

# (19) United States (12) Reissued Patent Kister

# (10) Patent Number: US RE44,407 E (45) Date of Reissued Patent: Aug. 6, 2013

- (54) SPACE TRANSFORMERS EMPLOYING WIRE
   BONDS FOR INTERCONNECTIONS WITH
   FINE PITCH CONTACTS
- (75) Inventor: January Kister, Portola Valley, CA (US)
- (73) Assignee: FormFactor, Inc., Livermore, CA (US)
- (21) Appl. No.: **12/646,661**

4,532,423A7/1985Tojo et al.4,567,433A1/1986Ohkubo et al.4,593,961A6/1986Cosmo4,618,767A10/1986Smith et al.4,618,821A10/1986Lenz4,706,019A11/1987Richardson4,730,158A3/1988Kasai et al.

#### (Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS 4237591 5/1994

(22) Filed: Dec. 23, 2009

#### **Related U.S. Patent Documents**

Reissue of:

| Patent No.: | 7,312,617             |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| Issued:     | Dec. 25, 2007         |
| Appl. No.:  | 11/385,289            |
| Filed:      | Mar. 20, 2006         |
|             | Issued:<br>Appl. No.: |

- (51) Int. Cl. *G01R 31/20* (2006.01)
  (52) U.S. Cl.

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited** 

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE

EP

0144682 6/1985

(Continued)

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Sporck, Nicholas, "A New Probe Card Technology Using Compliant Microsprings", *Proceedings 1997 IEEE International Test Conference* Nov. 1, 1997, 527-532.

#### (Continued)

Primary Examiner — Arleen M Vazquez
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Deborah A. Peacock;
Peacock Myers, P.C.

# (57) **ABSTRACT**

Method and apparatus for electrical testing of a device under test (DUT) that employs a connection board with signal contacts for applying test signals and a space transformer that has low pitch contacts arranged on one or more circumferential shelves that define an enclosure in the space transformer. The apparatus has a substrate with fine pitch contacts positioned such that these are within the enclosure. A set of wire bonds is used for pitch reduction by interconnecting the fine pitch contacts with the low pitch contacts arranged on the shelves. The probes are connected to the fine pitch contacts and are used to apply the test signals to a DUT by contacting its pads. In some embodiments, the fine pitch contacts may be embodied by plugs or by blind metal vias.

| 3,518,612 A | 6/1970  | Dunman et al.  |
|-------------|---------|----------------|
| 3,599,093 A | 8/1971  | Oates          |
| 3,710,251 A | 1/1973  | Hagge et al.   |
| 3,812,311 A | 5/1974  | Kvaternik      |
| 4,027,935 A | 6/1977  | Byrnes et al.  |
| 4,115,736 A | 9/1978  | Tracy          |
| 4,116,523 A | 9/1978  | Coberly et al. |
| 4,423,376 A | 12/1983 | Byrnes et al.  |
| 4,525,697 A | 6/1985  | Jones et al.   |

#### 68 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets



# **US RE44,407 E** Page 2

|                                              |                                 | 6,246,245 B1                          | 6/2001  | Akram et al.                           |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------|
|                                              | Wickramasinghe et al.           | 6,246,247 B1                          |         | Eldridge et al.                        |
|                                              | Margozzi                        | 6,247,228 B1                          | 6/2001  | Distefano et al.                       |
| 4,772,846 A 9/1988                           |                                 | 6,255,126 B1                          | 7/2001  | Mathieu et al.                         |
|                                              | Kruger et al.<br>Komatsu et al. | 6,259,261 B1                          |         | Engelking et al.                       |
|                                              | Benedetto et al.                | 6,278,284 B1                          |         | Mori et al.                            |
|                                              | Doemens et al.                  | 6,292,003 B1                          |         | Fredrickson et al.                     |
|                                              | Schemmel                        | 6,334,247 B1                          |         | Beaman et al.                          |
| 5,015,947 A 5/1991                           |                                 | 6,336,259 B1                          |         | Eldridge et al.                        |
| 5,026,291 A 6/1991                           |                                 | 6,344,753 B1                          |         | Takada et al.                          |
| 5,030,318 A 7/1991                           |                                 | 6,411,112 B1                          |         | Das et al.                             |
| 5,061,192 A 10/1991                          |                                 | 6,414,502 B1                          |         | Sayre et al.                           |
|                                              | Otsuka et al.                   | 6,419,500 B1                          | 7/2002  |                                        |
| 5,145,384 A 9/1992                           |                                 | 6,420,887 B1                          |         | Kister et al.                          |
| 5,205,739 A 4/1993                           |                                 | 6,424,164 B1                          | 7/2002  |                                        |
| 5,207,585 A 5/1993                           |                                 | 6,433,571 B1                          |         | Montoya<br>Classes et al               |
| 5,225,771 A 7/1993                           | •                               | 6,437,584 B1                          |         | Gleason et al.                         |
|                                              | Baumberger et al.               | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |         | Eldridge et al.                        |
|                                              | Busacco et al.                  | 6,443,784 B1                          |         |                                        |
| 5,354,205 A 10/1994                          | Feigenbaum et al.               | 6,482,013 B2                          |         |                                        |
| 5,399,982 A 3/1995                           | Driller                         | · ·                                   |         | Eldridge et al 324/754.18<br>Nishikawa |
| 5,422,574 A 6/1995                           | Kister                          | / /                                   |         | Long et al.                            |
| 5,430,614 A 7/1995                           | Difrancesco                     | / /                                   | 2/2002  | •                                      |
| 5,436,571 A 7/1995                           | Karasawa                        | 6,529,021 B1                          |         | Yu et al.                              |
| 5,468,994 A * 11/1995                        | Pendse 257/693                  | / /                                   | 3/2003  |                                        |
| 5,476,211 A 12/1995                          | Khandros                        | 6,538,336 B1 *                        |         | Secker et al                           |
| 5,531,022 A 7/1996                           | Beaman et al.                   | 6,566,898 B2                          |         | Theissen et al.                        |
| 5,576,631 A 11/1996                          |                                 | 6,570,396 B1                          | 5/2003  |                                        |
| 5,632,631 A 5/1997                           | Fjelstad et al.                 | 6,573,738 B1                          |         | Matsuo et al.                          |
| 5,635,846 A 6/1997                           |                                 | 6,575,767 B2                          |         | Satoh et al.                           |
|                                              | Nakajima et al 324/750.22       | 6,576,485 B2                          |         | Zhou et al.                            |
| 5,644,249 A 7/1997                           |                                 | 6,586,955 B2                          |         | Fjelstad et al.                        |
| 5,676,599 A 10/1997                          |                                 | 6,615,485 B2                          |         | Eldridge et al.                        |
|                                              | Malladi et al                   | / /                                   |         | Eldridge et al.                        |
| 5,720,098 A 2/1998                           |                                 | 6,633,176 B2                          |         | Takemoto et al.                        |
| 5,742,174 A 4/1998                           |                                 | 6,641,430 B2                          | 11/2003 | Zhou et al.                            |
| 5,751,157 A 5/1998                           |                                 | / /                                   | 11/2003 | Maekawa et al.                         |
| 5,764,070 A 6/1998                           |                                 | 6,676,438 B2                          | 1/2004  | Zhou et al.                            |
| 5,764,072 A 6/1998                           |                                 | 6,677,245 B2                          | 1/2004  | Zhou et al.                            |
| 5,764,409 A 6/1998                           |                                 | 6,690,185 B1*                         | 2/2004  | Khandros et al 324/756.03              |
| 5,767,691 A 6/1998                           |                                 | 6,707,311 B2                          | 3/2004  | Hohenwarter                            |
|                                              | Dozier, II et al.               | 6,727,719 B2                          | 4/2004  | Liao et al.                            |
| , ,                                          | Montoya<br>Ekoloted et el       | 6,731,123 B2                          | 5/2004  | Kimoto                                 |
|                                              | Fjelstad et al.                 | 6,765,228 B2                          | 7/2004  | Lin et al.                             |
|                                              | Khandros et al.                 | 6,768,331 B2                          | 7/2004  | Longson et al.                         |
|                                              | Beaman et al.<br>Eldridge et al | 6,825,422 B2                          | 11/2004 | Eldridge et al.                        |
| 5,829,128 A 11/1998                          | e                               | 6,842,023 B2                          | 1/2005  | Yoshida et al.                         |
| 5,832,601 A 11/1998<br>5,847,036 A * 12/1008 | Forehand et al                  | 6,847,221 B2                          | 1/2005  | Kimoto et al.                          |
| 5,852,871 A 12/1998                          |                                 | 6,853,208 B2                          |         | Okubo et al.                           |
| 5,864,946 A 2/1999                           |                                 | 6,881,974 B2                          |         | Wood et al.                            |
|                                              | Dabrowiecki et al.              | 6,890,185 B1                          |         | Kister et al.                          |
| 5,892,539 A 4/1999                           |                                 | 6,897,666 B2                          |         | Swettlen et al.                        |
| 5,914,613 A 6/1999                           |                                 | D507,198 S                            | 7/2005  |                                        |
|                                              | Khandros et al.                 | 6,917,102 B2                          |         | Zhou et al.                            |
|                                              | Higgins et al.                  | 6,917,525 B2                          |         | Mok et al.                             |
|                                              | Khandros et al.                 | D510,043 S                            | 9/2005  |                                        |
|                                              | Throssel                        |                                       |         | Grube et al.                           |
|                                              | Fjelstad et al.                 | 6,956,389 B1                          | 10/2005 |                                        |
|                                              | Stowers et al.                  | / /                                   | 11/2005 |                                        |
| · · ·                                        | Sayre et al.                    | / /                                   |         | Kister et al.<br>Dincon et al          |
| 5,952,843 A 9/1999                           |                                 | , ,                                   |         | Rincon et al.                          |
| 5,969,533 A 10/1999                          | Takagi                          | 7,015,707 B2                          |         | Cherian<br>Ligachida et al             |
| 5,970,167 A 10/1999                          | Colvin                          | 7,036,221 B2<br>7,046,021 B2          | 5/2006  | Higashida et al.<br>Kister             |
| 5,974,662 A 11/1999                          | Eldridge et al.                 | 7,040,021 B2<br>7,059,865 B2          |         | Kister et al.                          |
| 5,994,152 A 11/1999                          |                                 | , ,                                   |         | Kister et al.                          |
| 6,027,630 A 2/2000                           |                                 | 7,068,057 B2                          |         | Tervo et al.                           |
|                                              | Khandros et al.                 | D525,207 S                            |         | Kister et al.                          |
|                                              | Jones et al.                    | 7,071,715 B2                          |         | Shinde et al.                          |
| 6,064,215 A 5/2000                           |                                 | 7,073,254 B2                          |         | Eldridge et al.                        |
|                                              | Van Loan et al.                 | , ,                                   |         | •                                      |
| 6,071,630 A 6/2000                           |                                 | 7,078,921 B2                          |         | Haga et al. $324/754$                  |
| 6,086,386 A 7/2000                           | 5                               | 7,088,118 B2 *                        |         | Liu et al                              |
| 6,133,072 A 10/2000                          | 5                               | 7,091,729 B2                          | 8/2006  |                                        |
| · · · ·                                      | Jones et al.                    | / /                                   |         | Miller et al 439/578                   |
|                                              | Dabrowiecki et al.              | , ,                                   |         | Gleason et al.                         |
|                                              | Fjelstad et al.                 |                                       | 12/2006 | -                                      |
| 6,215,320 B1 4/2001                          | Parrish                         | 7,148,709 B2                          | 12/2006 | Kister                                 |
|                                              |                                 |                                       |         |                                        |

| U.S. PATENT                                 | DOCUMENTS                              | 6,218,203 B1                 |         | Khoury et al.                     |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| 4,747,698 A 5/1988                          | Wickramasinghe et al.                  | 6,246,245 B1<br>6,246,247 B1 |         | Akram et al.<br>Eldridge et al.   |
|                                             | Margozzi                               | 6,247,228 B1                 |         | Distefano et al.                  |
| 4,772,846 A 9/1988                          |                                        | 6,255,126 B1                 |         | Mathieu et al.                    |
|                                             | Kruger et al.                          | 6,259,261 B1                 |         | Engelking et al.                  |
|                                             | Komatsu et al.<br>Repedette et al      | 6,278,284 B1                 |         | Mori et al.                       |
| 4,901,013 A 2/1990<br>4,967,148 A 10/1990   |                                        | 6,292,003 B1                 | 9/2001  | Fredrickson et al.                |
| 4,973,903 A 11/1990                         |                                        | 6,334,247 B1                 |         | Beaman et al.                     |
| 5,015,947 A 5/1991                          |                                        | 6,336,259 B1                 |         | Eldridge et al.                   |
| 5,026,291 A 6/1991                          |                                        | 6,344,753 B1                 |         | Takada et al.<br>Dec et al        |
| 5,030,318 A 7/1991                          | Reche                                  | 6,411,112 B1<br>6,414,502 B1 |         | Das et al.<br>Sayre et al.        |
| 5,061,192 A 10/1991                         | -                                      | 6,419,500 B1                 | 7/2002  |                                   |
| 5,067,007 A 11/1991                         |                                        | 6,420,887 B1                 |         | Kister et al.                     |
|                                             | Asakawa et al.                         | 6,424,164 B1                 | 7/2002  | Kister                            |
|                                             | Malo et al.<br>Byrnes                  | 6,433,571 B1                 |         | Montoya                           |
| 5,225,771 A 7/1993                          |                                        | 6,437,584 B1                 |         | Gleason et al.                    |
|                                             | Baumberger et al.                      | 6,441,315 B1                 |         | Eldridge et al.                   |
|                                             | Busacco et al.                         | / /                          | 9/2002  | Eldridge et al.                   |
| 5,354,205 A 10/1994                         | Feigenbaum et al.                      | r r                          |         | Eldridge et al. $324/754.18$      |
| 5,399,982 A 3/1995                          |                                        | · ·                          |         | Nishikawa                         |
| 5,422,574 A 6/1995                          |                                        | / /                          |         | Long et al.                       |
|                                             | Difrancesco                            | 6,525,552 B2                 |         |                                   |
| 5,436,571 A 7/1995<br>5,468,004 A * 11/1005 | Rarasawa<br>Pendse                     | 6,529,021 B1                 |         | Yu et al.                         |
| 5,476,211 A 12/1995                         |                                        | 6,530,148 B1                 | 3/2003  |                                   |
| 5,531,022 A 7/1996                          |                                        | 6,538,336 B1 *               |         | Secker et al                      |
| 5,576,631 A 11/1996                         |                                        | 6,566,898 B2                 |         | Theissen et al.                   |
| 5,632,631 A 5/1997                          | Fjelstad et al.                        | 6,570,396 B1<br>6,573,738 B1 | 5/2003  | Matsuo et al.                     |
| 5,635,846 A 6/1997                          |                                        | 6,575,767 B2                 |         | Satoh et al.                      |
|                                             | Nakajima et al 324/750.22              | 6,576,485 B2                 |         | Zhou et al.                       |
| 5,644,249 A 7/1997                          |                                        | 6,586,955 B2                 | 7/2003  | Fjelstad et al.                   |
| 5,676,599 A 10/1997                         | Malladi et al                          | 6,615,485 B2                 |         | Eldridge et al.                   |
| 5,720,098 A 2/1997                          |                                        | 6,624,648 B2                 | _       | Eldridge et al.                   |
| 5,742,174 A 4/1998                          |                                        | 6,633,176 B2                 |         | Takemoto et al.                   |
| 5,751,157 A 5/1998                          |                                        | 6,641,430 B2                 |         |                                   |
| 5,764,070 A 6/1998                          |                                        | 6,646,455 B2<br>6,676,438 B2 |         | Maekawa et al.<br>Zhou et al      |
| 5,764,072 A 6/1998                          | Kister                                 | 6,677,245 B2                 |         |                                   |
| 5,764,409 A 6/1998                          |                                        | 6,690,185 B1 *               |         | Khandros et al 324/756.03         |
| · · ·                                       | Verkuil                                | 6,707,311 B2                 |         | Hohenwarter                       |
|                                             | Dozier, II et al.<br>Montorio          | 6,727,719 B2                 |         | Liao et al.                       |
| 5,773,987 A 6/1998<br>5,802,699 A 9/1998    | Fjelstad et al.                        | 6,731,123 B2                 | 5/2004  | Kimoto                            |
| 5,806,181 A 9/1998                          | 0                                      | 6,765,228 B2                 |         | Lin et al.                        |
| 5,821,763 A 10/1998                         |                                        | 6,768,331 B2                 |         | Longson et al.                    |
| 5,829,128 A 11/1998                         |                                        | 6,825,422 B2<br>6,842,023 B2 |         | Eldridge et al.<br>Yoshida et al. |
| 5,832,601 A 11/1998                         | Eldridge et al.                        | / /                          |         | Kimoto et al.                     |
|                                             | Forehand et al                         | / /                          |         | Okubo et al.                      |
| 5,852,871 A 12/1998                         |                                        | 6,881,974 B2                 |         | Wood et al.                       |
|                                             | Eldridge et al.<br>Debreuvicelvicet el |                              | 5/2005  | Kister et al.                     |
| 5,884,395 A 3/1999<br>5,892,539 A 4/1999    | Dabrowiecki et al.<br>Colvin           | 6,897,666 B2                 |         | Swettlen et al.                   |
|                                             | Gleason et al.                         | D507,198 S                   | 7/2005  |                                   |
|                                             | Khandros et al.                        | 6,917,102 B2                 |         | Zhou et al.                       |
|                                             | Higgins et al.                         | 6,917,525 B2<br>D510,043 S   | 9/2005  | Mok et al.<br>Kistor              |
|                                             | Khandros et al.                        | ,                            |         | Grube et al.                      |
| · · ·                                       | Throssel                               | / /                          | 10/2005 |                                   |
|                                             | Fjelstad et al.                        | / /                          | 11/2005 |                                   |
| · · ·                                       | Stowers et al.                         | / /                          |         | Kister et al.                     |
| 5,945,836 A 8/1999<br>5,952,843 A 9/1999    | Sayre et al.<br>Vinh                   | 6,970,005 B2                 | 11/2005 | Rincon et al.                     |
| 5,969,533 A $10/1999$                       |                                        | , ,                          | 3/2006  |                                   |
| 5,970,167 A 10/1999                         | ē                                      | 7,036,221 B2                 |         | Higashida et al.                  |
| 5,974,662 A 11/1999                         |                                        | 7,046,021 B2<br>7,059,865 B2 | 5/2006  |                                   |
| 5,994,152 A 11/1999                         |                                        | 7,059,805 B2<br>7,064,564 B2 |         | Kister et al.<br>Kister et al.    |
| 6,027,630 A 2/2000                          |                                        | 7,064,064 B2                 |         | Tervo et al.                      |
|                                             | Khandros et al.                        | D525,207 S                   |         | Kister et al.                     |
| · · ·                                       | Jones et al.<br>Victor                 | 7,071,715 B2                 |         | Shinde et al.                     |
| 6,064,215 A 5/2000                          |                                        | 7,073,254 B2                 |         | Eldridge et al.                   |
|                                             | Van Loan et al.<br>Tomaru et al.       | 7,078,921 B2                 |         | Haga et al.                       |
|                                             | Fjelstad et al.                        | 7,088,118 B2*                |         | Liu et al                         |
| 6,133,072 A 10/2000                         | 5                                      | 7,091,729 B2                 | 8/2006  | Kister                            |
|                                             | Jones et al.                           | 7,108,546 B2*                | 9/2006  | Miller et al 439/578              |
| 6,204,674 B1 3/2001                         |                                        | 7,109,731 B2                 | _       |                                   |
|                                             | Fjelstad et al.                        | 7,143,500 B2                 |         | -                                 |
| 6,215,320 B1 4/2001                         | Parrish                                | 7,148,709 B2                 | 12/2006 | Kıster                            |
|                                             |                                        |                              |         |                                   |

Page 3

| 7,150,658 B1                 | 12/2006 | Chien                | 2006/0 | 040417 A1*  | 2/2006   | Eldridge et a | al        | 438/14      |  |
|------------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------|-------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| 7,173,441 B2                 | 2/2007  | Kister et al.        | 2006/0 | 073712 A1   | 4/2006   | -             |           |             |  |
| 7,189,078 B2                 | 3/2007  | Kister et al.        | 2006/0 | 082380 A1   | 4/2006   | Tanioka et a  | ul.       |             |  |
| 7,202,682 B2                 | 4/2007  | Cooper et al.        | 2006/0 | 170440 A1   | 8/2006   | Sudin         |           |             |  |
| 7,217,138 B2                 |         | Kister et al.        | 2006/0 | 171425 A1   | 8/2006   | Lee et al.    |           |             |  |
| 7,218,127 B2                 |         | Cooper et al.        |        | 186905 A1   |          | Kohashi et a  | al.       |             |  |
| 7,218,131 B2                 |         | Tanioka et al.       |        | 208752 A1   |          | Tanioka et a  |           |             |  |
| 7,225,538 B2                 |         | Eldridge et al.      |        |             |          | Cram et al.   |           |             |  |
| 7,227,371 B2                 | 6/2007  | •                    |        | 145989 A1*  |          | Zhu et al     |           | 324/754     |  |
| 7,265,565 B2                 |         | Chen et al.          |        | 167022 A1   |          | Tsai et al.   |           | 02          |  |
| 7,274,195 B2                 |         | Takemoto et al.      |        |             |          | Miller        |           | 324/754     |  |
| 7,281,305 B1                 |         |                      |        | 074132 A1   |          |               |           | 52 11 7 5 1 |  |
| 7,285,966 B2                 |         | •                    |        | 201041 A1   |          | Kister        |           |             |  |
| 7,312,617 B2                 |         |                      |        | 109691 A1   |          | _             |           |             |  |
| 7,345,492 B2                 |         |                      |        | 176832 A1   | 7/2010   |               |           |             |  |
| 7,417,447 B2                 |         |                      |        | 182030 A1   | 7/2010   | _             |           |             |  |
| 7,436,192 B2                 |         | _                    |        | 182030 AI   |          | _             |           |             |  |
| 7,511,523 B2                 |         |                      |        | 289512 A1   |          | _             |           |             |  |
| 7,511,525 B2<br>7,514,948 B2 |         |                      |        | 006796 A1   |          | _             |           |             |  |
| 7,649,367 B2                 |         |                      |        | 062978 A1   |          |               |           |             |  |
| / /                          |         |                      |        | 273198 A1   |          |               |           |             |  |
| 7,659,739 B2                 |         |                      |        |             |          | _             |           |             |  |
| 7,671,610 B2                 |         |                      | 2011/0 | 273199 A1   | 11/2011  | KISter        |           |             |  |
| 7,733,101 B2                 |         |                      |        | FOREIG      | N PATE   | NT DOCUN      | MENTS     |             |  |
| 7,759,949 B2                 |         |                      |        |             |          |               |           |             |  |
| 7,786,740 B2                 |         |                      | EP     | 0764        | 352      |               |           |             |  |
| 8,230,593 B2                 | 7/2012  |                      | JP     | 63-307      | 678      | 12/1988       |           |             |  |
| 2001/0012739 A1              |         | Grube et al.         | JP     | 01128       | \$535    | 5/1989        |           |             |  |
| 2001/0040460 A1              |         | Beaman et al.        | JP     | 7-021       | 968      | 1/1995        |           |             |  |
| 2002/0070743 A1              |         | Felici et al.        | JP     | 7-333       | 232      | 12/1995       |           |             |  |
| 2002/0125584 A1              |         | Umehara et al.       | JP     | 10-506      | 5238     | 6/1998        |           |             |  |
| 2002/0153913 A1              |         | Okubo et al.         | JP     | 10-221      | 374      | 8/1998        |           |             |  |
| 2002/0190738 A1*             |         | Beaman et al 324/754 | JP     | 11241       | 690      | 8/1999        |           |             |  |
| 2002/0194730 A1              |         | Shih et al.          | WO     | WO 8704     | 568      | 7/1987        |           |             |  |
| 2003/0027423 A1              |         | Zhou et al.          | WO     | WO 92/10    | 010      | 6/1992        |           |             |  |
| 2003/0116346 A1              |         | Forster et al.       | WO     | WO 96/15    | 458      | 5/1996        |           |             |  |
| 2004/0036493 A1              | 2/2004  |                      | WO     | WO 96/37    | 332      | 11/1996       |           |             |  |
| 2004/0046579 A1*             |         | Chraft et al 324/754 | WO     | WO 09743    | 653      | 11/1997       |           |             |  |
| 2004/0104737 A1              |         | Haga et al.          | WO     | WO 01/09    | 623      | 2/2001        |           |             |  |
| 2004/0119485 A1              |         | Koch et al.          |        |             |          |               |           |             |  |
| 2004/0239352 A1              |         | -                    |        | OTI         | HER PU   | BLICATIO      | NS        |             |  |
| 2005/0012513 A1              |         | Cheng et al.         | т т    | 66TT 7 - 4  | ידי 1 די |               | C d       | 177 1 1     |  |
| 2005/0170458 41              | 0/2005  | Chan at al           | Levv   | arry "Water | Probe IN | VI NVstem''   | NOUTHWest | workshop    |  |

#### JMENTS

8/2005 Chen et al. 2005/0179458 A1 8/2005 Kimura ...... 324/754 2005/0184743 A1\* 9/2005 Takemoto et al. 2005/0189955 A1 2/2006 Rincon et al. 2006/0033516 A1

Levy, Larry, "Water Probe TM System", Southwest Workshop formfactor inc. Jun. 1997, 1-19.

\* cited by examiner

#### **U.S. Patent** US RE44,407 E Aug. 6, 2013 Sheet 1 of 4



#### 

# **U.S. Patent** Aug. 6, 2013 Sheet 2 of 4 **US RE44,407 E**



FIG. 2

# **U.S. Patent** Aug. 6, 2013 Sheet 3 of 4 US RE44,407 E



*FIG. 3* 

#### U.S. Patent US RE44,407 E Aug. 6, 2013 Sheet 4 of 4







# *FIG.* 5

#### 1

#### SPACE TRANSFORMERS EMPLOYING WIRE BONDS FOR INTERCONNECTIONS WITH FINE PITCH CONTACTS

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

#### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates generally to apparatus and method using wire bonds for making electrical connections between low pitch signal contacts and fine pitch contacts to probes <sup>15</sup> used for applying test signals to a device under test.

## 2

Clearly, as more complicated arrays of pads having a fine pitch are to be tested at high frequencies the prior art space transformers and high-frequency handling can not be integrated to produce suitable solutions. In particular, the highfrequency performance of typical wired space transformers that employ via holes and copper wires that use heads equipped with buckling beam or similar probes is insufficient. Even though probe cards employing such space transformers can be made cheaply and relatively quickly, they experience unacceptably high levels of electrical cross-talk and selfinductance with an upper bound on test frequency of about 0.9 GHz at a 3 dB bandwidth. Furthermore, such probe cards can only be made with up to about 1,500 connections, since the wiring process becomes too cumbersome at higher con-

#### BACKGROUND ART

The testing of semiconductor wafers and other types of 20 integrated circuits (ICs), collectively known as devices under test (DUTs), needs to keep pace with technological advances. Each IC has to be individually tested, typically before dicing, in order to ensure that it is functioning properly. The demand for testing products is driven by considerations of new chip 25 designs and higher volumes.

In particular, chips are getting smaller and they have more tightly spaced contact pads. The pads are no longer located about the circuit perimeter, but in some designs may be found within the area occupied by the circuit itself. As a result, the 30 spacing or pitch of probe arrays required to establish electrical contact with the pads or bumps is decreasing. In addition, the requirements on planarity are increasing.

To address the increasingly fine pitch of the pads, several prior art solutions have resorted to using more effective space 35 transformers for achieving pitch reduction and improved probe performance. Several examples of recent space transformers for probe contact assemblies are found in the patent literature, including U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,676,438 and 6,917,102 to Zhou et al. These teachings include several contact struc- 40 tures and production methods, including an embodiment using the flip-chip bonding process to attach the space transformer. Still other prior art, such as U.S. application Ser. No. 2006/0033516 to Rincon et al. teaches a probe and universal tester contact assemblage that is capable of testing multiple 45 chips. This assemblage employs a wire bond between the end of a trace that is connected to a probe and a fan-out trace that belongs to an intermediate element or interposer made of a dielectric material to step up from low pitch contacts to fine pitch contacts. In addition to the finer pitch of the pads to be tested, there has also been a move to increase the test signal frequencies. Some prior art probe devices address the coupling and noise problems arising at such higher frequencies. For example, U.S. Pat. No. 6,714,034 discusses the inclusion of an induc- 55 tive (magnetic) filter to suppress AC noise on the DUT ground and U.S. application Ser. No. 2005/0012513 to Cheng et al. teaches a probe card assembly with a stiffener ring and a set of coaxial transmitters. Furthermore, U.S. application Ser. No. 2005/0099191 talks about the design of a multi-GHz probe 60 structure for optimizing the signal path to improve bandwidth. Unfortunately, this probe structure can only address a limited number of pads and is thus only suitable for devices with a small number of pads located along the die perimeter. Devices that have a large number of fine pitch pads located 65 along the perimeter or arranged in an array cannot be tested with such probe structure.

nection counts.

Some probe cards avoid the use of wires and employ instead a space transformer made of an organic substrate (e.g., MLC or MLD) with solder reflow connections to a connection board (typically a printed circuit board to which the test signals are applied). Such probe cards exhibit much improved high frequency performance, typically up to 3 GHz at a 3 dB bandwidth, but are hard to make and require expensive lithographic processing.

Employing wire bonding techniques and wire bonds is in general a very low-cost and mature method of making electrical connections and is used in various related contexts including interposers, probes and space transformers. For example, U.S. application Ser. No. 2002/0125584 discusses using wire bonding to ensure high bond strength between the bonding pads and conducting wires when wire-bonding chips to carriers. Specifically, the weak bonding strength problem is solved by designating a separate section of the IC pad for probing and a separate section for wire-bonding. U.S. application Ser. No. 2002/0194730 teaches how to repair probes mounted on a space transformer that were shaped and made using wire-bonding. U.S. application Ser. No. 2003/0116346 shows how to use a wire-bonding machine to make stud-

bumped probes.

Although the prior art solutions individually address some of the problems associated with pitch step up and reliable connections, there is no apparatus or method that combines the requisite characteristics in a single space transformer that can be used in a probe card or testing apparatus. Specifically, what is needed is a space transformer that is compatible with high frequency test signals, easy to make, low cost and can address densely packed pads or bumps arranged in arrays.

#### **OBJECTS AND ADVANTAGES**

In view of the above prior art limitations, it is an object of the invention to provide a low-cost method and apparatus for electrically testing devices under test (DUTs) that have densely spaced arrays of contact pads or bumps. More precisely, the object is to provide such apparatus with a space transformer that takes advantage of low-cost wire bonding for interconnections with the fine pitch contacts.

It is another object of the invention, to ensure that the space transformer is capable of handling high-frequency test signals.

It is still another object of the invention to ensure that the method and apparatus can be easily integrated with any conventional head designs to take advantage of any available probe geometry. These and other objects and advantages of the invention will become apparent from the ensuing description.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The objects and advantages of the invention are secured by a method and an apparatus for electrical testing of a device

# 3

under test (DUT). The apparatus has a connection board that has signal contacts for applying test signals and a space transformer with intermediate contacts connected to the signal contacts. The space transformer has low pitch contacts that are connected to the intermediate contacts. The low pitch 5 contacts are arranged on one or more circumferential shelves that define an enclosure. A substrate with fine pitch contacts is positioned such that the fine pitch contacts are within the enclosure. A set of wire bonds is used for pitch reduction by interconnecting the fine pitch contacts with the low pitch 10 contacts on the shelves by any suitable wire bonding or wedge bonding including ribbon bonding for power/ground technique. The probes are connected to the fine pitch contacts and are used to apply the test signals to a DUT by contacting its pads. In a preferred embodiment, the fine pitch contacts are made of contact plugs that are lodged or secured by epoxy in corresponding vias made in the substrate. The vias can be etched or laser machined, depending on the material of which the substrate is made. The plugs are preferably mico-electro 20 machined, i.e., they are MEMs plugs. The substrate itself, can be made of any suitable material including ceramics, organics such as MLC or MLD, or, preferably  $Al_2O_3$ . The space transformer has a lower circumferential shelf bearing a lower set of low pitch contacts and at least one upper 25 circumferential shelf bearing an upper set of the low pitch contacts. In accordance with the invention, the one or more upper circumferential shelves are recessed or inset from the lower circumferential shelf. The lower set of low pitch contact arranged on the lower shelf usually includes a ground contact. 30 The wire bonds are made between the lower set of low pitch contacts and the fine pitch contacts and also between the upper set of low pitch contacts and the fine pitch contacts. In particular, the wire bonds include a short set of wire bonds interconnecting a set of the fine pitch contacts proximal the 35 lower shelf with the lower set of low pitch contacts arranged on the lower shelf. Since this set contains the shortest wire bonds it is preferable that the ground contact be included in this set, as mentioned above. The wire bonds further include a long set of wire bonds interconnecting a set of the fine pitch 40 contacts remote from the lower shelf with the upper set of low pitch contacts arranged on the one or more upper shelves. It is preferable for high-frequency operation that the longest wire bonds in the long set of wire bonds be at most a few millimeters in length.

#### 4

that the fine pitch contacts are within the enclosure. The fine pitch contacts are interconnected with the low pitch contacts by a set of wire bonds and the probes are connected to the fine pitch contacts. Then, the test signals are applied to the signal contacts and the probes are contacted with the pads of the DUT for performing the electrical test.

Preferably, the fine pitch contacts are made by producing vias in the substrate and lodging contact plugs in the vias. The vias can be laser machined and the plugs can be made by a
<sup>10</sup> micro-electro machining technique. The space transformer has a lower circumferential shelf bearing a lower set of low pitch contacts and one or more upper circumferential shelves bearing an upper set of low pitch contacts. The one or more upper circumferential shelves are recessed or inset from the lower circumferential shelf, and a set of fine pitch contacts proximal the lower shelf is interconnected with the lower set of low pitch contacts remote from the lower shelf is interconnected with the upper set of the low pitch contacts by a long set of <sup>20</sup> wire bonds. After the interconnections are made, the enclosure is filled with the dielectric material.

A detailed description of the preferred embodiments of the invention is presented below in reference to the appended drawing figures.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING FIGURES

FIG. 1 is a partial three-dimensional diagram illustrating an apparatus of the invention.

FIG. 2 is cross-sectional side view of a portion of the apparatus of FIG. 1.

FIG. **3** is a side cross-sectional view of an assembled apparatus according to the invention.

FIG. 4 is a cross-sectional side view of a portion of another

In the fully assembled space transformer according to the invention, the enclosure is preferably filled with a dielectric material. This is done after all the wire bonds have been made in order to ensure stability and insulation.

The step down or pitch reduction from the intermediate 50 contacts to the fine pitch contacts is preferably about ten to one. In numerical terms the intermediate contacts are at a pitch of about 1 mm and the fine pitch contacts are at a pitch of about 0.1 mm or 100  $\mu$ m.

The apparatus of the invention is preferably employed in a 55 probe card for testing a DUT by delivering the test signals to the pads of the DUT when the pads are contacted by the probes. The DUT is typically an integrated circuit. The method of invention for electrically testing the DUT includes the steps of providing the connection board with 60 signal contacts and providing the space transformer. The space transformer has intermediate contacts and low pitch contacts connected to the intermediate contacts. The low pitch contacts are arranged on one or more circumferential shelves that define the enclosure, and the signal contacts are 65 connected to the intermediate contacts. Furthermore, a substrate with fine pitch contacts is provided and positioned such

apparatus according to the invention.

FIG. **5** is a top plan view illustrating interconnections in a space transformer according to the invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention will be best understood by first reviewing an apparatus 10 of the invention as shown in a partial three-dimensional diagram of FIG. 1. Apparatus 10 45 has a space transformer 12 with intermediate contacts 14 located on a ledge 16. Space transformer also has low pitch contacts 18 that are arranged on a lower circumferential shelf 20 and an upper circumferential shelf 22. Specifically, a lower set 18A of low pitch contacts 18 is located on lower circumferential shelf 20 and an upper set 18B of low pitch contacts 18 is located on upper circumferential shelf 22. Furthermore, upper shelf 22 is recessed or inset from lower shelf 20 to enable easy access by wire bonding equipment to low pitch contacts 18 on both shelves.

Ledge 16 and shelves 20, 22 extend circumferentially (only partially shown in FIG. 1) to define a structure 24 that has an internal enclosure 26. Any suitable, mechanically stable dielectric material or materials can be used to construct structure 24 that includes ledge 16 and shelves 20, 22. For example, structure 24 can be made layer-by-layer, thus defining lower shelf 20 first, then upper shelf 22 and finally ledge 16. During the formation of the structure, embedded electrical connections 28 are made to connect intermediate contacts 14 with corresponding low pitch contacts 18. Space transformer 12 has a substrate 30 with a number of fine pitch contacts 32. Substrate 30 is positioned below structure 24 such that fine pitch contacts 32 are within enclosure

# 5

26. Substrate 30 can be permanently attached to structure 24 by any suitable bonding method. It should be noted that in some embodiments it is useful when structure 24 is removable. For example, this is of value when substrate 30 is a mini printed circuit board and the contacts 32 are blind metal vias. 5

A set of wire bonds 34 is used for pitch reduction by interconnecting fine pitch contacts 32 with low pitch contacts 18 on shelves 20, 22. Wire bonding is a technique well known in the art and typically involves one of the following three major techniques: thermocompression bonding, ultrasonic 1 bonding, and thermosonic bonding. In general, ultrasonic bonding will be preferred for Aluminum wire bonding, and thermosonic bonding will be preferred for Au wire bonding. In order to accrue the full benefit of the invention including low electric cross-talk and low self-inductance, the maximum 15 length of any particular wire bond 34 should not exceed a few millimeters and preferably be at most 5 millimeters. The specific techniques for bonding to low pitch contacts 18 include wire bonding or wedge bonding, including ribbon bonding used for power/ground technique. In the preferred embodiment, fine pitch contacts 32 are made of contact plugs 36 that are lodged or secured by epoxide in corresponding vias 38 in substrate 30. Vias 38 can be etched or laser machined, depending on the material of which substrate 30 is made. For example, substrate 30 can be 25 made of a ceramic or an organic such as MLC or MLD in which case the "plugs" equivalent would be electroplated vias. In this case there would be no need for MEMS plugs and epoxide. Preferably, however, substrate 30 is made of  $Al_2O_3$ so that it lends itself well to laser machining, which is the 30 preferred technique due to its high accuracy and speed. Plugs **36** are preferably mico-electro machined, i.e., they are MEMs plugs made of a nickel and cobalt alloy plated with gold. In an alternative embodiment, vias 38 are filled with metal and thus themselves constitute fine pitch contacts **32**. In other words, 35 vias 38 are blind metal vias and serve as fine pitch contacts 32. A number of probes 40 are connected to fine pitch contacts **32**. Specifically, probes **40** are connected to the bottoms of plugs 36 or blind metal vias 38, depending on the embodiment. Although in the embodiment shown, probes 40 are 40 non-linear, it will be appreciated by one skilled in the art that they can be of any variety, including buckling beam probes. Moreover, probes 40 can be held together in any suitable mechanical retention device or be otherwise configured in a suitable head unit. Such head unit can be removable or per- 45 manently attached to space transformer 12, depending on application. Referring now to the partial cross-sectional side view of FIG. 2 for more detail, we see that apparatus 10 also has a connection board 42 with signal contacts 44. Typically, con- 50 nection board 42 is a printed circuit board (PCB) with appropriate primary contacts 46 for applying test signals 48. Primary contacts 46 are electrically connected to corresponding signal contacts 44 for delivering test signals 48. Test signals 48 are usually generated by a testing circuit (not shown) and 55 applied to primary contacts 46 with the aid of spring pins or other suitable mechanism. Intermediate contacts 14 of space transformer 12 are connected to signal contacts 44. In the present embodiment, this is accomplished with solder reflow junctions 50. Thus, pri-60 mary contacts 46 are in electrical communication with corresponding low pitch contacts 18 on shelves 20, 22. More specifically, lower set of low pitch contacts 18A arranged on lower circumferential shelf 20 and upper set of low pitch contacts 18B arranged on upper circumferential shelf 22 are 65 in electrical communication with primary contacts 46 via signal contacts 44, intermediate contacts 14 and embedded

#### 6

electrical connections 28. Therefore, test signals 48 can be delivered directly to the appropriate low pitch contacts 18 of space transformer 12.

Wire bonds 34 between lower set of low pitch contacts 18A and fine pitch contacts 32 and also between the upper set of low pitch contacts 18B and fine pitch contacts 32 are made in accordance with a certain scheme. In particular, wire bonds 34 include a short set of wire bonds 34A interconnecting a set of fine pitch contacts 32A proximal lower shelf 20 with lower set of low pitch contacts 18A arranged on lower shelf 20. Since this set contains the shortest wire bonds it is preferable that the ground contact be included in this set.

Wire bonds 34 further include a long set of wire bonds 34B interconnecting a set of the fine pitch contacts 32B remote from lower shelf 20 with upper set of low pitch contacts 18B arranged on upper shelf 22. It is preferable for high-frequency operation that the longest wire bonds in long set of wire bonds **32**B be at most 5 millimeters in length. This is done in order to reduce cross-talk between wire bonds **34** and self-induc-20 tance to permit the application of high frequency test signals **48**, e.g., test signals in the range of several GHz. In FIG. 2, reference  $\Delta$  denotes the pitch of intermediate contacts 14 and reference  $\delta$  denotes the pitch of fine pitch contacts 32. In accordance with the invention, the step down or pitch reduction from pitch  $\Delta$  of intermediate contacts 14 to pitch  $\delta$  of fine pitch contacts 32 is preferably about ten to one. In numerical terms  $\Delta$  is on the order of about 1 mm and  $\delta$  is on the order of about 0.1 mm or 100 µm. For peripheral IC pad test application the pitch is as small as 35 µm today. For full grid array flip-chip bump test the minimum pitch is about 140 μm today. FIG. 3 is a cross-sectional side view of a fully assembled apparatus 10. In this fully assembled state, space transformer 12 has its enclosure 26 filled with a dielectric material 52. This is done after all wire bonds **34** have been made in order to ensure stability and insulation. Of course, the wires used in bonds 34 can be already insulated, e.g., with a non-conductive ink or by other means, thus making the presence of material 52 unnecessary. However, non-insulated wires can also be used, and in this case material 52 must be used to avoid shorts. Material 52 also provides mechanical stability to space transformer 12 and apparatus 10 as a whole. This is especially important, to sustain the aggregate forces acting on probes 40 and apparatus 10 during normal operation due to the deflection of probes 40. Such forces can be quite large—for example, with 2000 probes 40 each exerting 10 grams of force the total force pushing on the assembly of apparatus 10 is about 20 kg. It should be noted that at the present time, as many as 5,000 probes 40 can be used in one apparatus 10. Apparatus 10 is used for electrical testing of a device under test (DUT) 54. DUT 54 has a number of pads or bumps 56, which have to be contacted by probes 40 to apply test signals **48** thereto and thus conduct the test. Apparatus **10** is preferably employed in a probe card for testing integrated circuits with high-frequency test signals 48. For example, DUT 54 is an integrated circuit on a wafer that requires testing prior to dicing. Alternatively, DUT 54 is an electronic device or circuit that is already mounted and whose functionality needs to be verified by applying test signals 48 to a number of its bumps or pads **56**. FIG. 4 is a cross-sectional side view of a portion of an apparatus 100 according to the invention. Apparatus 100 employs a space transformer 102 with a structure 104 that has three circumferential shelves 106, 108, 110 bearing low pitch contacts 112. A ledge 114 of structure 104 bears connection intermediate contacts **116** that are connected to corresponding low pitch contacts 112. A connection board 118 with

#### 7

signal contacts **120** that are reflow soldered to intermediate contacts **116** is used to deliver test signals to low pitch contacts **112**.

Space transformer 102 employs a mini printed circuit board 122 as a substrate. Board 122 is attached to structure 104 as shown and positioned such that its set of fine pitch contacts 124 is contained within an enclosure 126 defined by shelves 106, 108, 110. Board 122 has a set of blind metal vias 128 that serve as fine pitch contacts. A set of wire bonds 130 interconnects fine pitch contacts 124 or the tops of blind vias **128** with low pitch contacts **112**. As before, any suitable wire bonding technique can be employed to accomplish this connection. In this embodiment, the probes (not shown) are attached to the bottoms of vias **128**. As in the previous embodiment, it is preferable to keep the 15 lengths of wire bonds 130 as short as possible, and most preferably under 5 mm. This limitation places the toughest restrictions on wire bonds 130 interconnecting low pitch contacts 112 from top shelf 110 and vias 128 that are remote from bottom shelf **106**. In addition, in this embodiment it is essen- 20 tial to use insulated wire for wire bonds 130 to further counteract any possibility of shorts. As before, a dielectric material **132** is used for potting wire bonds 130. Preferably, the potting is performed sequentially by first interconnecting and potting the shortest wire bonds 25 130 between lowest shelf 106 and vias 128 closest to structure **104**. Then repeating the process for the second shelf **108** wire bonds and finally for third shelf **110** wire bonds. In any of the above embodiments, or in still other embodiments, it is important to optimize the localization of low pitch 30 contacts and fine pitch contacts. One approach involves staggering of contacts, as shown in the top plan view of FIG. 5. In this example a space transformer 140 has a structure 142 with three circumferential shelves 144, 146, 148. Low pitch contacts 150 are staggered with respect to each other and with 35 respect to fine pitch contacts 152. Thus, interconnections performed with wire bonds 154 are non-overlapping because the wires from different shelves 144, 146, 148 tend to fall in-between each other. It should be noted that appropriate potting can be employed in this embodiment to further aid in 40 accommodating more shelves and making more secure overarching wire bonds 154. A person skilled in the art will recognize that the above are merely a few exemplary embodiments and that many other embodiments of the apparatus and method are possible. 45 Therefore, the scope of the invention should be judged by the appended claims and their legal equivalents.

#### 8

3. The apparatus of claim 2, wherein said vias are laser machined and said plugs are made by a micro-electro machin-ing technique.

4. The apparatus of claim 2, wherein said substrate comprises a material selected from the group consisting of ceramics, organics and  $Al_2O_3$ .

**5**. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein said fine pitch contacts comprise blind metal vias.

6. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said space transformer comprises a lower circumferential shelf bearing a lower set of said low pitch contacts and at least one upper circumferential shelf bearing an upper set of said low pitch contacts, said at least one upper circumferential shelf being inset from said lower circumferential shelf.

7. The apparatus of claim 6, wherein said lower set of said low pitch contacts comprises a ground contact.

8. The apparatus of claim 6, wherein said set of wire bonds comprises a short set of wire bonds interconnecting a set of said fine pitch contacts proximal said lower shelf with said lower set of said low pitch contacts.

9. The apparatus of claim 6, wherein said set of wire bonds comprises a long set of wire bonds interconnecting a set of said fine pitch contacts remote said lower shelf with said upper set of said low pitch contacts.

10. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein said long set of wire bonds comprises wire bonds of at most 5 millimeters in length.

**11**. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein said enclosure is filled with a dielectric material.

12. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein a pitch reduction from said intermediate contacts to said fine pitch contacts is about ten to one.

13. The apparatus of claim 1, employed in a probe card for testing a device under test by delivering said test signals to pads of said device under test by contacting said pads with

#### I claim:

 An apparatus for electrical testing, comprising:
 a) a connection board having signal contacts for applying test signals;

b) a space transformer having intermediate contacts connected to said signal contacts, said space transformer further having low pitch contacts connected to said intermediate contacts and arranged on at least one circumferential shelf, said at least one circumferential shelf

said probes.

14. The apparatus of claim 13, wherein said device under test comprises an integrated circuit.

**15**. A method for electrically testing a device under test, said method comprising:

a) providing a connection board having signal contacts;
b) providing a space transformer having intermediate contacts and low pitch contacts connected to said intermediate contacts, said low pitch contacts being arranged on at least one circumferential shelf, said at least one circumferential shelf, said at least one circumferential shelf defining an enclosure;
c) connecting said signal contacts to said intermediate con-

tacts;

50

- d) providing a substrate having fine pitch contacts and positioned such that said fine pitch contacts are within said enclosure;
- e) interconnecting said fine pitch contacts with said low pitch contacts by a set of wire bonds;
- f) connecting probes to said fine pitch contacts;
- g) applying test signals to said signal contacts; andh) contacting said probes with pads of said device under test for electrically testing said device under test.

defining an enclosure;

c) a substrate having fine pitch contacts and positioned such that said fine pitch contacts are within said enclo- 60 sure;

d) a set of wire bonds interconnecting said fine pitch contacts with said low pitch contacts;

e) probes connected to said fine pitch contacts.
2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said fine pitch con- 65 tacts comprise contact plugs lodged in corresponding vias in said substrate.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein said fine pitch contacts are made by producing vias in said substrate and lodging contact plugs in said vias.
17. The method of claim 16, wherein said vias are laser machined and said plugs are made by a micro-electro machining technique.

#### 18. The method of claim 15, wherein said space transformer comprises a lower circumferential shelf bearing a lower set of said low pitch contacts and at least one upper circumferential shelf bearing an upper set of said low pitch

# 9

contacts, said at least one upper circumferential shelf being inset from said lower circumferential shelf, and wherein said method further comprises interconnecting a set of said fine pitch contacts proximal said lower shelf with said lower set of said low pitch contacts by a short set of wire bonds, and <sup>5</sup> interconnecting a set of said fine pitch contacts remote said lower shelf with said upper set of said low pitch contacts by a long set of wire bonds.

**19**. The method of claim **15**, further comprising filling said enclosure with a dielectric material.

20. An apparatus for electrical testing comprising: a layered space transformer;

a first layer of said layered space transformer comprising

### 10

36. The apparatus of claim 33 comprising a long set of wire bonds interconnecting a set of said fine pitch contacts remote to said lower shelf with said upper set of said low pitch contacts.

37. The apparatus of claim 32 wherein said upper shelf is recessed from said lower shelf.

38. The apparatus of claim 32 wherein said shelves are made layer-by-layer.

*39. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said substrate is positioned below said shelf.* 

40. The apparatus of claim 39 wherein said substrate is permanently attached to said shelf.

41. The apparatus of claim 39 wherein said substrate is removably attached to said shelf.

a substrate;

said substrate comprising a plurality of fine pitch contacts; a second layer of said layered space transformer comprising a structure disposed around an outside circumference of said substrate;

said structure comprising at least one shelf, said shelf 20 a blind via. comprising a plurality of low pitch contacts; 45. The a

said structure further comprising a ledge disposed above said shelf;

said ledge comprising a plurality of intermediate contacts; said intermediate contacts electrically connected to corre-<sup>25</sup> sponding said low pitch contacts via electrical connections embedded within said structure; and a plurality of bonds interconnecting said fine pitch contacts

with corresponding said low pitch contacts.

21. The apparatus of claim 20 further comprising an enclosure defined by said shelf.

22. The apparatus of claim 21 wherein said fine pitch contact is within said enclosure.

23. The apparatus of claim 21 further comprising a dielectric material filling the enclosure.

15 42. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said substrate comprises a circuit board.

43. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said fine pitch contact comprises a via.

44. The apparatus of claim 43 wherein said via comprises a blind via.

45. The apparatus of claim 44 wherein said blind via comprises a blind metal via.

46. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said wire bond is equal to or less than 5 millimeters.

47. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said at least one fine pitch contact comprising a contact plug.

48. The apparatus of claim 47 further comprising a via, wherein said contact plug is secured in said substrate by said via.

0 49. The apparatus of claim 47 wherein said plug is microelectro machined.

50. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said substrate comprises aluminum oxide.

51. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said substrate comprises ceramic.

24. The apparatus of claim 20 comprising a pitch reduction from said intermediate contact to said fine pitch contact of about ten to one.

25. The apparatus of claim 20 further comprising at least  $_{40}$  one signal contact and wherein said signal contact is connected to said intermediate contact.

26. The apparatus of claim 25 wherein said connection between said signal contact and said intermediate contact comprises a solder reflow junction.

27. The apparatus of claim 25 further comprising a connection board connected to said signal contact.

28. The apparatus of claim 27 wherein said connection board comprises a printed circuit board.

29. The apparatus of claim 27 wherein said connection 50 board comprises a primary contact connected to said signal contact.

30. The apparatus of claim 27 further comprising a probe connected to said fine pitch contact.

31. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said shelf comprises 55 a circumferential shelf.

32. The apparatus of claim 20 comprising an upper shelf and a lower shelf.

52. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said substrate comprises organics.

53. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said wire bond comprises a short wire bond for bonding.

54. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said apparatus is employed in a probe card.

55. The apparatus of claim 20 comprising three shelves.
56. The apparatus of claim 20 comprising a plurality of low pitch contacts staggered with respect to a plurality of fine
45 pitch contacts.

57. The apparatus of claim 20 wherein said bond comprises a wire bond.

58. A method for testing a device under test comprising: providing a layered space transformer comprising a first layer of the layered space transformer having a substrate, a second layer of the layered space transformer having a structure disposed around an outside circumference of the substrate, the structure comprising at least one shelf, the shelf comprising a plurality of low pitch contacts, the structure further comprising a ledge disposed above the shelf, the ledge comprising a plurality of *intermediate contacts;* disposing a plurality of fine pitch contacts on the substrate; interconnecting the low pitch contacts to corresponding intermediate contacts via electrical connections embedded within the structure; interconnecting the fine pitch contacts with corresponding low pitch contacts by at least one wire bond; and applying a high frequency test signal to a device under test. 59. The method of claim 58 further comprising connecting the intermediate contact to a signal contact located on a connection board.

33. The apparatus of claim 32 comprising a lower set of low pitch contacts located on said lower shelf and an upper 60 set of low pitch contacts located on said upper shelf.

34. The apparatus of claim 33 comprising a short set of wire bonds interconnecting a set of said fine pitch contacts proximal to said lower shelf with said lower set of low pitch contacts. 65

35. The apparatus of claim 33 wherein said lower set of low pitch contacts comprises a ground contact.

10

12

# 11

60. The method of claim 59 further comprising applying a test signal to the signal contact.

61. The method of claim 58 further comprising connecting at least one probe to the fine pitch contact.

62. The method of claim 61 further comprising contacting 5 the probe with a pad of the device under test for electrically testing the device under test.

63. The method of claim 58 wherein said fine pitch contact comprises producing a via in said substrate and lodging a contact plug in the via.

64. The method of claim 63 further comprising laser-machining the via.

65. The method of claim 63 further comprising microelectro machining the plug.

66. The method of claim 58 wherein the space transformer 15 comprises a lower shelf bearing a lower set of low pitch contacts and at least one upper shelf bearing an upper set of the low pitch contacts.

67. The method of claim 66 further comprising interconnecting a set of fine pitch contacts proximal to the lower shelf 20 with the lower set of low pitch contacts by a short set of wire bonds.

68. The method of claim 66 further comprising interconnecting a set of fine pitch contacts remote to the lower shelf with the upper set of low pitch contacts by a long set of wire 25 bonds.

\* \* \* \* \*