



(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
Thornewell et al.

(10) **Patent Number: US RE47,019 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent: Aug. 28, 2018**

(54) **METHODS FOR DNSSEC PROXYING AND DEPLOYMENT AMELIORATION AND SYSTEMS THEREOF**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(71) Applicant: **F5 Networks, Inc.**, Seattle, WA (US)
(72) Inventors: **Peter M. Thornewell**, Seattle, WA (US); **Christopher R. Baker**, Seattle, WA (US)

3,950,735 A 4/1976 Patel
4,644,532 A 2/1987 George et al.
4,897,781 A 1/1990 Chang et al.
4,965,772 A 10/1990 Daniel et al.
4,993,030 A 2/1991 Krakauer et al.
(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **F5 Networks, Inc.**, Seattle, WA (US)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(21) Appl. No.: **15/286,436**

AU 2003300350 A1 7/2004
CA 2080530 4/1994

(22) Filed: **Oct. 5, 2016**

(Continued)

Related U.S. Patent Documents

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **8,856,898**
Issued: **Oct. 7, 2014**
Appl. No.: **13/687,826**
Filed: **Nov. 28, 2012**

Laurie, et. al., "DNS Security (DNSSEC) Hashed Authenticated Denial of Existence", Mar. 2008, pp. 1-52, The IETF Trust.

(Continued)

U.S. Applications:

(63) Continuation of application No. 12/836,053, filed on Jul. 14, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,347,100.

Primary Examiner — Jalatee Worjloh

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — LeClairRyan PLLC

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 21/31 (2013.01)
H04L 9/32 (2006.01)
H04L 29/12 (2006.01)
H04L 29/06 (2006.01)


(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method, computer readable medium, and device for providing authenticated domain name service includes forwarding at a traffic management device a request for a domain name from a client device to one or more servers coupled to the traffic management device. The traffic management device receives a first response comprising at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more servers. The traffic management device attaches a first signature to the first response when the first response is determined by the traffic management device to be an unauthenticated response, and provides the first response with the first signature to the client device.

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 9/3247** (2013.01); **H04L 61/1511** (2013.01); **H04L 63/08** (2013.01); **H04L 63/126** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC . H04L 9/3247; H04L 61/1511; H04L 63/126; H04L 63/08
USPC 726/6; 713/176
See application file for complete search history.

36 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,023,826 A	6/1991	Patel	5,999,664 A	12/1999	Mahoney et al.
5,053,953 A	10/1991	Patel	6,006,260 A	12/1999	Barrick, Jr. et al.
5,167,024 A	11/1992	Smith et al.	6,006,264 A	12/1999	Colby et al.
5,218,695 A	6/1993	Noveck et al.	6,012,083 A	1/2000	Savitzky et al.
5,282,201 A	1/1994	Frank et al.	6,026,452 A	2/2000	Pitts
5,299,312 A	3/1994	Rocco, Jr.	6,028,857 A	2/2000	Poor
5,303,368 A	4/1994	Kotaki	6,029,168 A	2/2000	Frey
5,327,529 A	7/1994	Fults et al.	6,029,175 A	2/2000	Chow et al.
5,367,635 A	11/1994	Bauer et al.	6,038,233 A	3/2000	Hamamoto et al.
5,371,852 A	12/1994	Attanasio et al.	6,041,365 A	3/2000	Kleinerman
5,406,502 A	4/1995	Haramaty et al.	6,044,367 A	3/2000	Wolff
5,473,362 A	12/1995	Fitzgerald et al.	6,047,129 A	4/2000	Frye
5,475,857 A	12/1995	Dally	6,051,169 A	4/2000	Brown et al.
5,511,177 A	4/1996	Kagimasa et al.	6,067,558 A	5/2000	Wendt et al.
5,517,617 A	5/1996	Sathaye et al.	6,072,942 A	6/2000	Stockwell et al.
5,519,694 A	5/1996	Brewer et al.	6,078,929 A	6/2000	Rao
5,519,778 A	5/1996	Leighton et al.	6,078,956 A	6/2000	Bryant et al.
5,521,591 A	5/1996	Arora et al.	6,085,234 A	7/2000	Pitts et al.
5,528,701 A	6/1996	Aref	6,088,694 A	7/2000	Burns et al.
5,537,585 A	7/1996	Blickenstaff et al.	6,092,196 A	7/2000	Reiche
5,548,724 A	8/1996	Akizawa et al.	6,104,706 A	8/2000	Richter et al.
5,550,965 A	8/1996	Gabbe et al.	6,108,703 A	8/2000	Leighton et al.
5,581,764 A	12/1996	Fitzgerald et al.	6,111,876 A	8/2000	Frantz et al.
5,583,995 A	12/1996	Gardner et al.	6,118,784 A	9/2000	Tsuchiya et al.
5,586,260 A	12/1996	Hu	6,119,234 A	9/2000	Aziz et al.
5,590,320 A	12/1996	Maxey	6,128,279 A	10/2000	O'Neil et al.
5,596,742 A	1/1997	Agarwal et al.	6,128,627 A	10/2000	Mattis et al.
5,606,665 A	2/1997	Yang et al.	6,128,657 A	10/2000	Okanoya et al.
5,611,049 A	3/1997	Pitts	6,128,717 A	10/2000	Harrison et al.
5,623,490 A	4/1997	Richter et al.	6,154,777 A	11/2000	Ebrahim
5,649,194 A	7/1997	Miller et al.	6,160,874 A	12/2000	Dickerman et al.
5,649,200 A	7/1997	Leblang et al.	6,161,145 A	12/2000	Bainbridge et al.
5,659,619 A	8/1997	Sudia	6,161,185 A	12/2000	Guthrie et al.
5,663,018 A	9/1997	Cummings et al.	6,170,022 B1	1/2001	Linville et al.
5,668,943 A	9/1997	Attanasio et al.	6,178,423 B1	1/2001	Douceur et al.
5,692,180 A	11/1997	Lee	6,181,336 B1	1/2001	Chiu et al.
5,721,779 A	2/1998	Funk	6,182,139 B1	1/2001	Brendel
5,724,512 A	3/1998	Winterbottom	6,192,051 B1	2/2001	Lipman et al.
5,752,023 A	5/1998	Choucri et al.	6,202,156 B1	3/2001	Kalajan
5,761,484 A	6/1998	Agarwal et al.	6,223,206 B1	4/2001	Dan et al.
5,768,423 A	6/1998	Aref et al.	6,233,612 B1	5/2001	Fruchtman et al.
5,774,660 A	6/1998	Brendel et al.	6,233,648 B1	5/2001	Tomita
5,790,554 A	8/1998	Pitcher et al.	6,237,008 B1	5/2001	Beal et al.
5,802,052 A	9/1998	Venkataraman	6,246,684 B1	6/2001	Chapman et al.
5,806,061 A	9/1998	Chaudhuri et al.	6,253,226 B1	6/2001	Chidambaran et al.
5,812,550 A	9/1998	Sohn et al.	6,253,230 B1	6/2001	Couland et al.
5,825,772 A	10/1998	Dobbins et al.	6,256,031 B1	7/2001	Meijer et al.
5,832,283 A	11/1998	Chou et al.	6,259,405 B1	7/2001	Stewart et al.
5,832,496 A	11/1998	Anand et al.	6,260,070 B1	7/2001	Shah
5,832,522 A	11/1998	Blickenstaff et al.	6,263,368 B1	7/2001	Martin
5,838,970 A	11/1998	Thomas	6,282,610 B1	8/2001	Bergsten
5,862,325 A	1/1999	Reed et al.	6,289,012 B1	9/2001	Harrington et al.
5,875,296 A	2/1999	Shi et al.	6,289,345 B1	9/2001	Yasue
5,884,303 A	3/1999	Brown	6,292,832 B1	9/2001	Shah et al.
5,892,914 A	4/1999	Pitts	6,298,380 B1	10/2001	Coile et al.
5,892,932 A	4/1999	Kim	6,304,913 B1	10/2001	Rune
5,893,086 A	4/1999	Schmuck et al.	6,308,162 B1	10/2001	Ouimet et al.
5,897,638 A	4/1999	Lasser et al.	6,324,581 B1	11/2001	Xu et al.
5,905,990 A	5/1999	Inglett	6,327,622 B1	12/2001	Jindal et al.
5,917,998 A	6/1999	Cabrera et al.	6,330,574 B1	12/2001	Murashita
5,919,247 A	7/1999	Van Hoff et al.	6,338,082 B1	1/2002	Schneider
5,920,873 A	7/1999	Van Huben et al.	6,339,785 B1	1/2002	Feigenbaum
5,936,939 A	8/1999	Des Jardins et al.	6,343,324 B1	1/2002	Hubis et al.
5,937,406 A	8/1999	Balabine et al.	6,347,339 B1	2/2002	Morris et al.
5,941,988 A	8/1999	Bhagwat et al.	6,349,343 B1	2/2002	Foody et al.
5,946,690 A	8/1999	Pitts	6,353,848 B1	3/2002	Morris
5,949,885 A	9/1999	Leighton	6,360,270 B1	3/2002	Cherkasova et al.
5,951,694 A	9/1999	Choquier et al.	6,363,056 B1	3/2002	Beigi et al.
5,958,053 A	9/1999	Denker	6,367,009 B1	4/2002	Davis et al.
5,959,990 A	9/1999	Frantz et al.	6,370,527 B1	4/2002	Singhal
5,974,460 A	10/1999	Maddalozzo, Jr. et al.	6,374,263 B1	4/2002	Bunger et al.
5,983,281 A	11/1999	Ogle et al.	6,374,300 B2	4/2002	Masters
5,988,847 A	11/1999	McLaughlin et al.	6,389,433 B1	5/2002	Bolosky et al.
5,991,302 A	11/1999	Beri et al.	6,389,462 B1	5/2002	Cohen et al.
5,995,491 A	11/1999	Richter et al.	6,393,581 B1	5/2002	Friedman et al.
			6,396,833 B1	5/2002	Zhang et al.
			6,397,246 B1	5/2002	Wolfe
			6,412,004 B1	6/2002	Chen et al.
			6,430,562 B1	8/2002	Kardos et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,434,081 B1	8/2002	Johnson et al.	6,839,761 B2	1/2005	Kadyk et al.
6,438,595 B1	8/2002	Blumenau et al.	6,839,850 B1	1/2005	Campbell et al.
6,446,108 B1	9/2002	Rosenberg et al.	6,847,959 B1	1/2005	Arrouye et al.
6,466,580 B1	10/2002	Leung	6,847,970 B2	1/2005	Keller et al.
6,469,983 B2	10/2002	Narayana et al.	6,850,997 B1	2/2005	Rooney et al.
6,477,544 B1	11/2002	Bolosky et al.	6,865,593 B1	3/2005	Reshef et al.
6,480,476 B1	11/2002	Willars	6,868,082 B1	3/2005	Allen, Jr. et al.
6,484,261 B1	11/2002	Wiegel	6,868,447 B1	3/2005	Slaughter et al.
6,487,561 B1	11/2002	Ofek et al.	6,871,221 B1	3/2005	Styles
6,490,624 B1	12/2002	Sampson et al.	6,871,245 B2	3/2005	Bradley
6,493,804 B1	12/2002	Soltis et al.	6,876,629 B2	4/2005	Beshai et al.
6,510,135 B1	1/2003	Almulhem et al.	6,876,654 B1	4/2005	Hegde
6,510,458 B1	1/2003	Berstis et al.	6,880,017 B1	4/2005	Marce et al.
6,513,061 B1	1/2003	Ebata et al.	6,883,137 B1	4/2005	Girardot et al.
6,514,085 B2	2/2003	Slattery et al.	6,888,836 B1	5/2005	Cherkasova
6,516,350 B1	2/2003	Lumelsky et al.	6,889,249 B2	5/2005	Miloushev et al.
6,516,351 B2	2/2003	Borr	6,907,037 B2	6/2005	Tsuchiya et al.
6,519,643 B1	2/2003	Foulkes et al.	6,912,219 B2	6/2005	Tsuchiya et al.
6,542,936 B1	4/2003	Mayle et al.	6,914,881 B1	7/2005	Mansfield et al.
6,549,916 B1	4/2003	Sedlar	6,920,136 B2	7/2005	Tsuchiya et al.
6,553,352 B2	4/2003	Delurgio et al.	6,920,137 B2	7/2005	Tsuchiya et al.
6,556,997 B1	4/2003	Levy	6,920,138 B2	7/2005	Tsuchiya et al.
6,556,998 B1	4/2003	Mukherjee et al.	6,922,688 B1	7/2005	Frey, Jr.
6,560,230 B1	5/2003	Li et al.	6,928,077 B2	8/2005	Tsuchiya et al.
6,578,069 B1	6/2003	Hopmann et al.	6,928,082 B2	8/2005	Liu et al.
6,580,717 B1	6/2003	Higuchi et al.	6,934,706 B1	8/2005	Mancuso et al.
6,601,084 B1	7/2003	Bhaskaran et al.	6,938,039 B1	8/2005	Bober et al.
6,601,101 B1	7/2003	Lee et al.	6,938,059 B2	8/2005	Tamer et al.
6,606,663 B1	8/2003	Liao et al.	6,947,985 B2	9/2005	Hegli et al.
6,612,490 B1	9/2003	Herrendoerfer et al.	6,950,434 B1	9/2005	Viswanath et al.
6,615,267 B1	9/2003	Whalen et al.	6,954,780 B2	10/2005	Susai et al.
6,636,503 B1	10/2003	Shiran et al.	6,957,272 B2	10/2005	Tallegas et al.
6,636,894 B1	10/2003	Short et al.	6,959,373 B2	10/2005	Testardi
6,650,640 B1	11/2003	Muller et al.	6,959,394 B1	10/2005	Brickell et al.
6,650,641 B1	11/2003	Albert et al.	6,961,815 B2	11/2005	Kistler et al.
6,654,346 B1	11/2003	Mahalingaiah et al.	6,970,924 B1	11/2005	Chu et al.
6,654,701 B2	11/2003	Hatley	6,973,455 B1	12/2005	Vahalia et al.
6,661,802 B1	12/2003	Homberg et al.	6,973,490 B1	12/2005	Robertson et al.
6,683,873 B1	1/2004	Kwok et al.	6,973,549 B1	12/2005	Testardi
6,690,669 B1	2/2004	Tsuchiya et al.	6,975,592 B1	12/2005	Seddigh et al.
6,691,165 B1	2/2004	Bruck et al.	6,985,936 B2	1/2006	Agarwalla et al.
6,694,517 B1	2/2004	James et al.	6,985,956 B2	1/2006	Luke et al.
6,701,415 B1	3/2004	Hendren, III	6,986,015 B2	1/2006	Testardi
6,708,187 B1	3/2004	Shanungam et al.	6,986,040 B1	1/2006	Kramer et al.
6,718,380 B1	4/2004	Mohaban et al.	6,987,763 B2	1/2006	Rochberger et al.
6,721,794 B2	4/2004	Taylor et al.	6,990,074 B2	1/2006	Wan et al.
6,728,704 B2	4/2004	Mao et al.	6,990,114 B1	1/2006	Erimli et al.
6,738,357 B1	5/2004	Richter et al.	6,990,547 B2	1/2006	Ulrich et al.
6,738,790 B1	5/2004	Klein	6,990,667 B2	1/2006	Ulrich et al.
6,742,035 B1	5/2004	Zayas et al.	6,996,841 B2	2/2006	Kadyk et al.
6,742,045 B1	5/2004	Albert et al.	7,003,533 B2	2/2006	Noguchi et al.
6,744,776 B1	6/2004	Kalkunte et al.	7,003,564 B2	2/2006	Greuel et al.
6,748,420 B1	6/2004	Quatrano et al.	7,006,981 B2	2/2006	Rose et al.
6,751,663 B1	6/2004	Farrell et al.	7,007,092 B2	2/2006	Peiffer
6,754,215 B1	6/2004	Arikawa et al.	7,010,553 B2	3/2006	Chen et al.
6,754,228 B1	6/2004	Ludwig	7,013,379 B1	3/2006	Testardi
6,754,699 B2	6/2004	Swildens et al.	7,020,644 B2	3/2006	Jameson
6,757,706 B1	6/2004	Dong et al.	7,020,699 B2	3/2006	Zhang et al.
6,760,337 B1	7/2004	Snyder, II et al.	7,023,974 B1	4/2006	Brannam et al.
6,760,775 B1	7/2004	Anerousis et al.	7,024,427 B2	4/2006	Bobbitt et al.
6,772,219 B1	8/2004	Shobatake	7,028,182 B1 *	4/2006	Killcommons 713/161
6,775,672 B2	8/2004	Mahalingam et al.	7,039,061 B2	5/2006	Connor et al.
6,775,673 B2	8/2004	Mahalingam et al.	7,051,112 B2	5/2006	Dawson
6,775,679 B2	8/2004	Gupta	7,054,998 B2	5/2006	Arnott et al.
6,779,039 B1	8/2004	Bommareddy et al.	7,058,633 B1	6/2006	Gnagy et al.
6,781,986 B1	8/2004	Sabaa et al.	7,065,482 B2	6/2006	Shorey et al.
6,782,450 B2	8/2004	Arnott et al.	7,072,338 B2	7/2006	Tsuchiya et al.
6,795,860 B1	9/2004	Shah	7,072,339 B2	7/2006	Tsuchiya et al.
6,798,777 B1	9/2004	Ferguson et al.	7,072,917 B2	7/2006	Wong et al.
6,801,960 B1	10/2004	Ericson et al.	7,075,924 B2	7/2006	Richter et al.
6,804,542 B1	10/2004	Haartsen	7,076,689 B2	7/2006	Atkinson
6,816,901 B1	11/2004	Sitaraman et al.	7,080,314 B1	7/2006	Garofalakis et al.
6,816,977 B2	11/2004	Brakmo et al.	7,088,726 B1	8/2006	Hamamoto et al.
6,826,613 B1	11/2004	Wang et al.	7,089,286 B1	8/2006	Malik
6,829,238 B2	12/2004	Tokuyo et al.	7,089,491 B2	8/2006	Feinberg et al.
			7,111,115 B2	9/2006	Peters et al.
			7,113,962 B1	9/2006	Kee et al.
			7,113,993 B1	9/2006	Cappiello et al.
			7,113,996 B2	9/2006	Kronenberg

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,120,128 B2	10/2006	Banks et al.	7,457,982 B2	11/2008	Rajan
7,120,746 B2	10/2006	Campbell et al.	7,467,158 B2	12/2008	Marinescu
7,127,556 B2	10/2006	Blumenau et al.	7,475,241 B2	1/2009	Patel et al.
7,133,863 B2	11/2006	Teng et al.	7,477,796 B2	1/2009	Sasaki et al.
7,133,944 B2	11/2006	Song et al.	7,490,162 B1	2/2009	Masters
7,133,967 B2	11/2006	Fujie et al.	7,500,243 B2	3/2009	Huetsch et al.
7,139,792 B1	11/2006	Mishra et al.	7,500,269 B2	3/2009	Huotari et al.
7,143,146 B2	11/2006	Nakatani et al.	7,505,795 B1	3/2009	Lim et al.
7,146,524 B2	12/2006	Patel et al.	7,509,322 B2	3/2009	Miloushev et al.
7,152,184 B2	12/2006	Maeda et al.	7,512,673 B2	3/2009	Miloushev et al.
7,155,466 B2	12/2006	Rodriguez et al.	7,516,492 B1	4/2009	Nisbet et al.
7,158,526 B2	1/2007	Higuchi et al.	7,519,813 B1	4/2009	Cox et al.
7,162,529 B2	1/2007	Morishige et al.	7,522,581 B2	4/2009	Acharya et al.
7,165,095 B2	1/2007	Sim	7,526,541 B2	4/2009	Roese et al.
7,167,821 B2	1/2007	Hardwick et al.	7,558,197 B1	7/2009	Sindhu et al.
7,171,496 B2	1/2007	Tanaka et al.	7,562,110 B2	7/2009	Miloushev et al.
7,173,929 B1	2/2007	Testardi	7,571,168 B2	8/2009	Bahar et al.
7,185,359 B2	2/2007	Schmidt et al.	7,574,433 B2	8/2009	Engel
7,191,163 B2	3/2007	Herrera et al.	7,577,141 B2	8/2009	Kamata et al.
7,193,998 B2	3/2007	Tsuchiya et al.	7,577,723 B2	8/2009	Matsuda et al.
7,194,579 B2	3/2007	Robinson et al.	7,580,971 B1	8/2009	Gollapudi et al.
7,209,759 B1	4/2007	Billing et al.	7,587,471 B2	9/2009	Yasuda et al.
7,228,359 B1	6/2007	Monteiro	7,590,732 B2	9/2009	Rune
7,228,422 B2	6/2007	Morioka et al.	7,590,747 B2	9/2009	Coates et al.
7,234,074 B2	6/2007	Cohn et al.	7,599,941 B2	10/2009	Bahar et al.
7,236,491 B2	6/2007	Tsao et al.	7,610,307 B2	10/2009	Havewala et al.
7,240,100 B1	7/2007	Wein et al.	7,610,390 B2	10/2009	Yared et al.
7,248,591 B2	7/2007	Hamamoto et al.	7,620,733 B1 *	11/2009	Tzakikario et al. 709/245
7,251,247 B2	7/2007	Hamamoto et al.	7,624,109 B2	11/2009	Testardi
7,280,536 B2	10/2007	Testardi	7,624,424 B2	11/2009	Morita et al.
7,280,971 B1	10/2007	Wimberly et al.	7,639,883 B2	12/2009	Gill
7,283,540 B2	10/2007	Hamamoto et al.	7,644,109 B2	1/2010	Manley et al.
7,284,150 B2	10/2007	Ma et al.	7,644,137 B2	1/2010	Bozak et al.
7,287,082 B1	10/2007	O'Toole, Jr.	7,653,077 B2	1/2010	Hamamoto et al.
7,292,541 B1	11/2007	C S	7,653,699 B1	1/2010	Colgrove et al.
7,293,097 B2	11/2007	Borr	7,668,166 B1	2/2010	Rekhter et al.
7,293,099 B1	11/2007	Kalajan	7,689,596 B2	3/2010	Tsunoda
7,293,133 B1	11/2007	Colgrove et al.	7,689,710 B2	3/2010	Tang et al.
7,295,827 B2	11/2007	Liu et al.	7,694,082 B2	4/2010	Golding et al.
7,296,263 B1	11/2007	Jacob	7,701,952 B2	4/2010	Higuchi et al.
7,299,491 B2 *	11/2007	Shelest et al. 726/4	7,711,771 B2	5/2010	Kirnos
7,305,480 B2	12/2007	Oishi et al.	7,724,657 B2	5/2010	Rao et al.
7,308,475 B1	12/2007	Pruitt et al.	7,725,093 B2	5/2010	Sengupta et al.
7,308,703 B2	12/2007	Wright et al.	7,734,603 B1	6/2010	McManis
7,308,709 B1	12/2007	Brezak et al.	7,743,035 B2	6/2010	Chen et al.
7,310,339 B1	12/2007	Powers et al.	7,746,863 B2	6/2010	Tsuchiya et al.
7,315,543 B2	1/2008	Takeuchi et al.	7,752,294 B2	7/2010	Meyer et al.
7,319,696 B2	1/2008	Inoue et al.	7,761,597 B2	7/2010	Takeda et al.
7,321,926 B1	1/2008	Zhang et al.	7,769,711 B2	8/2010	Srinivasan et al.
7,324,533 B1	1/2008	DeLiberato et al.	7,778,187 B2	8/2010	Chaturvedi et al.
7,328,009 B2	2/2008	Takeda et al.	7,788,335 B2	8/2010	Miloushev et al.
7,328,281 B2	2/2008	Takeda et al.	7,788,408 B2	8/2010	Takeda et al.
7,333,999 B1	2/2008	Njemanze	7,801,978 B1	9/2010	Susai et al.
7,343,398 B1	3/2008	Lownsbrough	7,808,913 B2	10/2010	Ansari et al.
7,343,413 B2	3/2008	Gilde et al.	7,822,939 B1	10/2010	Veprinsky et al.
7,346,664 B2	3/2008	Wong et al.	7,831,639 B1	11/2010	Panchbudhe et al.
7,349,391 B2	3/2008	Ben-Dor et al.	7,831,662 B2	11/2010	Clark et al.
7,383,288 B2	6/2008	Miloushev et al.	7,849,112 B2	12/2010	Mane et al.
7,383,570 B2	6/2008	Pinkas et al.	7,870,154 B2	1/2011	Shitomi et al.
7,385,989 B2	6/2008	Higuchi et al.	7,877,511 B1	1/2011	Berger et al.
7,394,804 B2	7/2008	Miyata et al.	7,885,970 B2	2/2011	Lacapra
7,398,552 B2	7/2008	Pardee et al.	7,908,245 B2	3/2011	Nakano et al.
7,400,645 B2	7/2008	Tsuchiya et al.	7,908,314 B2	3/2011	Yamaguchi et al.
7,400,646 B2	7/2008	Tsuchiya et al.	7,913,053 B1	3/2011	Newland
7,401,220 B2	7/2008	Bolosky et al.	7,921,211 B2 *	4/2011	Larson et al. 709/226
7,403,520 B2	7/2008	Tsuchiya et al.	7,925,908 B2	4/2011	Kim
7,406,484 B1	7/2008	Srinivasan et al.	7,930,365 B2	4/2011	Dixit et al.
7,409,440 B1	8/2008	Jacob	7,933,946 B2	4/2011	Livshits et al.
7,415,488 B1	8/2008	Muth et al.	7,941,517 B2	5/2011	Migault et al.
7,415,608 B2	8/2008	Bolosky et al.	7,941,563 B2	5/2011	Takeda et al.
7,433,962 B2	10/2008	Janssen et al.	7,945,908 B1	5/2011	Waldspurger et al.
7,437,478 B2	10/2008	Yokota et al.	7,953,701 B2	5/2011	Okitsu et al.
7,440,982 B2	10/2008	Lu et al.	7,957,405 B2	6/2011	Higuchi et al.
7,441,429 B1	10/2008	Nucci et al.	7,958,347 B1	6/2011	Ferguson
7,454,480 B2	11/2008	Labio et al.	7,965,724 B2	6/2011	Hamamoto et al.
			7,984,141 B2	7/2011	Gupta et al.
			8,005,953 B2	8/2011	Miloushev et al.
			8,031,716 B2	10/2011	Tsuchiya et al.
			8,069,225 B2	11/2011	McCanne et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,103,781 B1	1/2012	Wu et al.	2002/0087571 A1	7/2002	Stapel et al.
8,107,471 B2	1/2012	Nakamura et al.	2002/0087744 A1	7/2002	Kitchin
8,130,650 B2	3/2012	Allen, Jr. et al.	2002/0087887 A1	7/2002	Busam et al.
8,131,863 B2	3/2012	Takeda et al.	2002/0099829 A1	7/2002	Richards et al.
8,189,567 B2	5/2012	Kavanagh et al.	2002/0103823 A1	8/2002	Jackson et al.
8,199,757 B2	6/2012	Pani et al.	2002/0103916 A1	8/2002	Chen et al.
8,205,246 B2	6/2012	Shatzkamer et al.	2002/0112061 A1	8/2002	Shih et al.
8,239,954 B2	8/2012	Wobber et al.	2002/0133330 A1	9/2002	Loisey et al.
8,266,427 B2	9/2012	Thubert et al.	2002/0133491 A1	9/2002	Sim et al.
8,274,895 B2	9/2012	Rahman et al.	2002/0138615 A1	9/2002	Schmeling
8,281,383 B2	10/2012	Levy-Abegnoli et al.	2002/0143819 A1	10/2002	Han et al.
8,289,968 B1	10/2012	Zhuang	2002/0143909 A1	10/2002	Botz et al.
8,321,908 B2	11/2012	Gai et al.	2002/0147630 A1	10/2002	Rose et al.
8,351,333 B2	1/2013	Rao et al.	2002/0150253 A1	10/2002	Brezak et al.
8,379,640 B2	2/2013	Ichihashi et al.	2002/0156905 A1	10/2002	Weissman
8,380,854 B2	2/2013	Szabo	2002/0160161 A1	10/2002	Misuda
8,417,817 B1	4/2013	Jacobs	2002/0161911 A1	10/2002	Pinckney, III et al.
8,437,345 B2	5/2013	Takeda et al.	2002/0161913 A1	10/2002	Gonzalez et al.
8,447,871 B1	5/2013	Szabo	2002/0162118 A1	10/2002	Levy et al.
8,447,970 B2	5/2013	Klein et al.	2002/0174216 A1	11/2002	Shorey et al.
8,464,265 B2	6/2013	Worley	2002/0188667 A1	12/2002	Kirnos
8,468,267 B2	6/2013	Yigang	2002/0194112 A1	12/2002	dePinto et al.
8,477,804 B2	7/2013	Yoshimoto et al.	2002/0194342 A1	12/2002	Lu et al.
8,488,465 B2	7/2013	Solis et al.	2002/0198956 A1	12/2002	Dunshea et al.
8,539,224 B2	9/2013	Henderson et al.	2002/0198993 A1	12/2002	Cudd et al.
8,566,474 B2	10/2013	Kanode et al.	2003/0005172 A1	1/2003	Chessell
8,578,050 B2	11/2013	Craig et al.	2003/0009429 A1	1/2003	Jameson
8,582,599 B2	11/2013	Hamamoto et al.	2003/0009528 A1	1/2003	Sharif et al.
8,594,108 B2	11/2013	Tsuchiya et al.	2003/0012382 A1	1/2003	Ferchichi et al.
8,601,161 B2	12/2013	Takeda et al.	2003/0018450 A1	1/2003	Carley
8,606,921 B2	12/2013	Vasquez et al.	2003/0018585 A1	1/2003	Butler et al.
8,615,022 B2	12/2013	Harrison et al.	2003/0028514 A1	2/2003	Lord et al.
8,646,067 B2	2/2014	Agarwal et al.	2003/0033308 A1	2/2003	Patel et al.
8,665,868 B2	3/2014	Kay	2003/0033535 A1	2/2003	Fisher et al.
8,665,969 B2	3/2014	Kay	2003/0037070 A1	2/2003	Marston
8,701,179 B1	4/2014	Penno et al.	2003/0046291 A1	3/2003	Fascenda
8,725,836 B2	5/2014	Lowery et al.	2003/0055723 A1	3/2003	English
8,726,336 B2	5/2014	Narayanaswamy et al.	2003/0061240 A1	3/2003	McCann et al.
8,726,338 B2	5/2014	Narayanaswamy et al.	2003/0065951 A1	4/2003	Igeta et al.
8,737,304 B2	5/2014	Karuturi et al.	2003/0065956 A1	4/2003	Belapurkar et al.
8,788,665 B2	7/2014	Glide et al.	2003/0067923 A1*	4/2003	Ju H04L 29/12066 370/395.3
8,804,504 B1	8/2014	Chen	2003/0069918 A1	4/2003	Lu et al.
8,819,109 B1	8/2014	Krishnamurthy et al.	2003/0069974 A1	4/2003	Lu et al.
8,819,419 B2	8/2014	Carlson et al.	2003/0070069 A1	4/2003	Belapurkar et al.
8,819,768 B1	8/2014	Koeten et al.	2003/0074301 A1	4/2003	Solomon
8,830,874 B2	9/2014	Cho et al.	2003/0074434 A1	4/2003	Jason et al.
8,873,753 B2	10/2014	Parker	2003/0086415 A1	5/2003	Bernhard et al.
8,875,274 B2	10/2014	Montemurro et al.	2003/0105846 A1	6/2003	Zhao et al.
8,886,981 B1	11/2014	Baumann et al.	2003/0105983 A1	6/2003	Brakimo et al.
8,908,545 B1	12/2014	Chen et al.	2003/0108052 A1	6/2003	Inoue et al.
8,954,080 B2	2/2015	Janakiriman et al.	2003/0115218 A1	6/2003	Bobbitt et al.
9,037,166 B2	5/2015	de Wit et al.	2003/0115439 A1	6/2003	Mahalingam et al.
9,077,554 B1	7/2015	Szabo	2003/0128708 A1	7/2003	Inoue et al.
9,083,760 B1	7/2015	Hughes et al.	2003/0130945 A1	7/2003	Force et al.
9,088,525 B2	7/2015	Takeda et al.	2003/0139934 A1	7/2003	Mandera
9,106,699 B2	8/2015	Thornewell et al.	2003/0140140 A1	7/2003	Lahtinen
2001/0007560 A1	7/2001	Masuda et al.	2003/0145062 A1	7/2003	Sharma et al.
2001/0009554 A1	7/2001	Katseff et al.	2003/0145233 A1	7/2003	Poletto et al.
2001/0014891 A1	8/2001	Hoffert et al.	2003/0149781 A1	8/2003	Yared et al.
2001/0023442 A1	9/2001	Masters	2003/0156586 A1	8/2003	Lee et al.
2001/0047293 A1	11/2001	Waller et al.	2003/0159072 A1	8/2003	Bellinger et al.
2001/0051955 A1	12/2001	Wong	2003/0163576 A1	8/2003	Janssen et al.
2002/0010783 A1	1/2002	Primak et al.	2003/0171978 A1	9/2003	Jenkins et al.
2002/0012352 A1	1/2002	Hansson et al.	2003/0177364 A1	9/2003	Walsh et al.
2002/0032777 A1	3/2002	Kawata et al.	2003/0177388 A1	9/2003	Botz et al.
2002/0035537 A1	3/2002	Waller et al.	2003/0179755 A1	9/2003	Fraser
2002/0038360 A1	3/2002	Andrews et al.	2003/0191812 A1	10/2003	Agarwalla et al.
2002/0049842 A1	4/2002	Huetsch et al.	2003/0195813 A1	10/2003	Pallister et al.
2002/0059263 A1	5/2002	Shima et al.	2003/0204635 A1	10/2003	Ko et al.
2002/0059428 A1	5/2002	Susai et al.	2003/0212954 A1	11/2003	Patrudu
2002/0065810 A1	5/2002	Bradley	2003/0220835 A1	11/2003	Barnes, Jr.
2002/0065848 A1	5/2002	Walker et al.	2003/0221000 A1	11/2003	Cherkasova et al.
2002/0073105 A1	6/2002	Noguchi et al.	2003/0225485 A1	12/2003	Fritz et al.
2002/0083067 A1	6/2002	Tamayo et al.	2003/0229665 A1	12/2003	Ryman
2002/0083118 A1	6/2002	Sim	2003/0236995 A1	12/2003	Fretwell, Jr.
			2004/0003266 A1	1/2004	Moshir et al.
			2004/0003287 A1	1/2004	Zissimopoulos et al.
			2004/0006575 A1	1/2004	Visharam et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2004/0006591	A1	1/2004	Matsui et al.	2005/0234928	A1	10/2005	Shkvarchuk et al.
2004/0010654	A1	1/2004	Yasuda et al.	2005/0240664	A1	10/2005	Chen et al.
2004/0015783	A1	1/2004	Lennon et al.	2005/0246393	A1	11/2005	Coates et al.
2004/0017825	A1	1/2004	Stanwood et al.	2005/0256806	A1	11/2005	Tien et al.
2004/0025013	A1	2/2004	Parker et al.	2005/0262238	A1	11/2005	Reeves et al.
2004/0028043	A1	2/2004	Maveli et al.	2005/0277430	A1	12/2005	Meisi
2004/0028063	A1	2/2004	Roy et al.	2005/0289109	A1	12/2005	Arrouye et al.
2004/0030627	A1	2/2004	Sedukhin	2005/0289111	A1	12/2005	Tribble et al.
2004/0030740	A1	2/2004	Stelting	2006/0010502	A1	1/2006	Mimatsu et al.
2004/0030857	A1	2/2004	Krakirian et al.	2006/0031374	A1	2/2006	Lu et al.
2004/0043758	A1	3/2004	Sorvari et al.	2006/0031520	A1	2/2006	Bedekar et al.
2004/0054777	A1	3/2004	Ackaouy et al.	2006/0045096	A1	3/2006	Farmer et al.
2004/0059789	A1	3/2004	Shum	2006/0047785	A1	3/2006	Wang et al.
2004/0064544	A1	4/2004	Barsness et al.	2006/0059267	A1	3/2006	Cugi et al.
2004/0064554	A1	4/2004	Kuno et al.	2006/0075475	A1	4/2006	Boulos et al.
2004/0072569	A1	4/2004	Omae et al.	2006/0077902	A1	4/2006	Kannan et al.
2004/0093474	A1	5/2004	Lin et al.	2006/0080353	A1	4/2006	Miloushev et al.
2004/0098383	A1	5/2004	Tabellion et al.	2006/0095573	A1	5/2006	Carle
2004/0103283	A1	5/2004	Hornak	2006/0106882	A1	5/2006	Douceur et al.
2004/0111523	A1	6/2004	Hall et al.	2006/0112151	A1	5/2006	Manley et al.
2004/0111621	A1	6/2004	Himberger et al.	2006/0112176	A1	5/2006	Liu et al.
2004/0117493	A1	6/2004	Bazot et al.	2006/0112272	A1	5/2006	Morioka et al.
2004/0122926	A1	6/2004	Moore et al.	2006/0112367	A1	5/2006	Harris
2004/0123277	A1	6/2004	Schrader et al.	2006/0123062	A1	6/2006	Bobbitt et al.
2004/0133605	A1	7/2004	Chang et al.	2006/0129684	A1	6/2006	Datta
2004/0133606	A1	7/2004	Miloushev et al.	2006/0135198	A1	6/2006	Lee
2004/0138858	A1	7/2004	Carley	2006/0140193	A1	6/2006	Kakani et al.
2004/0139355	A1	7/2004	Axel et al.	2006/0153201	A1	7/2006	Hepper et al.
2004/0148380	A1	7/2004	Meyer et al.	2006/0156416	A1	7/2006	Huotari et al.
2004/0141185	A1	8/2004	Akama	2006/0161577	A1	7/2006	Kulkarni et al.
2004/0151186	A1	8/2004	Akama	2006/0167838	A1	7/2006	Lacapra
2004/0153479	A1	8/2004	Mikesell et al.	2006/0171365	A1	8/2006	Borella
2004/0167967	A1	8/2004	Bastian et al.	2006/0179261	A1	8/2006	Rajan
2004/0181605	A1	9/2004	Nakatani et al.	2006/0184589	A1	8/2006	Lees et al.
2004/0192312	A1	9/2004	Li et al.	2006/0190496	A1	8/2006	Tsunoda
2004/0199547	A1	10/2004	Winter et al.	2006/0200470	A1	9/2006	Lacapra et al.
2004/0213156	A1	10/2004	Smallwood et al.	2006/0209853	A1	9/2006	Hidaka et al.
2004/0215665	A1	10/2004	Edgar et al.	2006/0212746	A1	9/2006	Amegadzie et al.
2004/0236798	A1	11/2004	Srinivasan et al.	2006/0224687	A1	10/2006	Popkin et al.
2004/0236826	A1	11/2004	Harville et al.	2006/0230148	A1	10/2006	Forecast et al.
2004/0264472	A1	12/2004	Oliver et al.	2006/0230265	A1	10/2006	Krishna
2004/0264481	A1	12/2004	Darling et al.	2006/0233106	A1	10/2006	Achlioptas et al.
2004/0267920	A1	12/2004	Hydrie et al.	2006/0242179	A1	10/2006	Chen et al.
2004/0267948	A1	12/2004	Oliver et al.	2006/0242300	A1	10/2006	Yumoto et al.
2004/0268358	A1	12/2004	Darling et al.	2006/0259320	A1	11/2006	LaSalle et al.
2005/0004887	A1	1/2005	Igakura et al.	2006/0259949	A1	11/2006	Schaefer et al.
2005/0021615	A1	1/2005	Arnott et al.	2006/0268692	A1	11/2006	Wright et al.
2005/0021703	A1	1/2005	Cherry et al.	2006/0271598	A1	11/2006	Wong et al.
2005/0021736	A1	1/2005	Carusi et al.	2006/0277225	A1	12/2006	Mark et al.
2005/0027841	A1	2/2005	Rolfe	2006/0282442	A1	12/2006	Lennon et al.
2005/0027869	A1	2/2005	Johnson	2006/0282461	A1	12/2006	Marinescu
2005/0028010	A1	2/2005	Wallman	2006/0282471	A1	12/2006	Mark et al.
2005/0044158	A1	2/2005	Malik	2006/0288413	A1	12/2006	Kubota
2005/0044213	A1	2/2005	Kobayashi et al.	2007/0005807	A1	1/2007	Wong
2005/0050107	A1	3/2005	Mane et al.	2007/0006293	A1	1/2007	Balakrishnan et al.
2005/0052440	A1	3/2005	Kim et al.	2007/0016613	A1	1/2007	Foresti et al.
2005/0055435	A1	3/2005	Gbadegesin et al.	2007/0016662	A1	1/2007	Desai et al.
2005/0078604	A1	4/2005	Yim	2007/0024919	A1	2/2007	Wong et al.
2005/0091214	A1	4/2005	Probert et al.	2007/0027929	A1	2/2007	Whelan
2005/0108575	A1	5/2005	Yung	2007/0027935	A1	2/2007	Haselton et al.
2005/0114291	A1	5/2005	Becker-Szendy et al.	2007/0028068	A1	2/2007	Golding et al.
2005/0114701	A1	5/2005	Atkins et al.	2007/0058670	A1	3/2007	Konduru et al.
2005/0117589	A1	6/2005	Douady et al.	2007/0064661	A1	3/2007	Sood et al.
2005/0122977	A1	6/2005	Lieberman	2007/0083646	A1	4/2007	Miller et al.
2005/0125195	A1	6/2005	Brendel	2007/0088702	A1	4/2007	Fridella et al.
2005/0154837	A1	7/2005	Keohane et al.	2007/0088822	A1	4/2007	Coile et al.
2005/0165656	A1	7/2005	Frederick et al.	2007/0106796	A1	5/2007	Kudo et al.
2005/0175013	A1	8/2005	Le Penne et al.	2007/0107048	A1	5/2007	Halls et al.
2005/0187866	A1	8/2005	Lee	2007/0118879	A1	5/2007	Yeun
2005/0188220	A1	8/2005	Nilsson et al.	2007/0124502	A1	5/2007	Li
2005/0188423	A1	8/2005	Motsinger et al.	2007/0124806	A1	5/2007	Shulman et al.
2005/0189501	A1	9/2005	Sato et al.	2007/0130255	A1	6/2007	Wolovitz et al.
2005/0198234	A1	9/2005	Leib et al.	2007/0136308	A1	6/2007	Tsirigotis et al.
2005/0198310	A1	9/2005	Kim et al.	2007/0136312	A1	6/2007	Shulman et al.
2005/0213587	A1	9/2005	Cho et al.	2007/0162891	A1	7/2007	Burner et al.
				2007/0168320	A1	7/2007	Borthakur et al.
				2007/0174491	A1	7/2007	Still et al.
				2007/0208748	A1	9/2007	Li
				2007/0209075	A1	9/2007	Coffman

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2007/0214503 A1	9/2007	Shulman et al.	2009/0254592 A1	10/2009	Marinov et al.
2007/0220598 A1	9/2007	Salowey et al.	2009/0265396 A1	10/2009	Ram et al.
2007/0226331 A1	9/2007	Srinivasan et al.	2009/0271865 A1	10/2009	Jiang
2007/0233809 A1	10/2007	Brownell et al.	2009/0287935 A1	11/2009	Aull et al.
2007/0233826 A1	10/2007	Tindal et al.	2009/0296624 A1	12/2009	Ryu et al.
2007/0297410 A1	12/2007	Yoon et al.	2009/0300161 A1	12/2009	Pruitt et al.
2007/0297551 A1	12/2007	Choi	2009/0300407 A1	12/2009	Kamath et al.
2008/0004022 A1	1/2008	Johannesson et al.	2010/0011434 A1	1/2010	Kay
2008/0010372 A1	1/2008	Khedouri et al.	2010/0017846 A1	1/2010	Huang et al.
2008/0022059 A1	1/2008	Zimmerer et al.	2010/0023582 A1	1/2010	Pedersen et al.
2008/0025297 A1	1/2008	Kashyap	2010/0034381 A1*	2/2010	Trace et al. 380/255
2008/0034136 A1	2/2008	Ulenas	2010/0036959 A1	2/2010	Trace et al.
2008/0046432 A1	2/2008	Anderson et al.	2010/0061380 A1	3/2010	Barach et al.
2008/0070575 A1	3/2008	Claussen et al.	2010/0064001 A1	3/2010	Daily
2008/0072303 A1	3/2008	Syed	2010/0071048 A1	3/2010	Novak et al.
2008/0104443 A1	5/2008	Akutsu et al.	2010/0077462 A1	3/2010	Joffe et al.
2008/0120370 A1	5/2008	Chan et al.	2010/0115236 A1	5/2010	Bataineh et al.
2008/0133518 A1	6/2008	Kapoor et al.	2010/0122091 A1	5/2010	Huang et al.
2008/0134311 A1	6/2008	Medvinsky et al.	2010/0142382 A1	6/2010	Jungck et al.
2008/0137659 A1	6/2008	Levy-Abegnoli et al.	2010/0150154 A1	6/2010	Viger et al.
2008/0148340 A1	6/2008	Powell et al.	2010/0161774 A1*	6/2010	Huang et al. 709/221
2008/0159145 A1	7/2008	Muthukrishnan et al.	2010/0165877 A1	7/2010	Shukla et al.
2008/0178278 A1	7/2008	Grinstein et al.	2010/0179984 A1	7/2010	Sebastian
2008/0201599 A1	8/2008	Ferraiolo et al.	2010/0211547 A1	8/2010	Kamei et al.
2008/0205415 A1	8/2008	Morales	2010/0217890 A1	8/2010	Nice et al.
2008/0205613 A1	8/2008	Lopez	2010/0228813 A1	9/2010	Suzuki et al.
2008/0208933 A1	8/2008	Lyon	2010/0242092 A1	9/2010	Harris et al.
2008/0209073 A1	8/2008	Tang	2010/0251330 A1	9/2010	Kroeselberg et al.
2008/0222223 A1	9/2008	Srinivasan et al.	2010/0274885 A1	10/2010	Yoo et al.
2008/0222646 A1	9/2008	Sigal et al.	2010/0322250 A1	12/2010	Shetty et al.
2008/0225710 A1	9/2008	Raja et al.	2010/0325264 A1	12/2010	Crowder et al.
2008/0229415 A1	9/2008	Kapoor et al.	2010/0325277 A1	12/2010	Muthiah et al.
2008/0243769 A1	10/2008	Arbour et al.	2011/0038377 A1	2/2011	Haddad
2008/0253395 A1	10/2008	Pandya	2011/0040889 A1	2/2011	Garrett et al.
2008/0256224 A1	10/2008	Kaji et al.	2011/0047620 A1	2/2011	Mahaffey et al.
2008/0270578 A1	10/2008	Zhang et al.	2011/0055921 A1	3/2011	Narayanaswamy et al.
2008/0271132 A1	10/2008	Jokela et al.	2011/0066718 A1	3/2011	Susai et al.
2008/0275843 A1	11/2008	Lal	2011/0066736 A1	3/2011	Mitchell et al.
2008/0282047 A1	11/2008	Arakawa et al.	2011/0087696 A1	4/2011	Lacapra
2008/0288661 A1	11/2008	Galles	2011/0153822 A1	6/2011	Rajan et al.
2008/0301760 A1	12/2008	Lim	2011/0154132 A1	6/2011	Aybay
2008/0304457 A1	12/2008	Thubert et al.	2011/0154443 A1	6/2011	Thakur et al.
2008/0320093 A1	12/2008	Thorne	2011/0173295 A1	7/2011	Bakke et al.
2009/0007162 A1	1/2009	Sheehan	2011/0184733 A1	7/2011	Yu et al.
2009/0028337 A1	1/2009	Balabine et al.	2011/0208714 A1	8/2011	Soukal et al.
2009/0037975 A1	2/2009	Ishikawa et al.	2011/0211553 A1	9/2011	Haddad
2009/0041230 A1	2/2009	Williams	2011/0246800 A1	10/2011	Accpadi et al.
2009/0049230 A1	2/2009	Pandya	2011/0273984 A1	11/2011	Hsu et al.
2009/0055607 A1	2/2009	Schack et al.	2011/0282997 A1	11/2011	Prince et al.
2009/0070617 A1	3/2009	Arimilli et al.	2011/0283018 A1	11/2011	Levine et al.
2009/0077097 A1	3/2009	Lacapra et al.	2011/0292857 A1	12/2011	Sarikaya et al.
2009/0077619 A1	3/2009	Boyce	2011/0295924 A1	12/2011	Morris
2009/0089344 A1	4/2009	Brown et al.	2011/0307629 A1	12/2011	Haddad
2009/0094252 A1	4/2009	Wong et al.	2011/0321122 A1	12/2011	Mwangi et al.
2009/0094610 A1	4/2009	Sukirya	2012/0005372 A1	1/2012	Sarikaya et al.
2009/0100518 A1	4/2009	Overcash	2012/0016994 A1	1/2012	Nakamura et al.
2009/0103524 A1	4/2009	Mantripragada	2012/0039341 A1	2/2012	Latif et al.
2009/0106255 A1	4/2009	Lacapra et al.	2012/0041965 A1	2/2012	Vasquez et al.
2009/0106263 A1	4/2009	Khalid et al.	2012/0047571 A1	2/2012	Duncan et al.
2009/0119504 A1	5/2009	van Os et al.	2012/0054497 A1	3/2012	Korhonen
2009/0125496 A1	5/2009	Wexler et al.	2012/0059934 A1	3/2012	Rafiq et al.
2009/0125532 A1	5/2009	Wexler et al.	2012/0063314 A1	3/2012	Pignataro et al.
2009/0125625 A1	5/2009	Shim et al.	2012/0066489 A1	3/2012	Ozaki et al.
2009/0125955 A1	5/2009	DeLorme	2012/0071131 A1	3/2012	Zisapel et al.
2009/0132616 A1	5/2009	Winter et al.	2012/0101952 A1	4/2012	Raleigh et al.
2009/0138749 A1	5/2009	Moll et al.	2012/0110210 A1	5/2012	Huang et al.
2009/0141891 A1	6/2009	Boyen et al.	2012/0117379 A1	5/2012	Thornewell et al.
2009/0187649 A1	7/2009	Migault et al. ... H04L 29/12066 709/223	2012/0174217 A1	7/2012	Ormazabal
2009/0196282 A1	8/2009	Fellman et al.	2012/0191847 A1	7/2012	Nas et al.
2009/0204649 A1	8/2009	Wong et al.	2012/0259998 A1	10/2012	Kaufman
2009/0204650 A1	8/2009	Wong et al.	2012/0284296 A1	11/2012	Arifuddin et al.
2009/0204705 A1	8/2009	Marinov et al.	2012/0311153 A1	12/2012	Morgan
2009/0210431 A1	8/2009	Marinkovic et al.	2012/0317266 A1	12/2012	Abbott
2009/0228956 A1	9/2009	He et al.	2013/0007870 A1	1/2013	Devarajan et al.
			2013/0029726 A1	1/2013	Berionne et al.
			2013/0091002 A1	4/2013	Christie et al.
			2013/0100815 A1	4/2013	Kakadia et al.
			2013/0103805 A1	4/2013	Lyon
			2013/0110939 A1	5/2013	Yang et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2013/0120168	A1	5/2013	Kumar et al.
2013/0151725	A1	6/2013	Baginski et al.
2013/0166715	A1	6/2013	Yuan et al.
2013/0198322	A1	8/2013	Oran et al.
2013/0201999	A1	8/2013	Savolainen et al.
2013/0205035	A1	8/2013	Chen
2013/0205040	A1	8/2013	Naor et al.
2013/0335010	A1	12/2013	Wu et al.
2013/0336122	A1	12/2013	Barush et al.
2013/0340079	A1	12/2013	Gottlieb
2014/0025823	A1	1/2014	Szabo et al.
2014/0040478	A1	2/2014	Hsu et al.
2014/0095661	A1	4/2014	Knowles et al.
2014/0269484	A1	9/2014	Dankberg et al.
2014/0317404	A1	10/2014	Carlson et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA	2512312	A1	7/2004
EP	0 605 088		7/1994
EP	0 738 970		10/1996
EP	0744850	A2	11/1996
EP	1 081 918		3/2001
EP	2 244 418		10/2010
GB	2 448 071		10/2008
JP	63010250	A	1/1988
JP	06-205006		7/1994
JP	06-332782		12/1994
JP	8021924		3/1996
JP	08-328760		12/1996
JP	08-339355		12/1996
JP	9016510	A	1/1997
JP	11282741	A	10/1999
JP	2000183935		6/2000
JP	2005-010913		1/2005
JP	2008-257738	A	10/2008
JP	2009-124113		6/2009
JP	2011-188071		9/2011
JP	2011-238263		11/2011
NZ	566291		12/2008
WO	WO 91/14326		9/1991
WO	WO 95/05712		2/1995
WO	WO 97/09805		3/1997
WO	WO 97/45800		12/1997
WO	WO 99/05829		2/1999
WO	WO 99/06913		2/1999
WO	WO 99/10858		3/1999
WO	WO 99/39373		8/1999
WO	WO 99/64967		12/1999
WO	WO 00/04422		1/2000
WO	WO 00/04458		1/2000
WO	WO 00/58870		10/2000
WO	WO 02/39696		5/2002
WO	WO 02/056181	A2	7/2002
WO	WO 2004/061605	A2	7/2004
WO	WO 2006/091040		8/2006
WO	WO 2009/052668		10/2007
WO	WO 2008/130983		10/2008
WO	WO 2008/147973	A2	12/2008

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Arends R., et al., "DNS Security Introduction and Requirements", Network Working Group, RFC 4033, Mar. 2005, pp. 1-20.

Arends R., et al., "Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions", Network Working Group, RFC 4035, Mar. 2005, pp. 1-50.

Arends R., et al., "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions", Network Working Group, RFC 4034, Mar. 2005, pp. 1-28.

Forrester Research, Inc., "DNSSEC Ready for Prime Time", Forrester Research, Inc. Cambridge, MA (Jul. 2010).

Thomson, et al., "DNS Extensions to Support IP Version 6", The Internet Society (Oct. 2003).

Wikipedia, "List of DNS record types", retrieved from Internet URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_DNS_record_types (Jun. 2010).

Wikipedia, "IPv6", retrieved from Internet URL: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IPv6> (Jun. 2010).

Wikipedia, "Domain Name System Security Extensions", retrieved from Internet URL: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DNSSEC> (Jun. 2010).

Dan Kaminsky, (slideshow presentation) "Black Ops of Fundamental Defense: Introducing the Domain Key Infrastructure", retrieved from Internet URL: <http://www.slideshare.net/RecursionVentures/dki-2>, (Aug. 2010).

Bau et al., "A Security Evaluation of DNSSEC with NSEC3," Mar. 2, 2010; updated version corrects and supersedes a paper in the NDSS' 10 proceedings, pp. 1-17.

"BIG-IP® Global Traffic Manager," <<http://www.f5.com/products/bigip/product-modules/global-traffic-manager.html>>, last accessed Jul. 6, 2010, 2 pages.

"BIG-IP® Global Traffic Manager™ and BIG-IP Link Controller™: Implementations,".

"DNSSEC Functional Spec," TMOSDnsSECFS<TMOS<TWiki, last accessed on Mar. 31, 2010, p. 1-10.

"DNSX; DNSX Secure Signer; DNSSEC Management Solution," <<http://www.xelerance.com/dnssec>>. pp. 1-9.

"F5 and Infoblox Provide Customers with Complete DNS Security Solution," <<http://www.f5.com/news-press-events/press/2010/20100301.html>>, Mar. 1, 2010, 2 pages, F5 Networks, Inc. Seattle and Santa Clara, California.

"F5 Solutions Enable Government Organizations to Meet 2009 DNSSEC Compliance," <<http://www.f5.com/news-press-events/press/2009/20091207.html>>, Dec. 7, 2009, 2 pages, F5 Networks, Inc., Seattle, California.

Higgins, Kelly Jackson, "Internet Infrastructure Reaches Long-Awaited Security Milestone," Tech Center: Security Services, <<http://www.darkreading.com/securityservices/securtiy/management/showArticle.jhtml?article>>, Jul. 28, 2010. pp. 1-4.

Macvittie, Lori, "It's DNSSEC Not DNSSUX," DevCentral>Weblogs, <<http://devcentral.f5.com/weblogs/macvittie/archive/2009/11/18/itrsquos-dnssec-notdnssux.aspx>>, posted on Nov. 18, 2009, accessed on Jul. 6, 2010, pp. 3-7.

Meyer et al., "F5 and Infoblox DNS Integrated Architecture: Offering a Complete Scalable, Secure DNS Solution," F5 Technical Brief, Feb. 2, 2010, 18 pages, URL: <http://web.archive.prg/web/20100326145019/http://www.f5.com/pdf/white-papers/infoblox-wp.pdf>.

Weiler et al., "Minimally Covering NSEC Records and DNSSEC On-line Signing," Network Working Group, RFC 4470, Apr. 2006, 8 pages, The Internet Society.

"Who is Xelerance," <<http://www.xelerance.com>>, slides 1-6 (2007).

"A Process for Selective Routing of Servlet Content to Transcoding Modules," Research Disclosure 422124, Jun. 1999, pp. 889-890, IBM Corporation.

"A Storage Architecture Guide," Second Edition, 2001, Auspex Systems, Inc., www.auspex.com, last accessed on Dec. 30, 2002.

"BIG-IP® Global Traffic Manager," <<http://www.f5.com/products/big-ip/product-modules/global-traffic-manager.html>>, last accessed Jul. 6, 2010, 2 pages.

"CSA Persistent File System Technology," A White Paper, Jan. 1, 1999, p. 1-3, http://www.cosoa.com/white_papers/pfs.php, Colorado Software Architecture, Inc.

"Detail Requirement Report: RQ-GTM-0000024," <<http://fpweb/fptopic.asp?REQ=RQ-GTM-0000024>>, F5 Networks, Inc., 1999, printed Mar. 31, 2010, 2 pages.

"Detail Requirement Report: RQ-GTM-0000028," <<http://fpweb/fptopic.asp?REQ=RQ-GTM-0000028>>, F5 Networks, Inc., 1999, printed Mar. 31, 2010, 2 pages.

"Diameter MBLB Support Phase 2: Generic Message Based Load Balancing (GMBLB)," last accessed Mar. 29, 2010, pp. 1-10, (<http://peterpan.f5net.com/twiki/bin/view/TMOS/TMOSDiameterMBLB>).

"Distributed File System: A Logical View of Physical Storage: White Paper," 1999, Microsoft Corp., www.microsoft.com, <<http://www.microsoft.com>>.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

www.eu.microsoft.com/TechNet/prodtechnol/windows2000serv/maintain/DFSnt95>, pp. 1-26, last accessed on Dec. 20, 2002.

“DNSSEC Functional Spec,” TMOSDnsSECFS<Tmos<TWiki, last accessed on Mar. 31, 2010, pp. 1-10.

“DNSX; DNSX Secure Signer; DNSSEC Management Solution,” <http://www.xelerance.com/dnssec>, pp. 1-9, Aug. 2009.

“F5 Solutions Enable Government Organizations to Meet 2009 DNSSEC Compliance,” <http://www.f5.com/news-press-events/press/2009/20091207.html>, Dec. 7, 2009, 2 pages, F5 Networks, Inc., Seattle, California.

“Market Research & Releases, CMPP PoC documentation”, last accessed Mar. 29, 2010, (<http://mainstreet/sites/PD/Teams/ProdMgmt/MarketResearch/Universal>).

“Market Research & Releases, Solstice Diameter Requirements”, last accessed Mar. 29, 2010, (<http://mainstreet/sites/PD/Teams/ProdMgmt/MarketResearch/Unisversal>).

“NERSC Tutorials: I/O on the Cray T3E, ‘Chapter 8, Disk Striping’,” National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), <http://hpcfnersc.gov>, last accessed on Dec. 27, 2002.

“Respond to Server Depending on TCP::Client_Port”, DevCentral Forums iRules, pp. 1-6, last accessed Mar. 26, 2010, (<http://devcentral.f5.com/Default.aspx?tabid=53&forumid=5&tpage=1&v>).

“Scaling Next Generation Web Infrastructure with Content-Intelligent Switching: White Paper,” Apr. 2000, p. 1-9 Alteon Web Systems, Inc.

“Secure64 DNS Signer”, <<http://www.secure64.com>>, Data sheet, Jun. 22, 2011, V.3.1., 2 pages.

“Servlet/Applet/HTML Authentication Process With Single Sign-On,” Research Disclosure 429128, Jan. 2000, pp. 163-164, IBM Corporation.

“The AFS File System in Distributed Computing Environment,” www.transarc.ibm.com/Library/whitepapers/AFS/afsoverview.html, last accessed on Dec. 20, 2002.

“Traffic Surges; Surge Queue; Netscaler Defense,” 2005, PowerPoint Presentation, slides 1-12, Citrix Systems, Inc.

“UDDI Overview”, Sep. 6, 2000, pp. 1-21, [uddi.org](http://www.uddi.org), (<http://www.uddi.org/>).

“UDDI Technical White Paper,” Sep. 6, 2000, pp. 1-12, [uddi-org](http://www.uddi.org), (<http://www.uddi.org/>).

“UDDI Version 3.0.1”, UDDI Spec Technical Committee Specification, Oct. 14, 2003, pp. 1-383, [uddi.org](http://www.uddi.org), (<http://www.uddi.org/>).

“Veritas SANPoint Foundation Suite(tm) and SANPoint Foundation Suite(tm) HA: New Veritas Volume Management and File System Technology for Cluster Environments,” Sep. 2001, Veritas Software Corp.

“Who is Xelerance,” <<http://www.xelerance.com>>, slides 1-6.

“Windows Clustering Technologies —An Overview,” Nov. 2001, Microsoft Corp., www.microsoft.com, last accessed on Dec. 30, 2002.

“Windows Server 2003 Kerberos Extensions,” Microsoft TechNet, 2003 (Updated Jul. 31, 2004), <http://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc738207>, Microsoft Corporation.

Abad, C., et al., “An Analysis on the Schemes for Detecting and Preventing ARP Cache Poisoning Attacks”, IEEE, Computer Society, 27th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems Workshops (ICDCSW’07), 2007, pp. 1-8.

Aguilera, Marcos K. et al., “Improving recoverability in multi-tier storage systems,” International Conference on Dependable Systems and Networks (DSN-2007), Jun. 2007, 10 pages, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Anderson et al., “Serverless Network File System,” in the 15th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles, Dec. 1995, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. (18 pages).

Anderson, Darrell C. et al., “Interposed Request Routing for Scalable Network Storage,” ACM Transactions on Computer Systems 20(1): (Feb. 2002), pp. 1-24.

Anonymous, “How DFS Works: Remote File Systems,” Distributed File System (DFS) Technical Reference, retrieved from the Internet

on Feb. 13, 2009: URL<:<http://technetmicrosoft.com/en-us/library/cc782417WS.10.printer.aspx>> (Mar. 2003).

Apple, Inc., “Mac OS X Tiger Keynote Intro. Part 2,” Jun. 2004, [www.youtube.com](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zSBjwEmRjY) <<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zSBjwEmRjY>>, p. 1.

Apple, Inc., “Tiger Developer Overview Series: Working with Spotlight,” Nov. 23, 2004, www.apple.com using www.archive.org <<http://web.archive.org/web/20041123005335/developer.apple.com/macosex/tiger/spotlight.html>>, pp. 1-6.

Arends et al., “DNS Security Introduction and Requirements”, Network Working Group, RFC 4033, Mar. 2005, pp. 1-20.

Arends et al., “Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions,” Network Working Group, RFC 4035, Mar. 1, 2005, 54 pages, The Internet Society.

Arends et al., “Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions”, Network Working Group, RFC 4034, Mar. 2005, pp. 1-28.

Aura T., “Cryptographically Generated Addresses (CGA)”, Network Working Group, RFC 3972, Mar. 2005, pp. 1-21.

Baer, T., et al., “The Elements of Web Services” ADTmag.com, Dec. 1, 2002, pp. 1-6, (<http://www.adtmag.com>).

Bagnulo et al., “DNS 64: DNS extensions for Network Address Translation from IPv6 Clients to IPv4 Servers,” Internet draft, Jul. 2010, pp. 1-31, IETF Trust.

Basney et al., “Credential Wallets: A Classification of Credential Repositories Highlighting MyProxy,” Sep. 19-21, 2003, pp. 1-20, 31st Research Conference on Communication, Information and Internet Policy (TPRC 2003), Arlington, Virginia.

Bau et al., “A Security Evaluation of DNSEC with NSEC3,” Mar. 2, 2010; updated version corrects and supersedes a paper in the NDSS’ 10 proceedings, pp. 1-18.

BIG-IP® Access Policy Manager®: Implementations, Version 12.0, F5 Networks, Inc., 2015, pp. 1-108.

Blue Coat, “Technology Primer: CIFS Protocol Optimization,” Blue Coat Systems Inc., 2007, pp. 1-3, (<http://www.bluecoat.com>).

Botzum, Keys, “Single Sign On—A Contrarian View,” Aug. 6, 2001, pp. 1-8, Open Group Website, <http://www.opengroup.org/security/topics.htm>.

Cabrera et al., “Swift: A Storage Architecture for Large Objects,” In Proceedings of the Eleventh IEEE Symposium on Mass Storage Systems, Oct. 1991, pp. 123-128.

Cabrera et al., “Swift: Using Distributed Disk Striping to Provide High I/O Data Rates,” Fall 1991, pp. 405-436, vol. 4, No. 4, Computing Systems.

Cabrera et al., “Using Data Striping in a Local Area Network,” 1992, technical report No. UCSC-CRL-92-09 of the Computer & Information Sciences Department of University of California at Santa Cruz.

Callaghan et al., “NFS Version 3 Protocol Specifications” (RFC 1813), Jun. 1995, The Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), www.ietf.org, last accessed on Dec. 30, 2002.

Carns et al., “PVFS: A Parallel File System for Linux Clusters,” in Proceedings of the Extreme Linux Track: 4th Annual Linux Showcase and Conference, Oct. 2000, pp. 317-327, Atlanta, Georgia, USENIX Association.

Cavale, M. R., “Introducing Microsoft Cluster Service (MSCS) in the Windows Server 2003”, Microsoft Corporation, Nov. 2002.

Crescendo Networks, “Application Layer Processing (ALP),” 2003-2009, pp. 168-186, Chapter 9, CN-5000E/5500E, Foxit Software Company.

Dan Kaminsky, (slideshow presentation) “Black Ops of Fundamental Defense: Introducing the Domain Key Infrastructure”, retrieved from Internet URL: <http://www.slideshare.net/RecursionVentures/dki-2>, (slides 1-116) (Aug. 2010).

English Translation of Notification of Reason(s) for Refusal for JP 2002-556371 (Dispatch Date: Jan. 22, 2007).

F5 Networks Inc., “3-DNS® Reference Guide, version 4.5”, F5 Networks Inc., Sep. 2002, pp. 2-1-2-8, 3-1-3-12, 5-1-5-24, Seattle, Washington.

F5 Networks Inc., “Big-IP® Reference Guide, version 4.5”, F5 Networks Inc., Sep. 2002, pp. 11-1-11-32, Seattle, Washington.

F5 Networks Inc., “Case Information Log for ‘Issues with BoNY upgrade to 4.3’”, as early as Feb. 2008.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- F5 Networks Inc., "Configuration Guide for Local Traffic Management", F5 Networks Inc., Jan. 2006, version 9.2.2, 406 pgs.
- F5 Networks Inc., "Deploying the BIG-IP LTM for Diameter Traffic Management" F5® Deployment Guide, Publication date Sep. 2010, Version 1.2, pgs. 1-19.
- F5 Networks Inc., "F5 Diameter RM", Powerpoint document, Jul. 16, 2009, pp. 1-7.
- F5 Networks Inc., "F5 WANJet CIFS Acceleration", White Paper, F5 Networks Inc., Mar. 2006, pp. 1-5, Seattle, Washington.
- F5 Networks Inc., "Routing Global Internet Users to the Appropriate Data Center and Applications Using F5's 3-DNS Controller", F5 Networks Inc., Aug. 2001, pp. 1-4, Seattle, Washington, (<http://www.f5.com/f5products/3dns/relatedMaterials/UsingF5.html>).
- F5 Networks Inc., "Using F5's 3-DNS Controller to Provide High Availability Between Two or More Data Centers", F5 Networks Inc., Aug. 2001, pp. 1-4, Seattle, Washington, (<http://www.f5.com/f5products/3dns/relatedMaterials/3DNSRouting.html>).
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP ASM 11.2.0", Release Notes, Sep. 19, 2012, Version 11.2.0, F5 Networks, Inc.
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP Controller with Exclusive OneConnect Content Switching Feature Provides a Breakthrough System for Maximizing Server and Network Performance," Press Release, May 8, 2001, 2 pages, Las Vegas, Nevada.
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP Systems: Getting Started Guide," Manual 0300-00, Feb. 4, 2010, pp. 1-102, version 10.1, F5 Networks, Inc.
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® Access Policy Manager®: Application Access," version 12.1, published May 9, 2016 (66 pages).
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® Access Policy Manager®: Authentication and Single Sign-On," version 12.1, published May 9, 2016 (332 pages).
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® Access Policy Manager®: Implementations," version 12.1, published May 9, 2016 (168 pages).
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® Access Policy Manager®: Network Access," version 12.1, published May 9, 2016 (108 pages).
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® Access Policy Manager®: Portal Access," version 12.1, published May 9, 2016 (82 pages).
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® Access Policy Manager®: Secure Web Gateway", version 12.1, published May 9, 2016 (180 pages).
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® Application Security Manager™: Getting Started Guide", Version 11.2, May 7, 2012, F5 Networks, Inc.
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® Application Security Manager™: Implementations", Version 11.2, May 7, 2012, F5 Networks, Inc.
- F5 Networks, Inc., "BIG-IP® TMOS®: Implementations", Manual, May 5, 2015, Version 11.2, F5 Networks, Inc.
- F5 Networks, Inc., "Configuration Guide for BIG-IP® Application Security Manager™", Manual, May 7, 2012, Version 11.2, F5 Networks, Inc.
- F5 Networks, Inc., "F5 TMOS Operations Guide", Manual, Mar. 5, 2015, F5 Networks, Inc.
- F5 Networks, Inc., "Release Note: BIG-IP APM 12.1.0," published Jun. 6, 2016 (13 pages).
- Fajardo V., "Open Diameter Software Architecture," Jun. 25, 2004, pp. 1-6, Version 1.0.7.
- Fan et al., "Summary Cache: A Scalable Wide-Area Protocol", Computer Communications Review, Association Machinery, New York, USA, Oct. 1998, vol. 28, Web Cache Sharing for Computing No. 4, pp. 254-265.
- Farley, M., "Building Storage Networks," Jan. 2000, McGraw Hill, ISBN 0072120509.
- Fielding et al., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol—HTTP/1.1," Network Working Group, RFC: 2068, Jan. 1997, pp. 1-162.
- Fielding et al., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol—HTTP/1.1," Network Working Group, RFC: 2616, Jun. 1999, pp. 1-176, The Internet Society.
- Floyd et al., "Random Early Detection Gateways for Congestion Avoidance," Aug. 1993, pp. 1-22, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, California.
- Forrester Research, Inc., "DNSSEC Ready for Prime Time", Forrester Research, Inc. Cambridge, MA, 23 pages (Jul. 2010).
- Gibson et al., "File Server Scaling with Network-Attached Secure Disks," in Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Measurement and Modeling of Computer Systems (Sigmetrics '97), Association for Computing Machinery, Inc., Jun. 15-18, 1997.
- Gibson et al., "NASD Scalable Storage Systems," Jun. 1999, USENIX99, Extreme Linux Workshop, Monterey, California.
- Gupta et al., "Algorithms for Packet Classification", Computer Systems Laboratory, Stanford University, CA, Mar./Apr. 2001, pp. 1-29.
- Hagino J., et al., "An IPv6-to-IPv4 Transport Relay Translator", Network Working Group, RFC 3142, Jun. 2001, pp. 1-11.
- Harrison, C., May 19, 2008 response to Communication pursuant to Article 96(2) EPC dated Nov. 9, 2007 in corresponding European patent application No. 02718824.2.
- Hartman, J., "The Zebra Striped Network File System," 1994, Ph.D. dissertation submitted in the Graduate Division of the University of California at Berkeley.
- Haskin et al., "The Tiger Shark File System," 1996, in proceedings of IEEE, Spring Compcon, Santa Clara, CA, www.research.ibm.com, last accessed on Dec. 30, 2002.
- Heinz G., "Priorities in Stream Transmission Control Protocol (SCTP) Multistreaming", Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the University of Delaware, Spring 2003, pp. 1-35.
- Higgins, Kelly Jackson, "Internet Infrastructure Reaches Long-Awaited Security Milestone," Tech Center: Security Services, <<http://www.darkreading.com/securityservices/security/management/showArticle.jhtml?article>>, Jul. 28, 2010. pp. 1-4.
- Hochmuth, Phil, "F5, CacheFlow pump up content-delivery lines," Network World Fusion, May 4, 2001, 1 page, Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Howarth, Fran, "Investing in security versus facing the consequences," White Paper by Bloor Research, Sep. 2010, pp. 1-15.
- Hu, J., Final Office action dated Sep. 21, 2007 for related U.S. Appl. No. 10/336,784.
- Hu, J., Office action dated Feb. 6, 2007 for related U.S. Appl. No. 10/336,784.
- Hwang et al., "Designing SSI Clusters with Hierarchical Checkpointing and Single I/O Space," IEEE Concurrency, Jan.-Mar. 1999, pp. 60-69.
- Ilvesmaki M., et al., "On the Capabilities of Application Level Traffic Measurements to Differentiate and Classify Internet Traffic", Presented in SPIE's International Symposium ITcom, Aug. 19-21, 2001, pp. 1-11, Denver, Colorado.
- International Search Report and Written Opinion for International Patent Application No. PCT/US2011/058469 (dated May 30, 2012).
- International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US2011/054331, dated Mar. 13, 2012, 13 pages.
- International Search Report for International Patent Application No. PCT/US2008/083117 (dated Jun. 23, 2009).
- International Search Report for International Patent Application No. PCT/US2008/060449 (dated Apr. 9, 2008).
- International Search Report for International Patent Application No. PCT/US2008/064677 (dated Sep. 6, 2009).
- International Search Report for International Patent Application No. PCT/US02/00720, dated Mar. 19, 2003.
- International Search Report for International Patent Application No. PCT/US2012/071648 (dated May 27, 2013).
- International Search Report from International Application No. PCT/US03/41202, dated Sep. 15, 2005.
- Internet Protocol, "Darpa Internet Program Protocol Specification", (RFC:791), Information Sciences Institute, University of Southern California, Sep. 1981, pp. 1-49.
- Karamanolis et al., "An Architecture for Scalable and Manageable File Services," HPL-2001-173, Jul. 26, 2001. p. 1-14.
- Katsurashima et al., "NAS Switch: A Novel CIFS Server Virtualization, Proceedings," 20th IEEE/11th NASA Goddard Conference on Mass Storage Systems and Technologies, 2003 (MSST 2003), Apr. 2003.
- Kawamoto, D., "Amazon Files for Web Services Patent", CNET News.com, Jul. 28, 2005, pp. 1-2, last accessed May 4, 2006, (<http://news.com>).

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Kimball, C.E. et al., "Automated Client-Side Integration of Distributed Application Servers," 13Th LISA Conf., 1999, pp. 275-282 of the Proceedings.

Klayman, J., response filed by Japanese associate to office action dated Jan. 22, 2007 in corresponding Japanese patent application No. 2002-556371.

Klayman, J., Nov. 13, 2008 e-mail to Japanese associate including instructions for response to office action dated May 26, 2008 in corresponding Japanese patent application No. 2002-556371.

Klayman, J., Jul. 18, 2007 e-mail to Japanese associate including instructions for response to office action dated Jan. 22, 2007 in corresponding Japanese patent application No. 2002-556371.

Kohl et al., "The Kerberos Network Authentication Service (V5)," RFC 1510, Sep. 1993. (<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1510.txt?number=1510>).

Korkuzas, V., Communication pursuant to Article 96(2) EPC dated Sep. 11, 2007 in corresponding European patent application No. 02718824.2-2201.

LaMonica M., "Infravio Spiffs Up Web Services Registry Idea", CNET News.com, May 11, 2004, pp. 1-2, last accessed Sep. 20, 2004, (<http://www.news.com>).

Laurie et al., "DNS Security (DNSSEC) Hashed Authenticated Denial of Existence," Network Working Group, RFC 5155, Feb. 2008, pp. 1-51.

Lelil, S., "Storage Technology News: AutoVirt adds tool to help data migration projects," Feb. 25, 2011, last accessed Mar. 17, 2011, <http://searchstorage.techtarget.com/news/article/0,289142,sid5_gci1527986,00.html>.

Long et al., "Swift/RAID: A distributed RAID System", Computing Systems, Summer 1994, vol. 7, pp. 333-359.

Mac Vittie, L., "Message-Based Load Balancing: Using F5 Solutions to Address the Challenges of Scaling Diameter, RADIUS, and Message-Oriented Protocols", F5 Technical Brief, 2005, pp. 1-9, F5 Networks Inc., Seattle, Washington.

MacVittie, Lori, "It's DNSSEC Not DNSSUX," DevCentral>Weblogs, <<http://devcentral.f5.com/weblogs/macvittie/archive/2009/11/18/itrsquos-dnssec-not-dnssux.aspx>>, posted on Nov. 18, 2009, accessed on Jul. 6, 2010, pp. 3-7.

MacVittie, Lori, "Message-Based Load Balancing," Technical Brief, Jan. 2010, pp. 1-9, F5 Networks, Inc.

Meyer et al., "F5 and Infoblox DNS Integrated Architecture: Offering a Complete Scalable, Secure DNS Solution," F5 Technical Brief, Feb. 2, 2010, 18 pages, URL: <http://web.archive.prg/web/20100326145019/http://www.f5.com/pdf/white-papers/infoblox-wp.pdf>.

Modiano E., "Scheduling Algorithms for Message Transmission Over a Satellite Broadcast System", MIT Lincoln Laboratory Advanced Network Group, Nov. 1997, pp. 1-7.

Nichols K., et al., "Definition of the Differentiated Services Field (DS Field) in the IPv4 and IPv6 Headers", (RFC:2474) Network Working Group, Dec. 1998, pp. 1-19, last accessed Oct. 8, 2012, (<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2474.txt>).

Noghani et al., "A Novel Approach to Reduce Latency on the Internet: 'Component-Based Download'," Proceedings of the Computing, Las Vegas, NV, Jun. 2000, pp. 1-6 on the Internet: Intl Conf. on Internet.

Norton et al., "CIFS Protocol Version CIFS-Spec 0.9," 2001, Storage Networking Industry Association (SNIA), www.snia.org, last accessed on Mar. 26, 2001.

Notice of Reasons for Rejection and Its English Translation for corresponding Japanese Patent Application No. 2014-550426 (Apr. 13, 2016) (3 pages).

Novotny et al., "An Online Credential Repository for the Grid: MyProxy," 2001, pp. 1-8.

Office Action for corresponding Chinese Application No. 201280070784.4 (dated Dec. 6, 2016) (15 pages).

Office Action for corresponding Taiwan Patent Application No. 101145417 (dated May 11, 2016) (11 pages).

Ott D., et al., "A Mechanism for TCP-Friendly Transport-level Protocol Coordination", USENIX Annual Technical Conference, 2002, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, pp. 1-12.

OWASP, "Testing for Cross site scripting", OWASP Testing Guide v2, Table of Contents, Feb. 24, 2011, pp. 1-5, (www.owasp.org/index.php/Testing_for_Cross_site_scripting).

Padmanabhan V., et al., "Using Predictive Prefetching to Improve World Wide Web Latency", SIGCOM, 1996, pp. 1-15.

Pashalidis et al., "A Taxonomy of Single Sign-On Systems," 2003, pp. 1-16, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham Sunray, TW20, 0EX, United Kingdom.

Pashalidis et al., "Impostor: A Single Sign-On System for Use from Untrusted Devices," Global Telecommunications Conference, 2004, GLOBECOM '04, IEEE, Issue Date: Nov. 29-Dec. 3, 2004, Royal Holloway, University of London.

Patterson et al., "A case for redundant arrays of inexpensive disks (RAID)", Chicago, Illinois, Jun. 1-3, 1998, in Proceedings of ACM Sigmod conference on the Management of Data, pp. 109-116, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc., www.acm.org, last accessed on Dec. 20, 2002.

Pearson, P.K., "Fast Hashing of Variable-Length Text Strings," Comm. of the ACM, Jun. 1990, pp. 1-4, vol. 33, No. 6.

Peterson, M., "Introducing Storage Area Networks," Feb 1998, InfoStor, www.infostor.com, last accessed on Dec. 20, 2002.

Preslan et al., "Scalability and Failure Recovery in a Linux Cluster File System," in Proceedings of the 4th Annual Linux Showcase & Conference, Atlanta, Georgia, Oct. 10-14, 2000, pp. 169-180 of the Proceedings, www.usenix.org, last accessed on Dec. 20, 2002.

Response filed Jul. 6, 2007 to Office action dated Feb. 6, 2007 for related U.S. Appl. No. 10/336,784.

Response filed Mar. 20, 2008 to Final Office action dated Sep. 21, 2007 for U.S. Appl. No. 10/336,784.

Rodriguez et al., "Parallel-access for mirror sites in the Internet," InfoCom 2000. Nineteenth Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies. Proceedings. IEEE Tel Aviv, Israel Mar. 26-30, 2000, Piscataway, NJ, USA, IEEE, US, Mar. 26, 2000 (Mar. 26, 2000), pp. 864-873, XP010376176 ISBN: 0-7803-5880-5 p. 867, col. 2, last paragraph—p. 868, col. 1, paragraph 1.

Rosen E., et al., "MPLS Label Stack Encoding", (RFC:3032) Network Working Group, Jan. 2001, pp. 1-22, last accessed Oct. 8, 2012, (<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3032.txt>).

RSYNC, "Welcome to the RSYNC Web Pages," Retrieved from the Internet URL: <http://samba.anu.edu.au/rsync/>. (Retrieved on Dec. 18, 2009).

Savage, et al., "AFRAID—A Frequently Redundant Array of Independent Disks," Jan. 22-26, 1996, pp. 1-13, USENIX Technical Conference, San Diego, California.

Schaefer, Ken, "IIS and Kerberos Part 5—Protocol Transition, Constrained Delegation, S4U2S and S4U2P," Jul. 18, 2007, 21 pages, <http://www.adopenstatic.com/cs/blogs/ken/archive/2007/07/19/8460.aspx>.

Schilit B., "Bootstrapping Location-Enhanced Web Services", University of Washington, Dec. 4, 2003, (<http://www.cs.washington.edu/news/colloq.info.html>).

Seeley R., "Can Infravio Technology Revive UDDI?," ADTmag.com, Oct. 22, 2003, last accessed Sep. 30, 2004, (<http://www.adtmag.com>).

Shohoud, Y., "Building XML Web Services with VB.NET and VB 6", Addison Wesley, 2002, pp. 1-14.

Silva, Peter, "DNSSEC: The Antidote to DNS Cache Poisoning and Other DNS Attacks," F5 Technical Brief, 2009, pp. 1-10.

Sleeper B., "The Evolution of UDDI" UDDI.org White Paper, The Stencil Group, Inc., Jul. 19, 2002, pp. 1-15, San Francisco, California.

Sleeper B., "Why UDDI Will Succeed, Quietly: Two Factors Push Web Services Forward", The Stencil Group, Inc., Apr. 2001, pp. 1-7, San Francisco, California.

Soltis et al., "The Design and Performance of a Shared Disk File System for IRIX," Mar. 23-26, 1998, pp. 1-17, Sixth NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Conference on Mass Storage and Technologies in cooperation with the Fifteenth IEEE Symposium on Mass Storage Systems, University of Minnesota.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Soltis et al., "The Global File System," Sep. 17-19, 1996, in Proceedings of the Fifth NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Conference on Mass Storage Systems and Technologies, College Park, Maryland.

Sommers F., "Whats New in UDDI 3.0—Part 1", Web Services Papers, Jan. 27, 2003, pp. 1-4, last accessed Mar. 31, 2004, (<http://www.webservices.org/index.php/articleprint/871/-1/24>).

Sommers F., "Whats New in UDDI 3.0—Part 2", Web Services Papers, Mar. 2, 2003, pp. 1-8, last accessed Nov. 1, 2007, (<http://www.web.archive.org/web/20040620131006/>).

Sommers F., "Whats New in UDDI 3.0—Part 3", Web Services Papers, Sep. 2, 2003, pp. 1-4, last accessed Mar. 31, 2007, (<http://www.webservices.org/index.php/article/articleprint/894/-1/24/>).

Sorenson, K.M., "Installation and Administration: Kimberlite Cluster Version 1.1.0, Rev. Dec. 2000," Mission Critical Linux, <http://oss.missioncriticallinux.com/kimberlite/kimberlite.pdf>.

Stakutis, C., "Benefits of SAN-based file system sharing," Jul. 2000, pp. 1-4, InfoStor, www.infostor.com, last accessed on Dec. 30, 2002.

Tatipamula et al., "IPv6 Integration and Coexistence Strategies for Next-Generation Networks", IEEE Communications Magazine, Jan. 2004, pp. 88-96.

Thekkath et al., "Frangipani: A Scalable Distributed File System," in Proceedings of the 16th ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles, Oct. 1997, pp. 114, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc.

Thomson et al., "DNS Extensions to Support IP Version 6," The Internet Society, Network Working Group, RFC 3596, Oct. 2003, pp. 1-8.

Tulloch, Mitch, "Microsoft Encyclopedia of Security," 2003, pp. 218, 300-301, Microsoft Press, Redmond, Washington.

Uesugi, H., Nov. 26, 2008 amendment filed by Japanese associate in response to office action dated May 26, 2008 in corresponding Japanese patent application No. 2002-556371.

Uesugi, H., English translation of office action dated May 26, 2008 in corresponding Japanese patent application No. 2002-556371.

Uesugi, H., Jul. 15, 2008 letter from Japanese associate reporting office action dated May 26, 2008 in corresponding Japanese patent application No. 2002-556371.

Wallace, "Delegating Identity Using X.509 Certificates", IETF Trust, Jul. 29, 2015, 8 pgs.

Wang B., "Priority and Realtime Data Transfer Over the Best-Effort Internet", Dissertation Abstract, 2005, ScholarWorks@UMASS.

Weiler et al., "Minimally Covering NSEC Records and DNSSEC On-line Signing," Network Working Group, RFC 4470, Apr. 2006, 8 pages, The Internet Society.

Wikipedia, "Diameter (protocol)", pp. 1-11, last accessed Oct. 27, 2010, ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diameter_\(protocol\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diameter_(protocol))).

Wikipedia, "Domain Name System Security Extensions," <<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DNSSEC>>, accessed Jun. 3, 2010, pp. 1-20.

Wikipedia, "IPv6", <<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IPv6>>, accessed Jun. 3, 2010, 20 pages.

Wikipedia, "List of DNS record types," <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_DNS_record_types>, Jun. 2010, pp. 1-6.

Wilkes, J., et al., "The HP AutoRAID Hierarchical Storage System," Feb. 1996, vol. 14, No. 1, ACM Transactions on Computer Systems.

Williams et al., "Forwarding Authentication," The Ultimate Windows Server 2003 System Administrator's Guide, 2003, 2 pages, Figure 10.7, Addison-Wesley Professional, Boston, Massachusetts.

Woo T.Y.C., "A Modular Approach to Packet Classification: Algorithms and Results", Bell Laboratories, Lucent Technologies, Mar. 2000, pp. 1-10.

Xelerance, "DNSX; DNSX Secure Signer; DNSSEC Management Solution," <<http://www.xelerance.com/dnssec>>.pp. 1-9, Aug. 2009.

Zayas, E., "AFS-3 Programmer's Reference: Architectural Overview," Transarc Corp., version 1.0 of Sep. 2, 1991, doc. No. FS-00-D160.

Peter Silva, Securing Web Presence with DNSSEC, ISSA Preeminent Trusted Global Information Security Community, ISSA Journal, Mar. 2010), pp. 32-36.*

Carpenter, B., "Transmission of IPv6 over IPv4 Domains Without Explicit Tunnels", Network Working Group, RFC 2529, Mar. 1999, pp. 1-10.

Eastlake D., "Domain Name System Security Extensions", Network Working Group, RFC 2535, Mar. 1999, pp. 1-44.

"BIG-IP® Global Traffic Manager™ and BIG-IP Link Controller™: Implementations," Manual 0304-00, Dec. 3, 2009, pp. 1-161, version 10.1, F5 Networks, Inc.

"BIG-IP® Systems: Getting Started Guide," Manual 0300-00, Feb. 4, 2010, pp. 1-102, version 10.1, F5 Networks, Inc.

"Detail Requirement Report: RQ-GTM-0000024," <<http://fpweb/ftopic.asp?REQ:RQ-GTM-0000024>>, F5 Networks, Inc., 1999, printed Mar. 31, 2010, 2 pages.

"DNS DDOS Protection Functional Spec," BigipDNSDDOSProtectionFS<TMO<TWiki, last accessed Mar. 31, 2010, 2 pages.

"DNS Security (DNSSEC) Solutions," <<http://www.f5.com/solutions/security/dnssec>>, F5 Networks, Inc., printed Aug. 23, 2010, pp. 1-4.

"F5 and Infoblox Provide Customers with Complete DNS Security Solution," <<http://www.f5.com/news-press-events/press/2010/20100301.html>>, Mar. 1, 2010, 2 pages, F5 Networks, Inc., Seattle and Santa Clara, California.

"PDR/CDR for RQ-GTM-0000028," BigipDNSDDOSProtectionPDR<TMOS<TWiki, last accessed on Mar. 31, 2010, pp. 1-14.

"Secure64 DNS Signer," <www.secure64.com>, 2 pages, Apr. 2010.

Silva, Peter, "DNSSEC: The Antidote to DNS Cache Poisoning and Other DNS Attacks," F5 Technical Brief, 2009, pp. 1-10.

"Who is Xelerance," <<http://www.xelerance.com>>, slides 1-6, Jul. 2007.

Bagnulo, et al., "DNS extensions for Network Address translation from IPv6 Clients to IPv4 Servers", IETF Trust (Jul. 2010).

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

**METHODS FOR DNSSEC PROXYING AND
DEPLOYMENT AMELIORATION AND
SYSTEMS THEREOF**

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue; a claim printed with strikethrough indicates that the claim was canceled, disclaimed, or held invalid by a prior post-patent action or proceeding.

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/836,053, filed Jul. 14, 2010, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

TECHNOLOGICAL FIELD

This technology generally relates to securing network applications, and more particularly, to systems and methods for Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC) proxying and deployment amelioration.

BACKGROUND

Global Internet Domain Name System, also referred to as the Domain Name System (DNS), defines a tree of names starting with root, ".", immediately below which are top level domain names such as ".com" and ".us". Below top level domain names there are normally additional levels of names. Domain Name System (DNS) was invented as a technology for enabling humans to identify computers, services, and resources connected to a network (e.g., Internet) by corresponding names rather than network addresses (e.g., Internet Protocol (IP) addresses) in a number format. DNS translates human readable names into unique binary information of network devices to enable users to find the devices they need. Unfortunately, conventional DNS is not secure and is highly prone to malicious interception. The insecure nature of DNS has been known to cause substantial loss of privacy, data, and identity theft, among many other problems. For example, one of the ways in which DNS can be exploited is called DNS cache poisoning. When a client device inputs a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) into a client browser, a DNS resolver checks the Internet for the proper name/number translation and location. Typically, DNS will accept the first response or answer obtained without question and direct the client device to the site referred to in the response. The server receiving the DNS response will also cache that information for a period of time until it expires, so upon the next request for that name/number, the site is immediately delivered to the requesting client device. Since users at client devices assume they are getting the correct information, when a malicious system responds to the DNS query first with modified, false information, security of the client device is breached. Not only does that single computer get sent to the wrong place, but if the malicious server is answering for a service provider, then thousands of users can get sent to a rogue system. This misdirection of a URL request can last for hours to days, depending on how long the server stores the information, and all the other DNS servers that propagate the information can also be affected. The imminent dangers posed by a rogue site include delivering malware, committing fraud, and stealing personal or sensitive information.

To overcome some of the drawbacks of conventional DNS systems, Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC) were introduced as an attempt to add security to DNS while maintaining the backward compatibility needed to scale with the Internet as a whole. DNSSEC adds a digital signature to ensure the authenticity of certain types of DNS transactions and, therefore, the integrity of the information. DNSSEC is a series of DNS protocol extensions, described in Request for Comments (RFCs) 4033, 4034, and 4035, hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety, that ensures the integrity of data returned by domain name lookups by incorporating a chain of trust into the DNS hierarchy. The chain is built using public key infrastructure (PKI), with each link in the chain consisting of a public/private key pair. Deploying DNSSEC involves signing zones with public/private key encryption and returning DNS responses with signatures. A client's trust in the signatures is based on the chain of trust established across administrative boundaries, from parent to child zone, using a Domain Name System Key (DNSKEY) and delegation signer (DS) resource records, which were not defined in DNS specifications. In DNSSEC, since an unbroken chain of trust is established from the root at the top through the top-level domain (TLD) and down to individual registrants, the client device's answer always receives an authenticated response. All zones are authenticated by "signing," in that a publisher of a zone signs that zone prior to publication, and the parent of that zone publishes the keys of that zone. With millions of zones, it is likely that the keys expire before the DNS records are updated. As a result, zone operators require techniques to automatically allocate keys to DNS records before these keys expire. Unfortunately, conventional systems are unable to handle management of keys for DNSSEC. Further, conventional DNS systems are unable to translate non-DNSSEC responses to DNSSEC responses.

Furthermore, conventional network systems are unable to handle DNSSEC signatures when zone names are dynamically updated. For example, consider a zone name that was previously signed statically. Subsequently, when the zone name is updated or changed, the DNSSEC signature for the earlier version of the zone is rendered invalid, and since the new zone is unsigned, there is no method for conventional systems to automatically enable DNSSEC for the dynamic update to the zone in real time.

In another related scenario, for global server load balancing (GSLB)-type DNS responses in which the Internet Protocol (IP) answer in a response to a request from a client device can change depending on the requesting client device, conventional systems are unable to provide DNSSEC for such dynamically changing domain names while at the same time performing global load balancing. Since GSLB can provide different answers to different clients for the same domain name, GSLB and DNSSEC are fundamentally at odds in the original design specifications. DNSSEC, as originally conceived, was focused solely on traditional static DNS and never considered the requirements of GSLB, or intelligent DNS. Unfortunately it is difficult for conventional systems to provide DNSSEC for dynamic DNS, and to provide DNSSEC for GSLB-type DNS responses in a load balancing scenario where there might be two different answers for the same request and the GSLB has to forward a signed response to the client device.

SUMMARY

One example of the technology is a method for providing authenticated domain name service. The method includes

forwarding at a traffic management device a request for a domain name from a client device to one or more servers coupled to the traffic management device. The traffic management device receives a first response comprising at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more servers. The traffic management device attaches a first signature to the first response when the first response is determined by the traffic management device to be an unauthenticated response, and provides the first response with the first signature to the client device.

Another example includes a computer readable medium having stored thereon instructions for providing authenticated domain name service, which when executed by at least one processor, causes the processor to perform a number of steps. The steps include forwarding at a traffic management device a request for a domain name from a client device to one or more servers coupled to the traffic management device. The traffic management device receives a first response comprising at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more servers. The traffic management device attaches a first signature to the first response when the first response is determined by the traffic management device to be an unauthenticated response, and provides the first response with the first signature to the client device.

Another example is that of a traffic management device, which includes one or more processors executing one or more traffic management applications, a memory coupled to the one or more processors by a bus, a network interface controller coupled to the one or more processors and the memory and configured to receive data packets from a network that relate to the executing traffic management applications, and provide authenticated domain name service. In this example, at least one of the one or more processors is configured to execute programmed instructions stored in the memory and the network interface controller including logic capable of being further configured to implement forwarding at a traffic management device a request for a domain name from a client device to one or more servers coupled to the traffic management device. The traffic management device receives a first response comprising at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more servers. The traffic management device attaches a first signature to the first response when the first response is determined by the traffic management device to be an unauthenticated response, and provides the first response with the first signature to the client device.

The examples offer numerous advantages. By way of example only, technology disclosed enables signing DNS responses in real time and deploying DNSSEC quickly and easily in an existing network environment, thereby ensuring that answers to domain name requests received by the client devices when asking for name resolution come from a trusted name server, and not a hacker. The examples support Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) storage of the private keys, and are able to securely synchronize the keys between multiple FIPS devices. Additionally, examples of the disclosed technology use a cryptographic module or storage chip on a motherboard of a traffic management device to secure a unique hardware key as part of the multi-layer encryption process. When a response from a non-DNSSEC server is returned, the response is signed in real time to ensure continuous signing. The potential attacker cannot forge the signed response without the corresponding private key.

Further, the examples enable compliance with federal DNSSEC mandates and help protect valuable domain names and web properties from rogue servers sending invalid

responses. Furthermore, the examples of the technology enable global server load balancing (GSLB)-type DNSSEC responses in which the IP answer can change depending on the requesting client by signing answers at the time the traffic management device (with load balancing functionality) decides what the answer to a request should be. These and other advantages, aspects, and features will become more apparent from the following detailed description when viewed in conjunction with the accompanying drawings. Non-limiting and non-exhaustive examples are described with reference to the following drawings. Accordingly, the drawings and descriptions below are to be regarded as illustrative in nature, and not as restrictive or limiting.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary network system environment using traffic management device for DNSSEC proxying and deployment amelioration;

FIG. 2 is a partly schematic and partly functional block diagram of traffic management device in the exemplary network environment of FIG. 1; and

FIG. 3 is a flow chart of an exemplary process and method for DNSSEC proxying and deployment amelioration when a DNSSEC request is to be serviced using non-DNSSEC server devices.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Various examples of the technology disclosed enable a traffic management device **110** to handle mismatches between non-DNSSEC and DNSSEC environments. For example, client devices operating in a DNSSEC environment need to communicate with servers operating in a non-DNSSEC environment. Traffic management device **110** provides secure conversion from one environment to another and prevents malicious “man-in-the-middle” attacks.

Referring to FIG. 1, an exemplary network system **100** including traffic management device **110** that is configured to provide authenticated domain name service, for example, to requesting client computers **104(1)** to **104(n)** is illustrated. By way of example only, a network **112** can provide responses and requests according to the Hyper-Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP) based application, request for comments (RFC) document guidelines or the Common Internet File System (CIFS) or network file system (NFS) protocol in this example, although the principles discussed herein are not limited to these examples and can include other application protocols and other types of requests (e.g., File Transfer Protocol (FTP) based requests). The exemplary network system **100** can include a series of one or more client devices such as client computers **104(1)** to **104(n)**. Client computers **104(1)**-**104(n)** are coupled to traffic management device **110** via a local domain name server (LDNS) **106**. In some examples, LDNS **106** is optional and client computers **104(1)**-**104(n)** are coupled to traffic management device **110** directly or via a network **112**. Traffic management device **110** is interposed in between servers **102(1)** to **102(n)** and the client devices **104(1)** to **104(n)** for providing one or more communication channels through network **112** and a Local Area Network (LAN) **114**, although other communication channels may be directly established between various devices in network system **100** without network **112** and/or LAN **114**. For clarity and brevity, in FIG. 1 two server devices **102(1)** and **102(n)** are shown, but it should be understood that any number of server devices can use the exemplary network system **100**. Likewise, two client

devices **104(1)-104(n)**, one LDNS **106**, and one traffic management device **110** are shown in FIG. 1, but any number of client devices, LDNSs, and traffic management devices can also use the exemplary network system **100** as well. Although network **112** and LAN **114** are shown, other numbers and types of networks could be used. The ellipses and the designation “n” denote an unlimited number of server devices and client devices, respectively.

Servers **102(1)-102(n)** comprise one or more server computing machines or devices capable of operating one or more Web-based applications that may be accessed by network devices in the network **112**, such as client computers **104(1)-104(n)** (also referred to as client devices **104(1)-104(n)**), via traffic management device **110**, and may provide other data representing requested resources, such as domain name services and zones, particular Web page(s) corresponding to URL request(s), image(s) of physical objects, and any other objects, responsive to the requests, although the servers **102(1)-102(n)** may perform other tasks and provide other types of resources. It should be noted that while only two servers **102(1)** and **102(n)** are shown in the network system **100** depicted in FIG. 1, other numbers and types of servers may be coupled to the traffic management device **110**. It is also contemplated that one or more of the servers **102(1)-102(n)** may be a cluster of servers managed by a network traffic management device such as traffic management device **110**. In one example, servers **102(1)-102(n)** are DNS servers in a DNS environment. In another example, servers **102(1)-102(n)** are DNSSEC servers in a DNSSEC environment. In yet another example, servers **102(1)-102(n)** are a mix of DNS and DNSSEC servers, as can be understood by those of ordinary skill in the art upon reading this disclosure. In some examples, servers **102(1)-102(n)** are Berkeley Internet Name Domain (BIND) servers.

The client computers **104(1)-104(n)** in this example (also interchangeably referred to as client devices **104(1)-104(n)**, client computing devices **104(1)-104(n)**, clients **104(1)-104(n)**, and client computing systems **104(1)-104(n)**) can run interface applications such as Web browsers that can provide an interface to make requests for and send data, including DNS and DNSSEC requests, to different Web server-based applications via LDNS **106** connected to the network **112** and/or via traffic management device **110**. A series of network applications can run on the servers **102(1)-102(n)** that allow the transmission of data that is requested by the client computers **104(1)-104(n)**. Servers **102(1)-102(n)** can provide data or receive data in response to requests directed toward the respective applications on the servers **102(1)-102(n)** from the client computers **104(1)-104(n)**. For example, as per the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP), packets can be sent to the servers **102(1)-102(n)** from the requesting client computers **104(1)-104(n)** to send data, although other protocols (e.g., FTP) may be used. It is to be understood that the servers **102(1)-102(n)** can be hardware or software executing on and supported by hardware, or can represent a system with multiple servers, which can include internal or external networks. Servers **102(1)-102(n)** can be domain name servers with DNS capabilities hosting one or more website zones. Alternatively, servers **102(1)-102(n)** can be DNSSEC servers in a DNSSEC environment hosting one or more website zones. For example, the servers **102(1)-102(n)** can be any BIND version of Microsoft Domain Controllers provided by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Wash., although other types of servers can be used. Further, additional servers can be coupled to the network **112** and/or

LAN **114** and many different types of applications can be available on servers coupled to the network **112** and/or LAN **114**.

Generally, the client devices such as the client computers **104(1)-104(n)** can include virtually any computing device capable of connecting to another computing device to send and receive information, including Web-based information. The set of such devices can include devices that typically connect using a wired (and/or wireless) communications medium, such as personal computers (e.g., desktops, laptops), mobile and/or smart phones and the like. In this example, the client devices can run browsers and other types of applications (e.g., web-based applications) that can provide an interface to make one or more requests to different server-based applications via network **112**, although requests for other types of network applications and resources, for example URLs, may be made by client computers **104(1)-104(n)**. Client computers **104(1)-104(n)** can be configured to make DNSSEC and non-DNSSEC requests to servers **102(1)-102(n)**, or other types of traffic management devices (e.g., routers, load balancers, application delivery controllers, and the like).

Client computers **104(1)-104(n)** can submit requests to LDNS **106**. LDNS **106** can respond to the requests when resources are locally stored on LDNS **106**, for example, in a local cache memory. For example, a client computer may request for a URL `www.example.com`. If LDNS **106** has a valid copy of `www.example.com`, it can directly provide this URL to the requesting client computer. In other scenarios, LDNS **106** forwards the requests to traffic management device **110** via network **112**. LDNS **106** can be configured to expedite requests for network resources (e.g., URLs) based upon a history of requests from one or more client computers **104(1)-104(n)**. In one example, LDNS **106** can provide an initial response to a requesting one of client computers **104(1)-104(n)** while additional resources are being fetched from servers **102(1)-102(n)** resulting in a faster initial response for a request from client computers **104(1)-104(n)**. By way of example only, LDNS **106** can be a proxy server, or a server similar to servers **102(1)-102(n)** but located between client computers **104(1)-104(n)** and traffic management device **110**.

A series of Web-based and/or other types of protected and unprotected network applications can run on servers **102(1)-102(n)** that allow the transmission of data that is requested by the client computers **104(1)-104(n)**. The client computers **104(1)-104(n)** can be further configured to engage in a secure communication directly with the traffic management device **110** and/or the servers **102(1)-102(n)**, via LDNS **106**, or otherwise, using mechanisms such as Secure Sockets Layer (SSL), Internet Protocol Security (IPSec), Transport Layer Security (TLS), and the like.

In this example, network **112** comprises a publicly accessible network, such as the Internet, which includes client computers **104(1)-104(n)**, although network **112** may comprise other types of private and public networks that include other devices. Communications, such as requests from client computers **104(1)-104(n)** and responses from servers **102(1)-102(n)**, take place over network **112** according to standard network protocols, such as the HTTP and TCP/IP protocols in this example, but the principles discussed herein are not limited to this example and can include other protocols (e.g., FTP). Further, network **112** can include local area networks (LANs), wide area networks (WANs), direct connections, other types and numbers of network types, and any combination thereof. On an interconnected set of LANs or other networks, including those based on different archi-

tures and protocols, routers, switches, hubs, gateways, bridges, crossbars, and other intermediate network devices may act as links within and between LANs and other networks to enable messages and other data to be sent from and to network devices. Also, communication links within and between LANs and other networks typically include twisted wire pair (e.g., Ethernet), coaxial cable, analog telephone lines, full or fractional dedicated digital lines including T1, T2, T3, and T4, Integrated Services Digital Networks (ISDNs), Digital Subscriber Lines (DSLs), wireless links including satellite links, optical fibers, and other communications links known to those of ordinary skill in the relevant arts. Generally, network **112** includes any communication medium and method by which data may travel between client devices **104(1)-104(n)**, servers **102(1)-102(n)**, and traffic management device **110**, and these devices are provided by way of example only.

In this example, each of the servers **102(1)-102(n)**, traffic management device **110**, LDNS **106**, and client computers **104(1)-104(n)** can include a central processing unit (CPU), controller or processor, a memory, and an interface system which are coupled together by a bus or other link, although other numbers and types of each of the components and other configurations and locations for the components can be used. Since these devices are well known to those of ordinary skill in the relevant art(s), they will not be described in further detail herein.

In addition, two or more computing systems or devices can be substituted for any one of the systems in the network system **100**. Accordingly, principles and advantages of cloud computing and/or distributed processing, such as redundancy, replication, virtualization, and the like, can also be implemented, as appropriate, to increase the robustness and performance of the devices and systems of the network system **100**. The network system **100** can also be implemented on a computer system or systems that extend across any network environment using any suitable interface mechanisms and communications technologies including, for example telecommunications in any suitable form (e.g., voice, modem, and the like), Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTNs), Packet Data Networks (PDNs), the Internet, intranets, combination(s) thereof, and the like.

By way of example only and not by way of limitation, LAN **114** comprises a private local area network that includes the traffic management device **110** coupled to the one or more servers **102(1)-102(n)**, although the LAN **114** may comprise other types of private and public networks with other devices. Networks, including local area networks, besides being understood by those of ordinary skill in the relevant art(s), have already been described above in connection with network **112**, and thus will not be described further here.

As shown in the example environment of network system **100** depicted in FIG. **1**, the traffic management device **110** can be interposed between the network **112** and the servers **102(1)-102(n)** coupled via LAN **114** as shown in FIG. **1**. Again, the network system **100** could be arranged in other manners with other numbers and types of devices. Also, the traffic management device **110** is coupled to network **112** by one or more network communication links, and intermediate network devices, such as routers, switches, gateways, hubs, crossbars, and other devices. It should be understood that the devices and the particular configuration shown in FIG. **1** are provided for exemplary purposes only and thus are not limiting. Although a single traffic management device **110**, additional traffic management devices may be coupled in series and/or parallel to the traffic management device **110**,

thereby forming a cluster, depending upon specific applications, and the single traffic management device **110** shown in FIG. **1** is by way of example only, and not by way of limitation.

Generally, the traffic management device **110** manages network communications, which may include one or more client requests and server responses, to/from the network **112** between the client computers **104(1)-104(n)** and one or more of the servers **102(1)-102(n)** in LAN **114** in these examples. These requests may be destined for one or more servers **102(1)-102(n)**, and, as alluded to earlier, may take the form of one or more TCP/IP data packets originating from the network **112**, passing through one or more intermediate network devices and/or intermediate networks, until ultimately reaching the traffic management device **110**, for example.

In one example, traffic management device **110** is configured as a global server load balancing device that distributes end-user application requests based on business policies, data center conditions, network conditions, user location, and application performance, such that each request from client computers **104(1)-104(n)** is automatically directed to the closest or best-performing data center hosting one or more servers **102(1)-102(n)**. In this example, traffic management device **110** provides DNSSEC signed responses even when zone names have been dynamically updated. Although in this example, traffic management device **110** has global server load balancing capabilities, in alternative examples traffic management device **110** may receive responses from a global server load balancing (GSLB) device coupled to LAN **114**. By way of example only, such a global load balancing device can be a BIG-IP® Global Traffic Manager™ provided by F5 Networks, Inc., of Seattle, Wash.

In addition, as discussed in more detail with reference to FIGS. **2-3**, traffic management device **110** is configured to provide authenticated domain name service. In any case, the traffic management device **110** may manage the network communications by performing several network traffic management related functions involving network communications, secured or unsecured, such as load balancing, access control, VPN hosting, network traffic acceleration, encryption, decryption, cookie, and key management and providing authenticated domain name service in accordance with the systems and processes described further below in connection with FIGS. **2-3**, for example.

Referring to FIG. **2**, an exemplary traffic management device **110** is illustrated. Included within the traffic management device **110** is a system bus **26** (also referred to as bus **26**) that communicates with a host system **18** via a bridge **25** and with an input-output (I/O) device **30**. In this example, a single I/O device **30** is shown to represent any number of I/O devices connected to bus **26**. In one example, bridge **25** is in further communication with a host processor **20** via host input output (I/O) ports **29**. Host processor **20** can further communicate with a network interface controller **24** via a CPU bus **202**, a host memory **22** (via a memory port **53**), and a cache memory **21**. As outlined above, included within the host processor **20** are host I/O ports **29**, memory port **53**, and a main processor (not shown separately). In this example, host system **18** includes a cryptography module **208**.

In one example, traffic management device **110** can include the host processor **20** characterized by anyone of the following component configurations: computer readable medium and logic circuits that respond to and process instructions fetched from the host memory **22**; a microprocessor unit, such as: those manufactured by Intel Corpora-

tion of Santa Clara, Calif.; those manufactured by Motorola Corporation of Schaumburg, Ill.; those manufactured by Transmeta Corporation of Santa Clara, Calif.; the RS/6000 processor such as those manufactured by International Business Machines of Armonk, N.Y.; a processor such as those manufactured by Advanced Micro Devices of Sunnyvale, Calif.; or any other combination of logic circuits capable of executing the systems and methods described herein. Still other examples of the host processor 20 can include any combination of the following: a microprocessor, a microcontroller, a central processing unit with a single processing core, a central processing unit with two processing cores, or a central processing unit with more than one processing core.

Examples of the traffic management device 110 include one or more application delivery controller devices of the BIG-IP® product family provided by F5 Networks, Inc. of Seattle, Wash., although other types of traffic management devices may be used. In an exemplary structure and/or arrangement, traffic management device 110 can include the host processor 20 that communicates with cache memory 21 via a secondary bus also known as a backside bus, while another example of the traffic management device 110 includes the host processor 20 that communicates with cache memory 21 via the system bus 26. The local system bus 26 can, in some examples, also be used by the host processor 20 to communicate with more than one type of I/O devices 30. In some examples, the local system bus 26 can be anyone of the following types of buses: a VESA VL bus; an ISA bus; an EISA bus; a Micro Channel Architecture (MCA) bus; a PCI bus; a PCI-X bus; a PCI-Express bus; or a NuBus. Other example configurations of the traffic management device 110 include I/O device 30 that is a video display (not shown separately) that communicates with the host processor 20 via an Advanced Graphics Port (AGP). Still other versions of the traffic management device 110 include host processor 20 connected to I/O device 30 via any one or more of the following connections: HyperTransport, Rapid I/O, or InfiniBand. Further examples of the traffic management device 110 include a communication connection where the host processor 20 communicates with one I/O device 30 using a local interconnect bus and with a second I/O device (not shown separately) using a direct connection. As described above, included within some examples of the traffic management device 110 is each of host memory 22 and cache memory 21. The cache memory 21, will, in some examples, be any one of the following types of memory: SRAM; BSRAM; or EDRAM. Other examples include cache memory 21 and host memory 22 that can be anyone of the following types of memory: Static random access memory (SRAM), Burst SRAM or SynchBurst SRAM (BSRAM), Dynamic random access memory (DRAM), Fast Page Mode DRAM (FPM DRAM), Enhanced DRAM (EDRAM), Extended Data Output RAM (EDO RAM), Extended Data Output DRAM (EDO DRAM), Burst Extended Data Output DRAM (BEDO DRAM), Enhanced DRAM (EDRAM), synchronous DRAM (SDRAM), JEDEC SRAM, PCIOO SDRAM, Double Data Rate SDRAM (DDR SDRAM), Enhanced SDRAM (ESDRAM), SyncLink DRAM (SLDRAM), Direct Rambus DRAM (DRDRAM), Ferroelectric RAM (FRAM), or any other type of memory device capable of executing the systems and methods described herein.

The host memory 22 and/or the cache memory 21 can, in some examples, include one or more memory devices capable of storing data and allowing any storage location to be directly accessed by the host processor 20. Such storage

of data can be in a local database internal to traffic management device 110, or external to traffic management device 110 coupled via one or more input output ports of network interface controller 24. Further examples of traffic management device 110 include a host processor 20 that can access the host memory 22 via one of either: system bus 26; memory port 53; or any other connection, bus or port that allows the host processor 20 to access host memory 22.

One example of the traffic management device 110 provides support for anyone of the following installation devices: a floppy disk drive for receiving floppy disks such as 3.5-inch, 5.25-inch disks or ZIP disks, a CD-ROM drive, a CD-R/RW drive, a DVD-ROM drive, tape drives of various formats, USB device, a bootable medium, a bootable CD, a bootable compact disk (CD) for GNU/Linux distribution such as KNOPPIX®, a hard-drive or any other device suitable for installing applications or software. Applications can, in some examples, include a client agent, or any portion of a client agent. The traffic management device 110 may further include a storage device (not shown separately) that can be either one or more hard disk drives, or one or more redundant arrays of independent disks; where the storage device is configured to store an operating system, software, programs applications, or at least a portion of the client agent. A further example of the traffic management device 110 includes an installation device that is used as the storage device.

Furthermore, the traffic management device 110 can include network interface controller 24 to communicate, via an input-output port inside network interface controller 24, with a Local Area Network (LAN), Wide Area Network (WAN) or the Internet through a variety of connections including, but not limited to, standard telephone lines, LAN or WAN links (e.g., 802.11, T1, T3, 56 kb, X.25, SNA, DECNET), broadband connections (e.g., ISDN, Frame Relay, ATM, Gigabit Ethernet, Ethernet-over-SONET), wireless connections, optical connections, or some combination of any or all of the above. Connections can also be established using a variety of communication protocols (e.g., TCP/IP, IPX, SPX, NetBIOS, Ethernet, ARCNET, SONET, SDH, Fiber Distributed Data Interface (FDDI), RS232, RS485, IEEE 802.11, IEEE 802.11a, IEEE 802.11b, IEEE 802.11g, CDMA, GSM, WiMax and direct asynchronous connections). One version of the traffic management device 110 includes network interface controller 24 configured to communicate with additional computing devices via any type and/or form of gateway or tunneling protocol such as Secure Socket Layer (SSL) or Transport Layer Security (TLS), or the Citrix Gateway Protocol manufactured by Citrix Systems, Inc. of Fort Lauderdale, Fla. Versions of the network interface controller 24 can comprise anyone of: a built-in network adapter; a network interface card; a PCMCIA network card; a card bus network adapter; a wireless network adapter; a USB network adapter; a modem; or any other device suitable for interfacing the traffic management device 110 to a network capable of communicating and performing the methods and systems described herein.

In various examples, the traffic management device 110 can include any one of the following I/O devices 30: a keyboard; a pointing device; a mouse; a gesture based remote control device; a biometric device; an audio device; track pads; an optical pen; trackballs; microphones; drawing tablets; video displays; speakers; inkjet printers; laser printers; and dye sublimation printers; or any other input/output device able to perform the methods and systems described herein. Host I/O ports 29 may in some examples connect to multiple I/O devices 30 to control the one or more I/O

devices **30**. Some examples of the I/O devices **30** may be configured to provide storage or an installation medium, while others may provide a universal serial bus (USB) interface for receiving USB storage devices such as the USB Flash Drive line of devices manufactured by Twintech Industry, Inc. Still other examples of an I/O device **30** may be bridge **25** between the system bus **26** and an external communication bus, such as: a USB bus; an Apple Desktop Bus; an RS-232 serial connection; a SCSI bus; a FireWire bus; a FireWire **800** bus; an Ethernet bus; an AppleTalk bus; a Gigabit Ethernet bus; an Asynchronous Transfer Mode bus; a HIPPI bus; a Super HIPPI bus; a SerialPlus bus; a SCI/LAMP bus; a FibreChannel bus; or a Serial Attached small computer system interface bus.

According to some examples, traffic management device **110** includes cryptography module **208** integrated as part of host system **18** for carrying out various exemplary functions of storing private and public keys. Alternatively, cryptography module **208** may be a part of an autonomous application security manager module integrated with or communicating independently with the traffic management device **110**. An exemplary application security manager is the BIG-IP® Application Security Manager™ provided by F5 Networks, Inc. of Seattle, Wash. In one example, cryptography module **208** includes a crypto-storage chip on the motherboard to secure one or more unique hardware keys as part of the multi-layer encryption process employed by traffic management device **110** to secure keys.

Accordingly, components of traffic management device **110** include one or more processors (e.g., host processor **20**) executing one or more traffic management applications, memory (e.g., cache memory **21**, and/or host memory **22**) coupled to the one or more processors by a bus, network interface controller **24** coupled to the one or more processors and the host memory **22** and configured to receive data packets from a network that relate to the executing traffic management applications, and provide authenticated domain name service. In this example, at least one of the one or more processors is configured to execute programmed instructions stored in the memory (e.g., cache memory **21**, and/or host memory **22**) and the network interface controller **24** including logic capable of being further configured to implement forwarding at traffic management device **110** a request for a domain name from a client device (e.g., one or more of client computers **104(1)-104(n)**) to one or more servers (e.g., servers **102(1)-102(n)**) coupled to traffic management device **110**. The traffic management device **110** receives a first response comprising at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more servers **102(1)-102(n)**. The traffic management device **110** attaches a first signature to the first response when the first response is determined by the traffic management device **110** to be an unauthenticated response, and provides the first response with the first signature to the client device (e.g., one or more of client computers **104(1)-104(n)**).

The operation of example processes for providing authenticated domain name service using traffic management device **110** shown in FIGS. 1-2, will now be described with reference back to FIGS. 1-2 in conjunction with flow diagram or flowchart **300** shown in FIG. 3, respectively. The flowchart **300** is representative of example machine readable instructions for implementing in dynamic real-time authenticated domain name service, for example, at the traffic management device **110**. In this example, the machine readable instructions comprise an algorithm for execution by: (a) a processor (e.g., host processor **20**), (b) a controller, and/or (c) one or more other suitable processing device(s)

within host system **18**, for example. The algorithm may be implemented in software stored on tangible computer readable media such as, for example, a flash memory, a CD-ROM, a floppy disk, a hard drive, a digital video (versatile) disk (DVD), or other memory devices, but persons of ordinary skill in the art will readily appreciate that the entire algorithm and/or parts thereof could alternatively be executed by a device other than a processor and/or implemented in firmware or dedicated hardware in a well known manner (e.g., it may be implemented by an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), a programmable logic device (PLD), a field programmable logic device (FPLD), a field programmable gate array (FPGA), discrete logic, or the like). For example, at least some of the components of the traffic management device **110** could be implemented by software, hardware, and/or firmware. Also, some or all of the machine readable instructions represented by the process of flowchart **300** of FIG. 3 may be implemented manually at the traffic management device **110**, for example, using a command line interface (CLI) prompt window operated by a system administrator. Further, although the example algorithm is described with reference to flowchart **300**, persons of ordinary skill in the art will readily appreciate that many other methods of implementing the example machine readable instructions may alternatively be used. For example, the order of execution of the blocks in flowchart **300** may be changed, and/or some of the blocks described may be changed, eliminated, or combined.

Referring now to FIG. 3, flowchart **300** discusses a scenario where responses received from servers **102(1)-102(n)** are not signed. It is to be noted servers **102(1)-102(n)** may be able to sign some responses but are unable to sign some other responses, depending upon the request from client computers **104(1)-104(n)**.

In step **302** of the flowchart **300**, traffic management device **110** receives a request from one of client computers **104(1)-104(n)**. In this example, the request from the client computers **104(1)-104(n)** can be a DNSSEC request for an address record (also referred to as an 'A' record) that requires a signed response, or an authenticated response from one or more servers **102(1)-102(n)**. In this example, servers **102(1)-102(n)** may not be able to provide an authenticated response to the request since the servers **102(1)-102(n)** are in a conventional DNS only environment. By way of example only, the request can include a URL for a website `www.example.com`, where "." is the root, ".com" is a top-level domain, and ".example" is a second level domain, and so on, as can be understood by those of ordinary skill in the art. Further by way of example only and not by way of limitation, other types of top-level domains such as ".gov," ".org," ".net," and/or country specific domains (e.g., ".us") may be a part of the request from client computers **104(1)-104(n)**. The request from one of the client computers **104(1)-104(n)** can come via LDNS **106**, which may or may not have a cached copy of the requested resource for providing an initial response to the request. It is to be noted that the requests can be originating from anywhere around the earth, and are not geographically or otherwise restricted in their origin.

In step **304**, traffic management device **110** forwards the received request to one of the servers **102(1)-102(n)** after removing bits and/or headers to convert the request into a regular DNS request that can be understood by servers **102(1)-102(n)**. Although in this example servers **102(1)-102(n)** are not DNSSEC enabled, they are still on a trusted network (e.g., on LAN **114**).

In step 306, traffic management device 110 receives a response from one of servers 102(1)-102(n). In one example, the response includes a resource record set (RRSET) including one or more address records. The RRSET includes all the records of a given type for a given domain included in the original request, as known to those of ordinary skill in the art. In this example, the response can be for the root “.”. Alternatively, the response can be for the top level domain “.com” and/or second level domain “.example”. The response can include a plurality of responses in succession starting from the root, which is the highest level, to the lowest level domain, and can therefore comprise building a chain of trust for signing response received from servers 102(1)-102(n). For example, each of the responses from servers 102(1)-102(n) for root, top level, and second level domains, can be respectively analyzed for a signature, determined by the traffic management device 110 (e.g., in step 310 below). In the example of FIG. 3, since servers 102(1)-102(n) are regular DNS servers that are authoritative for the requested zone but do not have the capability to sign, the responses for root, top level, and second level domains will not be signed responses. As a result, the response received from servers 102(1)-102(n) in this example will not be DNSSEC compliant. For example, in one scenario a requested domain name, e.g., www.example.com, will not have a signature attached to it when received by the traffic management device 110. In another scenario, there will be dynamic updates to www.example.com records. In this scenario, a previously authenticated response forwarded by the traffic management device 110 to the requesting one of client computers 104(1)-104(n) will no more have a valid signature, and will need to be signed again at the traffic management device 110, as discussed below for step 318.

In step 308, traffic management device 110 determines whether the received response from one of the servers 102(1)-102(n) is a denial of existence response for the requested resource. Denial of existence can occur, for example, when the original request from the client computers 104(1)-104(n) is for a non-existent domain name. Alternatively, a denial of existence response may be received when one or more data records within a zone does not exist. For example, the name www.example.com may exist but an address record (or, ‘A’ record) at www.example.com may not exist and result in a denial of existence response from servers 102(1)-102(n). Since A records are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, they will not be described in detail here. If the received response from one of the servers 102(1)-102(n) is a denial of existence, the flow proceeds to step 316, and if not, the flow proceeds to step 310.

In step 316, when the traffic management device 110 determines the received response from one of the servers 102(1)-102(n) is a denial of existence response, traffic management device 110 creates one or more next secure (NSEC3) resource records belonging to a cryptographically hashed domain name. Since NSEC3 resource records are known to those of ordinary skill in the art, they will not be described in detail here. In one example, traffic management device 110 dynamically manufactures the NSEC3 resource record based upon the request from the client, with no knowledge of the actual content of the relevant zone on domain name servers 102(1)-102(n), such that the manufactured NSEC3 resource record can be used by the client to prove non-existence of the requested name. In another example, traffic management device 110 may dynamically manufacture the NSEC3 resource record based upon the request from the client with some knowledge of the actual

content of the relevant zone on domain name servers 102(1)-102(n). In yet another example, traffic management device 110 may dynamically manufacture the NSEC3 resource record based upon the request from the client with complete knowledge of the actual content of the relevant zone on domain name servers 102(1)-102(n). By way of example only, creating the NSEC3 resource record includes utilizing one of Secure Hash Algorithms (SHAs), although other types of hashing algorithms may be used. In this example, resource records created by traffic management device 110 as a response to a denial of existence of original resource from servers 102(1)-102(n) are trusted by client computers 104(1)-104(n), since traffic management device 110 itself is a trusted device for client computers 104(1)-104(n). Signatures to the created zone are then attached by traffic management device 110 as described in step 318 below.

One example of how the NSEC3 resource record is created by traffic management device 110 is by taking the requested non-existent name, say www.example.com and performing hashing using one of the DNSSEC specified secure hashing algorithms (e.g., SHA1) prior to sending the response to the requesting one of the client computers 104(1)-104(n). Assuming, by way of example only and not by way of limitation, the resulting hash is equal to “12345”. The requesting one of client computers 104(1)-104(n) may need a “spanning” NSEC3 record for its proof of non-existence (i.e., the closest enclose proof as disclosed in RFC 5155), or it may need a “matching” NSEC3 record (i.e., the exact enclose proof as also disclosed in RFC 5155). For a spanning record, this example would take the hashed name “12345” and perform, for example, a “+1” or “-1” on the number the hash represents. Accordingly, in this case two numbers “12344” and “12346” are generated. These new hash values would span the original hashed non-existent name and would be used to create a spanning NSEC3 record at traffic management device 110. Similarly, for a matching record, two hash values are required, however, only the “+1” is created and paired with the original name by traffic management device 110, resulting in an NSEC3 record containing “12345” and “12346”. It is to be noted that although in the examples above “+/-1” values were used, any increment method, for example “+/-N” where N is an integer, may be used and the “+/-1” is only an illustrative example and is not limiting. Additionally, this example represents a method to manufacture an NSEC3 resource record at traffic management device 110 in substantially real-time upon receipt of the response in step 306 with no knowledge of the actual set of names in the zone. As discussed above, additional scenarios where traffic management device 110 may have some or complete knowledge of contents of the zone may use this example for generating NSEC3 records. Since spanning and matching records are known to those of ordinary skill in the art, and are disclosed in RFC 5155 hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, they will not be described in detail herein.

In step 310, traffic management device 110 determines if the response received from one of the servers 102(1)-102(n) was a DNSSEC response that included signatures for a top level domain, a second level domain, a sub-level domain, and/or all levels of the domain name. This determination can be made by the traffic management device 110 by checking whether or not the received response from servers 102(1)-102(n) includes a resource record signature (RRSIG), although other methods of determining may be used. Since RRSIG records that were introduced as a part of DNSSEC are known to those of ordinary skill in the art, they will not

be described in detail here. If the received response includes an RRSIG, the flow proceeds to step 314. If not, the traffic management device 110 determines the response is unauthenticated, and the flow proceeds to step 318.

In step 318, traffic management device 110 dynamically in real-time generates one or more cryptographic signatures (e.g., RRSIG records) and attaches the signatures to the response received from one or more servers 102(1)-102(n). Attaching the signature can be performed in one or more of the following exemplary ways, although other ways of attaching signatures “on the fly” may be contemplated by those of ordinary skill in the art after reading this disclosure. For attaching a signature, traffic management device 110 is configured to allocate zone signing keys (ZSKs) and Key Signing Keys (KSKs) for the received response from servers 102(1)-102(n). In this example, KSKs are used to sign other DNSKEY records, while ZSKs are used to sign all resource record sets (RRSETs). By way of example only, both KSKs and ZSKs can be made stronger by using more bits in the key material, and for security reasons, can be rotated at different time intervals (e.g., KSK every 12 months and the ZSK every one to two months). The public key infrastructure enables client computers 104(1)-104(n) to validate the integrity of the response received from non-DNSSEC servers 102(1)-102(n) signed with the private key. Since the private key of the public/private key pair could be used to impersonate a valid signer, those keys are kept secure, by way of example only, by storing them as hardware keys in cryptography module 208. By way of example only, cryptography module 208 supports FIPS storage of the private keys. Additionally, traffic management device 110 is configured to securely synchronize the keys between multiple FIPS devices, e.g., multiple traffic management devices. In one example, cryptography module 208 includes a crypto-storage chip on the motherboard to secure a unique hardware key as part of the multi-layer encryption process employed by traffic management device 110 to secure KSKs and ZSKs. Alternatively, KSKs and ZSKs may be secured, by way of example only and not by way of limitation, using secure SSL-encrypted storage systems. Accordingly, traffic management device 110 encrypts the response using the one or more keys, as discussed above.

In step 312, traffic management device 110 forwards the response with the signature, along with a public key to the requesting one of the client computers 104(1)-104(n). Since traffic management device 110 signs the response from servers 102(1)-102(n) and client computers 104(1)-104(n) trust the traffic management device 110, client computers 104(1)-104(n) can use the DNSKEY to validate the RRSET using RRSIG included in the forwarded response from traffic management device 110. The flow ends in step 320. Example Use Case

In one exemplary global server load balancing (GSLB)-type scenario, traffic management device 110 configured as a load balancing device can provide responses that can change depending on the requesting client out of client computers 104(1)-104(n). Alternatively, traffic management device 110 may receive responses routed from a global load balancer connected to LAN 114. For example, for a request www.example.com, traffic management device 110 may receive two different responses—one from a server 102(1) and another from a server 102(2). Out of the two responses, it is possible that the response from server 102(1) may be the only one that is signed and the response from server 102(2) may not be signed. However, the response from server 102(2) might be the most current updated response with updated resource records. In such a scenario, traffic man-

agement device 110 will sign the response from server 102(2) according to the steps of flowchart 300, and forward the signed response to the client computers 104(1)-104(n), instead of sending the older signed response from server 102(1)-102(n).

Servers 102(1)-102(n) have DNS entries that are statically signed. Each time a DNS entry is updated, signatures associated with the DNS entries become outdated and those DNS entries have to be signed again either manually or offline. A change to a DNS entry means a change to an IP address that the DNS entry is translated into. Therefore, for updates to DNS entries, traffic management device 110 signs or authenticates the new responses including updated IP addresses, and performs load balancing based on the new signed IP addresses, while discarding the outdated or older IP addresses (and hence, older DNS entries).

The examples of the technology described herein provide numerous advantages. For example, when client computers 104(1)-104(n) are in a DNSSEC environment requiring authenticated responses, examples disclosed herein enable such client computers 104(1)-104(n) to communicate with non-DNSSEC servers 102(1)-102(n) on a real time basis without any upgrades to software on client computers 104(1)-104(n). Such dynamic “on-the-fly” authentication performed by traffic management device 110 when servers 102(1)-102(n) are unable to sign the responses, ensure that the client computers 104(1)-104(n) receive valid resource records that are from a trusted source, and not from a rogue server or site. The technology described also enables administrators of large non-DNSSEC DNS deployments to quickly become DNSSEC compliant by interposing traffic management device 110 according to the examples disclosed in such legacy deployments resulting in an easy and fast DNSSEC compliance solution.

Having thus described the basic concepts, it will be rather apparent to those skilled in the art that the foregoing detailed disclosure is intended to be presented by way of example only and is not limiting. Various alterations, improvements, and modifications will occur and are intended to those skilled in the art, though not expressly stated herein. The order that the measures and processes for providing secure application delivery are implemented can also be altered. Furthermore, multiple networks in addition to network 112 and LAN 114 could be associated with traffic management device 110 from/to which network packets can be received/transmitted, respectively. These alterations, improvements, and modifications are intended to be suggested by this disclosure, and are within the spirit and scope of the examples. Additionally, the recited order of processing elements or sequences, or the use of numbers, letters, or other designations therefore, is not intended to limit the claimed processes and methods to any order except as can be specified in the claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for providing authenticated domain name service comprising:
 - forwarding at a traffic management device a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) type request for a domain name received from a client device to one or more domain name system (DNS) servers;
 - receiving at the traffic management device a response for at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more servers, wherein the one or more servers are not domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) compliant;

17

creating at the traffic management device a resource record when the response is determined to be a denial of existence response for the requested domain name; generating at the traffic management device a signature and signing the response or the resource record using the signature; and

sending at the traffic management device the signed resource record or response to the client device in response to the request.

2. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the one or more servers are authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.

3. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the signing further comprises encrypting the response or the resource record using a stored private key, the method further comprising performing at the traffic management device a hash of the encrypted response or resource record prior to the sending.

4. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the at least a portion of the domain name comprises a top-level domain name that is known to be authenticated.

5. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein at least one of the first or second server is authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.

6. A non-transitory computer readable medium having stored thereon instructions for providing authenticated domain name service comprising machine executable code which when executed by at least one processor, causes the processor to perform steps comprising:

forwarding a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) type request for a domain name received from a client device to one or more domain name system (DNS) servers;

receiving a response for at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more servers, wherein the one or more servers are not domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) compliant;

creating a resource record when the response is determined to be a denial of existence response for the requested domain name;

generating a signature and signing the response or the resource record using the signature; and

sending the signed resource record or response to the client device in response to the request.

7. The medium as set forth in claim 6, wherein the one or more servers are authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.

8. The medium as set forth in claim 6, wherein the signing further comprises encrypting the response or the resource record using a stored private key, the medium further having stored thereon instructions comprising machine executable code which when executed by the at least one processor causes the processor to perform steps further comprising performing a hash of the encrypted response or resource record prior to the sending.

9. The medium as set forth in claim 6, wherein the at least a portion of the domain name comprises a top-level domain name that is known to be authenticated.

10. A traffic management device comprising:

at least one processor; and

a memory coupled to the at least one processor which is configured to be capable of executing programmed instructions stored in the memory to perform steps comprising:

18

forwarding a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) type request for a domain name received from a client device to one or more domain name system (DNS) servers;

receiving a response for at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more servers, wherein the one or more servers are not domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) compliant;

creating a resource record when the response is determined to be a denial of existence response for the requested domain name;

generating a signature and signing the response or the resource record using the signature; and

sending the signed resource record or response to the client device in response to the request.

11. The device as set forth in claim 10, wherein the one or more servers are authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.

12. The device as set forth in claim 10, wherein the signing further comprises encrypting the response or the resource record using a stored private key, the at least one processor further configured to be capable of executing programmed instructions stored in the memory to perform steps further comprising performing a hash of the encrypted first response or resource record prior to the sending.

13. The device as set forth in claim 10, wherein the at least a portion of the domain name comprises a top-level domain name that is known to be authenticated.

14. A method for providing authenticated domain name service comprising:

forwarding at a traffic management device a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) type request for a domain name received from a client device to a global server load balancer coupled to at least first domain name system (DNS) server that is not DNSSEC compliant and a second DNS server that is DNSSEC compliant;

receiving at the traffic management device first and second responses for at least a portion of the domain name from the global server load balancer, wherein the first response is from the first server and the second response is from the second server;

generating at the traffic management device a signature and signing the first response using the signature when the first response is determined to be more current than the second response; and

sending at the traffic management device the signed first response to the client device in response to the request.

15. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the first and second responses are denial of existence responses and the method further comprises:

creating at the traffic management device a resource record;

generating at the traffic management device a signature and signing the first or second response or the resource record using the signature; and

sending at the traffic management device the signed resource record or first or second response to the client device in response to the request.

16. The method as set forth in claim 15, wherein the signing further comprises encrypting the first or second response or the resource record using a stored private key, the method further comprising performing at the traffic management device a hash of the encrypted first or second response or resource record prior to the sending.

17. A non-transitory computer readable medium having stored thereon instructions for providing authenticated

19

domain name service comprising machine executable code which when executed by at least one processor, causes the processor to perform steps comprising:

forwarding a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) type request for a domain name received from a client device to a global server load balancer coupled to at least first domain name system (DNS) server that is not DNSSEC compliant and a second DNS server that is DNSSEC compliant;
 receiving first and second responses for at least a portion of the domain name from the global server load balancer, wherein the first response is from the first server and the second response is from the second server;
 generating a signature and signing the first response using the signature when the first response is determined to be more current than the second response; and
 sending the signed first response to the client device in response to the request.

18. The medium as set forth in claim 17, wherein the first and second responses are denial of existence responses and the medium further has stored thereon instructions comprising machine executable code which when executed by the at least one processor causes the processor to perform steps further comprising:

creating at the traffic management device a resource record;
 generating at the traffic management device a signature and signing the first or second response or the resource record using the signature; and
 sending at the traffic management device the signed resource record or first or second response to the client device in response to the request.

19. The medium as set forth in claim 18, wherein the signing further comprises encrypting the first or second response or the resource record using a stored private key, the medium further having stored thereon instructions comprising machine executable code which when executed by the at least one processor causes the processor to perform steps further comprising performing a hash of the encrypted first or second response or resource record prior to the sending.

20. The medium as set forth in claim 17, wherein at least one of the first or second server is authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.

21. A traffic management device comprising:
 at least one processor; and
 a memory coupled to the at least one processor which is configured to be capable of executing programmed instructions stored in the memory to perform steps comprising:

forwarding a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) type request for a domain name received from a client device to a global server load balancer coupled to at least first domain name system (DNS) server that is not DNSSEC compliant and a second DNS server that is DNSSEC compliant;
 receiving first and second responses for at least a portion of the domain name from the global server load balancer, wherein the first response is from the first server and the second response is from the second server;
 generating a signature and signing the first response using the signature when the first response is determined to be more current than the second response; and
 sending the signed first response to the client device in response to the request.

20

22. The device as set forth in claim 21, wherein the first and second responses are denial of existence responses and the at least one processor is further configured to be capable of executing programmed instructions stored in the memory to perform steps further comprising:

creating at the traffic management device a resource record;
 generating at the traffic management device a signature and signing the first or second response or the resource record using the signature; and
 sending at the traffic management device the signed resource record or first or second response to the client device in response to the request.

23. The device as set forth in claim 22, wherein the signing further comprises encrypting the first or second response or the resource record using a stored private key, the at least one processor further configured to be capable of executing programmed instructions stored in the memory to perform steps further comprising performing a hash of the encrypted first or second response or resource record prior to the sending.

24. The device as set forth in claim 21, wherein at least one of the first or second server is authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.

25. *A non-transitory computer readable medium having stored thereon instructions for providing authenticated domain name service comprising machine executable code which when executed by at least one processor, causes the processor to:*

receive a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) request for a domain name from a DNSSEC compliant computing device;
generate a domain name system (DNS) request corresponding to the DNSSEC request for the domain name;
send the DNS request for the domain name to one or more DNS servers that are not DNSSEC compliant;
receive a DNS compliant response for at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more DNS servers;
create a signed resource record that is DNSSEC compliant when the DNS compliant response from the one or more DNS servers is a denial of existence response for the requested domain name; and
send the signed resource record to the requesting DNSSEC compliant computing device.

26. *The medium as set forth in claim 25, wherein the DNS servers are authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.*

27. *The medium as set forth in claim 25, wherein the executable code, when executed by the processor, further causes the processor to:*

encrypt the signed resource record using a stored private key; and
perform a hash of the encrypted signed resource record prior to sending the signed resource record to the requesting DNSSEC compliant computing device.

28. *The medium as set forth in claim 25, wherein the at least a portion of the domain name comprises a top-level domain name that is known to be authenticated.*

29. *A method for providing authenticated domain name service implemented by a system comprising one or more network traffic management devices, one or more servers, or one or more clients, the method comprising:*

receiving a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) request for a domain name from a DNSSEC compliant computing device;
generating a domain name system (DNS) request corresponding to the DNSSEC request for the domain name;

21

sending the DNS request for the domain name to one or more DNS servers that are not DNSSEC compliant; receiving a DNS compliant response for at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more DNS servers; creating a signed resource record that is DNSSEC compliant when the DNS compliant response from the one or more DNS servers is a denial of existence response for the requested domain name; and sending the signed resource record to the requesting DNSSEC compliant computing device.

30. *The method as set forth in claim 29, wherein the DNS servers are authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.*

31. *The method as set forth in claim 29, further comprising:*

encrypting the signed resource record using a stored private key; and

performing a hash of the encrypted signed resource record prior to sending the signed resource record to the requesting DNSSEC compliant computing device.

32. *The method as set forth in claim 25, wherein the at least a portion of the domain name comprises a top-level domain name that is known to be authenticated.*

33. *A system comprising one or more network traffic management devices, one or more servers, or one or more clients, the system comprising:*

one or more processors; and

memory comprising programmed instructions stored in the memory, the one or more processors configured to be capable of executing the programmed instructions stored in the memory to:

22

receive a domain name system security extension (DNSSEC) request for a domain name from a DNSSEC compliant computing device;

generate a domain name system (DNS) request corresponding to the DNSSEC request for the domain name;

send the DNS request for the domain name to one or more DNS servers that are not DNSSEC compliant; receive a DNS compliant response for at least a portion of the domain name from the one or more DNS servers;

create a signed resource record that is DNSSEC compliant when the DNS compliant response from the one or more DNS servers is a denial of existence response for the requested domain name; and

send the signed resource record to the requesting DNSSEC compliant computing device.

34. *The system as set forth in claim 33, wherein the DNS servers are authoritative for a zone associated with the at least a portion of the domain name.*

35. *The system as set forth in claim 33, wherein the one or more processors are further configured to be capable of executing the programmed instructions stored in the memory to:*

encrypt the signed resource record using a stored private key; and

perform a hash of the encrypted signed resource record prior to sending the signed resource record to the requesting DNSSEC compliant computing device.

36. *The system as set forth in claim 33, wherein the at least a portion of the domain name comprises a top-level domain name that is known to be authenticated.*

* * * * *