fewer or more than four worker nodes can be employed to perform the steps of FIGS. **3** and **4**.

Returning to FIG. **1**, once the system **105** has completed the search for the online references, the system can present the results to a user. In some embodiments, a reverse index **130** can be created that lists the online references to the entity and ranks them according to a set of criteria, such as cost-effectiveness, visibility, or any other criteria. When a search engine tabulates all documents that contain a given word, that is called a reverse index. This is in contrast to a regular index, which contains the locations of all words within a document.

In other embodiments, the results can be presented as raw data. For example, the results could be presented as the number of hits on a particular Web Page, i.e., the traffic history of the Web Page, or as the organic search result rank for a particular keyword or set of keywords. In further embodiments, the results can be presented as mentions in a particular media. For example, the results can be presented as the number of mentions within blogs. Alternately or additionally, the results can be further broken down. For example, blog mentions can be broken down into positive mentions and negative mentions.

FIG. **5** illustrates one example of a method for presenting the results within a reverse index. In this example, the results have a search engine optimization (SEO) score generated **505** for a Web Page that has been crawled. In other words, the results are presented based on some predefined criteria, such as placement within search engine results. The search



engine results can include organic searches, paid searches or both. Additionally, the SEO score can be factored to weigh more heavily search results from a particular search engine. For example, high placement in the results of a more popular search engine can influence the SEO score more than the results of a less popular search engine.

Alternatively or additionally, a reverse index generated according to the method of FIG. 5 can include an advertisement score. In some embodiments, the advertisement score can be used to indicate the cost-effectiveness of an advertisement. For example, if a first advertisement generates 50% of the traffic of a second advertisement, but the first advertisement costs only 10% to run compared to the second advertisement, it may be given a higher advertisement score to indicate that it is more cost-effective in generating traffic.

The method of FIG. 5 further includes identifying 510 keywords where the Web Page ranks—i.e., keywords that return the Web Page when searched. In some embodiments, identifying 510 keywords where the Web Page ranks can be done by obtaining possible keywords from a keyword database 515 and performing a search on the keywords. In other embodiments, the keywords can be keywords of interest and the results of the keyword search can have SEO scores generated. In further embodiments, the keyword database can be compiled in any other way that is desired to optimize the indexing.

Once the keyword(s) has been searched, the organic rank for the Web Page is identified 520. A weighted multiplier is then applied 525 to the organic rank, where the weighted multiplier can be based on the organic rank. That is, the weighted multiplier is different for each ranking (i.e., not a constant). In some embodiments, the weighted multiplier considers 530 the distribution of click analysis of the organic rank. That is, the multiplier takes into account the number of users that follow the link to the URL. For example, a search may turn up a result that is irrelevant to the majority of users for whatever reason. Even if the ranking of the result is high, the multiplier can be adjusted to reflect the low number of users who follow the link. From the weighted multiplier and the organic rank, an SEO score can be generated 535. The SEO score allows an analysis of the relevance of the references based on the predefined criteria.

FIG. 6A illustrates an example of presenting results to a user. In this example, the results are presented in the form of a chart 615. The chart 615 can be produced, for example, at the request of a client who wishes to see how organic rank has changed over time both of his own Web Page, and the Web Pages of his competitors. The chart 615 has multiple lines representing the organic rank of different Web Pages. The first line 610 represents organic rank of the client's Web Page and the changes in organic rank over one week intervals. The second line 615 represents organic rank of a first competitor's Web Page and the changes in organic rank over one week intervals. The third line 620 represents organic rank of a second competitor's Web Page and the changes in organic rank over one week intervals.

In some embodiments, the chart 615 can be limited to the organic rank history of the client. In other embodiments, the chart 615 can be limited to the organic rank history of competitors and can exclude the organic rank history of the client. The chart 615 can include the organic rank history of more or less than two competitors, as specified by the client. Additionally, competitors can be identified in any manner. For example, only the largest competitors could be shown or certain competitors could be identified which are of particular interest.

FIG. 6B illustrates an additional example of presenting results to a user. The chart 640 of FIG. 6B presents mentions of a Web Page in social media channels. Mentions can include various references to a Web Page, product or any other entity. The x-axis 645 of the chart 640 includes the channels searched. The y-axis 650 of the chart 640 indicates the number of mentions discovered by the system. In some embodiments, number of mentions can include the absolute number of mentions. In other embodiments, number of mentions can be a relative number of mentions. For example, the number of mentions for a Web Page can be compared to the number of mentions of a competitor's Web Page.

FIG. 6C illustrates another example of presenting results to a user. The chart 670 of FIG. 6C is a pie chart presenting to the user the quality of the backlinks to their Web Page. In some embodiments, quality can be determined using a pagerank value (0 to 10). Pagerank is a link analysis algorithm that assigns a numerical weighting to each element of a hyperlinked set of documents, such as the Web, with the purpose of measuring each element's relative importance within the set. In some embodiments, it is more beneficial to have an inbound link (backlink) from a single (or few) domain(s) with a high pagerank value of 6 or higher than it is to have hundreds of backlinks from domains with lower pagerank values of 0 and 1.

The first region 675 of the chart 670 indicates premium backlinks, or backlinks from Web Pages with a pagerank value of 7 to 10. The second region 680 of the chart 670 indicates quality backlinks, or backlinks from Web Pages with a pagerank value of 3 to 6. The third region 685 of the chart 670 indicates regular backlinks, or backlinks from Web Pages with a pagerank value of 0 to 2. Quality of backlinks can be evaluated using other methods and is not limited to pagerank.

It is appreciated that the charts of FIGS. 6A-6C are merely examples of charts that can be generated to present results to a user according to some embodiments of the invention. Indeed, results can be presented to users by generating other types of charts, or without generating any charts at all.

With additional reference to FIG. 7, an example method 700 is disclosed for indexing online references of an entity. Entities whose online references are indexed can include individuals, corporations, brands, products, models or any other entities referenced anywhere on the Internet. References can include organic references, online advertisements, news items or any other reference to the entity. In particular, the method 700 can be used to identify online references of an entity where both the type of online reference and the entity are identified based on a customer's request. For example, a customer can request indexing of online advertisements of a competitor and the method 700 can be used to perform the index. Alternately or additionally, the method 700 can be used to identify online references of an entity where one or both of the type of online reference or the entity is identified other than by customer request.

The method 700 includes identifying 705 the channel or channels to be searched. As explained above, channels are the particular medium within the Internet that is to be searched. In some embodiments, channels can include organic searches, page searches, linked advertisement networks, banner advertisements, contextual advertisements, e-mail, blogs, social networks, social news, affiliate marketing, mobile advertisements, media advertisements, video advertisements discussion forums, news sites, rich media, social bookmarks, paid searches and in-game advertisements. Nevertheless, the channels are not limited to those



## 11

mentioned but can include any relevant areas of the Internet to be searched, whether now existing or created in the future.

The method **700** further includes identifying **710** signals to be evaluated. The signals include relevant information about the references to the entity. For example, advertisements placed at the top of a Web Page are much more visible, and therefore, are generally more expensive and are considered more effective. Therefore, if the references to be indexed include online advertisements, advertisement placement is a signal that can be identified for the indexing. Signals to be evaluated can alternately or additionally include frequency of the reference on a given Web Page, location of the reference on the Web Page, calendar date of the crawl, calendar date of Web Page posting, time of day of the crawl, time of day of Web Page posting, context-driven Web indexing, time to download the Web Page, Web browser compatibility of the Web Page, Web plug-in compatibility of the Web Page, or the like. Additionally or alternatively, signals within an e-mail message to be evaluated can include frequency of the e-mail message received, outbound links on the e-mail message, calendar date of the e-mail message received, time of day of the e-mail message received, or the like. Context-driven Web indexing can further include links within the Web Page, current events surrounding the posting and the topic of the Web Page. Nevertheless, the signals to be evaluated are not limited to those mentioned but can include any relevant information about the references to the entity, whether now existing or created in the future.

The method **700** also includes crawling **715** the Web, the Internet, or other network, such as the network **112** of FIG. **1**, for online references to the entity. Crawling **715** the Web/Internet/network **112** may be via direct connection to a Web Page, may include the use of proxy servers, may use backlinks to identify an appropriate Web Page or can include any other method of locating and evaluating Web Pages. Crawling **715** the Web/Internet/network **112** may also include simulating the activities of a human user of the Internet. For example, crawling **715** the Web can be done through multiple Internet service providers to simulate human users of the Internet in different geographic locations. Simulating the activities of a human user of the Internet may allow for more relevant search results as they concern how such references would be presented to a user of the Internet.

The method **700** further includes constructing **720** a reverse index of the results. For example, a reverse index can be constructed **720** that references the online references to the entity and ranks them according to a set of predetermined criteria. Constructing **720** a reverse index can optionally include performing a trend analysis. A trend analysis shows how the online references have changed over time. For instance, the chart **605** of FIG. **6A** shows how the organic ranks of a client Web page, a first competitor's Web page, and a second competitor's Web page have changed over time. As such, the data included in the chart **605** of FIG. **6A** may be representative of a particular type of trend analysis.

Constructing **720** a reverse index can alternately or additionally include generating an SEO score. The SEO score allows a user, such as the customer that requested the index, to see the relevance of the references based on one or more predetermined criteria, e.g., cost-effectiveness.

In some embodiments, the method **700** may be performed using a system, such as the system described in FIG. **1**. The modules, or individual components, of a system used to perform the method can be implemented in hardware, software or any combination thereof. If implemented in software, the modules of the system are stored in a computer-

## 12

readable medium, to be accessed as needed to perform their functions. Additionally, if implemented in software, the tasks assigned to each module can be carried out by a processor, field-programmable gate array (FPGA) or any other logic device capable of carrying out software instructions or other logic functions.

The embodiments described herein may include the use of a special purpose or general purpose computer including various computer hardware and/or software modules, as discussed in greater detail below.

Embodiments within the scope of the present invention may also include physical computer-readable media and/or intangible computer-readable media for carrying or having computer-executable instructions or data structures stored thereon. Such physical computer-readable media and/or intangible computer-readable media can be any available media that can be accessed by a general purpose or special purpose computer. By way of example, and not limitation, such physical computer-readable media can comprise RAM, ROM, EEPROM, CD-ROM or other optical disk storage, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other physical medium which can be used to carry or store desired program code means in the form of computer-executable instructions or data structures and which can be accessed by a general purpose or special purpose computer. Within a general purpose or special purpose computer, intangible computer-readable media can include electromagnetic means for conveying a data signal from one part of the computer to another, such as through circuitry residing in the computer.

When information is transferred or provided over a network or another communications connection (either hardwired, wireless, or a combination of hardwired or wireless) to a computer, hardwired devices for sending and receiving computer-executable instructions, data structures, and/or data signals (e.g., wires, cables, optical fibers, electronic circuitry, chemical, and the like) should properly be viewed as physical computer-readable mediums while wireless carriers or wireless mediums for sending and/or receiving computer-executable instructions, data structures, and/or data signals (e.g., radio communications, satellite communications, infra-red communications, and the like) should properly be viewed as intangible computer-readable mediums. Combinations of the above should also be included within the scope of computer-readable media.

Computer-executable instructions comprise, for example, instructions, data, and/or data signals which cause a general purpose computer, special purpose computer, or special purpose processing device to perform a certain function or group of functions. Although not required, aspects of the invention have been described herein in the general context of computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, being executed by computers, in network environments and/or non-network environments. Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects, components, and content structures that perform particular tasks or implement particular abstract content types. Computer-executable instructions, associated content structures, and program modules represent examples of program code for executing aspects of the methods disclosed herein.

Embodiments may also include computer program products for use in the systems of the present invention, the computer program product having a physical computer-readable medium having computer-readable program code stored thereon, the computer-readable program code comprising computer-executable instructions that, when



13

executed by a processor, cause the system to perform the methods of the present invention.

The present invention may be embodied in other specific forms without departing from its spirit or essential characteristics. The described embodiments are to be considered in all respects only as illustrative and not restrictive. The scope of the invention is, therefore, indicated by the appended claims rather than by the foregoing description. All changes that come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are to be embraced within their scope.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of indexing online references of an entity, the method comprising:

identifying an entity, wherein the entity is an individual, corporation, brand, or product;

crawling the Internet for online references to the entity [after identifying the entity], wherein crawling the Internet comprises:

*by a computing device, determining a keyword to search;*

*by the computing device, querying a [first] plurality of search [engine] engines across a plurality of online channels for [a first] search engine results [page] pages using [a] the keyword, wherein the search engine results pages comprise one or more search results identified by the respective search engine as responsive to the keyword;*

*by the computing device, parsing the [first] search [result] engine results pages [into] to determine organic search results and paid search results, wherein the organic search results appear in the search engine results pages based on relevance of webpages corresponding to the organic search results to the keyword;*

*by the computing device, identifying [an] organic online [reference to the entity based on] references to entities in the organic search results from the search engine results pages and paid online references to entities in the paid search results based on the paid search results from the search engine results pages;*

*by the computing device, parsing the organic search results to identify one or more [organic] first signals to be evaluated that include information about the organic online [reference to the entity] references and parsing the paid search results to identify one or more second signals to be evaluated that include information about the paid online references;*

searching social networks for social network search results that refer to the entity;

identifying a social online reference to the entity based on the social network search results;

parsing the social network search results to identify one or more [social] third signals to be evaluated that include information about the social online reference to the entity;

*by the computing device, evaluating the [social] third signals [and organic], the first signals, and the second signals; [and]*

*by the computing device, constructing, using a processor, a reverse index of [the] online references based on the evaluated [social] third signals [and], the evaluated [organic] first signals, and the evaluated second signals;*

*by the computing device, in response to receiving a query related to the entity, computing, using the reverse index, statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity, wherein computing*

14

*the statistics comprises attributing an organic online reference to the identified entity identified via a first search engine of the plurality of search engines with a weight greater than an organic online reference to the entity identified via a second search engine; and by the computing device, presenting the computed statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity.*

2. The method of claim 1, [further comprising] wherein computing statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity further comprises computing a search engine optimization score for the identified [organic online reference to the] entity based on the organic online references in the reverse index.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the reverse index lists the social online reference and the organic online reference.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the reverse index lists the organic online [reference with respect to the keyword] references by entity identifier.

5. The method of claim [1] 3, wherein the [social] third signals comprise a rank, a uniform resource locator (URL), a title, or a description of the social online [reference] references.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the [social] third signals are evaluated to identify [the] relevance of the social online [reference to the entity] references to entities in the social network search results.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the [organic] first signals comprise a rank, a uniform resource locator (URL), a title, or a description of the organic online [reference] references.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the [organics] first signals are evaluated to identify [the] relevance of the [organics] organic online [reference to the entity] references to entities in the organic search results.

9. The method of claim [1] 3, wherein the social networks comprise a social structure of nodes that are tied by one or more specific types of interdependency.

10. The method of claim 1, [wherein the organic search results are search results in a search engine results page that appear based on their relevance to a search term used to generate the search results] further comprising identifying backlinks to the organic online references based on the search engine results pages.

11. The method of claim 1, further comprising: determining, using the reverse index, paid online references to the identified entity.

12. The method of claim 1, further comprising determining a popularity of the organic online references based on the search engine results pages.

13. The method of claim 1, wherein the first signals are evaluated to assess relevance of the online channels to the identified entity.

14. The method of claim 1, wherein parsing the search engine results pages to determine organic search results and paid search results further comprises parsing the search results pages to identify which search results are organic results and which search results are paid results.

15. A method comprising:

*receiving information identifying an entity, wherein the entity is an individual, corporation, brand, or product; determining a plurality of keywords based on the received information;*

*retrieving search engine results pages from a plurality of search engines across a plurality of online channels using the plurality of keywords, wherein the search engine results pages comprise one or more search*



15

results identified by the respective search engine as responsive to the plurality of keywords;  
 parsing the search engine results pages to determine organic search results identified by the search engine, wherein the organic search results appear in the search engine results pages based on relevance of web pages corresponding to the organic search results to the plurality of keywords;  
 identifying organic online references to entities in the organic search results from the search engine results pages;  
 parsing the organic search results to identify one or more first signals to be evaluated that include information about the organic online references;  
 constructing, using a processor, a reverse index of online references on the web pages corresponding to the organic search results based on evaluating the identified organic online references and the first signals;  
 computing, using the reverse index, statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity, wherein computing the statistics comprises attributing an organic online reference to the identified entity identified via a first search engine of the plurality of search engines with a weight greater than an organic online reference to the entity identified via a second search engine; and  
 presenting the computed statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein computing statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity further comprises:  
 computing a score for the identified entity based on the organic online references in the reverse index.

17. The method of claim 15, wherein the reverse index lists the online references by entity identifier.

18. The method of claim 15, wherein the first signals comprise a rank, a uniform resource locator (URL), a title, or a description of the organic online references.

19. The method of claim 15, wherein the first signals are evaluated to identify relevance of the organic online references to entities in the organic search results.

20. The method of claim 15, further comprising identifying backlinks to the organic online references based on the search engine results pages.

21. The method of claim 15, further comprising determining a popularity of the organic online references based on the search engine results pages.

22. The method of claim 15, wherein the first signals are evaluated to assess relevance of the online channels to the identified entity.

23. The method of claim 15, wherein the parsing the search engine results pages to determine organic search results further comprises parsing the search results pages to identify which search results are organic results.

24. The method of claim 15, further comprising:  
 parsing the search engine results pages to determine paid search results;  
 identifying paid online references to entities in the paid search results;  
 parsing the paid search results to identify one or more second signals to be evaluated that include information about the paid online references;  
 evaluating the second signals; and  
 computing, using the reverse index, statistics regarding paid online references to the identified entity, wherein the reverse index of online references is further constructed based on the identified paid online references and the evaluated second signals.

16

25. The method of claim 24, wherein the parsing the search engine results pages to determine paid search results further comprises parsing the search engine results pages to identify which search results are paid results.

26. The method of claim 24, wherein computing statistics regarding paid online references to the identified entity further comprises:  
 computing a score for the identified entity based on the paid online references in the reverse index.

27. The method of claim 15, further comprising:  
 searching social networks for social network search results;  
 identifying social online references to entities in the social network search results based on the social network search results;  
 parsing the social network search results to identify one or more third signals to be evaluated that include information about the social online references to entities;  
 evaluating the third signals; and  
 computing, using the reverse index, statistics regarding social online references to the identified entity, wherein the reverse index of online references is further constructed based on the identified social online references and the evaluated third signals.

28. The method of claim 27, wherein the third signals are evaluated to identify relevance of the social online references to entities in the social network search results.

29. The method of claim 27, wherein the third signals comprise a rank, a uniform resource locator (URL), a title, or a description of the social online references.

30. The method of claim 27, wherein the social networks comprise a social structure of nodes that are tied by one or more specific types of interdependency.

31. A system comprising:  
 one or more processors; and  
 a memory coupled to the processors comprising instructions executable by the processors, the processors being operable when executing the instructions to:  
 receive information identifying an entity, wherein the entity is an individual, corporation, brand, or product;  
 determine a plurality of keywords based on the received information;  
 retrieve search engine results pages from a plurality of search engines across a plurality of online channels using the plurality of keywords, wherein the search engine results pages comprise one or more search results identified by the respective search engine as responsive to the plurality of keywords;  
 parse the search engine results pages to determine organic search results identified by the search engine, wherein the organic search results appear in the search engine results pages based on relevance of web pages corresponding to the organic search results to the plurality of keywords;  
 identify organic online references to entities in the organic search results from the search engine results pages;  
 parse the organic search results to identify one or more first signals to be evaluated that include information about the organic online references;  
 construct, using a processor, a reverse index of organic online references on the web pages corresponding to



17

*the organic search results based on evaluating the identified organic online references and the first signals;*

*compute, using the reverse index, statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity, wherein computing the statistics comprises attributing an organic online reference to the identified entity identified via a first search engine of the plurality of search engines with a weight greater than an organic online reference to the entity identified via a second search engine; and present the computed statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity.*

*32. The system of claim 31, the processors being further operable when executing the instructions to compute statistics regarding organic online references to the identified entity to:*

*compute a score for the identified entity based on the organic online references in the reverse index.*

*33. The system of claim 31, wherein the reverse index lists the online references by entity identifier.*

*34. The system of claim 31, wherein the first signals comprise a rank, a uniform resource locator (URL), a title, or a description of the organic online references.*

*35. The system of claim 31, wherein the first signals are evaluated to identify relevance of the organic online references to entities in the organic search results.*

*36. The system of claim 31, wherein the processors are further operable when executing the instructions to identify backlinks to the organic online references based on the search engine results pages.*

*37. The system of claim 31, wherein the processors are further operable when executing the instructions to determine a popularity of the organic online references based on the search engine results pages.*

*38. The system of claim 31, wherein the first signals are evaluated to assess relevance of the online channels to the identified entity.*

*39. The system of claim 31, wherein the processors being operable when executing the instructions to parse the search engine results pages to determine organic search results further comprise the processors being operable when executing the instructions to parse the search results pages to identify which search results are organic results.*

*40. The system of claim 31, the processors being further operable when executing the instructions to:*

*parse the search engine results pages to determine paid search results;*

*identify paid online references to entities in the paid search results;*

*parse the paid search results to identify one or more second signals to be evaluated that include information about the paid online references;*

*evaluate the second signals; and*

*compute, using the reverse index, statistics regarding paid online references to the identified entity, wherein the reverse index of online references is further constructed based on the identified paid online references and the evaluated second signals.*

*41. The system of claim 40, wherein the processors being operable when executing the instructions to parse the search engine results pages to determine paid search results further comprise the processors being operable when executing the*

18

*instructions to parse the search engine results pages to identify which search results are paid results.*

*42. The system of claim 40, the processors being further operable when executing the instructions to compute statistics regarding paid online references to the identified entity to:*

*compute a score for the identified entity based on the paid online references in the reverse index.*

*43. The system of claim 31, the processors being further operable when executing the instructions to:*

*search social networks for social network search results; identify social online references to entities in the social network search results based on the social network search results;*

*parse the social network search results to identify one or more third signals to be evaluated that include information about the social online references to entities; evaluate the third signals; and*

*compute, using the reverse index, statistics regarding social online references to the identified entity, wherein the reverse index of online references is further constructed based on the identified social online references and the evaluated third signals.*

*44. The system of claim 43, wherein the third signals are evaluated to identify relevance of the social online references to entities in the social network search results.*

*45. The system of claim 43, wherein the third signals comprise a rank, a uniform resource locator (URL), a title, or a description of the social online references.*

*46. The system of claim 43, wherein the social networks comprise a social structure of nodes that are tied by one or more specific types of interdependency.*

*47. The method of claim 1, wherein the querying, parsing the search engine results pages, identifying, parsing the organic search results, evaluating, constructing, and computing steps are each performed by a respective worker node of the computing device, wherein each respective worker node is assigned one or more of the querying, parsing the search engine results pages, identifying, parsing the organic search results, evaluating, constructing, and computing steps via a job queue maintained by a deep index engine of the computing device.*

*48. The method of claim 15, wherein the receiving, retrieving, parsing the search engine results pages, identifying, parsing the organic search results, constructing, and computing steps are each performed by a respective worker node of a deep index engine, wherein each respective worker node is assigned one or more of the receiving, retrieving, parsing the search engine results pages, identifying, parsing the organic search results, constructing, and computing steps via a job queue maintained by the deep index engine.*

*49. The system of claim 31, wherein the receiving, retrieving, parsing the search engine results pages, identifying, parsing the organic search results, constructing, and computing steps are each performed by a respective worker node of the system, wherein each respective worker node is assigned one or more of the receiving, retrieving, parsing the search engine results pages, identifying, parsing the organic search results, constructing, and computing steps via a job queue maintained by a deep index engine of the system.*

\* \* \* \* \*