



US00RE47218E

(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
Al-Ali

(10) **Patent Number: US RE47,218 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent: Feb. 5, 2019**

- (54) **ADAPTIVE ALARM SYSTEM**
- (71) Applicant: **MASIMO Corporation**, Irvine, CA (US)
- (72) Inventor: **Ammar Al-Ali**, San Juan Capistrano, CA (US)
- (73) Assignee: **Masimo Corporation**, Irvine, CA (US)
- (21) Appl. No.: **15/881,602**
- (22) Filed: **Jan. 26, 2018**

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

- (64) Patent No.: **9,775,570**
Issued: **Oct. 3, 2017**
Appl. No.: **15/583,718**
Filed: **May 1, 2017**

U.S. Applications:

- (63) Continuation of application No. 13/037,184, filed on Feb. 28, 2011, now Pat. No. 9,724,024.
- (60) Provisional application No. 61/328,630, filed on Apr. 27, 2010, provisional application No. 61/309,419, filed on Mar. 1, 2010.
- (51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/00 (2006.01)
A61B 5/1455 (2006.01)
- (52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC *A61B 5/746* (2013.01); *A61B 5/1455* (2013.01); *A61B 5/14552* (2013.01)
- (58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC ... *A61B 5/746*; *A61B 5/1455*; *A61B 5/14552*; *A61B 5/74*
USPC 600/300, 301, 310, 322, 323, 324, 473, 600/476, 500, 508; 356/41, 573.1
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- 4,960,128 A 10/1990 Gordon et al.
 - 4,964,408 A 10/1990 Hink et al.
- (Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

- WO WO 03/007815 1/2003
- WO WO 03/028549 4/2003
- WO WO 09/093159 7/2009

OTHER PUBLICATIONS


- US 9,579,050, 02/2017, Al-Ali (withdrawn)
- (Continued)

Primary Examiner — Beverly M Flanagan
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Systems and electronic processes for reducing electronic alarms in a medical patient monitoring system. For example, a system for reducing electronic alarms can include an optical sensor and one or more hardware processors in electronic communication with the optical sensor. The one or more hardware processors can be programmed to measure oxygen saturation values of a patient over a first period of time, determine if at least one oxygen saturation value obtained over the first period of time exceeds a first alarm threshold, determine whether a first alarm should be triggered based on the determination that the at least one oxygen saturation value obtained over the first period of time exceeds the first alarm threshold, determine a second alarm threshold to be applied during a second period of time subsequent to the first period of time, the second alarm threshold replacing the first alarm threshold.

17 Claims, 14 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2015/0380875	A1	12/2015	Coverston et al.
2016/0000362	A1	1/2016	Diab et al.
2016/0007930	A1	1/2016	Weber et al.
2016/0029932	A1	2/2016	Al-Ali
2016/0045118	A1	2/2016	Kiani
2016/0051205	A1	2/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0058338	A1	3/2016	Schurman et al.
2016/0058347	A1	3/2016	Reichgott et al.
2016/0066823	A1	3/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0066824	A1	3/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0066879	A1	3/2016	Telfort et al.
2016/0072429	A1	3/2016	Kiani et al.
2016/0081552	A1	3/2016	Wojtczuk et al.
2016/0095543	A1	4/2016	Telfort et al.
2016/0095548	A1	4/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0103598	A1	4/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0113527	A1	4/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0143548	A1	5/2016	Al-Ali
2016/0166182	A1	6/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0166183	A1	6/2016	Poeze et al.
2016/0166188	A1	6/2016	Bruinsma et al.
2016/0166210	A1	6/2016	Al-Ali
2016/0192869	A1	7/2016	Kiani et al.
2016/0196388	A1	7/2016	Lamego
2016/0197436	A1	7/2016	Barker et al.
2016/0213281	A1	7/2016	Eckerbom et al.
2016/0228043	A1	8/2016	O'Neil et al.
2016/0233632	A1	8/2016	Scruggs et al.
2016/0234944	A1	8/2016	Schmidt et al.
2016/0270735	A1	9/2016	Diab et al.
2016/0283665	A1	9/2016	Sampath et al.
2016/0287090	A1	10/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0287786	A1	10/2016	Kiani
2016/0296169	A1	10/2016	McHale et al.
2016/0310052	A1	10/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0314260	A1	10/2016	Kiani
2016/0324486	A1	11/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0324488	A1	11/2016	Olsen
2016/0327984	A1	11/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0328528	A1	11/2016	Al-Ali et al.
2016/0331332	A1	11/2016	Al-Ali
2016/0367173	A1	12/2016	Dalvi et al.
2017/0007134	A1	1/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0007190	A1	1/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0007198	A1	1/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0014083	A1	1/2017	Diab et al.
2017/0014084	A1	1/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0021099	A1	1/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0027456	A1	2/2017	Kinast et al.
2017/0042488	A1	2/2017	Muhsin
2017/0055847	A1	3/2017	Kiani et al.
2017/0055851	A1	3/2017	Al-Ali
2017/0055882	A1	3/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0055887	A1	3/2017	Al-Ali
2017/0055896	A1	3/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0079594	A1	3/2017	Telfort et al.
2017/0086723	A1	3/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0143281	A1	5/2017	Olsen
2017/0147774	A1	5/2017	Kiani
2017/0156620	A1	6/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0173632	A1	6/2017	Al-Ali
2017/0187146	A1	6/2017	Kiani et al.
2017/0188919	A1	7/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0196464	A1	7/2017	Jansen et al.
2017/0196470	A1	7/2017	Lamego et al.
2017/0202490	A1	7/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0224231	A1	8/2017	Al-Ali
2017/0224262	A1	8/2017	Al-Ali
2017/0228516	A1	8/2017	Sampath et al.
2017/0245790	A1	8/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0251974	A1	9/2017	Shreim et al.
2017/0251975	A1	9/2017	Shreim et al.
2017/0258403	A1	9/2017	Abdul-Hafiz et al.
2017/0311891	A1	11/2017	Kiani et al.
2017/0325728	A1	11/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0332976	A1	11/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0340293	A1	11/2017	Al-Ali et al.
2017/0360310	A1	12/2017	Kiani et al.
2017/0367632	A1	12/2017	Al-Ali et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

US 8,845,543, Diab et al. (withdrawn).

Coetzee, et al., "Noise-Resistant Pulse Oximetry Using a Synthetic Reference Signal," IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, IEEE Service Center, Piscataway, NJ, vol. 47, No. 8, dated Aug. 1, 2000.

Invitation to Pay Additional Fees document, including communication relating to the results of a partial Search Report issued in related application No. PCT/US2011/026545, dated Jun. 22, 2011, in 5 pages.

"Propaq Encore Vital Signs Monitor: Reference Guide," Welch Allyn, Inc., 2009 in 144 pages.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 5B




FIG. 5A




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9A




FIG. 9B




FIG. 10A

FIG. 10B




FIG. 10B




FIG. 11




FIG. 12A




FIG. 12B




FIG. 13C

FIG. 13B

FIG. 13A




FIG. 13E

FIG. 13D




FIG. 14

ADAPTIVE ALARM SYSTEM

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue; a claim printed with strikethrough indicates that the claim was canceled, disclaimed, or held invalid by a prior post-patent action or proceeding.

PRIORITY CLAIM TO RELATED PROVISIONAL APPLICATIONS

[The present application claims priority benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to] *This is an application for reissue of U.S. Pat. No. 9,775,570, issued on Oct. 3, 2017 and titled "Adaptive Alarm System," which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/037,184, filed Feb. 18, 2011 titled Adaptive Alarm System; which claims priority benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/309,419, filed Mar. 1, 2010 titled Adaptive Threshold Alarm System; and U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/328,630, filed Apr. 27, 2010 titled Adaptive Alarm System; all of the above-cited provisional patent applications are hereby incorporated by reference herein. More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of Pat. No. 9,775,570. The reissue applications are application Nos. 15/881,602 (the present application) and 16/184,908.*

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Pulse oximetry systems for measuring constituents of circulating blood have gained rapid acceptance in a wide variety of medical applications, including surgical wards, intensive care and neonatal units, general wards, home care, physical training, and virtually all types of monitoring scenarios. A pulse oximetry system generally includes an optical sensor applied to a patient, a monitor for processing sensor signals and displaying results and a patient cable electrically interconnecting the sensor and the monitor. A pulse oximetry sensor has light emitting diodes (LEDs), typically one emitting a red wavelength and one emitting an infrared (IR) wavelength, and a photodiode detector. The emitters and detector are typically attached to a finger, and the patient cable transmits drive signals to these emitters from the monitor. The emitters respond to the drive signals to transmit light into the fleshy fingertip tissue. The detector generates a signal responsive to the emitted light after attenuation by pulsatile blood flow within the fingertip. The patient cable transmits the detector signal to the monitor, which processes the signal to provide a numerical readout of physiological parameters such as oxygen saturation (SpO_2) and pulse rate.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Conventional pulse oximetry assumes that arterial blood is the only pulsatile blood flow in the measurement site. During patient motion, venous blood also moves, which causes errors in conventional pulse oximetry. Advanced pulse oximetry processes the venous blood signal so as to report true arterial oxygen saturation and pulse rate under conditions of patient movement. Advanced pulse oximetry also functions under conditions of low perfusion (small signal amplitude), intense ambient light (artificial or sun-

light) and electrosurgical instrument interference, which are scenarios where conventional pulse oximetry tends to fail.

Advanced pulse oximetry is described in at least U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,770,028; 6,658,276; 6,157,850; 6,002,952; 5,769,785 and 5,758,644, which are assigned to Masimo Corporation ("Masimo") of Irvine, Calif. and are incorporated by reference herein. Corresponding low noise optical sensors are disclosed in at least U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,985,764; 6,813,511; 6,792,300; 6,256,523; 6,088,607; 5,782,757 and 5,638,818, which are also assigned to Masimo and are also incorporated by reference herein. Advanced pulse oximetry systems including Masimo SET® low noise optical sensors and read through motion pulse oximetry monitors for measuring SpO_2 , pulse rate (PR) and perfusion index (PI) are available from Masimo. Optical sensors include any of Masimo LNOP®, LNCS®, SofTouch™ and Blue™ adhesive or reusable sensors. Pulse oximetry monitors include any of Masimo Rad-8®, Rad-5®, Rad®-5v or SatShare® monitors.

Advanced blood parameter measurement systems are described in at least U.S. Pat. No. 7,647,083, filed Mar. 1, 2006, titled Multiple Wavelength Sensor Equalization; U.S. Pat. No. 7,729,733, filed Mar. 1, 2006, titled Configurable Physiological Measurement System; U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2006/0211925, filed Mar. 1, 2006, titled Physiological Parameter Confidence Measure and U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2006/0238358, filed Mar. 1, 2006, titled Noninvasive Multi-Parameter Patient Monitor, all assigned to Masimo Laboratories, Irvine, Calif. (Masimo Labs) and all incorporated by reference herein. An advanced parameter measurement system that includes acoustic monitoring is described in U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2010/0274099, filed Dec. 21, 2009, titled Acoustic Sensor Assembly, assigned to Masimo and incorporated by reference herein.

Advanced blood parameter measurement systems include Masimo Rainbow® SET, which provides measurements in addition to SpO_2 , such as total hemoglobin ($SpHb$ ™) oxygen content ($SpOC$ ™), methemoglobin ($SpMet$ ®), carboxyhemoglobin ($SpCO$ ®) and PVI®. Advanced blood parameter sensors include Masimo Rainbow® adhesive, ReSposable™ and reusable sensors. Advanced blood parameter monitors include Masimo Radical-7™, Rad-87™ and Rad-57™ monitors, all available from Masimo. Advanced parameter measurement systems may also include acoustic monitoring such as acoustic respiration rate (RRa ™) using a Rainbow Acoustic Sensor™ and Rad-87™ monitor, available from Masimo. Such advanced pulse oximeters, low noise sensors and advanced physiological parameter measurement systems have also gained rapid acceptance in a wide variety of medical applications, including surgical wards, intensive care and neonatal units, general wards, home care, physical training, and virtually all types of monitoring scenarios.

FIGS. 1-3 illustrate problems and issues associated with physiological parameter measurement systems having fixed threshold alarm schemas. FIG. 1 illustrates a lower-limit, fixed-threshold alarm schema with respect to an oxygen saturation (SpO_2) parameter. Two alarm thresholds, D_L (delay) and ND_L (no delay), are defined. If oxygen saturation falls below D_L for a time delay greater than TD, an alarm is triggered. If oxygen saturation falls below ND_L an alarm is immediately triggered. D_L 120 is typically set around or somewhat above 90% oxygen saturation and ND_L 130 is typically set at 5% to 10% below D_L . For example, say a person's oxygen saturation 110 drops below D_L 120 at $t=t_1$ 162 and stays below D_L for at least a time delay TD 163. This triggers a delayed alarm 140 at $t=t_2$ 164, where $t_2=t_1+$

TD. The alarm **140** remains active until oxygen saturation **110** rises above D_L **120** at $t=t_3$ **166**. As another example, say that oxygen saturation **110** then drops below ND_L **130**, which triggers an immediate alarm **150** at $t=t_4$ **168**. The alarm **150** remains active until oxygen saturation **110** rises above D_L **120** at $t=t_5$ **169**.

FIG. 2 illustrates an upper-limit, fixed-threshold alarm schema with respect to an oxygen saturation (SpO_2) parameter. This alarm scenario is particularly applicable to the avoidance of ROP (retinopathy of prematurity). Again, two alarm thresholds, D_U (delay) and ND_U (no delay), are defined. D_U **220** might be set at or around 85% oxygen saturation and ND_U **230** might be set at or around 90% oxygen saturation. For example, a neonate's oxygen saturation **210** rises above D_U **220** at $t=t_1$ **262** and stays above D_U for at least a time delay TD **263**. This triggers a delayed alarm **240** at $t=t_2$ **264**, where $t_2=t_1+TD$. The alarm **240** remains active until oxygen saturation **210** falls below D_U **220** at $t=t_3$ **166**. Oxygen saturation **210** then rises above ND_U **230**, which triggers an immediate alarm **250** at $t=t_4$ **268**. The alarm **250** remains active until oxygen saturation **210** falls below D_U **220** at $t=t_5$ **269**.

FIG. 3 illustrates a baseline drift problem with the fixed threshold alarm schema described above. A person's oxygen saturation is plotted on an oxygen saturation (SpO_2) versus time graph **300**. In particular, during a first time interval T_1 **362**, a person has an oxygen saturation **310** with a relatively stable "baseline" **312** punctuated by a shallow, transient desaturation event **314**. This scenario may occur after the person has been on oxygen so that baseline oxygen saturation is near 100%. Accordingly, with a fixed threshold alarm **330** set at, say, 90%, the transient event **314** does not trigger a nuisance alarm. However, the effects of oxygen treatments wear off over time and oxygen saturation levels drift downward **350**. In particular, during a second time interval T_2 **364**, a person has an oxygen saturation **320** with a relatively stable baseline **322**. The later baseline **322** is established at a substantially lower oxygen saturation than the earlier baseline **312**. In this scenario, a shallow, transient desaturation event **324** now exceeds the alarm threshold **330** and results in a nuisance alarm. After many such nuisance alarms, a caregiver may lower the alarm threshold **330** to unsafe levels or turn off alarms altogether, significantly hampering the effectiveness of monitoring oxygen saturation.

A fixed threshold alarm schema is described above with respect to an oxygen saturation parameter, such as derived from a pulse oximeter. However, problematic fixed threshold alarm behavior may be exhibited in a variety of parameter measurement systems that calculate physiological parameters related to circulatory, respiratory, neurological, gastrointestinal, urinary, immune, musculoskeletal, endocrine or reproductive systems, such as the circulatory and respiratory parameters cited above, as but a few examples.

An adaptive alarm system, as described in detail below, advantageously provides an adaptive threshold alarm to solve false alarm and missed true alarm problems associated with baseline drift among other issues. For example, for a lower limit embodiment, an adaptive alarm system adjusts an alarm threshold downwards when a parameter baseline is established at lower values. Likewise, for an upper limit embodiment, the adaptive alarm system adjusts an alarm threshold upwards in accordance with baseline drift so as to avoid nuisance alarms. In an embodiment, the rate of baseline movement is limited so as to avoid masking of transients. In an embodiment, the baseline is established

along upper or lower portions of a parameter envelop so as to provide a margin of safety in lower limit or upper limit systems, respectively.

One aspect of an adaptive alarm system is responsive to a physiological parameter so as to generate an alarm threshold that adapts to baseline drift in the parameter and reduce false alarms without a corresponding increase in missed true alarms. The adaptive alarm system has a parameter derived from a physiological measurement system using a sensor in communication with a living being. A baseline processor calculates a parameter baseline from an average value of the parameter. Parameter limits specify an allowable range of the parameter. An adaptive threshold processor calculates an adaptive threshold from the parameter baseline and the parameter limits. An alarm generator is responsive to the parameter and the adaptive threshold so as to trigger an alarm indicative of the parameter crossing the adaptive threshold. The adaptive threshold is responsive to the parameter baseline so as to increase in value as the parameter baseline drifts to a higher parameter value and to decrease in value as the parameter baseline drifts to a lower parameter value.

In various embodiments, the baseline processor has a sliding window that identifies a time slice of parameter values. A trend calculator determines a trend from an average of the parameter values in the time slice. A response limiter tracks only the relatively long-term transitions of the trend. A bias calculator deletes the highest parameter values in the time slice or the lowest parameter values in the time slice so as to adjust the baseline to either a lower value or a higher value, respectively. The adaptive threshold becomes less responsive to baseline drift as the baseline approaches a predefined parameter limit. A first adaptive threshold is responsive to lower parameter limits and a second adaptive threshold is responsive to upper parameter limits. The alarm generator is responsive to both positive and negative transients from the baseline according to the first adaptive threshold and the second adaptive threshold. The first adaptive threshold is increasingly responsive to negative transients and the second adaptive threshold is decreasingly responsive to positive transients as the baseline trends toward lower parameter values.

Another aspect of an adaptive alarm system measures a physiological parameter, establishes a baseline for the parameter, adjusts an alarm threshold according to drift of the baseline and triggers an alarm in response to the parameter measurement crossing the alarm threshold. In various embodiments, the baseline is established by biasing a segment of the parameter, calculating a biased trend from the biased segment and restricting the transient response of the biased trend. The alarm threshold is adjusted by setting a parameter limit and calculating a delta difference between the alarm threshold and the baseline as a linear function of the baseline according to the parameter limit. The delta difference is calculated by decreasing delta as the baseline drifts toward the parameter limit and increasing delta as the baseline drifts away from the parameter limit. A parameter limit is set by selecting a first parameter limit in relation to a delayed alarm and selecting a second parameter limit in relation to an un-delayed alarm. A segment of the parameter is biased by windowing the parameter measurements, removing a lower value portion of the windowed parameter measurements and averaging a remaining portion of the windowed parameter measurements. An upper delta difference between an upper alarm threshold and the baseline is calculated and a lower delta difference between a lower alarm threshold and the baseline is calculated.

A further aspect of an adaptive alarm system has a baseline processor that inputs a parameter and outputs a baseline according to a trend of the parameter. An adaptive threshold processor establishes an alarm threshold at a delta difference from the baseline. An alarm generator triggers an alarm based upon a parameter transient from the baseline crossing the alarm threshold. In various embodiments, a trend calculator outputs a biased trend and the baseline is responsive to the biased trend so as to reduce the size of a transient that triggers the alarm. A response limiter reduces baseline movement due to parameter transients. The adaptive threshold processor establishes a lower alarm threshold below the baseline and an upper alarm threshold above the baseline so that the alarm generator is responsive to both positive and negative transients from the baseline. The baseline processor establishes a lower baseline biased above the parameter trend and an upper baseline biased below the parameter trend. The lower alarm threshold is increasingly responsive to negative transients and the upper alarm threshold is decreasingly responsive to positive transients as the baseline trends toward lower parameter values.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGS. 1-3 are exemplar graphs illustrating problems and issues associated with physiological parameter measurement systems having fixed threshold alarm schemas;

FIGS. 4A-B are general block diagrams of an adaptive alarm system having lower parameter limits;

FIGS. 5A-B are a graph of a physiological parameter versus delta space and a graph of delta versus baseline, respectively, illustrating the relationship between a baseline, a lower-limit adaptive threshold and a variable difference delta between the baseline and the adaptive threshold;

FIG. 6 is an exemplar graph of a physiological parameter versus time illustrating an adaptive alarm system having a lower-limit adaptive threshold;

FIG. 7 is a graph of oxygen saturation versus time illustrating a baseline for determining an adaptive threshold;

FIG. 8 is a graph of oxygen saturation versus time comparing adaptive-threshold alarm performance with fixed-threshold alarm performance;

FIGS. 9A-B are general block diagrams of an adaptive alarm system having upper parameter limits;

FIGS. 10A-B are a graph of a physiological parameter versus delta space and a graph of delta versus baseline, respectively, illustrating the relationship between a baseline, an upper-limit adaptive threshold and a variable delta difference between the baseline and the adaptive threshold;

FIG. 11 is an exemplar graph of a physiological parameter versus time illustrating an adaptive alarm system having an upper-limit adaptive threshold;

FIGS. 12A-B are general block diagrams of an adaptive alarm system having both lower alarm limits and upper alarm limits;

FIGS. 13A-E are physiological parameter versus delta space graphs illustrating a lower-limit adaptive threshold, an upper-limit adaptive threshold, and a combined lower- and upper-limit adaptive threshold in various delta spaces; and

FIG. 14 is an exemplar graph of a physiological parameter versus time illustrating an adaptive alarm system having both lower and upper alarm limits.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

FIGS. 4A-B illustrate an adaptive alarm system 400 embodiment having lower parameter limits L_1 and L_2 . As

shown in FIG. 4A, the adaptive alarm system 400 has parameter 401, first limit (L_1) 403, second limit (L_2) 405 and maximum parameter value (Max) 406 inputs and generates a corresponding alarm 412 output. The parameter 401 input is generated by a physiological parameter processor, such as a pulse oximeter or an advanced blood parameter processor described above, as examples. The adaptive alarm system 400 has an alarm generator 410, a baseline processor 420, and an adaptive threshold processor 440. The alarm generator 410 has parameter 401 and adaptive threshold (AT) 442 inputs and generates the alarm 412 output accordingly. A baseline processor 420 has the parameter 401 input and generates a parameter baseline (B) 422 output. The baseline processor 420, is described in detail with respect to FIG. 4B, below. An adaptive threshold processor 440 has parameter baseline (B) 422, L_1 403, L_2 405 and Max 406 inputs and generates the adaptive threshold (AT) 442. The adaptive threshold processor 440 is described in detail with respect to FIGS. 5A-B, below.

As shown in FIG. 4A, in an embodiment L_1 403 and L_2 405 may correspond to conventional fixed alarm thresholds with and without an alarm time delay, respectively. For an adaptive threshold schema, however, L_1 403 and L_2 405 do not determine an alarm threshold per se, but are reference levels for determining an adaptive threshold (AT) 442. In an embodiment, L_1 403 is an upper limit of the adaptive alarm threshold AT when the baseline is near the maximum parameter value (Max), and L_2 405 is a lower limit of the adaptive alarm threshold, as described in detail with respect to FIGS. 5A-B, below. In an exemplar embodiment when the parameter is oxygen saturation, L_1 403 is set at or around 90% and L_2 405 is set at 5 to 10% below L_1 , i.e. at 85% to 80% oxygen saturation. Many other L_1 and L_2 values may be used for an adaptive threshold schema as described herein.

Also shown in FIG. 4A, in an embodiment the alarm 412 output is triggered when the parameter 401 input falls below AT 442 and ends when the parameter 401 input rises above AT 442 or is otherwise cancelled. In an embodiment, the alarm 412 output is triggered after a time delay (TD), which may be fixed or variable. In an embodiment, the time delay (TD) is a function of the adaptive threshold (AT) 442. In an embodiment, the time delay (TD) is zero when the adaptive threshold (AT) is at the second lower limit (L_2) 405.

As shown in FIG. 4B, a baseline processor 420 embodiment has a sliding window 450, a bias calculator 460, a trend calculator 470 and a response limiter 480. The sliding window 450 inputs the parameter 401 and outputs a time segment 452 of the parameter 401. In an embodiment, each window incorporates a five minute span of parameter values. The bias calculator 460 advantageously provides an upward shift in the baseline (B) 422 for an additional margin of error over missed true alarms. That is, a baseline 422 is generated that tracks a higher-than-average range of parameter values, effectively raising the adaptive threshold AT slightly above a threshold calculated based upon a true parameter average, as shown and described in detail with respect to FIGS. 7-8, below. In an embodiment, the bias calculator 460 rejects a lower range of parameter values from each time segment 452 from the sliding window so as to generate a biased time segment 462.

Also shown in FIG. 4B, the trend calculator 470 outputs a biased trend 472 of the remaining higher range of parameter values in each biased segment 462. In an embodiment, the biased trend 462 is an average of the values in the biased time segment 462. In other embodiments, the biased trend 462 is a median or mode of the values in the biased time segment 462. The response limiter 480 advantageously

limits the extent to which the baseline **422** output tracks the biased trend **472**. Accordingly, the baseline **422** tracks only relatively longer-lived transitions of the parameter, but does not track (and hence mask) physiologically significant parameter events, such as oxygen desaturations for a SpO₂ parameter to name but one example. In an embodiment, the response limiter **480** has a low pass transfer function. In an embodiment, the response limiter **480** is a slew rate limiter.

FIGS. **5A-B** further illustrate an adaptive threshold processor **440** (FIG. **4A**) having a baseline (B) **422** input and generating an adaptive threshold (AT) **442** output and a delta (Δ) **444** ancillary output according to parameter limits L_1 **403**, L_2 **405** and Max **406**, as described above. As shown in FIG. **5A**, as the baseline (B) **422** decreases (increases) the adaptive threshold (AT) **444** monotonically decreases (increases) between L_1 **403** and L_2 **405**. Further, as the baseline (B) **422** decreases (increases) the delta (Δ) **444** difference between the baseline (B) **422** and the adaptive threshold (AT) **442** monotonically decreases (increases) between Max- L_1 and zero.

As shown in FIG. **5B**, the relationship between the delta (Δ) **444** and the baseline (B) **444** may be linear **550** (solid line), non-linear **560** (small-dash lines) or piecewise-linear (large-dash lines), to name a few. In an embodiment, the adaptive threshold processor **440** (FIG. **4A**) calculates an adaptive threshold (AT) **442** output in response to the baseline (B) **422** input according to a linear relationship. In a linear embodiment, the adaptive threshold processor **440** (FIG. **4A**) calculates the adaptive threshold (AT) **442** according to EQS. 1-2:

$$\Delta = -\left(\frac{\text{Max} - L_1}{\text{Max} - L_2}\right)(\text{Max} - B) + (\text{Max} - L_1) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{AT} = B - \Delta \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta = \text{Max} - L_1$ @ $B = \text{Max}$; $\Delta = 0$ @ $B = L_2$ and where $\text{AT} = L_1$ @ $B = \text{Max}$; $\text{AT} = L_2$ @ $B = L_2$, accordingly.

FIG. **6** illustrates the operational characteristics an adaptive alarm system **400** (FIG. **4A**) having parameter limits Max **612**, L_1 **614** and L_2 **616** and an alarm responsive to a baseline (B) **622**, **632**, **642**; an adaptive threshold (AT) **628**, **638**, **648**; and a corresponding Δ **626**, **636**, **646** according to EQS. 1-2, above. In particular, a physiological parameter **610** is graphed versus time **690** for various time segments t_1 , t_2 , t_3 **692-696**. The parameter range (PR) **650** is:

$$\text{PR} = \text{Max} - L_2 \quad (3)$$

and the adaptive threshold range (ATR) **660** is:

$$\text{ATR} = L_1 - L_2 \quad (4)$$

As shown in FIG. **6**, during a first time period t_1 **692**, a parameter segment **620** has a baseline (B) **622** at about Max **612**. As such, Δ **626** = Max- L_1 and the adaptive threshold (AT) **628** is at about L_1 **614**. Accordingly, a transient **624** having a size less than Δ **626** does not trigger the alarm **412** (FIG. **4A**).

Also shown in FIG. **6**, during a second time period t_2 **694**, a parameter segment **630** has a baseline (B) **632** at about L_1 **614**. As such, Δ **636** is less than Max- L_1 and the adaptive threshold (AT) **638** is between L_1 and L_2 . Accordingly, a smaller transient **634** will trigger the alarm as compared to a transient **624** in the first time segment.

Further shown in FIG. **6**, during a third time period t_3 **696**, a parameter segment **640** has a baseline (B) **642** at about L_2 **616**. As such, Δ **646** is about zero and the adaptive threshold

(AT) **648** is at about L_2 . Accordingly, even a small negative transient will trigger the alarm. As such, the behavior of the alarm threshold AT **628**, **638**, **648** advantageously adapts to higher or lower baseline values so as to increase or decrease the size of negative transients that trigger or do not trigger the alarm **412** (FIG. **4A**).

FIG. **7** is a parameter versus time graph **700** illustrating the characteristics of an adaptive alarm system **400** (FIGS. **4A-B**), as described with respect to FIGS. **4-6**, above, where the parameter is oxygen saturation (SpO₂). The graph **700** has a SpO₂ trace **710** and a superimposed baseline trace **720**. The graph **700** also delineates tracking periods **730**, where the baseline **720** follows the upper portions of SpO₂ values, and lagging periods **740**, where the baseline **720** does not follow transient SpO₂ events. The tracking time periods **730** illustrate that the baseline **720** advantageously tracks at the higher range of SpO₂ values **710** during relatively stable (flat) periods, as described above. Lagging time periods **740** illustrate that the baseline **720** is advantageously limited in response to transient desaturation events so that significant desaturations fall below an adaptive threshold (not shown) and trigger an alarm accordingly.

FIG. **8** is a parameter versus time graph **800** illustrating characteristics of an adaptive alarm system **400** (FIGS. **4A-B**), as described with respect to FIGS. **4-6**, above, where the parameter is oxygen saturation (SpO₂). Vertical axis (SpO₂) resolution is 1%. The time interval **801** between vertical hash marks is five minutes. The graph **800** has a SpO₂ trace **810** and a baseline trace **820**. The graph **800** also has a fixed threshold trace **830**, a first adaptive threshold (AT) trace **840** and a second AT trace **850**. The graph **800** further has a fixed threshold alarm trace **860**, a first adaptive threshold alarm trace **870** and a second adaptive threshold alarm trace **880**. In this example, L_1 is 90% and L_2 is 85% for the first AT trace **840** and first AT alarm trace **870**. L_2 is 80% for a second AT trace **850** and a second AT alarm trace **880**. The fixed threshold **830** results in many nuisance alarms **860**. By comparison, the adaptive threshold alarm with $L_2 = 85\%$ has just one time interval of alarms **872** during a roughly 6% desaturation period (from 92% to 86%). The adaptive threshold alarm with $L_2 = 80\%$, has no alarms during the 1 hour 25 minute monitoring period.

FIGS. **9A-B** illustrate an adaptive alarm system **900** embodiment having upper parameter limits U_1 and U_2 . As shown in FIG. **9A**, the adaptive alarm system **900** has parameter **901**, first limit (U_1) **903**, second limit (U_2) **905** and minimum parameter value (Min) **906** inputs and generates a corresponding alarm **912** output. The parameter **901** input is generated by a physiological parameter processor, such as a pulse oximeter or an advanced blood parameter processor described above, as examples. The adaptive alarm system **900** has an alarm generator **910**, a baseline processor **920**, and an adaptive threshold processor **940**. The alarm generator **910** has parameter **901** and adaptive threshold (AT) **942** inputs and generates the alarm **912** output accordingly. A baseline processor **920** has the parameter **901** input and generates a parameter baseline (B) **922** output. The baseline processor **920**, is described in detail with respect to FIG. **9B**, below. An adaptive threshold processor **940** has parameter baseline (B) **922**, U_1 **903**, U_2 **905** and Min **906** inputs and generates the adaptive threshold (AT) **942**. The adaptive threshold processor **940** is described in detail with respect to FIGS. **10A-B**, below.

As shown in FIG. **9A**, in an embodiment U_1 **903** and U_2 **905** may correspond to conventional fixed alarm thresholds with and without an alarm time delay, respectively. For an adaptive threshold schema, however, U_1 **903** and U_2 **905** do

not determine an alarm threshold per se, but are reference levels for determining an adaptive threshold (AT) 942. In an embodiment, U_1 903 is a lower limit of the adaptive alarm threshold AT when the baseline is near the minimum parameter value (Min), and U_2 905 is an upper limit of the adaptive alarm threshold, as described in detail with respect to FIGS. 10A-B, below. In an exemplar embodiment when the parameter is oxygen saturation, U_1 903 is set at or around 85% and U_2 905 is set at or around 90% oxygen saturation. Many other U_1 and U_2 values may be used for an adaptive threshold schema as described herein.

Also shown in FIG. 9A, in an embodiment the alarm 912 output is triggered when the parameter 901 input rises above AT 942 and ends when the parameter 901 input falls below AT 942 or is otherwise cancelled. In an embodiment, the alarm 912 output is triggered after a time delay (TD), which may be fixed or variable. In an embodiment, the time delay (TD) is a function of the adaptive threshold (AT) 942. In an embodiment, the time delay (TD) is zero when the adaptive threshold (AT) is at the second upper limit (U_2) 905.

As shown in FIG. 9B, a baseline processor 920 embodiment has a sliding window 950, a bias calculator 960, a trend calculator 970 and a response limiter 980. The sliding window 950 inputs the parameter 901 and outputs a time segment 952 of the parameter 901. In an embodiment, each window incorporates a five minute span of parameter values. The bias calculator 960 advantageously provides a downward shift in the baseline (B) 922 for an additional margin of error over missed true alarms. That is, a baseline 922 is generated that tracks a lower-than-average range of parameter values, effectively lowering the adaptive threshold AT slightly below a threshold calculated based upon a true parameter average. In an embodiment, the bias calculator 960 rejects an upper range of parameter values from each time segment 952 from the sliding window so as to generate a biased time segment 962.

Also shown in FIG. 9B, the trend calculator 970 outputs a biased trend 972 of the remaining lower range of parameter values in each biased segment 962. In an embodiment, the biased trend 962 is an average of the values in the biased time segment 962. In other embodiments, the biased trend 962 is a median or mode of the values in the biased time segment 962. The response limiter 980 advantageously limits the extent to which the baseline 922 output tracks the biased trend 972. Accordingly, the baseline 922 tracks only relatively longer-lived transitions of the parameter, but does not track (and hence mask) physiologically significant parameter events, such as oxygen desaturations for a SpO_2 parameter to name but one example. In an embodiment, the response limiter 980 has a low pass transfer function. In an embodiment, the response limiter 980 is a slew rate limiter.

FIGS. 10A-B further illustrate an adaptive threshold processor 940 (FIG. 9A) having a baseline (B) 922 input and generating an adaptive threshold (AT) 942 output and a delta (Δ) 944 ancillary output according to parameter limits U_1 903, U_2 905 and Min 906, as described above. As shown in FIG. 10A, as the baseline (B) 922 decreases (increases) the adaptive threshold (AT) 944 monotonically decreases (increases) between U_1 903 and U_2 905. Further, as the baseline (B) 922 decreases (increases) the delta (Δ) 944 difference between the baseline (B) 922 and the adaptive threshold (AT) 942 monotonically decreases (increases) between $Min-U_1$ and zero.

As shown in FIG. 10B, the relationship between the delta (Δ) 944 and the baseline (B) 944 may be linear 550 (solid line), non-linear 560 (small-dash lines) or piecewise-linear (large-dash lines), to name a few. In an embodiment, the

adaptive threshold processor 940 (FIG. 9A) calculates an adaptive threshold (AT) 942 output in response to the baseline (B) 922 input according to a linear relationship. In a linear embodiment, the adaptive threshold processor 940 (FIG. 9A) calculates the adaptive threshold (AT) 942 according to EQS. 5-6:

$$\Delta = -\left(\frac{U_1 - \text{Min}}{U_2 - \text{Min}}\right)(B - \text{Min}) + (U_1 - \text{Min}) \quad (5)$$

$$AT = B + \Delta \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta = U_1 - \text{Min}$ @ $B = \text{Min}$; $\Delta = 0$ @ $B = U_2$ and where $AT = U_1$ @ $B = \text{Min}$; $AT = U_2$ @ $B = U_2$, accordingly.

FIG. 11 illustrates the operational characteristics an adaptive alarm system 900 (FIG. 9A) having parameter limits Min 1112, U_1 1114 and U_2 1116 and an alarm responsive to a baseline (B) 1122, 1132, 1142; an adaptive threshold (AT) 1128, 1138, 1148; and a corresponding Δ 1126, 1136, 1146 according to EQS. 5-6, above. In particular, a physiological parameter 1110 is graphed versus time 1190 for various time segments t_1 , t_2 , t_3 1192-1196. The parameter range (PR) 1150 is:

$$PR = U_2 - \text{Min} \quad (7)$$

and the adaptive threshold range (ATR) 1160 is:

$$ATR = U_2 - U_1 \quad (8)$$

As shown in FIG. 11, during a first time period t_1 1192, a parameter segment 1120 has a baseline (B) 1122 at about Min 1112. As such, Δ 1126 = $U_1 - \text{Min}$ and the adaptive threshold (AT) 1128 is at about U_1 1114. Accordingly, a transient 1124 having a size less than Δ 1126 does not trigger the alarm 912 (FIG. 9A).

Also shown in FIG. 11, during a second time period t_2 1194, a parameter segment 1130 has a baseline (B) 1132 at about U_1 1114. As such, Δ 1136 is less than $U_1 - \text{Min}$ and the adaptive threshold (AT) 1138 is between U_1 and U_2 . Accordingly, a smaller transient 1134 will trigger the alarm as compared to a transient 1124 in the first time segment.

Further shown in FIG. 11, during a third time period t_3 1196, a parameter segment 1140 has a baseline (B) 1142 at about U_2 1116. As such, Δ 1146 is about zero and the adaptive threshold (AT) 1148 is at about U_2 . Accordingly, even a small positive transient will trigger the alarm. As such, the behavior of the alarm threshold AT 1128, 1138, 1148 advantageously adapts to higher or lower baseline values so as to increase or decrease the size of positive transients that trigger or do not trigger the alarm 912 (FIG. 9A).

FIGS. 12A-B illustrate an adaptive alarm system 1200 embodiment having lower limits L_1 , L_2 1203, such as described with respect to FIGS. 4A-B above, or upper limits U_1 , U_2 1205 such as described with respect to FIGS. 9A-B above, or both. As shown in FIG. 12A, the adaptive alarm system 1200 has parameter 1201, lower limit 1203 and upper limit 1205 inputs and generates a corresponding alarm 1212 output. The parameter 1201 input is generated by a physiological parameter processor, such as a pulse oximeter or an advanced blood parameter processor described above, as examples. The adaptive alarm system 1200 has an alarm generator 1210, a baseline processor 1220 and an adaptive threshold processor 1240. The alarm generator 1210 has parameter 1201 and adaptive threshold (AT) 1242 inputs and generates the alarm 1212 output accordingly. A baseline processor 1220 has the parameter 1201 input and generates

one or more parameter baseline **1222** outputs. The baseline processor **1220**, is described in detail with respect to FIG. **12B**, below. An adaptive threshold processor **1240** has parameter baseline **1222**, lower limit L_1, L_2 **1203** and upper limit U_1, U_2 **1205** inputs and generates lower and upper adaptive threshold AT_l, AT_u **1242** outputs. The adaptive threshold processor **1240** also generates ancillary upper and lower delta **1244** outputs. The adaptive threshold processor **1240** is described in detail with respect to FIGS. **13A-E**, below.

As shown in FIG. **12A**, in an embodiment L_1, L_2 **1203** and U_1, U_2 **1205** may correspond to conventional fixed alarm thresholds with an alarm delay (L_1, U_1) and without an alarm delay (L_2, U_2). For an adaptive threshold schema, however, these limits **1203, 1205** do not determine an alarm threshold per se, but are reference levels for determining lower and upper adaptive thresholds AT_l, AT_u **1242**.

Also shown in FIG. **12A**, in an embodiment the alarm **1212** output is triggered when the parameter **1201** input falls below AT_l **1242** and ends when the parameter **1201** input rises above AT_l **1242** or the alarm is otherwise cancelled. Further, the alarm **1212** output is triggered when the parameter **1201** input rises above AT_u **1242** and ends when the parameter **1201** input falls below AT_u **1242** or the alarm is otherwise cancelled. In an embodiment, the alarm **1212** output is triggered after a time delay (TD), which may be fixed or variable. In an embodiment, the time delay (TD) is a function of the adaptive thresholds (AT_l, AT_u) **1242**. In an embodiment, the time delay (TD) is zero when the lower adaptive threshold (AT_l) **1242** is at the second lower limit (L_2) **1203** or when the upper adaptive alarm threshold AT_u **1242** is at the second upper limit (U_2) **1205**.

As shown in FIG. **12B**, a baseline processor **1220** embodiment has a sliding window **1250**, an over-bias calculator **1260**, an under-bias calculator **1265**, trend calculators **1270** and response limiters **1280**. The sliding window **1250** inputs the parameter **1201** and outputs a time segment **1252** of the parameter **1201**. In an embodiment, each window incorporates a five minute span of parameter **1201** values.

Also shown in FIG. **12B**, the over-bias calculator **1260** advantageously provides an upward shift in the lower baseline (B_l) **1282** for an additional margin of error over missed lower true alarms. That is, a lower baseline (B_l) **1282** is generated that tracks a higher-than-average range of parameter values, effectively raising the lower adaptive threshold AT_l slightly above a threshold calculated based upon a true parameter average. In an embodiment, the over-bias calculator **1260** rejects a lower range of parameter values from each time segment **1252** of the sliding window **1250** so as to generate an over-biased time segment **1262**.

Further shown in FIG. **12B**, the under-bias calculator **1265** advantageously provides a downward shift in the upper baseline (B_u) **1287** for an additional margin of error over missed upper true alarms. That is, an upper baseline (B_u) **1287** is generated that tracks a lower-than-average range of parameter values, effectively lowering the upper adaptive threshold AT_u slightly below a threshold calculated based upon a true parameter average. In an embodiment, the under-bias calculator **1265** rejects an upper range of parameter values from each time segment **1252** of the sliding window **1250** so as to generate an under-biased time segment **1267**.

Additionally shown in FIG. **12B**, the trend calculator **1270** outputs an over-biased trend **1272** of the remaining higher range of parameter values in each over-biased segment **1262**. Further, the trend calculator **1270** outputs an under-biased trend **1277** of the remaining lower range of

parameter values in each under-biased segment **1267**. In an embodiment, the biased trends **1272, 1277** are each an average of the values in the corresponding biased time segments **1262, 1267**. In other embodiments, the biased trends **1272, 1277** are each a median or mode of the values in the corresponding biased time segments **1262, 1267**. The response limiter **1280** advantageously limits the extent to which the baseline **1222** outputs track the biased trends **1272, 1277**. Accordingly, the baseline **1222** outputs track only relatively longer-lived transitions of the parameter **1201**, but do not track (and hence mask) physiologically significant parameter events. In an embodiment, the response limiter **1280** has a low pass transfer function. In an embodiment, the response limiter **1280** is a slew rate limiter.

FIGS. **13A-E** illustrate parameter (P) operating ranges and ideal ranges in view of both lower and upper parameter limits. As shown in FIG. **13A**, as the baseline (B_l) **1317** decreases (increases) the adaptive threshold (AT_l) **1318** monotonically decreases (increases) between L_1 and L_2 . Further, as the baseline (B_l) **1317** decreases (increases) the delta (Δ_l) **1319** difference between the baseline (B_l) **1317** and the adaptive threshold (AT_l) **1318** monotonically decreases (increases) between $Max-L_1$ and 0.

As shown in FIG. **13B**, as the baseline (B_u) **1327** increases (decreases) the adaptive threshold (AT_u) **1328** monotonically increases (decreases) between U_1 and U_2 . Further, as the baseline (B_u) **1327** increases (decreases) the delta (Δ_u) **1329** difference between the adaptive threshold (AT_u) **1328** and the baseline (B_u) **1327** monotonically decreases (increases) between $Min-U_1$ and 0.

As shown in FIG. **13C**, combining FIGS. **13A-B**, the parameter (P) operating range is bounded by the overlapping regions of **13A** and **13B** **1330** having an upper bound of U_2 and a lower bound of L_2 . In particular, L_1, L_2 are the upper and lower limits of the lower adaptive alarm threshold AT_l ; and U_2, U_1 are the upper and lower limits of the upper adaptive alarm threshold AT_u .

FIG. **13D** illustrates parameter (P) versus the overlapping independent delta domains F_u, F_l for upper and lower baselines B_u, B_l ; adaptive thresholds AT_u, AT_l and deltas Δ_u, Δ_l , based upon FIGS. **13A-C**. FIG. **13E** illustrates parameter (P) versus the overlapping independent delta domains F_u, F_l (reversed); for upper and lower baselines B_u, B_l ; adaptive thresholds AT_u, AT_l and deltas Δ_u, Δ_l .

As shown in FIG. **13E**, the equations for bi-lateral adaptive thresholds are:

$$\Delta_u = -\left(\frac{U_1 - L_2}{U_2 - L_2}\right)(B - L_2) + (U_1 - L_2) \quad (9)$$

$$AT_u = B + \Delta_u \quad (10)$$

where $\Delta_u = U_1 - L_2$ @ $B = L_2$; and $\Delta_u = 0$ @ $B = U_2$; and where $AT_u = U_1$ @ $B = L_2$; and $AT_u = U_2$ @ $B = U_2$. Further:

$$\Delta_l = \left(\frac{U_2 - L_1}{U_2 - L_2}\right)(B - L_2) \quad (11)$$

$$AT_l = B - \Delta_l \quad (12)$$

where $\Delta_l = U_2 - L_1$ @ $B = U_2$; and $\Delta_l = 0$ @ $B = L_2$; and where $AT_l = L_1$ @ $B = U_2$; $AT_l = L_2$ @ $B = L_2$.

Although shown as a linear relationship, in general:

$$\Delta_l=f_l(B); \Delta_u=f_u(B)$$

That is, Δ_l and Δ_u can each be a linear function of B, a non-linear function of B or a piecewise linear function of B, to name a few, in a manner similar to that described with respect to FIGS. 5B and 10B, above.

FIGS. 14A-B illustrate the operational characteristics an adaptive alarm system 1200 (FIGS. 12A-B) having upper limits U_1, U_2 1412, 1414 and lower limits L_1, L_2 1422, 1424. An alarm 1212 (FIG. 12A) output is responsive to a baseline (B) 1432, 1442, 1452, 1462; an upper delta (Δ_u) 1437, 1447, 1457, 1467; and a corresponding upper adaptive threshold (AT_u) 1439, 1449, 1459, 1469, according to EQS. 9-10, above. Further, the alarm 1212 (FIG. 12A) output is responsive to a lower delta (Δ_l) 1436, 1446, 1456, 1466 and a corresponding lower adaptive threshold (AT_l) 1438, 1448, 1458, 1468, according to EQS. 11-12, above.

As shown in FIGS. 14A-B, a physiological parameter 1410 is graphed versus time 1490 for various time segments t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 1492-1498. The parameter range (PR) 1480 is:

$$PR=U_2-L_2 \quad (13)$$

the lower adaptive threshold AT_l range is:

$$ATR_l=L_1-L_2 \quad (14)$$

the upper adaptive threshold AT_u range is:

$$ATR_u=U_2-U_1 \quad (15)$$

As shown in FIG. 14A, during a first time period t_1 1492, a parameter segment 1430 has a baseline (B) 1432 at about U_2 1414. As such, Δ_l 1436= U_2-L_1 ; Δ_u 1437=0; AT_l 1438= L_1 ; AT_u 1439= U_2 . Accordingly, a negative transient 1434 having a size less than U_2-L_1 does not trigger an alarm.

Also shown in FIG. 14A, during a second time period t_2 1494, a parameter segment 1440 has a baseline (B) 1442 less than U_2 . As such, Δ_l 1446 is less than U_1-L_1 and the adaptive threshold (AT_u) 1447 is between U_1 and U_2 . Accordingly, a smaller negative transient 1444 will trigger the alarm as compared to the negative transient 1434 in the first time segment 1430.

Further shown in FIG. 14A, during a third time period t_3 1496, a parameter segment 1450 has a baseline (B) 1452 less than U_1 1412. As such, a smaller negative transient 1454 will trigger the alarm as compared to the negative transient 1444 in the second time segment 1440. However, a larger positive transient 1455 is needed to trigger the alarm as compared to the positive transient 1445 in the second time segment 1440.

Additionally shown in FIG. 14A, during a fourth time period t_4 1460, a parameter segment 1460 has a baseline (B) 1462 at about L_2 1424. As such, Δ_l 1466=0; Δ_u 1467= U_1-L_2 ; AT_l 1468= L_2 ; AT_u 1469= U_1 . Accordingly, a positive transient 1465 having a size less than U_1-L_2 does not trigger an alarm.

An adaptive alarm system has been disclosed in detail in connection with various embodiments. These embodiments are disclosed by way of examples only and are not to limit the scope of the claims that follow. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate many variations and modifications.

What is claimed is:

1. A system for reducing electronic alarms in a medical patient monitoring system, the system comprising:

an optical sensor configured to transmit optical radiation into a tissue site of a patient and detect attenuated optical radiation indicative of at least one physiological parameter of a patient; and

one or more hardware processors in electronic communication with the optical sensor, the one or more hardware processors configured to:

[measure] determine oxygen saturation values of [a] the patient over a first period of time;

[determine if] when at least one oxygen saturation value obtained over the first period of time exceeds a first alarm threshold[:], determine whether a first alarm should be triggered [based on the determination that the at least one oxygen saturation value obtained over the first period of time exceeds the first alarm threshold];

[determine] access a second alarm threshold to be applied during a second period of time subsequent to the first period of time, the second alarm threshold replacing the first alarm threshold, wherein the second alarm threshold [being determined by:

comparing at least a first oxygen saturation value obtained during the first time period with a lower limit associated with oxygen saturation; and

computing a second alarm threshold based on the comparison where the second alarm threshold is computed to be at] has a value less than the at least [first] one oxygen saturation value and greater than [the] a lower limit and at an offset from the [first] at least one oxygen saturation value, wherein the offset [is configured to diminish] is diminished as a difference between the at least first oxygen saturation value and the lower limit diminishes;

[measure] determine oxygen saturation values of [a] the patient over the second period of time [to determine at least a second oxygen saturation value]; and

[determine whether] trigger a second alarm [should be triggered by determining if] based on at least one value of the oxygen saturation [value] values obtained [during] over the second period of time [exceeds] exceeding the second alarm threshold [and triggering an alarm if it is determined the second alarm should be triggered].

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more hardware processors are configured to calculate a first baseline measurement from the [measured] oxygen saturation values over the first period of time and wherein the at least one [oxygen saturation] value obtained during the first period of time corresponds to the first baseline measurement.

3. The system of claim 2, wherein the one or more hardware processors are configured to calculate a second baseline measurement from the [measured] oxygen saturation values over the second period of time and wherein the at least one [oxygen saturation] value obtained during the second period of time corresponds to the second baseline measurement.

4. The system of claim 1, wherein the lower limit is [predefined] predetermined and corresponds to a minimum parameter value for oxygen saturation.

5. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more hardware processors are further configured to wait for a time delay prior to the triggering of the second alarm, and wherein the time delay is a function of the second alarm threshold.

6. The system of claim 5, wherein the time delay decreases as the difference between the at least [first oxygen saturation] one value and the lower limit diminishes.

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the first alarm threshold is predetermined.

15

8. A system for reducing electronic alarms in a medical patient monitoring system including a pulse oximeter in communication with an optical sensor, the system comprising one or more hardware processors configured to:

[measure] *determine* oxygen saturation values of a patient over a first period of time;

determine if at least one oxygen saturation value obtained over the first period of time exceeds a first alarm threshold;

[determine whether a first alarm should be triggered based on the determination that the at least one oxygen saturation value obtained over the first period of time exceeds the first alarm threshold;

compare at least a first oxygen saturation value obtained during the first time period with a lower limit associated with oxygen saturation;

compute] *access* a second alarm threshold [based on the comparison];

[determine] *apply* a time delay based on the [computed] second alarm threshold, wherein the time delay approaches zero as the [first] *at least one* oxygen saturation value *obtained over the first period of time* approaches the lower limit;

[measure] *determine* oxygen saturation values of [a] *the* patient over [the] *a* second period of time [to determine at least a second oxygen saturation value] *different from the first period*; and

[determine whether] *trigger* a second alarm [should be triggered by determining if] *based on the* at least one oxygen saturation value obtained during the second period of time [exceeds] *exceeding* the second alarm threshold [for the time delay and triggering an alarm if it is determined the second alarm should be triggered], *where the second alarm threshold is at a value less than the at least one oxygen saturation value and greater than the lower limit and at an offset from the at least one oxygen saturation value, wherein the offset is diminished as a difference between the at least one oxygen saturation value and the lower limit diminishes.*

9. The system of claim 8, wherein the first alarm threshold is predetermined.

10. The system of claim 8, wherein the lower limit is [predefined] *predetermined* and corresponds to a minimum parameter value for oxygen saturation.

[11. The system of claim 8, where the second alarm threshold is computed to be at a value less than the at least first oxygen saturation value and greater than the lower limit and at an offset from the first oxygen saturation value, wherein the offset is configured to diminish as a difference between the at least first oxygen saturation value and the lower limit diminishes.]

12. An electronic method [for] *of* reducing electronic alarms in a medical patient monitoring system, the electronic method comprising:

measuring, *with a pulse oximeter including a light source, an optical detector and one or more hardware processors configured to receive signals responsive to light attenuated by tissue of a patient*, oxygen saturation values of [a] *the* patient over a first period of time;

determining, *using the one or more hardware processors*, if at least one oxygen saturation value [determined] *measured* over the first period of time exceeds a first alarm threshold;

16

[determining whether a first alarm should be triggered based on the determination that at least one oxygen saturation value obtained during the first period of time exceeds the first alarm threshold;

comparing at least a first oxygen saturation value obtained during the first time period with a lower limit associated with oxygen saturation;

computing the] *applying, using the one or more hardware processors, a second alarm threshold [based on the comparison where the second alarm threshold is computed to be] at a value (i) less than [the at least first] an oxygen saturation value [and] of the patient, (ii) greater than [the] a lower limit, (iii) and at an offset from the [first] oxygen saturation value of the patient, wherein the offset [is configured to diminish] is diminished as a difference between the [at least first] oxygen saturation value and the lower limit diminishes and wherein [the second alarm threshold is configured to be applied during a second period of time subsequent to the first period of time,] the second alarm threshold [replacing] replaces the first alarm threshold;*

measuring, *using the pulse oximeter*, oxygen saturation values of [a] *the* patient over the second period of time to determine at least a second oxygen saturation value; and

determining, *using the one or more hardware processors, whether to trigger a second alarm [should be triggered] by at least determining if at least one oxygen saturation value obtained during the second period of time exceeds the second alarm threshold [and triggering an alarm if it is determined the second alarm should be triggered].*

13. The electronic method of claim 12, wherein [the one or more hardware processors are configured to calculate] *the electronic method further comprises, using the one or more hardware processors, calculating a first baseline measurement from the measured oxygen saturation values over the first period of time and wherein the at least one oxygen saturation value obtained during the first period of time corresponds to the first baseline measurement.*

14. The electronic method of claim 13, wherein [the one or more hardware processors are configured to calculate] *the electronic method further comprises, using the one or more hardware processors, calculating a second baseline measurement from the measured oxygen saturation values over the second period of time and wherein the at least one oxygen saturation value obtained during the second period of time corresponds to the second baseline measurement.*

15. The electronic method of claim 12, wherein the lower limit is [predefined] *predetermined* and corresponds to a minimum parameter value for oxygen saturation.

16. The electronic method of claim 12, wherein [the one or more hardware processors are further configured to wait] *the electronic method further comprises, using the one or more hardware processors, waiting for a time delay prior to the triggering of the second alarm, and wherein the time delay is a function of the second alarm threshold.*

17. The electronic method of claim 16, wherein the time delay decreases as the difference between the at least [first] *one* oxygen saturation value and the lower limit diminishes.

18. The electronic method of claim 12, wherein the first alarm threshold is predetermined.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : RE47,218 E
APPLICATION NO. : 15/881602
DATED : February 5, 2019
INVENTOR(S) : Al-Ali

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:


In the Specification

At Column 1, please replace Lines 11-17 (approx.), with the following:

--CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

NOTICE: More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of U.S. Patent No. 9,775,570 B2. The reissue applications are U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 17/711,987, filed on April 1, 2022, which is a continuation reissue application of U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 16/800,971, filed on February 25, 2020, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE49,007 E, issued April 5, 2022, which is a continuation reissue application of U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 16/184,908, filed on November 8, 2018, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE47,882 E, issued March 3, 2020, which is a continuation reissue application of U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 15/881,602 (the present application), filed on January 26, 2018, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE47,218 E, issued February 5, 2019, which is a reissue application of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 13/037,184, filed February 28, 2011, now U.S. Patent No. 9,775,570 B2, issued August 8, 2017, which is a continuation of U.S.--.

And at Lines 27-30 (approx.), please delete the last two sentences (beginning with “*More than one reissue application . . .*,” and ending with “*. . . and 16/184,908.*,”) in their entirety.

Signed and Sealed this
Second Day of August, 2022

Katherine Kelly Vidal
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

(12) **INTER PARTES REVIEW CERTIFICATE** (3648th)

United States Patent
Al-Ali

(10) **Number:** **US RE47,218 K1**
(45) **Certificate Issued:** **Jul. 16, 2024**

(54) **ADAPTIVE ALARM SYSTEM**

(71) Applicant: **MASIMO Corporation**

(72) Inventor: **Ammar Al-Ali**

(73) Assignee: **Masimo Corporation**

Trial Number:

IPR2020-01078 filed Jun. 11, 2020

Inter Partes Review Certificate for:

Patent No.: **RE47,218**
Issued: **Feb. 5, 2019**
Appl. No.: **15/881,602**
Filed: **Jan. 26, 2018**

The results of IPR2020-01078 are reflected in this inter partes review certificate under 35 U.S.C. 318(b).

INTER PARTES REVIEW CERTIFICATE
U.S. Patent RE47,218 K1
Trial No. IPR2020-01078
Certificate Issued Jul. 16, 2024

1

2

AS A RESULT OF THE INTER PARTES
REVIEW PROCEEDING, IT HAS BEEN
DETERMINED THAT:

Claims **1-10** and **12-18** are cancelled.

5

* * * * *