

US00RE44562E

(19) **United States**  
(12) **Reissued Patent**  
**Pendse et al.**

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE44,562 E**  
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** **Oct. 29, 2013**

(54) **SOLDER JOINT FLIP CHIP INTERCONNECTION HAVING RELIEF STRUCTURE**

(75) Inventors: **Rajendra D. Pendse**, Fremont, CA (US); **KyungOe Kim**, Daegeon (KR); **TaeWoo Kang**, Kyoung-gi-Do (KR)

(73) Assignee: **STATS ChipPAC, Ltd.**, Singapore (SG)

(21) Appl. No.: **13/558,953**

(22) Filed: **Jul. 26, 2012**

**Related U.S. Patent Documents**

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **7,659,633**  
Issued: **Feb. 9, 2010**  
Appl. No.: **11/640,534**  
Filed: **Dec. 14, 2006**

U.S. Applications:

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 10/985,654, filed on Nov. 10, 2004, now Pat. No. 7,368,817, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 11/388,755, filed on Mar. 24, 2006, now abandoned.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/597,648, filed on Dec. 14, 2005, provisional application No. 60/533,918, filed on Dec. 31, 2003, provisional application No. 60/518,864, filed on Nov. 10, 2003, provisional application No. 60/665,208, filed on Mar. 25, 2005.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H01L 23/48** (2006.01)  
**H01L 23/52** (2006.01)  
**H01L 29/40** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
USPC ..... **257/778; 257/780**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
USPC ..... **257/780, 781, 778, 777, 786, 784**  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,186,383 A 2/1993 Melton et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP 04-355933 9/1992

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Yamada, Hiroshi et al., "Advanced copper column based solder bump for flip-chip interconnection", International Symposium on Microelectronics, 1997, pp. 417-422, The British Library—"The world's knowledge".

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — S. V. Clark

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Robert D. Atkins; Patent Law Group: Atkins & Associates, P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A flip chip interconnect has a tapering interconnect structure, and the area of contact of the interconnect structure with the site on the substrate metallization is less than the area of contact of the interconnect structure with the die pad. A solder mask has an opening over the interconnect site, and the solder mask makes contact with the interconnect structure, or is in close proximity to the interconnect structure, at the margin of the opening. The flip chip interconnect is provided with an underfill. During the underfill process, the contact (or near proximity) of the solder mask with the interconnect structure interferes with flow of the underfill material toward the substrate adjacent the site, resulting in formation of a void left unfilled by the underfill, adjacent the contact of the interconnect structure with the site on the substrate metallization. The void can help provide relief from strain induced by changes in temperature of the system.

**27 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets**





# US RE44,562 E

Page 2

(56)

## References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,378,859	A	1/1995	Shirasaki et al.	7,271,484	B2	9/2007	Reiss et al.
5,386,624	A	2/1995	George et al.	7,294,451	B2	11/2007	Chiu et al.
5,434,410	A	7/1995	Kulwicki	7,294,929	B2	11/2007	Miyazaki
5,508,561	A	4/1996	Tago et al.	7,317,245	B1	1/2008	Lee et al.
5,519,580	A	5/1996	Natarajan	7,405,484	B2	7/2008	Usui et al.
5,587,337	A *	12/1996	Idaka et al. .... 438/613	7,436,063	B2	10/2008	Miyata et al.
5,650,595	A	7/1997	Bentlage et al.	7,521,284	B2	4/2009	Miranda et al.
5,710,071	A	1/1998	Beddingfield et al.	7,642,660	B2	1/2010	Tay et al.
5,795,818	A	8/1998	Marrs	7,663,250	B2	2/2010	Jeon et al.
5,844,782	A	12/1998	Fukasawa	7,670,939	B2	3/2010	Tapacio et al.
5,854,514	A	12/1998	Roldan et al.	7,671,454	B2	3/2010	Seko
5,869,886	A	2/1999	Tokuno	7,692,314	B2	4/2010	Yang et al.
5,872,399	A	2/1999	Lee	7,700,407	B2	4/2010	Pendse
5,889,326	A	3/1999	Tanaka	7,732,913	B2	6/2010	Hsieh et al.
5,915,169	A	6/1999	Heo	7,750,457	B2	7/2010	Seko
5,985,456	A	11/1999	Zhou et al.	7,790,509	B2	9/2010	Gerber
6,002,172	A	12/1999	Desai et al.	7,791,211	B2	9/2010	Chen et al.
6,109,507	A	8/2000	Yagi et al.	7,847,399	B2	12/2010	Masumoto
6,201,305	B1	3/2001	Darveaux et al.	7,847,417	B2	12/2010	Araki et al.
6,218,630	B1	4/2001	Takigami	7,851,928	B2	12/2010	Gallegos et al.
6,228,466	B1	5/2001	Tsukada et al.	7,898,083	B2	3/2011	Castro
6,229,209	B1	5/2001	Nakamura et al.	7,902,660	B1	3/2011	Lee et al.
6,229,220	B1	5/2001	Saitoh et al.	7,902,678	B2	3/2011	Ohuchi et al.
6,259,163	B1	7/2001	Ohuchi et al.	7,902,679	B2	3/2011	Lin et al.
6,281,450	B1	8/2001	Urasaki et al.	7,932,170	B1	4/2011	Huemoeller et al.
6,281,581	B1	8/2001	Desai et al.	7,947,602	B2	5/2011	Ito et al.
6,297,560	B1	10/2001	Capote et al.	7,973,406	B2	7/2011	Pendse
6,324,754	B1	12/2001	DiStefano et al.	2001/0013423	A1	8/2001	Dalal et al.
6,329,605	B1	12/2001	Beroz et al.	2002/0041036	A1	4/2002	Smith
6,333,206	B1	12/2001	Ito et al.	2002/0121706	A1	9/2002	Tatsuta et al.
6,335,568	B1	1/2002	Yuzawa et al.	2002/0192865	A1	12/2002	Imasu et al.
6,335,571	B1	1/2002	Capote et al.	2003/0049411	A1	3/2003	Chaudhuri et al.
6,383,916	B1	5/2002	Lin	2003/0067084	A1	4/2003	Shintani
6,396,707	B1	5/2002	Huang et al.	2003/0116866	A1 *	6/2003	Cher 'Khng et al. .... 257/780
6,409,073	B1	6/2002	Kaskoun et al.	2003/0127734	A1	7/2003	Lee et al.
6,441,316	B1	8/2002	Kusui	2003/0168748	A1	9/2003	Katagiri et al.
6,448,665	B1	9/2002	Nakazawa et al.	2004/0035909	A1	2/2004	Yeh et al.
6,458,622	B1	10/2002	Keser et al.	2004/0056341	A1	3/2004	Endo et al.
6,462,425	B1	10/2002	Iwasaki et al.	2004/0105223	A1	6/2004	Okada et al.
6,472,608	B2	10/2002	Nakayama	2004/0108135	A1	6/2004	Ashida
6,518,674	B2	2/2003	Interrante et al.	2004/0232560	A1 *	11/2004	Su ..... 257/778
6,573,610	B1	6/2003	Tsai	2004/0232562	A1	11/2004	Hortalez et al.
6,600,234	B2	7/2003	Kuwabara et al.	2005/0046041	A1	3/2005	Tsai
6,608,388	B2	8/2003	Lin et al.	2005/0082654	A1 *	4/2005	Humpston et al. .... 257/678
6,660,560	B2	12/2003	Chaudhuri et al.	2005/0103516	A1	5/2005	Kaneyuki
6,664,483	B2	12/2003	Chong et al.	2005/0248037	A1	11/2005	Hung et al.
6,678,948	B1	1/2004	Benzler et al.	2006/0131758	A1	6/2006	Dao
6,710,458	B2	3/2004	Seko	2006/0202331	A1	9/2006	Hu
6,734,557	B2	5/2004	Taniguchi et al.	2007/0200234	A1	8/2007	Gerber et al.
6,768,190	B2	7/2004	Yang et al.	2007/0259514	A1 *	11/2007	Otremba ..... 438/612
6,774,497	B1	8/2004	Qi et al.	2008/0093749	A1	4/2008	Gerber et al.
6,780,673	B2	8/2004	Venkateswaran et al.	2008/0179740	A1	7/2008	Liao
6,780,682	B2	8/2004	Pendse	2008/0277802	A1	11/2008	Tsai et al.
6,787,918	B1	9/2004	Tsai et al.	2009/0108445	A1	4/2009	Liang
6,809,262	B1	10/2004	Hsu	2009/0114436	A1	5/2009	Chen
6,818,545	B2	11/2004	Lee et al.	2009/0152716	A1	6/2009	Sohara
6,821,878	B2	11/2004	Danvir et al.	2009/0191329	A1	7/2009	Wang
6,849,944	B2	2/2005	Murtuza et al.	2009/0288866	A1	11/2009	Tsai et al.
6,870,276	B1	3/2005	Moxham et al.	2009/0308647	A1	12/2009	Liao
6,888,255	B2	5/2005	Murtuza et al.	2010/0139965	A1	6/2010	Wang et al.
6,913,948	B2	7/2005	Caletka et al.	2011/0049703	A1	3/2011	Hsu et al.
7,005,585	B2	2/2006	Ishizaki	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS			
7,005,743	B2	2/2006	Iwatsu et al.	JP	2005-28037	4/1993	
7,005,750	B2	2/2006	Liu et al.	JP	6503687	4/1994	
7,049,705	B2	5/2006	Huang	JP	09-097791	8/1997	
7,057,284	B2	6/2006	Chauhan et al.	JP	10-256307	9/1998	
7,064,435	B2	6/2006	Chung et al.	JP	11145176	5/1999	
7,098,407	B2	8/2006	Kim et al.	JP	11233571	8/1999	
7,102,222	B2	9/2006	Kuo et al.	JP	2000-031204	1/2000	
7,102,239	B2	9/2006	Pu et al.	JP	2000-349194	12/2000	
7,112,524	B2	9/2006	Hsu et al.	JP	2001156203	6/2001	
7,164,208	B2	1/2007	Kainou et al.	JP	2002270732	9/2002	
7,173,828	B2	2/2007	Lin et al.	JP	2004-221205	5/2004	
7,224,073	B2	5/2007	Kim	JP	2004165283	6/2004	
7,242,099	B2	7/2007	Lin et al.	JP	2005109187	4/2005	

(56)

**References Cited**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 9306964 A1 4/1993  
WO 03071842 A1 8/2001

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Yamada, Hiroshi et al., "A fine pitch and high aspect ratio bump array for flip-chip interconnection", Int'l Electronics Manufacturing Technology Symposium, 1992, pp. 288-292, IEEE/CHMT.

Lu, H. et al., "Predicting Optimal Process Conditions for Flip-Chip Assembly Using Copper Column Bumped Dies", Electronics Packaging Technology Conference, 2002, pp. 338-343.

Kawahara, Toshimi, "SuperCSP", IEEE Transactions on Advanced Packaging, May 2000, pp. 215-219, vol. 23, No. 2.

Son, Ho-Young, "Studies on the Thermal Cycling Reliability of Fine Pitch Cu/SnAg Double-Bump Flip Chip Assemblies on Organic Substrates: Experimental Results and Numerical Analysis", IEEE Electronic Components and Technology Conference, 2008, pp. 2035-2043.

\* cited by examiner






Fig. 1A




Fig. 1B






Fig. 2A




Fig. 2B






Fig. 3A




Fig. 3B



**SOLDER JOINT FLIP CHIP  
INTERCONNECTION HAVING RELIEF  
STRUCTURE**

**Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [ ] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED  
APPLICATIONS

**[This application]** *The present application is a reissue application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/640,534, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,659,633, which claims [priority from] the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/597,648, filed Dec. 14, 2005, [titled “Semiconductor system with solder joint interconnect”, which is hereby incorporated by reference herein.] and further*

**[This application]** is a Continuation-in-Part of U.S. *patent* application Ser. No. 10/985,654 **[by Rajendra D. Pendse, titled “Bump-on-lead flip chip interconnection”, which was],** filed Nov. 10, 2004, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,368,817**;** and this application**], which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/533,918, filed Dec. 31, 2003, and U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/518,864, filed Nov. 10, 2003, and further U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/640,534, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,659,633, is a Continuation-in-Part of [copending] U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/388,755 [by Rajendra D. Pendse, titled “Flip chip interconnection having narrow interconnection sites on the substrate”, which was],** filed Mar. 24, 2006, **[both of which applications are hereby incorporated by reference herein] now abandoned, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/665,208, filed Mar. 25, 2005.**

**[This application]** *U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/640,534, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,659,633, is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/640,468, [titled “Solder joint flip chip interconnection”, which is being] filed [on the same date as this application, and] Dec. 14, 2006, now abandoned, which is hereby incorporated by reference herein.*

BACKGROUND

This invention relates to semiconductor packaging and, particularly, to flip chip interconnection.

Flip chip packages include a semiconductor die mounted onto a package substrate with the active side of the die facing the substrate. The substrate is made up of a dielectric layer and at least one metal layer, patterned to provide substrate circuitry, which includes among other features traces (“leads”) leading to interconnect pads. The metal layer may be patterned by, for example, a mask-and etch process. Conventionally, interconnection of the circuitry in the die with circuitry in the substrate is made by way of bumps which are attached to an array of interconnect pads on the die, and bonded to a corresponding (complementary) array of interconnect pads (often referred to as “capture pads”) on the substrate. The capture pads are typically much wider than the leads, and can be as wide as, for example, about 2 to 4 times the nominal or design width of the leads. Conventionally, the interconnect area on the capture pad is approximately equal to the interconnect area on the die pad.

The areal density of electronic features on integrated circuits has increased enormously, and chips having a greater

density of circuit features also may have a greater density of sites (“die pads”) for interconnection with the circuitry on a package substrate.

The package is connected to underlying circuitry, such as a printed circuit board (e.g., a “motherboard”), in the device in which the package is employed, by way of second level interconnects (e.g., pins, secondary interconnect solder balls) between the package and the underlying circuit. The second level interconnects have a greater pitch than the flip chip interconnects, and so the routing on the substrate conventionally “fans out”. Significant technological advances in patterning the metal layer on the substrate have enabled construction of fine lines and spaces; but in the conventional arrangement space between adjacent pads limits the number of traces that can escape from the more inward capture pads in the array, and the fan out routing between the capture pads beneath the die and the external pins of the package is conventionally formed on multiple metal layers within the package substrate. For a complex interconnect array, substrates having multiple layers may be required to achieve routing between the die pads and the second level interconnects on the package.

Multiple layer substrates are expensive, and in conventional flip chip constructs the substrate alone typically accounts for more than half the package cost (about 60% in some typical instances). The high cost of multilayer substrates has been a factor in limiting proliferation of flip chip technology in mainstream products.

In conventional flip chip constructs the escape routing pattern typically introduces additional electrical parasitics, because the routing includes short runs of unshielded wiring and vias between wiring layers in the signal transmission path. Electrical parasitics can significantly limit package performance.

Flip chip interconnection is commonly used in a wide variety of integrated circuit designs, including for example ASIC, GPU, Chipset, DSP, FPGA.

SUMMARY

The aforementioned challenges presented by conventional flip chip interconnect can be addressed by connecting the interconnect bump directly onto a lead (“Bump-on-Lead” interconnect, or “BoL”); or by connecting the interconnect bump directly onto a narrow interconnection pad, or narrow pad (“Bump-on-Narrow Pad”, or “BoNP”) rather than onto a conventional capture pad. Such approaches are described in, for example, related U.S. application Ser. No. 10/985,654 (BoL) and U.S. application Ser. No. 11/388,755 (BoNP), referenced above.

BoNP or BoL approaches can provide more efficient routing of traces on the substrate. Particularly, the signal routing can be formed entirely in a single metal layer of the substrate. This can reduce the number of layers in the substrate, and forming the signal traces in a single layer also permits relaxation of some of the via, line and space design rules that the substrate must meet. This simplification of the substrate greatly reduces the overall cost of the flip chip package. The bump-on-lead architecture also helps eliminate such features as vias and “stubs” from the substrate design, and enables a microstrip controlled impedance electrical environment for signal transmission, thereby greatly improving performance.

We have discovered that, within selected design parameters, a BoL or BoNP flip chip interconnection can be at least as reliable as a conventional bond on capture pad interconnect. Generally, within a range, interconnect structures formed on narrower leads—that is, more sharply tapered



interconnect structures—can be more reliable than interconnect structures formed on less narrow leads.

Particularly, where the CTE of the die differs significantly from the CTE of the substrate, improved reliability can result from configuring the interconnect so that the interconnect structure is tapered, and the area of contact of the solder with the site on the lead is significantly less than the area of contact of the solder with the die pad. The contact at the site on the lead can be narrower as a result of a narrow dimension of the lead at the site (BoL) or of a narrow pad at the site (BoNP); or the contact at the site on the lead can be narrow as a consequence of masking a larger pad or otherwise limiting the solder-wettable area of a larger pad.

In some embodiments the CTE of the substrate is significantly greater than the CTE of the die; and the material of the interconnect structure is selected to be close to that of the substrate. For example, the CTE of a laminate (organic) substrate is typically in a range about 16-18 ppm/degree C.; the CTE of silicon is about 2-3 ppm/degree C.; the CTE of “glass ceramic” (in earlier use for substrates) is about 3-4 ppm/degree C.; the CTE of a co-fired ceramic (in use in multilayer substrates—as any as 16-18 layers, for example) is about 8-8.5 ppm/degree C. The CTE of a laminate (organic) substrate, on the other hands, is typically in a range about 16-18 ppm/degree C., and so a significant CTE mismatch exists between silicon die and organic laminate or build-up substrates. In some embodiments, the die is silicon-based, the substrate is an organic laminate or build-up substrate, and the tapered interconnect structure has a CTE in the range about 18-28 ppm/degree C.

In one general aspect the invention features a flip chip interconnection having tapered interconnect structures, in which a width of the connection of the interconnect structure with a die pad is greater than a width of the connection of the interconnect structure with a site on a lead. In some embodiments the connection at the die pad is about 1.5 times as wide as the connection at the lead, or is about 2 times as wide as the connection at the lead, or is about 3 times as wide as the connection at the lead, or is about 4 times as wide as the connection at the lead. The width of the connection at the die pad can be in a range about 50  $\mu\text{m}$  to about 150  $\mu\text{m}$ ; die pads in common use have widths about 110 (or 120)  $\mu\text{m}$  and about 90  $\mu\text{m}$ . The width of the connection at the site at the lead can be in a range about 20  $\mu\text{m}$  to about 100  $\mu\text{m}$ ; some standard leads have widths at the site about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ , or about 40  $\mu\text{m}$ , or about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ . Where the CTE mismatch between the die and the substrate is greater, a more sharply tapering interconnect structure may prove more reliable; where the CTE mismatch is less, a less sharply tapered interconnect structure may prove suitable.

In some embodiments the interconnect structure is a composite structure, including a higher-melting bump connected to the die pad, and a lower-melting solder connecting the bump to the site on the lead. The lower-melting component of the composite structure can be provided as a cap on the bump; or, the lower-melting component can be provided on the interconnect site (for example as a solder paste, or a plated spot); or a lower-melting material could be provided on each the bump and the site. The higher-melting bump can be of a material that is substantially non-collapsible at the reflow temperatures employed in making the interconnect. In such embodiments the higher-melting bump can be, for example, a high-lead solder (such as a lead-tin alloy having high lead content), or copper, or gold, or nickel, or a combination of these. The lower-melting solder can be, for example, a eutectic solder, which may be tin-based, including tin and alloys of

tin such as silver, copper, or lead, or a combination of these. Or, the bump could be entirely of a material that melts at the reflow temperature.

The bump can be affixed to the die pad; or, it can be formed on the die pad in situ, by printing or plating the bump material at the die pads and then heating to form the bumps.

In another general aspect the invention features a flip chip package including a die having interconnect pads in an active surface, and a substrate having interconnect sites on electrically conductive traces in a die attach surface, in which tapered interconnect structures connect the die pads to the sites. In some embodiments the sites include locations in the leads (BoL); in some embodiments the sites include narrow pads in the leads (BoNP); in some embodiments the sites include small-area portions of capture pads.

The bump-on-lead interconnection is formed according to methods of the invention with use of a solder mask to confine the molten solder during a re-melt stage in the process. According to the invention the solder mask and openings in the solder mask are configured so that the solder mask contacts—or is very close to—the interconnect structure at the margin of the solder mask opening, so that it interferes with the flow of underfill material to the region adjacent the connection of the interconnect structure with the metallization on the substrate. As a result, voids remain following completion of the underfill process. According to the invention, these voids can provide some relief from thermal stress. The voids may contain whatever atmosphere was present during the underfill process.

Accordingly, in some embodiments the substrate is further provided with a solder mask having openings over the interconnect sites on the leads, and the solder mask contacts the interconnect structures at the margin of the solder mask openings; the assembly includes an underfill between the die and the substrate, but voids remain adjacent the interconnection with the sites on the substrate, defined in part by the locus of the contact of the solder mask with the interconnect structures.

Accordingly in one general aspect the invention features a flip chip interconnection having tapered interconnect structures, in which a width of the connection of the interconnect structure with a die pad is greater than a width of the connection of the interconnect structure with a site on a lead, and further including a solder mask having openings, in which the solder mask contacts the interconnect structure at the margin of the openings, and further comprising an underfill between the die and the substrate, wherein voids are present adjacent the sites on the substrate.

We have also found by computer modeling that thermally-induced maximum stress in BoL interconnections is less on leads that are oriented at the interconnect site toward a thermally neutral point on the die (that is, in a “radial” direction) than on leads that are oriented at the interconnect site perpendicularly to a radial direction.

In another general aspect the invention features a substrate for BoL or BoNP flip chip interconnection, in which the lengthwise dimension of the interconnect site (the mating portion of the lead or narrow pad) is oriented in a direction approximately aligned toward the thermally neutral point of the die, or deviating less than about 45° (more usually, less than about 20°; still more usually, less than about 10°) from such an alignment.

In another general aspect the invention features a method for forming flip chip interconnection, by providing a substrate having interconnect sites in conductive traces formed in a die attach surface, providing a die having bumps attached to interconnect pads in an active surface; providing a fusible



5

conductive material on the bumps or on the interconnect sites (or on each the bumps and the interconnect sites); supporting the substrate and the die; positioning the die with the active side of the die toward the die attach surface of the substrate, and aligning the die and substrate and moving one toward the other so that the bumps contact the corresponding sites; and melting and then re-solidifying the fusible material, forming a metallurgical interconnection between the bump and the trace. In some embodiments the method further includes forming an underfill between the die and the substrate.

In another general aspect the invention features a method for forming flip chip interconnection, by providing a substrate having traces formed in a die attach surface and having a solder mask having openings over interconnect sites on the leads, and a die having bumps attached to interconnect pads in an active surface; supporting the substrate and the die; positioning the die with the active side of the die toward the die attach surface of the substrate, and aligning the die and substrate and moving one toward the other so that the bumps contact the corresponding traces (leads) on the substrate; melting and then re-solidifying to form the interconnection between the bump and the interconnect site on the trace.

In some embodiments the solder bump includes a collapsible solder portion, and the melt and solidifying step melts the bump to form the interconnection on the interconnect site. In some embodiments the substrate is further provided with a solder paste on the interconnect site, and the step of moving the die and the substrate toward one another effects a contact between the bump and the solder on the site, and the melt and solidifying step melts the solder on the site to form the interconnection.

In another general aspect the invention features a method for forming flip chip interconnection, by providing a substrate having traces formed in a die attach surface and having a solder mask having openings over interconnect sites on the leads and having solder paste on the leads at the interconnect sites, and a die having bumps attached to interconnect pads in an active surface; supporting the substrate and the die; positioning the die with the active side of the die toward the die attach surface of the substrate, and aligning the die and substrate and moving one toward the other so that the bumps contact the solder paste on the corresponding traces (leads) on the substrate; and melting and then re-solidifying the solder paste, forming a metallurgical interconnection between the bump and the trace.

In another general aspect the invention features a flip chip package, including interconnections formed as described above, and additionally including forming an underfill between the die and the substrate.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1A is a diagrammatic sketch of a portion of a conventional bump-on-capture pad ("BoC") flip chip interconnection, in a sectional view parallel to the plane of the package substrate surface.

FIG. 1B is a diagrammatic sketch in a plan view showing a die mounted on a substrate in a flip chip manner, in which the die and the substrate have significantly different thermal expansion coefficients, and showing dimensional change of the die in relation to the substrate as a result of change in temperature.

FIG. 2A is a diagrammatic sketch showing a portion of a flip chip interconnection according to the invention, in a sectional view perpendicular to the plane of the package substrate surface and generally transverse to the long axes of the leads.

6

FIG. 2B is a diagrammatic sketch showing a portion of a flip chip interconnection according to the invention, in a sectional view perpendicular to the plane of the package substrate surface and generally parallel long axes of the lead.

FIGS. 3A and 3B are diagrammatic sketches as in FIGS. 2B and 2B, respectively indicating dimensional references for various of the features.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The invention will now be described in further detail by reference to the drawings, which illustrate alternative embodiments of the invention. The drawings are diagrammatic, showing features of the invention and their relation to other features and structures, and are not made to scale. For improved clarity of presentation, in the FIGS. illustrating embodiments of the invention, elements corresponding to elements shown in other drawings are not all particularly renumbered, although they are all readily identifiable in all the FIGS.

The conventional flip chip interconnection is made by using a melting process to join the bumps (conventionally, solder bumps) onto the mating surfaces of the corresponding capture pads and, accordingly, this is known as a "bump-on-capture pad" ("BoC") interconnect. Two features are evident in the BOC design: first, a comparatively large capture pad is required to mate with the bump on the die; second, an insulating material, typically known as a "solder mask" is required to confine the flow of solder during the interconnection process. The solder mask opening may define the contour of the melted solder at the capture pad ("solder mask defined"), or the solder contour may not be defined by the mask opening ("non-solder mask defined").

The techniques for defining solder mask openings have wide tolerance ranges. Consequently, for a solder mask defined bump configuration, the capture pad must be large (typically considerably larger than the design size for the mask opening), to ensure that the mask opening will be located on the mating surface of the pad; and for a non-solder mask defined bump configuration, the solder mask opening must be larger than the capture pad. The width of capture pads (or diameter, for circular pads) is typically about the same as the ball (or bump) diameter, and can be as much as two to four times wider than the trace width. This results in considerable loss of routing space on the top substrate layer. In particular, for example, the "escape routing pitch" is much bigger than the finest trace pitch that the substrate technology can offer. This means that a significant number of pads must be routed on lower substrate layers by means of short stubs and vias, often beneath the footprint of the die, emanating from the pads in question.

FIG. 1A shows a portion of a conventional flip chip package, in diagrammatic sectional view; the partial sectional view in FIG. 1A is taken in a plane perpendicular to the package substrate surface. Referring now to FIG. 1A, a die attach surface of the package substrate includes a patterned electrically conductive layer formed on a dielectric layer 10. The metal layer is patterned to form leads and capture pads 16. An insulating layer 11, typically termed a "solder mask", covers the die attach surface of the substrate; the solder mask is usually constructed of a photodefinable material, and is patterned by conventional photoresist patterning techniques to have openings, indicated at 12, leaving the mating surfaces of the capture pads 16 exposed. Interconnect bumps 17 attached to pads (so-called "under bump metallization") 18 on the active side of the die 14 are joined to the mating surfaces of corresponding capture pads 16 on the substrate to



form appropriate electrical interconnection between the circuitry on the die and the leads on the substrate. The active side of the die **14** is covered, except at the contact surfaces of the die pads **16**, with a die passivation layer **15**, which may be, for example, a polyimide layer. After the reflowed solder is cooled to establish the electrical connection, an underfill material (not shown in these FIGS.) is introduced into the space between the die and the substrate, mechanically stabilizing the interconnects and protecting the features between the die and the substrate.

In such a conventional flip chip interconnect arrangement, signal escape traces in the upper metal layer of the substrate lead from their respective capture pads across the die edge location, and away from the die footprint. The capture pads are typically three times greater than the trace width. In one example of a conventional arrangement, the capture pads are arranged in a 210 um two-row area array pitch in a solder mask defined configuration, with one signal trace between capture pads in the marginal row, resulting in an effective escape pitch about 105 um, for example. In one example of a BoL interconnect, the interconnect sites can be arranged in a 210 um three-row area array pitch, with two signal traces between sites in the outer row, resulting in an effective escape pitch about 70 um. This escape pitch is adequate to route a significant proportion of integrated circuit designs that commonly employ flip chip interconnection on a single metallization layer, based on the inherent I/O density of the IC device architectures. BoL interconnection also further opens the prospect of routing a considerable proportion of flip chip designs in conventional through-hole laminate substrates, inasmuch as laminate substrates have line/space capacities of about 40 um/40 um (or better). This could provide for substantial cost reduction.

FIG. 1A shows a solder mask defined solder contour. As the fusible material of the bumps on the die melts, the molten solder tends to “wet” the metal of the capture pads, and the solder tends to “run out” over any contiguous metal surfaces that are not masked. The solder tends to flow along the underlying pad (and exposed contiguous lead), and in the solder mask defined contour the solder flow is limited by the solder mask, for example by the width of the opening **12** in the solder mask **11**.

A non-solder mask defined solder contour may alternatively be employed, in which the flow of solder along the lead is limited at least in part by a patterned deposition of non-solder-wettable material on the lead surface.

Thermal movement (in the x-y plane) of die pads on the die attach surface of the die in relation to the corresponding points on the substrate (as indicated for example by arrow **13** in FIG. 1A), can result in stresses to the interconnections between the die pad and the site on the substrate. Dimensional change, resulting from temperature changes, of a flip chip mounted die in relation to a substrate, is shown diagrammatically (and with dimensions exaggerated) in plan view in FIG. 1B. In this example, there is a significant mismatch between the CTE of the die and the CE of the substrate. A portion of the substrate is shown at **11**. Dimensional change of the die in the x-y plane (parallel to the plane of the substrate) in relation to the substrate as the temperature changes (for example, during thermal cycling in assembly or test or die burnout routines) is shown by the arrows **113**. A footprint of the die at a higher temperature (the substrate is more “thermally expanded” than the die) is shown at **14B**; a footprint of the die at a lower temperature is shown at **14B**. As will be appreciated, registration of any point on the active surface of the die **14** with respect to a corresponding underlying point on the die attach surface of the substrate **11** will change as the dimensions of

the die and substrate change differentially as a result of thermal stress. At some point on the active surface of the die there is no net movement with relation to the corresponding point on the underlying substrate, as a result of expansion or contraction of the die in relation to the substrate; that point may be referred to herein as the “thermally neutral point”. Generally, as may be appreciated, the thermally neutral point may approximately coincide with the geometric center of the die surface. The extent of movement of any point on the die in an x-y plane (parallel to the plane of the substrate) in relation to the substrate as a result of thermal expansion or contraction (the “thermal movement”) depends at least in part upon the distance of that point from the thermally neutral point on the die; accordingly, there is greater relative thermal movement at points nearer the edges of the die (and, particularly, near the corners of the die) than at points nearer the thermally neutral point.

Movement (in the x-y plane) of a die pad in relation to an underlying contact pad can result in stresses to the interconnection between the pad and the contact pad. Where the movement passes a limit, something has to give: failure of the interconnect can result. In conventional flip chip interconnects, where there is a thermal mismatch between the die and the substrate, failure typically occurs at the joint between the solder bump and the die pad. And, in convention flip chip interconnects, where there is a thermal mismatch between the die and the substrate, even if there is no failure, thermal stress at the die pad can cause damage to the die.

Conventionally it is thought that, in an ideal solder joint structure, the area (diameter) of the interconnect pad on the substrate is approximately equal to the area (diameter) of the interconnect pad on the die, as shown by way of example in FIG. 1A.

By analysis of interconnect configurations using computer simulations, we have determined that the primary locus of stress is as referenced at **19** in FIG. 1A. Particularly, where the thermal movement of the die in relation to the substrate is as shown at arrow **13** in FIG. 1A, the greatest (“Maximum”) plastic strain on the interconnect is predicted by the computer model to be at the “leading edge” **19** of the connection (interface) between the solder **17** and the die pad (UBM) **18**. (As will be appreciated, the arrow might be positioned at the substrate, but reversed, showing relative movement of the substrate in the opposite direction; the relative movement is pointed out here in relation to the die, because the thermally neutral point is established in relation to the die footprint. The appearance of actual failures during accelerated fatigue testing is consistent with the model, that is, failure in conventional bond-on-capture pad interconnects usually appears at the interface of the solder with the die pad, rather than at the interface of the solder with the capture pad on the substrate.

A BoL interconnection according to an embodiment of the invention is shown by way of example in sectional views perpendicular to the surface of the substrate in FIGS. 2A and 2B. In FIG. 2A, two solder joints are shown in a sectional view transverse to the lead, and in FIG. 2B, one solder joint is shown in a sectional view parallel to the lead. Referring to FIG. 2A, a die attach surface of the package substrate includes a patterned electrically conductive layer formed on a dielectric layer **20**. The metal layer is patterned to form leads having interconnect sites **26**. An insulating layer **21**, typically termed a “solder mask”, covers the die attach surface of the substrate; the solder mask is usually constructed of a photodefinable material, and is patterned by conventional photoresist patterning techniques to have openings, indicated at **22**, leaving the top surface and the sides of the lead (the “mating surfaces”) exposed at the interconnect site **26**. Interconnect



structures **27** are attached to pads (so-called “under bump metallization”) **28** on the active side of the die **24** and are joined to the mating surfaces of the leads at the interconnect sites **26** on the substrate to form appropriate electrical interconnection between the circuitry on the die and the leads on the substrate. The active side of the die **24** is covered, except at the contact surfaces of the die pads **26**, with a die passivation layer (such as a polyimide layer) **25**. After the reflowed solder is cooled to establish the electrical connection, an underfill material (not shown in these FIGS.) is introduced into the space between the die and the substrate, mechanically stabilizing the interconnects and protecting the features between the die and the substrate.

Referring now to FIG. **2B**, an interconnect is shown in a sectional view taken along the line **2B-2B** in FIG. **2A**. (In this view, the solder mask **21** is not shown.) This view shows the solder of interconnect structure **27** covering the sides of the lead **26**.

The interconnect structures according to some embodiments can be made using entirely fusible materials, or using composite bumps, or using a solder-on-lead method, as described above.

Particularly, for example, so-called composite interconnect structures may be used. Composite structures have at least two bump portions, made of different materials, including one which is collapsible under reflow conditions, and one which is substantially non-collapsible under reflow conditions. The non-collapsible portion is attached to the interconnect pad on the die; typical conventional materials for the non-collapsible portion include various solders having a high lead (Pd) content, for example, (such as a lead-tin alloy having high lead content), or copper, or gold, or nickel, or a combination of these. The collapsible portion is joined to the non-collapsible portion, and it is the collapsible portion that makes the connection with the interconnect site on the lead. Typical conventional materials for the collapsible portion of the composite bump include eutectic solders, for example, which may be tin-based, including tin and alloys of tin such as silver, copper, or lead, or a combination of these.

This structure can be formed in the following way, for example. Solder bumps (or balls) are attached to or formed on the die pads (under bump metallization or UBM). Solder is applied to the interconnect sites on the traces, for example in the form of a solder paste. The die is oriented, active side facing the mounting surface of the substrate, so that the bumps on the die are aligned with the respective interconnect sites on the leads, and the die is moved toward the substrate to bring the bumps into contact with the solder on the leads. The assembly is then heated, to reflow the solder and form the connection at the interconnect site. As the solder on the lead reflows, it wicks to the solder-wettable surface of the solder bump, and to the solder-wettable mating surfaces of the lead. The surface of the substrate dielectric **20** is not solder-wettable, and the solder tends to make little or no contact with the substrate dielectric. The tapered form of the connection structure (as viewed in section across the lead, as in FIG. **2A**) results from the narrow dimension of the lead at the interconnect site, and the wicking of the solder during reflow.

For example, the bumps may be formed of a high-lead (high-Pb) solder (e.g., 97% lead, 2% tin), and the solder on the interconnect site can be a eutectic solder. Reflow in some such examples can be carried out at a peak temperature of 235° C., employing flux in a jet flux method.

In a BoL construct such as is shown here, although the width of the leads may vary over their length, no particular widening of the leads is formed at the interconnect sites; in a BoNP construct, the leads may be widened to a limited extent

at the interconnect sites. In either BoL or BONP construct, the sides of the lead—as well as the top—(the mating surfaces) are exposed to the solder at the interconnect site, and during reflow solder wicks to the solder-wettable surfaces.

As noted with reference to FIG. **2B**, a solder mask is employed in these embodiments to limit the flow of solder along the length of the leads. In other embodiments the leads may be treated to be non-solder-wettable along portions of the leads adjacent the mating surfaces at the interconnect sites, so that flow of solder away from the interconnect sites along the leads is limited without use of a solder mask.

Any of a variety of substrate types can be employed according to the invention, including for example build-up film substrates and laminate substrates. For example, a 1-2-1 high-density build-up substrate can be used (such as an Ajinomoto Build-Up Film, or other high density substrate build-up film), or a 4-layer laminate substrate can be used.

Testing of samples constructed generally as shown in FIGS. **2A** and **2B** and employing a high-lead solder ball and a eutectic solder on the interconnect site shows that eventual failure can occur at or near the lead, rather than at the die pad, as in the conventional interconnect. Moreover, in embodiments according to the invention, where the difference between the areas of contact at the die pad and at the lead is sufficiently great, a greater number of thermal cycles to failure can be obtained.

FIGS. **3A** and **3B** are similar to FIGS. **2A** and **2B**, marked up for reference to dimensions of certain of the features. The features are referenced as follows: H, interconnect height as measured from the die surface to the solder mask surface; D, bump diameter at half the interconnect height (H/2); UD, under bump metallization diameter; OP<sub>x</sub>, mask opening width in the x-direction (across the lead); OP<sub>y</sub>, mask opening width in the y-direction (along the lead); CW, width of the (Copper) lead at the interconnect site; CH, thickness (height) of the lead at the interconnect site; T, solder mask thickness.

With further reference to FIG. **2A**, a flip chip package according to the invention includes an underfill **47**, which contributes to the mechanical stability of the structure and serves to protect the interconnection and the die and substrate surfaces. As inspection of FIG. **2A** shows, the solder mask makes contact with (or is in close proximity to) the interconnect structure **27** at the margin of the opening **22**. During the underfill process, the contact (or near proximity) of the solder mask with the interconnect structure interferes with flow of the underfill material toward the substrate adjacent the site. This results in the creation of voids **48** left unfilled by the underfill material, adjacent the contact of the interconnect structure with the site on the substrate metallization. Conventionally, it is generally believed that any voids in a flip chip construct are undesirable, for a variety of reasons. However, we have discovered that these voids adjacent the interconnect site on the substrate can help provide relief from strain induced by changes in temperature of the system; and the generally perceived complications imposed by voids can be mitigated.

A BoL construct according to the invention may have the following dimensions, for example: UD, 90 μm; D, 0.110 μm; H, 75 μm; T, 40 μm; CW, 30 μm, CT, 20 μm. A BoL construct having these dimensions formed on an Ajinomoto Build-Up Film (ABF) 1-2-1 substrate has performed well in fatigue failure tests. This result is surprising, because it is conventionally believed that preferred interconnects should have a shape and support area for the joint at the die side approximately equal to that on the substrate side (bond-on-capture pad, or BoC). (A similar BoL construct, having a wider CW (40 μm) and formed on a 4-layer BT laminate, performed less



satisfactorily.) Without intending to be bound thereto, the applicants suggest that the following hypothesis might explain this surprising result. Although in the conventional BoC the average strain on the interconnect system is determined by the magnitude of the CTE mismatch between the die and the substrate, a high strain concentration occurs at the bump/die interface, because at this location there is an abrupt difference in CTE. Accordingly, applicants suggest that fatigue failure is driven by a concentration of plastic strain at this location, and not by the average strain. In the BoL construct, because the interconnect structure is tapered, the portion of it nearer the substrate has a greater compliancy; particularly, applicants suggest that there is a high compliancy region (or “relief structure”) at or near the narrow interface at the interconnect site on the trace. Applicants suggest that this relief structure has an effect of diffusing the strain away from the die pad, resulting in improved fatigue life of the system. A computer analysis (Finite Element Modeling, FET) can lend support to this view.

A conventional (BoC) construct having the following dimensions was used for the FET analysis: UD, 90  $\mu\text{m}$ ; D, 0.110  $\mu\text{m}$ ; H, 75  $\mu\text{m}$ ; OPx, 95  $\mu\text{m}$ ; T, 40  $\mu\text{m}$ ; CW, 115  $\mu\text{m}$ , CT, 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . The analysis showed a considerable concentration of maximum strain at the interface with the die pad in the BoC model, and a maximum plastic strain in a zone on the “leading edge” of the structure at the die pad (see, FIG. 1A). In the BoL model, the maximum plastic strain is reduced, and it is shifted away from the die pad interface.

Other embodiments are within the following claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A flip chip interconnection having a tapered interconnect structure, wherein a width of the connection of the interconnect structure with a die pad is greater than a width of the connection of the interconnect structure with a site on a lead, the interconnection further including a solder mask having openings, wherein the solder mask contacts the interconnect structure at the margin of the openings, and further comprising an underfill between the die and the substrate, and voids are present adjacent the sites on the substrate, the ratio of the connection at the die pad being in a range about 1.5 to 4 times as wide as the connection at the site on the lead.

2. The flip chip interconnection of claim 1 wherein the connection at the die pad is about 1.5 times as wide as the connection at the lead.

3. The flip chip interconnection of claim 1 wherein the connection at the die pad is about 2 times as wide as the connection at the lead.

4. The flip chip interconnection of claim 1 wherein the connection at the die pad is about 3 times as wide as the connection at the lead.

5. The flip chip interconnection of claim 1 wherein the connection at the die pad is about 4 times as wide as the connection at the lead.

6. The flip chip interconnection of claim 1 wherein the connection at the die pad is in a range about 50  $\mu\text{m}$  to about 150  $\mu\text{m}$ .

7. The flip chip interconnection of claim 6 wherein the connection at the die pad is about 110  $\mu\text{m}$ .

8. The flip chip interconnection of claim 6 wherein the connection at the die pad is about 120  $\mu\text{m}$ .

9. The flip chip interconnection of claim 6 wherein the connection at the die pad is about 90  $\mu\text{m}$ .

10. The flip chip interconnection of claim 1 wherein the connection at the site on the lead has a width in a range about 20  $\mu\text{m}$  to about 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

11. The flip chip interconnection of claim 10 wherein the connection at the site on the lead has a width about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ .

12. The flip chip interconnection of claim 10 wherein the connection at the site on the lead has a width about 40  $\mu\text{m}$ .

13. The flip chip interconnection of claim 10 wherein the connection at the site on the lead has a width about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ .

14. The flip chip interconnection structure of claim 1 wherein the interconnect structure is a composite structure, comprising a first component connected to the die pad, and a second component connecting the first component to the site on the lead, the first component having a higher melting temperature than the second component.

15. The flip chip interconnection of claim 14 wherein the second component of the composite structure comprises:

- a bump; and
- a cap on the bump.

16. The flip chip interconnection of claim 14 wherein the second component of the composite structure is provided on the interconnect site.

17. The flip chip interconnection of claim 14 wherein the second component of the composite structure comprises a solder paste.

18. The flip chip interconnection of claim 14 wherein the second component of the composite structure comprises a plated spot.

19. The flip chip interconnection of claim 14 wherein the first component of the composite structure comprises a material that is substantially non-collapsible at a reflow temperature employed in making the interconnect.

20. The flip chip interconnection of claim 19 wherein the first component of the composite structure comprises a high-lead solder.

21. The flip chip interconnection of claim 19 wherein the first component of the composite structure includes a material selected from the group consisting of copper, gold, and nickel.

22. The flip chip interconnection of claim 14 wherein the second component of the composite structure includes eutectic solder.

23. The flip chip interconnection of claim 22 wherein the eutectic solder is a tin-based solder.

24. The flip chip interconnection of claim 15 wherein the bump is affixed to the die pad.

25. The flip chip interconnection of claim 15 wherein the bump is formed on the die pad in situ.

26. The flip chip interconnection of claim 15 wherein the bump is formed on the die pad by printing bump material at the die pads and then heating to form the bump.

27. The flip chip interconnection of claim 15 wherein the bump is formed on the die pad by plating bump material at the die pads and then heating to form the bump.