

US00RE43901E

(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
Freundlich et al.

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE43,901 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** **Jan. 1, 2013**

(54) **APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING THERMAL DOSING IN A THERMAL TREATMENT SYSTEM**

(75) Inventors: **David Freundlich**, Haifa (IL); **Jacob Vortman**, Haifa (IL); **Roni Yagel**, Modi'in (IL); **Shuki Vitek**, Haifa (IL); **Naama Brenner**, Haifa (IL)

(73) Assignee: **InSightec Ltd.**, Tirat Carmel (IL)

(21) Appl. No.: **11/223,907**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 8, 2005**

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **6,618,620**
Issued: **Sep. 9, 2003**
Appl. No.: **09/724,670**
Filed: **Nov. 28, 2000**

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 18/04 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **606/27; 607/89; 607/27; 607/96; 607/115; 600/407; 600/437; 128/898; 604/22; 601/3**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** **607/27, 607/89, 96, 115, 2, 88, 98, 99, 100, 101, 607/113; 600/407, 437, 439, 459; 604/19, 604/20, 21, 22; 601/2, 3; 128/898; 606/27**
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,795,709 A 6/1957 Camp
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 1257414 A 6/2000
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

McGough, et al., "Direct Computation of Ultrasound Phased-Array Driving Signals from a Specified Temperature Distribution for Hyperthermia," IEEE Trans. On Biomedical Engineering, vol. 39, No. 8, pp. 825-835 (Aug. 1992).

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Brian Casler
Assistant Examiner — Nasir Shahrestani

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Bingham McCutchen LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A thermal treatment system including a heat applying element for generating thermal doses for ablating a target mass in a patient, a controller for controlling thermal dose properties of the heat applying element, an imager for providing preliminary images of the target mass and thermal images during the treatment, and a planner for automatically constructing a treatment plan, comprising a series of treatment sites that are each represented by a set of thermal dose properties. The planner automatically constructs the treatment plan based on input information including one or more of a volume of the target mass, a distance from a skin surface of the patient to the target mass, a set of default thermal dose prediction properties, a set of user specified thermal dose prediction properties, physical properties of the heat applying elements, and images provided by the imager. The default thermal dose prediction properties are preferably based on a type of clinical application and include at least one of thermal dose threshold, thermal dose prediction algorithm, maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose, thermal dose duration for each treatment site, cooling time between thermal doses, and electrical properties for the heat applying element. The user specified thermal dose prediction properties preferably include at least one or more of overrides for any default thermal dose prediction properties, treatment site grid density; and thermal dose prediction properties not specified as default thermal dose prediction properties from the group comprised of thermal dose threshold, thermal dose prediction algorithm, maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose, thermal dose duration for each treatment site cooling time between thermal doses, and electrical properties for the heat applying element.

67 Claims, 11 Drawing Sheets



US RE43,901 E

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
3,142,035	A	7/1964 Harris	5,769,790	A	6/1998 Watkins et al.
3,942,150	A	3/1976 Booth et al.	5,810,008	A	9/1998 Dekel et al.
3,974,475	A	8/1976 Burckhardt et al.	5,817,036	A	10/1998 Anthony et al.
3,992,693	A	11/1976 Martin et al.	5,823,962	A *	10/1998 Schaetzle et al. 600/439
4,000,493	A	12/1976 Spaulding et al.	5,873,845	A	2/1999 Cline et al.
4,074,564	A	2/1978 Anderson	5,897,495	A	4/1999 Aida
4,206,653	A	6/1980 Lemay	5,938,600	A	8/1999 Van Vaals et al.
4,339,952	A	7/1982 Foster	5,938,608	A	8/1999 Bieger et al.
4,441,486	A *	4/1984 Pounds 601/3	5,947,900	A	9/1999 Derbyshire et al.
4,454,597	A	6/1984 Sullivan	5,984,881	A	11/1999 Ishibashi et al.
4,478,083	A	10/1984 Hassler et al.	6,004,269	A	12/1999 Crowley et al.
4,505,156	A	3/1985 Questo	6,023,636	A	2/2000 Wendt et al.
4,526,168	A	7/1985 Hassler et al.	6,042,556	A	3/2000 Beach et al.
4,537,074	A	8/1985 Dietz	6,071,239	A	6/2000 Cribbs et al.
4,549,533	A	10/1985 Cain et al.	6,113,558	A	9/2000 Rosenschein et al.
4,554,925	A	11/1985 Young	6,113,559	A	9/2000 Klotek
4,586,512	A *	5/1986 Do-huu et al. 600/459	6,128,522	A	10/2000 Acker et al.
4,636,964	A	1/1987 Jacobs et al.	6,128,958	A	10/2000 Cain
4,662,222	A	5/1987 Johnson	6,193,659	B1	2/2001 Ramamurthy et al.
4,858,597	A	8/1989 Kurtze et al.	6,242,915	B1	6/2001 Hurd
4,865,042	A	9/1989 Umemura et al.	6,246,896	B1	6/2001 Dumoulin et al.
4,888,746	A	12/1989 Wurster et al.	6,263,230	B1	7/2001 Haynor et al.
4,889,122	A	12/1989 Watmough et al.	6,267,734	B1	7/2001 Ishibashi et al.
4,893,284	A	1/1990 Magrane	6,289,233	B1	9/2001 Dumoulin et al.
4,893,624	A	1/1990 Lele	6,309,355	B1	10/2001 Cain et al.
4,937,767	A	6/1990 Reuschel et al.	6,317,619	B1	11/2001 Boernert et al.
5,209,221	A	5/1993 Riedlinger	6,322,527	B1	11/2001 Talish
5,211,160	A	5/1993 Talish et al.	6,334,846	B1	1/2002 Ishibashi et al.
5,247,935	A	9/1993 Cline et al.	6,374,132	B1	4/2002 Acker et al.
5,271,400	A	12/1993 Dumoulin et al.	6,392,330	B1	5/2002 Zloter et al.
5,275,165	A	1/1994 Ettinger et al.	6,397,094	B1	5/2002 Ludeke et al.
5,291,890	A	3/1994 Dine et al.	6,413,216	B1	7/2002 Cain et al.
5,307,812	A	5/1994 Hardy et al.	6,419,648	B1	7/2002 Vitek et al.
5,307,816	A	5/1994 Hashimoto et al.	6,424,597	B1	7/2002 Bolomey et al.
5,318,025	A	6/1994 Dumoulin et al.	6,425,867	B1	7/2002 Vaezy et al.
5,323,779	A	6/1994 Hardy et al.	6,428,532	B1	8/2002 Doukas et al.
5,327,884	A	7/1994 Hardy et al.	6,461,314	B1	10/2002 Pant et al.
5,329,930	A	7/1994 Thomas, III et al.	6,475,150	B2	11/2002 Haddad
5,368,031	A	11/1994 Cline et al.	6,478,739	B1	11/2002 Hong
5,368,032	A	11/1994 Cline et al.	6,506,154	B1	1/2003 Ezion et al.
5,379,642	A	1/1995 Reckwerdt et al.	6,506,171	B1	1/2003 Vitek et al.
5,391,140	A	2/1995 Schaetzle et al.	6,511,428	B1	1/2003 Azuma et al.
5,413,550	A	5/1995 Castel	6,522,142	B1	2/2003 Freundlich et al.
5,435,304	A *	7/1995 Oppelt et al. 600/439	6,543,272	B1	4/2003 Vitek
5,435,312	A	7/1995 Spivey et al.	6,554,826	B1	4/2003 Deardorff
5,443,068	A	8/1995 Cline et al.	6,559,644	B2	5/2003 Froundlich et al.
5,474,071	A	12/1995 Chapelon et al.	6,566,878	B1	5/2003 Komura et al.
5,485,839	A *	1/1996 Aida et al. 600/427	6,582,381	B1	6/2003 Yehezkeili et al.
5,490,840	A	2/1996 Uzgiris et al.	6,599,256	B1	7/2003 Acker et al.
5,501,655	A *	3/1996 Rolt et al. 601/3	6,612,988	B2	9/2003 Maor et al.
5,507,790	A	4/1996 Weiss	6,613,004	B1	9/2003 Vitek et al.
5,520,188	A	5/1996 Hennige et al.	6,613,005	B1	9/2003 Friedman et al.
5,526,814	A	6/1996 Cline et al.	6,618,608	B1	9/2003 Watkins et al.
5,526,815	A *	6/1996 Granz et al. 600/439	6,618,620	B1	9/2003 Freundlich et al.
5,549,638	A	8/1996 Burdette	6,626,854	B2	9/2003 Friedman et al.
5,553,618	A	9/1996 Suzuki et al.	6,626,855	B1	9/2003 Weng et al.
5,573,497	A	11/1996 Chapelon	6,629,929	B1	10/2003 Jago et al.
5,582,578	A	12/1996 Zhong et al.	6,645,162	B2	11/2003 Friedman et al.
5,590,653	A	1/1997 Aida	6,652,461	B1	11/2003 Levkovitz
5,590,657	A	1/1997 Cain et al.	6,666,833	B1	12/2003 Friedman et al.
5,601,526	A	2/1997 Chapelon et al.	6,676,601	B1	1/2004 Lacoste et al.
5,605,154	A	2/1997 Ries et al.	6,679,855	B2	1/2004 Horn et al.
5,617,371	A	4/1997 Williams	6,705,994	B2	3/2004 Vortman et al.
5,617,857	A	4/1997 Chader et al.	6,719,694	B2	4/2004 Weng et al.
5,643,179	A	7/1997 Fujimoto	6,733,450	B1	5/2004 Alexandrov et al.
5,662,170	A	9/1997 Donovan et al.	6,735,461	B2	5/2004 Vitek et al.
5,665,054	A	9/1997 Dory	6,761,691	B2	7/2004 Tsuzuki
5,666,954	A	9/1997 Chapelon et al.	6,770,031	B2	8/2004 Hynynen et al.
5,676,673	A	10/1997 Ferre et al.	6,770,039	B2	8/2004 Zhong et al.
5,687,729	A	11/1997 Schaetzle	6,788,619	B2	9/2004 Calvert
5,694,936	A	12/1997 Fujimoto et al.	6,790,180	B2	9/2004 Vitek
5,711,300	A	1/1998 Schneider et al.	6,824,516	B2	11/2004 Batten et al.
5,722,411	A	3/1998 Suzuki et al.	6,951,540	B2	10/2005 Ebbini et al.
5,739,625	A	4/1998 Falcus	6,961,606	B2	11/2005 DeSilets et al.
5,743,863	A	4/1998 Chapelon	7,001,379	B2	2/2006 Behl et al.
5,752,515	A	5/1998 Jolesz et al.	7,077,820	B1	7/2006 Kadziauskas et al.
5,759,162	A	6/1998 Oppelt et al.	7,094,205	B2	8/2006 Marmarelis
5,762,616	A	6/1998 Talish	7,128,711	B2	10/2006 Medan et al.
			7,155,271	B2	12/2006 Halperin et al.

US RE43,901 E

Page 3

7,175,596	B2	2/2007	Vitek et al.	JP	5-300910	11/1993
7,175,599	B2	2/2007	Hynynen et al.	JP	11-313833	11/1999
7,264,592	B2	9/2007	Shehada	JP	2002-505596	10/2000
7,264,597	B2	9/2007	Cathignol	WO	WO-9100059 A1	1/1991
7,267,650	B2	9/2007	Chow et al.	WO	WO 98/52465	11/1998
7,344,509	B2	3/2008	Hynynen et al.	WO	WO-0031614	6/2000
7,377,900	B2	5/2008	Vitek et al.	WO	WO-200143640	6/2001
7,452,357	B2	11/2008	Vlegele et al.	WO	WO-2001058337	8/2001
7,505,805	B2	3/2009	Kuroda	WO	WO-0166189 A1	9/2001
7,505,808	B2	3/2009	Anderson et al.	WO	WO-0180709 A2	11/2001
7,510,536	B2	3/2009	Foley et al.	WO	WO-02058791 A1	8/2002
7,511,501	B2	3/2009	Wexler	WO	WO-03013654	2/2003
7,535,794	B2	5/2009	Prus et al.	WO	WO-03070105	8/2003
7,553,284	B2	6/2009	Vaitekunas	WO	WO-03097162 A2	11/2003
7,603,162	B2	10/2009	Danz et al.	WO	WO-03098232 A2	11/2003
7,611,462	B2	11/2009	Vortman et al.	WO	WO-2004093686	11/2004
7,652,410	B2	1/2010	Prus	WO	WO-200558029	6/2005
7,699,780	B2	4/2010	Vitek et al.	WO	WO-2006018837	2/2006
2001/0031922	A1	10/2001	Weng et al.	WO	WO-2006025001 A1	3/2006
2002/0035779	A1	3/2002	Krieg et al.	WO	WO-2006087649 A1	8/2006
2002/0082589	A1	6/2002	Friedman et al.	WO	WO-2006119572	11/2006
2002/0188229	A1	12/2002	Ryaby	WO	WO-2007073551 A1	6/2007
2003/0004439	A1	1/2003	Pant et al.	WO	WO-2007093998	8/2007
2003/0060820	A1	3/2003	Maguire et al.	WO	WO-2008039449	4/2008
2003/0187371	A1	10/2003	Vortman et al.	WO	WO-2008050278 A1	5/2008
2004/0030251	A1	2/2004	Ebbini et al.	WO	WO-200875203	6/2008
2004/0068186	A1	4/2004	Ishida et al.	WO	WO-2008119054 A1	10/2008
2004/0122323	A1	6/2004	Vortman et al.	WO	WO-2009055587 A1	4/2009
2004/0147919	A1	7/2004	Behl et al.	WO	WO-2009094554	7/2009
2004/0210134	A1	10/2004	Hynynen et al.			
2004/0236253	A1	11/2004	Vortman et al.			
2004/0267126	A1	12/2004	Takeuchi			
2005/0033201	A1	2/2005	Takahashi et al.			
2005/0096542	A1	5/2005	Weng et al.			
2005/0131301	A1	6/2005	Peszynski et al.			
2005/0203444	A1	9/2005	Schonenberger et al.			
2005/0240126	A1	10/2005	Foley et al.			
2005/0251046	A1	11/2005	Yamamoto et al.			
2006/0052661	A1	3/2006	Gannot et al.			
2006/0052701	A1	3/2006	Carter et al.			
2006/0052706	A1	3/2006	Hynynen et al.			
2006/0058678	A1	3/2006	Vitek et al.			
2006/0106300	A1	5/2006	Seppenwoolde et al.			
2006/0173385	A1	8/2006	Lidgren et al.			
2006/0184069	A1	8/2006	Vaitekunas			
2006/0206105	A1	9/2006	Chopra et al.			
2006/0229594	A1	10/2006	Francischelli et al.			
2007/0016039	A1	1/2007	Vortman et al.			
2007/0055140	A1	3/2007	Kuroda			
2007/0066897	A1	3/2007	Sekins et al.			
2007/0073135	A1	3/2007	Lee et al.			
2007/0098232	A1	5/2007	Matula et al.			
2007/0167781	A1	7/2007	Vortman et al.			
2007/0197918	A1	8/2007	Vitek et al.			
2007/0219470	A1	9/2007	Talish et al.			
2008/0027342	A1	1/2008	Rouw et al.			
2008/0031090	A1	2/2008	Prus et al.			
2008/0033278	A1	2/2008	Assif			
2008/0082026	A1	4/2008	Schmidt et al.			
2008/0108900	A1	5/2008	Lee et al.			
2008/0183077	A1	7/2008	Moreau-Gobard			
2008/0228081	A1	9/2008	Becker et al.			
2008/0312562	A1	12/2008	Routh et al.			
2009/0088623	A1	4/2009	Vortman et al.			
2009/0096450	A1	4/2009	Roland			
2010/0056962	A1	3/2010	Vortman et al.			

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

McDannold, et al., "Quality Assurance and System Stability of a Clinical MRI-guided focused ultrasound system: Four-year experience," *Medical Physics*, vol. 33, No. 11, pp. 4307-4313 (Oct. 2006).

Frederic C. Vimeux MS. et al., "Real-Time Control of Focused Ultrasound Heating Based on Rapid MR Thermometry", *Investigative Radiology*, vol. 34, No. 3, 190-193, (c) Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Inc.

Botros et al., "A hybrid computational model for ultrasound phased-array heating in presence of strongly scattering obstacles," *IEEE Trans. On Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 44, No. 11, pp. 1039-1050 (Nov. 1997).

Chen et al., "MR Acoustic Radiation Force Imaging: Comparison of Encoding Gradients,"

Cline et al., "MR Temperature mapping of focused ultrasound surgery," *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, vol. 32, No. 6, pp. 628-636 (1994).

Cline et al., "Simultaneous magnetic resonance phase and magnitude temperature maps in muscle," *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, vol. 35, No. 3, pp. 309-315 (Mar. 1996).

Daum et al., "Design and evaluation of a feedback based phased array system for ultrasound surgery," *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelec. Freq. Control*, vol. 45, No. 2, pp. 431-434 (1998).

de Senneville et al., "Real-time adaptive methods for treatment of mobile organs by MRI-controlled high-intensity focussed Ultrasound," *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 57:319-330 (2007).

Herbert et al., "Energy-based adaptive focusing of waves: application to ultrasonic transcranial therapy," 8th Intl. Symp. On Therapeutic Ultrasound.

Huber et al., "A New Noninvasive Approach in Breast Cancer Therapy Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Guided Focussed Ultrasound Surgery," *Cancer Research* 61, 8441-8447 (Dec. 2001).

International Preliminary Report on Patentability in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2004/001512, mailed Dec. 8, 2005.

International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2004/001498, dated Aug. 31, 2004.

International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2005/002273, mailed Dec. 20, 2005.

International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2005/002413, mailed Nov. 22, 2005.

International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2006/001641, mailed Sep. 25, 2006.



International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2006/003300, mailed Feb. 14, 2008.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	4345308	C2	2/2001
DE	10102317		8/2002
EP	0031614	A1	7/1981
EP	0 558 029		9/1993
EP	0875203	A2	11/1998
EP	1132054		9/2001
EP	1582886		10/2005
EP	1591073		11/2005
EP	1774920		4/2007
EP	1790384		5/2007
FR	2806611	A1	9/2001

- International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2007/001079, mailed Dec. 10, 2007.
- International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2007/002134, mailed Dec. 13, 2007.
- International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2007/002140, mailed Dec. 29, 2008.
- International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2008/003069, mailed Apr. 27, 2009.
- Jolesz et al., "Integration of interventional MRI with computer-assisted surgery," *J. Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 12:69-77 (2001).
- Kohler et al., "Volumetric HIFU Ablation guided by multiplane MRI thermometry," 8th Intl. Symp. On Therapeutic Ultrasound, edited by E.S. Ebbini, U. of Minn. (Sep. 2009).
- Kowalski et al., "Optimization of electromagnetic phased-arrays for hyperthermia via magnetic resonance temperature estimation," *IEEE Trans. On Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 49, No. 11, pp. 1229-1241 (Nov. 2002).
- Maxwell et al., "Noninvasive thrombolysis using pulsed ultrasound cavitation therapy—Histotripsy," Abstract, U.S. Natl. Lib. Of Med., NIH, *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* (Oct. 23, 2009).
- McDannold et al., "Magnetic resonance acoustic radiation force imaging," *Med. Phys.* vol. 35, No. 8, pp. 3748-3758 (Aug. 2008).
- Medel et al., "Sonothrombolysis: An emerging modality for the management of stroke," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 65, No. 5, pp. 979-993.
- Mougenot et al., "MR monitoring of the near-field HIFU heating," 8th Intl. Symp. On Therapeutic Ultrasound, edited by E.S. Ebbini, U. of Minn. (Sep. 2009).
- Partial International Search Report and Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB2007/001079, dated Sep. 25, 2007.
- Vykhodtseva et al., "MRI detection of the thermal effects of focused ultrasound on the brain," *Ultrasound in Med. & Biol.*, vol. 26, No. 5, pp. 871-880 (2000).
- Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IL01/00340, mailed Feb. 24, 2003.
- Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IL02/00477, mailed Feb. 25, 2003.
- Written Opinion in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB03/05551, mailed Sep. 10, 2004.
- "How is Ablatherm treatment performed?" http://www.edap-hifu.com/eng/physicians/hifu/3c_treatment_treat-description.htm, accessed Jan. 3, 2003.
- "What is HIFU? HIFU: High Intensity Focused Ultrasound," http://www.edap-hifu.com/eng/physicians/hifu2a_hifu_overview.htm, accessed Jan. 3, 2003.
- "What are the physical principles?" http://www.edap-hifu.com/eng/physicians/hifu/2c_hifu_physical.htm, accessed Jan. 3, 2003.
- "How does HIFU create a lesion?" http://www.edap-hifu.com/eng/physicians/hifu/2d_hifu_lesion.htm, accessed Jan. 3, 2003.
- "Prostate Cancer Phase I Clinical Trials Using High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU)," *Focus Surgery*, <http://www.focus-surgery.com/PCT%20Treatment%20with%20HIFU.htm>, accessed Jan. 3, 2003.
- "Abstract" *Focus Surgery*, <http://www.focus-surgery.com/Sanghvi.htm>, accessed Jan. 3, 2003.
- Exablate 2000 Specification, InSightec, Ltd. (2 pages).
- FDA Approves Exablate 2000 as Non-invasive surgery for Fibroids, Oct. 22, 2004.
- Minutes of oral proceedings before the Examining Division on Feb. 20, 2008 for European Application No. 01 998 377.4.
- Decision from oral proceedings of Feb. 20, 2008 for European Application No. 01 998 377.4.
- Charles A. Cain, et al., "Concentric-Ring and Sector-Vortex Phased-Array Applicators for Ultrasound Hyperthermia", *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, MTT-34, pp. 542-551, 1986.
- Todd Fjield, et al., "The Combined Concentric-Ring and Sector-Vortex Phased Array for MRI Guided Ultrasound Surgery", *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control*, vol. 44, No. 5, pp. 1157-1167, Sep. 1997.
- Kullervo Hynynen et al., "Principles of MR-Guided Focused Ultrasound", Chapter 25, pp. 237-243.
- Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, vol. 44, No. 5, pp. 1157-1167, Sep. 1997.
- Nathan McDannold, et al., "MRI Evaluation of Thermal Ablation of Tumors and Focused Ultrasound", *JMRI* vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 91-100, Jan./Feb. 1998.
- Kullervo Hynynen et al., "Principles of MR-Guided Focused Ultrasound", Chapter 25, pp. 237-243.
- Harvey E. Cline, Ph.D., et al., "Focused US System for MR Imaging-Guide Tumor Ablation", *Radiology* vol. 194, No. 3, pp. 731-738, Mar. 1995.
- PCT International Search Report (ISR), form PCT/ISA/210 & 220, dated Mar. 26, 2002, for related International Application No. PCT/IL01/01084, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (7 pages).
- PCT Written Opinion, form PCT/IPEA/408, dated Aug. 28, 2002, for related International Application No. PCT/IL01/01084, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (4 pages).
- PCT Reply to Written Opinion, dated Nov. 28, 2002, for related International Application No. PCT/IL01/01084, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (7 pages).
- PCT International Preliminary Examination Report (IPER), form PCT/IPEA/416, dated Mar. 2003, for related International Application No. PCT/IL01/01084, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (5 pages).
- EP Office Action, dated Nov. 21, 2005 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (2 pages).
- EP Amendment and Response to Office Action, dated May 19, 2006 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (12 pages).
- EP Supplemental Amendment and Response to Office Action, dated May 22, 2006 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (2 pages).
- EP Office Action, dated Jun. 29, 2006 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (3 pages).
- EP Amendment and Response to Office Action, dated Jan. 8, 2007 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (8 pages).
- EP Office Action, dated Jan. 23, 2007 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (3 pages).
- EP Amendment and Response to Office Action, dated Mar. 27, 2007 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (10 pages).
- EP Office Action, dated Apr. 19, 2007 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (4 pages).
- EP Amendment and Response to Office Action, dated Aug. 21, 2007 for related EP application serial No. 01998377.4, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (5 pages).
- CN Office Action with English translation, dated Mar. 24, 2006 for related CN application serial No. 01819665.9, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (10 pages).
- CN Response to Office Action with English translation, dated Aug. 8, 2006 for related CN application serial No. 01819665.9, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (12 pages).
- CN Notice of Allowance and Issuance with English translation, dated Jan. 30, 2007 for related CN application serial No. 01819665.9, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (4 pages).
- JP Office Action with English translation, dated Apr. 17, 2007 for related JP application serial No. 2002-545773, Applicant Insightec-TxSonic, Ltd (5 pages).
- Todd Fjield, et al., "The Combined Concentric-Ring and Sector-Vortex Phased Array for MRI Guided Ultrasound Surgery", *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control*, vol. 44, No. 5, pp. 1157-1167, Sep. 1997.
- Kullervo Hynynen et al., "Principles of MR-Guided Focused Ultrasound", Chapter 25, pp. 237-243.

* cited by examiner






FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3





FIGURE 4






FIGURE 6




FIGURE 7A




FIGURE 7B





Figure 8

FIGURE 9






FIGURE 10A

FIGURE 10B




FIGURE 10C




Figure 11

1

**APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING
THERMAL DOSING IN A THERMAL
TREATMENT SYSTEM**

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

*CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED
APPLICATION*

This application is a reissue of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/724,670, filed Nov. 28, 2000, issued as U.S. Pat. No. 6,618,620.

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to thermal treatment systems, and more particularly to a method and apparatus for controlling thermal dosing in a thermal treatment system.

BACKGROUND

Thermal energy, such as generated by high intensity focused ultrasonic waves (acoustic waves with a frequency greater than about 20 kilohertz), may be used to therapeutically treat internal tissue regions within a patient. For example, ultrasonic waves may be used to ablate tumors, thereby obviating the need for invasive surgery. For this purpose, piezoelectric transducers driven by electric signals to produce ultrasonic energy have been suggested that may be placed external to the patient but in close proximity to the tissue to be ablated. The transducer is geometrically shaped and positioned such that the ultrasonic energy is focused at a "focal zone" corresponding to a target tissue region within the patient, heating the target tissue region until the tissue is coagulated. The transducer may be sequentially focused and activated at a number of focal zones in close proximity to one another. This series of "sonications" is used to cause coagulation necrosis of an entire tissue structure, such as a tumor, of a desired size and shape.

In such focused ultrasound systems, the transducer is preferably geometrically shaped and positioned so that the ultrasonic energy is focused at a "focal zone" corresponding to the target tissue region, heating the region until the tissue is necrosed. The transducer may be sequentially focused and activated at a number of focal zones in close proximity to one another. For example, this series of "sonications" may be used to cause coagulation necrosis of an entire tissue structure, such as a tumor, of a desired size and shape.

By way of illustration, FIG. 1A depicts a phased array transducer 10 having a "spherical cap" shape. The transducer 10 includes a plurality of concentric rings 12 disposed on a curved surface having a radius of curvature defining a portion of a sphere. The concentric rings 12 generally have equal surface areas and may also be divided circumferentially 14 into a plurality of curved transducer sectors, or elements 16, creating a "tiling" of the face of the transducer 10. The transducer elements 16 are constructed of a piezoelectric material such that, upon being driven with a sinus wave near the resonant frequency of the piezoelectric material, the elements 16 vibrate according to the phase and amplitude of the exciting sinus wave, thereby creating the desired ultrasonic wave energy.

2

As illustrated in FIG. 1B, the phase shift and amplitude of the respective sinus "drive signal" for each transducer element 16 is individually controlled so as to sum the emitted ultrasonic wave energy 18 at a focal zone 20 having a desired mode of focused planar and volumetric pattern. This is accomplished by coordinating the signal phase of the respective transducer elements 16 in such a manner that they constructively interfere at specific locations, and destructively cancel at other locations. For example, if each of the elements 16 are driven with drive signals that are in phase with one another, (known as "mode 0"), the emitted ultrasonic wave energy 18 are focused at a relatively narrow focal zone. Alternatively, the elements 16 may be driven with respective drive signals that are in a predetermined shifted-phase relationship with one another (referred to in U.S. Pat. No. 4,865,042 to Umemura et al. as "mode n"). This results in a focal zone that includes a plurality of 2n zones disposed about an annulus, i.e., generally defining an annular shape, creating a wider focus that causes necrosis of a larger tissue region within a focal plane intersecting the focal zone. Multiple shapes of the focal spot can be created by controlling the relative phases and amplitudes of the emitted energy from the array, including steering and scanning of the beam, enabling electronic control of the focused beam to cover and treat multiple of spots in the defined zone of a defined tumor inside the body.

More advanced techniques for obtaining specific focal distances and shapes are disclosed in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/626,176, filed Jul. 27, 2000, entitled "Systems and Methods for Controlling Distribution of Acoustic Energy Around a Focal Point Using a Focused Ultrasound System;" U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/556,095, filed Apr. 21, 2000, entitled "Systems and Methods for Reducing Secondary Hot Spots in a Phased Array Focused Ultrasound System;" and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/557,078, filed Apr. 21, 2000, entitled "Systems and Methods for Creating Longer Necrosed Volumes Using a Phased Array Focused Ultrasound System." The foregoing (commonly assigned) patent applications, along with U.S. Pat. No. 4,865,042, are all hereby incorporated by reference for all they teach and disclose.

It is significant to implementing these focal positioning and shaping techniques to provide a transducer control system that allows the phase of each transducer element to be independently controlled. To provide for precise positioning and dynamic movement and reshaping of the focal zone, it is desirable to be able to alter the phase and/or amplitude of the individual elements relatively fast, e.g., in the μ second range, to allow switching between focal points or modes of operation. As taught in the above-incorporated U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/556,095, it is also desirable to be able to rapidly change the drive signal frequency of one or more elements.

Further, in a MRI-guided focused ultrasound system, it is desirable to be able to drive the ultrasound transducer array without creating electrical harmonics, noise, or fields that interfere with the ultra-sensitive receiver signals that create the images. A system for individually controlling and dynamically changing the phase and amplitude of each transducer element drive signal in phased array focused ultrasound transducer in a manner which does not interfere with the imaging system is taught in commonly assigned U.S. patent application Ser. No. [not-yet-assigned; Lyon & Lyon Attorney Docket No. 254/189, entitled "Systems and Methods for Controlling a Phased Array Focussed Ultrasound System,"], which was filed on the same date herewith and which is hereby incorporated by reference for all it teaches and discloses.

Notably, after the delivery of a thermal dose, e.g., ultrasound sonication, a cooling period is required to avoid harmful and painful heat build up in healthy tissue adjacent a target tissue structure. This cooling period may be significantly longer than the thermal dosing period. Since a large number of sonications may be required in order to fully ablate the target tissue site, the overall time required can be significant. If the procedure is MRI-guided, this means that the patient must remain motionless in a MRI machine for a significant period of time, which can be very stressful. At the same time, it may be critical that the entire target tissue structure be ablated (such as, e.g., in the case of a malignant cancer tumor), and that the procedure not take any short cuts just in the name of patient comfort.

Accordingly, it would be desirable to provide systems and methods for treating a tissue region using thermal energy, such as focused ultrasound energy, wherein the thermal dosing is applied in a more efficient and effective manner.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with a first aspect of the invention, a thermal treatment system is provided, the system including a heat applying element for generating a thermal dose used to ablate a target mass in a patient, a controller for controlling thermal dose properties of the heat applying element, an imager for providing preliminary images of the target mass and thermal images during the treatment, and a planner for automatically constructing a treatment plan, comprising a series of treatment sites that are each represented by a set of thermal dose properties. By way of non-limiting example only, the heat applying element may apply any of ultrasound energy, laser light energy, radio frequency (RF) energy, microwave energy, or electrical energy.

In a preferred embodiment, the planner automatically constructs the treatment plan based on input information including one or more of a volume of the target mass, a distance from a skin surface of the patient to the target mass, a set of default thermal dose prediction properties, a set of user specified thermal dose prediction properties, physical properties of the heat applying elements, and images provided by the imager. The default thermal dose prediction properties are preferably based on a type of clinical application and include at least one of thermal dose threshold, thermal dose prediction algorithm, maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose, thermal dose duration for each treatment site, cooling time between thermal doses, and electrical properties for the heat applying element. The user specified thermal dose prediction properties preferably include at least one or more of overrides for any default thermal dose prediction properties, treatment site grid density; and thermal dose prediction properties not specified as default thermal dose prediction properties from the group comprised of thermal dose threshold, thermal dose prediction algorithm, maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose, thermal dose duration for each treatment site cooling time between thermal doses, and electrical properties for the heat applying element.

Preferably, the treatment plan ensures that the entire target mass is covered by a series of thermal doses so as to obtain a composite thermal dose sufficient to ablate the entire target mass, and the thermal dose properties are automatically optimized using physiological properties as the optimization criterion. Preferably, the planner limits the thermal dose at each treatment site in order to prevent evaporation or carbonization.

In a preferred embodiment, the planner constructs a predicted thermal dose distribution in three dimensions, illustrat-

ing the predicted thermal dose threshold contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan. A User Interface (UI) may also be provided for entering user specified thermal dose prediction properties and for editing the treatment plan once the treatment plan is constructed. A feedback imager for providing thermal images may also be provided, wherein the thermal images illustrate the actual thermal dose distribution resulting at each treatment site. In one embodiment, the imager acts as the feedback imager.

In accordance with another aspect of the invention, a focused ultrasound system is provided, including a transducer for generating ultrasound energy that results in thermal doses used to ablate a target mass in a patient, a controller for controlling thermal dose properties of the transducer, an imager for providing preliminary images of the target, and for providing thermal images illustrating an actual thermal dose distribution in the patient, and a planner for automatically constructing a treatment plan using the preliminary images, the treatment plan comprising a series of treatment sites represented by a set of thermal dose properties used by the controller to control the transducer.

The planner preferably constructs a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan, wherein after a thermal dose is delivered to a treatment site in the treatment plan, the actual thermal dose distribution is compared to the predicted thermal dose distribution to determine remaining untreated locations within the target mass. The planner preferably automatically evaluates the treatment plan based on the remaining untreated locations and will update the treatment plan to ensure complete ablation of the target mass is achieved by adding treatment sites, removing treatment sites, modifying existing treatment sites, or leaving the treatment plan unchanged. In some embodiments, a user can manually adjust the treatment plan based on the remaining untreated locations.

Preferably, the imager provides outlines of sensitive regions within the patient where ultrasonic waves are not allowed to pass, wherein the processor uses the outlines in constructing the treatment plan so as to avoid exposing the sensitive regions to ultrasound.

In accordance with still another aspect of the invention, a method of controlling thermal dosing in a thermal treatment system is provided, which includes selecting an appropriate clinical application protocol, the selected application protocol having associated with it certain default thermal dosing properties; retrieving relevant magnetic resonant images for thermal dose planning; tracing a target mass on the images; entering user specified thermal dosing properties and selectively modifying the default thermal dosing properties; and automatically constructing a treatment plan representing thermal doses to be applied to treatment sites, the treatment plan based on the default thermal dosing properties and the user specified thermal dosing properties.

In preferred implementations, tracing the target mass can be done manually or automatically, and may include evaluating the target mass to ensure that obstacles including bones, gas, or other sensitive tissue will not interfere with the thermal doses and repositioning a patient or a heat applying element in order to bypass any such obstacles. Preferably, the treatment plan ensures that a target mass receives a composite thermal dose sufficient to ablate the target mass, wherein automatically constructing the treatment plan includes predicting and displaying a predicted thermal dose distribution. Preferably, automatically constructing the treatment plan further

5

includes calculating limits for each thermal dose to be applied to each treatment site in order to prevent evaporation or carbonation.

In a preferred implementation, the treatment plan may be manually edited, including at least one of adding treatment sites, deleting treatment sites, changing the location of treatment sites, changing thermal dosing properties, and reconstructing the entire treatment plan with new thermal dosing properties.

In one implementation, the method includes applying a low energy thermal dose at a predetermined spot within the target mass in order to verify proper registration, and evaluating said predetermined spot and adjusting and/or re-verifying if necessary. In a following step, the low energy thermal dose could be extended to a full dose sonication that will be evaluated to assess the thermal dosing parameters as a scaling factor for the full treatment.

Other aspects and features of the invention will become apparent hereinafter.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The drawings illustrate both the design and utility of preferred embodiments of the invention, in which similar elements in different embodiments are referred to by the same reference numbers for purposes of ease in illustration, and wherein:

FIG. 1A is a top view of an exemplary spherical cap transducer comprising a plurality of transducer elements to be driven in a phased array as part of a focussed ultrasound system.

FIG. 1B is a partially cut-away side view of the transducer of FIG. 1A, illustrating the concentrated emission of focused ultrasonic energy in a targeted focal region.

FIG. 2 is simplified schematic block diagram of a thermal treatment system for providing thermal energy dosing of a target tissue region in a patient.

FIG. 3 is a cross-sectional view of an ultrasonic transducer and target tissue mass to be treated in a preferred embodiment of the system of FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a cross-sectional view of a target tissue mass, illustrating a series of planned sonication areas.

FIG. 5 is a preferred process flow diagram for constructing a three-dimensional treatment plan using the system of FIG. 2.

FIG. 6 is a simplified schematic block diagram of an alternate thermal treatment system comprising a feedback image generator.

FIGS. 7A and 7B are two-dimensional representations of a target sonication areas, illustrating instances in which the actual thermal ablation is either greater than (FIG. 7A), or less than (FIG. 7B), the predicted amount.

FIG. 8 illustrates a comparison of actual versus predicted thermal doses for an entire target tissue region constructed by the system of FIG. 6 using images from the feedback image generator.

FIG. 9 illustrates a preferred method of controlling thermal dosing in a thermal treatment system.

FIG. 10A illustrates a two dimensional pixel representation of a predicted thermal dose to be applied to a target tissue region.

FIG. 10B illustrates a two dimensional pixel representation of an actual thermal dose resulting from a thermal treatment intended to result in the predicted thermal dose of FIG. 10A.

6

FIG. 10C illustrates a two dimensional pixel representation of a remaining untreated target tissue region derived by subtracting the pixel representation of FIG. 10B from the pixel representation of FIG. 10A.

FIG. 11 illustrates a preferred method for updating a thermal treatment plan.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The invention will now be illustrated by examples that use an ultrasound transducer as the means of delivering energy to a target mass. It will be apparent to those skilled in the art, however, that other energy delivery vehicles can be used. For example, the invention is equally applicable to systems that use laser light energy, radio frequency (RF) energy, microwave energy, or electrical energy converted to heat, as in an ohmic heating coil or contact. Therefore, the following preferred embodiments should not be considered to limit the invention to an ultrasound system.

FIG. 2 illustrates a thermal treatment system 100 in accordance with one embodiment of the invention. Thermal treatment system 100 uses a heat applying element 102 to focus an energy beam 112 on a target mass 104, which is typically a tumor within a patient 116. In one preferred implementation, the thermal treatment system 100 is a focused ultrasound system and the heat applying element 102 is a transducer that delivers an ultrasound beam. In this embodiment, the transducer 102 may consist of a spherical cap transducer such as that disclosed in the above-incorporated Umemura patent. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that a variety of geometric designs for transducer 102 may be employed. Additionally, alternate embodiments of system 100 will use focused radiators, acoustic lenses, or acoustic reflectors in order to achieve optimal focus of beam 112.

Ultrasound is a vibrational energy that is propagated as a mechanical wave through a target medium. In system 100, transducer 102 generates the mechanical wave by converting an electronic drive signal into mechanical motion. The frequency of the mechanical wave, and therefore ultrasound beam 112, is equal to the frequency of the drive signal. The ultrasound frequency spectrum begins at 20 Khz and typical implementations of system 100 employ frequencies in the range from 0.5 to 10 Mhz. Transducer 102 also converts the electronic drive signal power into acoustic power in ultrasound beam 112. Ultrasound beam 112 raises the temperature of target mass 104 by transferring this power as heat to target mass 104. Ultrasound beam 112 is focused on the target mass 104 in order to raise the temperature of the target mass tissue to a point where the tissue is destroyed. The heat distribution within the tissue is controlled by the intensity distribution in the focal spot of beam 112, the intensity distribution, in turn, is shaped by the interaction of the beam with the tissue and the frequency, duration, and power of beam 112, which are directly related to the frequency, duration, and power of the electronic drive signal.

As seen in FIG. 3, the transducer 102 focuses beam 112 on a target tissue mass 104, which is within a patient 116 some distance from skin surface 202. The distance from skin surface 202 to target mass 104 is the near field 204, which contains healthy tissue. It is important that tissue in near field 204 is not damaged by beam 112. Energy zone 206 is the target zone for beam 112, wherein energy is transferred as heat to the tissue of the target mass 104. Energy zone 206 is divided into several cross sections of varying depth. Varying the frequency of the electric signal driving transducer 102 can target particular cross sections within energy zone 206.

Two proportionalities illustrate this point: (1) d is proportional to $k_1(v/f)(R/2a)$; and (2) l is proportional to $k_2(v/f)(R/2a)^2$. In (1), d represents the diameter of the focal spot of beam **112**. R represents the radius of curvature, and $2a$ represents the diameter, respectively, of transducer **102**. Therefore, the physical parameters associated with transducer **102** are important parameters, as well. In (2), l represents the axial length of the focus of beam **112**. Different cross sections can be targeted by changing the frequency f , which will vary the focal length l . In both (1) and (2), v is the speed of sound in body tissue and is approximately 1540 m/s.

As can be seen, the same parameters that play an important role in determining the focal length l , also play an important role in determining the focal spot diameter d . Because the focal spot will typically be many times smaller than transducer **102**, the acoustic intensity will be many times higher in the focal spot as compared to the intensity at the transducer. In some implementations, the focal spot intensity can be hundreds or even thousands of times higher than the transducer intensity. The frequency f also effects the intensity distribution within energy zone **206**: The higher the frequency, the tighter the distribution, which is beneficial in terms of not heating near field **204**.

The duration of a sonication determines how much heat will actually be transferred to the target mass tissue at the focal spot. For a given signal power and focal spot diameter, a longer duration results in more heat transfer and, therefore, a higher temperature. Thermal conduction and blood flow, however, make the actual temperature distribution within the tissue unpredictable for longer sonication duration. As a result, typical implementations use duration of only a few seconds. In focused ultrasound systems, care must also be taken not to raise the temperature at the focal point too high. A temperature of 100° C. will cause water in the tissue to boil forming gas in the path of beam **112**. The gas blocks the propagation of beam **112**, which significantly impacts the performance of system **100**.

Controller **106** controls the mechanical and electrical properties of transducer **102**. For example, controller **106** controls electrical properties such as the frequency, duration, and amplitude of the electronic drive signal and mechanical properties such as the position of transducer **102**. By controlling the position of transducer **102**, the position of the focal spot within target mass **116** can be controlled. In one embodiment, controller **106** controls the x-position, z-position, the pitch, and the roll of transducer **102**. A preferred mechanical positioning system for controlling the physical position of the transducer is taught in commonly assigned U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/628,964, entitled "Mechanical Positioner for MRI Guided Ultrasound Therapy System," which is hereby incorporated by reference for all it teaches and discloses.

In one implementation, electromechanical drives under the control of controller **106** are used to control these positional aspects. It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that other implementations may employ other means to position transducer **102** including hydraulics, gears, motors, servos, etc. Additionally, it must be remembered that controlling the electrical properties, mainly frequency f and phase of transducer **102** controls the position of the focal spot along the y-axis of transducer **102** and the dimensions of the focal volume. Controller **106** uses properties provided by planner **108** to control the mechanical and electrical properties of transducer **102**.

Planner **108** automatically constructs a treatment plan, which consists of a series of treatment site represented by thermal dose properties. The purpose of the treatment plan is to ensure complete ablation of target mass **104** by planning a

series of sonications that will apply a series of thermal doses at various points within target mass **104**, resulting in a composite thermal dose sufficient to ablate the entire mass.

For example, the plan will include the frequency, duration, and power of the sonication and the position and mode of the focal spot for each treatment site in series of treatment sites. The mode of the focal spot refers to the fact that the focal spot can be of varying dimensions. Typically, there will be a range of focal modes from small to large with several intermediate modes in between. The actual size of the focal spot will vary, however, as a function of the focal distance (l), the frequency and focal spot dispersion mode. Therefore, planner **108** must take the mode and focal spot size variation into account when planning the position of the focal spot for a treatment site. The treatment plan is then passed to controller **106** in the relevant format to allow controller **106** to perform its tasks.

In order to construct the treatment plan, planner **108** uses input from User Interface (UI) **110** and imager **114**. For example, in one implementation, a user specifies the clinical application protocol, i.e., breast, pelvis, eye, prostate, etc., via UI **110**. Selection of the clinical application protocol may control at least some of the default thermal dose prediction properties such as thermal dose threshold, thermal dose prediction algorithm, maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose, thermal dose duration for each treatment site, cooling time between thermal doses, and electrical properties for the heat applying element.

In other implementations, some or all of these properties are input through UI **110** as user specified thermal dose prediction properties. Other properties that may be input as user specified thermal dose prediction properties are the sonication grid density (how much the sonications should overlap) and the physical parameters of transducer **102**. The latter two properties may also be defined as default parameters in certain implementations.

Additionally, a user may edit any of the default parameters via UI **110**. In one implementation, UI **110** comprises a Graphical User Interface (GUI): A user employs a mouse or touch screen to navigate through menus or choices as displayed on a display device in order to make the appropriate selections and supply the required information.

To further aid planner **108** in constructing the treatment plan, imager **114** supplies images of target mass **104** that can be used to determine volume, position, and distance from skin surface **202**. In a typical implementation, imager **114** is a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) device and, in one implementation, the images provided are three-dimensional images of target mass **104**. Once planner **108** receives the input from UI **110** and the images from imager **114**, planner **108** automatically constructs the treatment plan.

As illustrated in FIG. 4, the goal of the treatment plan is to completely cover a target tissue mass **300** with a series of sonications **304** so that the entire target mass is fully ablated. In one implementation, once the treatment plan is constructed a user may, if required, edit the plan by using UI **110**. In one implementation, planner **108** will also produce a predicted thermal dose distribution. This distribution is similar to the distribution illustrated in FIG. 4, wherein the predicted thermal doses **304** are mapped onto images of target mass **104** provided by imager **114**. In one implementation, the distribution is a three-dimensional distribution. Additionally an algorithm is included in planner **108** that limits the peak temperature of the focal zone so as to prevent evaporation. The algorithm is referred to as the dose predictor.

In one implementation, the treatment plan is a three-dimensional treatment plan. FIG. 5 illustrates one preferred process flow diagram for constructing a three-dimensional treatment

plan, using three-dimensional images of target mass **104** and a three-dimensional predicted thermal dose distribution **300**. The ability of focusing at different focal lengths (l) leads to variable focal spots and variable lesion sizes in target mass **104** as a function of (y), the transducer axis. Therefore, as a result of the process illustrated in FIG. **5**, planner **108** finds a minimum number of overlapping cross-sectional treatment layers required to ablate a portion of target mass **104** extending from y_{near} to y_{far} .

Planner **108** will also predict the lesion size in the cross-sectional layer and will provide the maximal allowed energy in each layer, taking into account the maximum allowed temperature rise. The energy or power will be normalized among different layers, such that the maximal temperature at the focus remains approximately constant throughout the treatment zone **206**.

Constructing the three-dimensional treatment plan begins in step **402** with obtaining diagnostic quality images of the target mass. For example, the diagnostic quality images may be the preliminary images supplied by an imager such as imager **114**. In step **404**, planner **108** uses the diagnostic images to define the treatment region. Then, in step **406**, a line $y=[y_{near};y_{far}]$ is defined such that (y) cuts through target zone **206** perpendicular to transducer along the transducer axis from the nearest point within target mass **104** (y_{near}) to the furthest point (y_{far}). Line (y) will be the axis along which the treatment layers will be defined.

Once (y) is defined planner **108** will perform a dose prediction in step **408** using the maximal power required for small and large spot sizes at (y_{far}). In step **410**, planner **108** determines if the resulting maximal temperature exceeds the allowed limit. It should be noted that properties such as the maximal power and the maximal temperature limit may be supplied as default thermal dose prediction properties or may be supplied as user supplied thermal dose prediction properties. If the resulting maximal temperature does exceed the allowable limit, the power is scaled down linearly in step **412** until the temperature elevation is within the allowable limit.

The small and large focal modes may correspond to modes **0** and **4**, respectively, with additional modes **1**, **2** and **3** falling between modes **0** and **4**. Therefore, in step **414**, planner **108** predicts the maximal power for the intermediate modes **1**, **2** and **3**, from the scaled max powers at modes **0** and **4**. Thus, in step **416**, if there are further modes, planner **108** reverts to step **408** and predicts the maximal power for these modes. If it is the last mode for (y_{far}) then planner **108** uses the same scaled max power, as in step **418**, to find the corresponding maximal powers for each focal mode at (y_{near}). Then in step **420**, planner **108** finds the maximal temperature elevation and lesion size for the appropriate mode and the required maximal power at a point (y_i), such that $y_{near} < y_i < y_{far}$. Preferably, (y_i) is close to (y_{near}). For example, in one implementation, $y_i = y_{near} + 25$ mm. If the temperature elevation at (y_i) exceeds the allowable limit as determined in step **422**, then in step **424** the power is scaled down until the temperature elevation is within the limit, and then planner **108** determines the resulting lesion size at (y_i).

Using an overlap criterion with respect to the (y_{near}) boundary, which may be provided via a sonication grid density, the first treatment is placed. The treatment will actually be a three-dimensional layer or slice. Then, in step **428**, using an inter-layer overlap criterion, an auxiliary treatment slice is placed on top of the previous treatment layer using the same height for the second slice as for the first slice. In step **430**, planner **108** determines if more layers are needed to reach (y_{far}). If more layers are needed, then the process reverts to step **418**, and (y_i) replaces (y_{near}) (step **432**) in the algorithm.

Once the last treatment layer is reached, planner **108** will determine if the layer extends beyond the target limit (y_{far}). If the layer does extend too far, then the overlap criterion should be used with the outer limit (y_{far}) as a boundary instead of the previous layer. Using (y_{far}) in the overlap criterion may cause overdose but will not damage healthy tissue outside target mass **104**.

In one implementation, the thermal dose properties are automatically optimized using physiological parameters as the optimization criterion in one implementation mechanical tissue parameters like compressibility, stiffens and scatter are used.

Referring to FIG. **6**, a thermal treatment system **500**, similar to system **100** of FIG. **2**, includes an online feedback imager **502**. In practice, the actual thermal dose delivered with a particular sonication is not the same as the thermal dose predicted by planner **108**. As mentioned previously, absorption coefficient blood flow, uneven heat conduction, different rates of conduction for different tissue masses, tissue induced beam aberration and variances in system tolerances make it difficult to accurately predict thermal dosages. Moreover, the actual focal spot dimensions are variable as a function of focal distance (l) and of focal spot dispersion, making accurate thermal dosing predictions even more difficult.

As illustrated in FIGS. **7A-B**, the actual thermal dose **606** will often not ablate the predicted amount of tissue. In particular, two situations can occur. First, as illustrated by comparison **602** in FIG. **7A**, actual thermal dose **606** may be larger than predicted thermal dose **608**. In this case there will be an overlap of ablated tissue **610**. The second situation is illustrated by comparison **604** in FIG. **7B**. In this case, actual thermal dose **606** is smaller than predicted thermal dose **608**. Therefore, there is an area **612** of non-ablated tissue remaining after sonication.

The online feedback imager **502** provides real-time temperature sensitive magnetic resonance images of target mass **104** after some or all of the sonications. The planner **108** uses the images from the feedback imager **502** to construct an actual thermal dose distribution **600** comparing the actual composite thermal dose to the predicted composite thermal dose as illustrated in FIG. **8**. In particular, thermal dose distribution **600** illustrates a comparison of the actual versus predicted thermal dose for each or some of the sonications. As can be seen, overlapping areas **610** and non-ablated areas **612** will result in over- or under-dosing as the treatment plan is implemented and thermal doses are applied to different treatment sites **614** within target mass **104**.

In one implementation, the images provided by feedback imager **502** and the updated thermal dose distributions **600** represent three-dimensional data. Planner **108** uses thermal dose distribution **600** to automatically adjust the treatment plan, in real-time, after each sonication or uses the thermal dose distribution **600** in some of the points to adjust for the neighboring points. Planner **108** can adjust the treatment plan by adding treatment sites, removing treatment sites, or continuing to the next treatment site. Additionally, the thermal dose properties of some or all remaining treatment sites may automatically be adjusted by planner **108** based on real-time feedback from feedback imager **502**.

As mentioned, planner **108** reformulates the treatment plan automatically after each thermal dose or after some of the sonication points, thus ensuring that target mass **104** is completely ablated in an efficient and effective manner. In addition, the feedback provided by online feedback imager **502** might be used to manually adjust the treatment plan or to

override the changes made by planner 108. It should be noted that in one example embodiment, imager 114 also functions as feedback imager 502.

A preferred method of controlling thermal dosing in a thermal treatment system (e.g., systems 100 and 500) is illustrated in FIG. 9. Initially, a user selects an appropriate clinical application protocol in step 702. For example, a user may use an interface such as UI 110 to select the clinical application protocol. In one embodiment, selecting the clinical application protocol controls a set of default thermal dose prediction parameters. After the clinical application is selected, relevant magnetic resonant images of a target mass are retrieved in step 704. For example, the images may be retrieved by a means such as imager 114. In step 706, the images are used to define a target region such as a treatment slice as discussed previously. In one embodiment, defining the target region involves manually or automatically tracing the target mass onto the images retrieved in step 704. In one implementation, the target mass is traced in three dimensions onto three-dimensional images for three-dimensional treatment planning. In another embodiment that uses ultrasound, the operator is allowed to account for obstacles such as bones, gas, or other sensitive tissue and plan accordingly to ensure that the ultrasound beam will not pass through these obstacles. Based on this planning, a patient may be repositioned or the transducer may be repositioned and/or tilted in order to avoid the obstacles.

In step 708, the user may enter additional thermal dose prediction properties or modify any default thermal dose prediction properties already selected. For example, these additional properties may be entered via UI 110. Then in step 710, a treatment plan is automatically constructed based on the properties obtained in the previous steps. The purpose of the treatment plan is to ensure a proper composite thermal dose sufficient to ablate the target mass by applying a series of thermal doses to a series of treatment sites, automatically accounting for variations in the focal spot sizes and in the thermal dose actually delivered to the treatment site.

The treatment plan may, for example, be automatically constructed by a planning means such as planner 108. In one embodiment, automatically constructing the treatment plan includes constructing an expected thermal dose distribution showing the predicted thermal dose at each treatment site. This thermal dose distribution may represent a three-dimensional distribution, and, in such an implementation, constructing the treatment plan may follow the steps illustrated in FIG. 5, and described above. In another embodiment, constructing the treatment plan further comprises calculating limits for the ultrasonic energy associated with each sonication so as to prevent evaporation.

In step 712, the treatment plan is edited by manual input. For example, UI 110 may be used to edit the treatment plan. In one embodiment, editing the plan may include adding treatment sites, deleting treatment sites, changing the location of some or all of the treatment sites, changing other thermal dose properties for some or all treatment sites, or reconstructing the entire plan. As illustrated by step 720, if the plan is edited, then the process reverts to step 708 and continues from there. Once the plan is set, then verification step 714 is performed. Verification is required to ensure that treatment system 100 is properly registered with regard to the position of

the focal spot relative to patient 116 and target mass 104. In one embodiment, verification comprises performing a low energy thermal dose at a predefined spot within said target mass in order to verify proper registration. In a following step, the verification could be repeated at full energy level to calibrate the dosing parameters. As illustrated by step 722, re-verification may be required depending on the result of step 714. In this case, the process reverts back to step 714 and verification is performed again. On the other hand, mechanical properties, such as position, relating to transducer 102 may need to be changed (step 720) and, therefore, the process reverts to step 708.

Once the verification is complete, the treatment plan is implemented in step 716. In one embodiment, this step comprises capturing temperature sensitive image sequences of the target mass as each step of the plan is being implemented. These images will illustrate the actual thermal dose distribution resulting from each successive thermal dose. An online feedback imager 502 may, for example, provide the temperature sensitive images that are used to construct the actual thermal dose distribution. In step 718, the actual thermal dose distribution is compared with the predicted thermal dose distribution in order to determine how closely the actual treatments are tracking the treatment plan. Then in step 724, it is determined if the treatment can proceed to the next step (repeat step 716), or if changes must be made to the treatment plan. (step 720). The changes may be accomplished manually or automatically and may comprise adding treatment sites, deleting treatment sites, repeating treatment sites, or modifying specific thermal dose properties for some or all of the treatment sites.

There are several methods that are used to change or update the treatment plan. For example, at the end of each thermal dose, there may be regions within the target layer that are not covered by accumulated dose contours. These untreated areas are separated into individual regions. Each of these regions is then sent through the process, beginning with step 708, resulting in an updated treatment plan constructed to treat the remaining regions. The process will repeat until there are no more untreated regions.

In order to accomplish the tracking of untreated regions, each treatment region may be maintained as a two-dimensional linked list of pixel ranges sorted by (y) and then (x) coordinates as illustrated in FIGS. 10A-C. As can be seen in FIG. 10A, the treatment region is a continuous region 802 represented by the lighter pixels. The pixel distribution is then represented by the data structure 804. This type of representation is called "run-length encoding." The data structure 804 contains linked lists 806 by row indicating the pixels that contain treatment region 802. Thus, for row 1, list element 806a indicates that the pixel range from 1 to 2 contains a portion of treatment region 802, 806b indicates that the pixel range from 7 to 7 contains a portion of treatment region 802, and 806c indicates that the pixel range from 11 to 11 contains a portion of treatment region 802. It can also be seen that rows 0 and 5 do not contain any portion of treatment region 802; therefore, these rows in data structure 804 do not contain any pixel ranges.

Once a thermal dose is applied, the area of the target mass that is destroyed (the treated region) is represented in the same fashion as the untreated region shown in FIG. 10A. The treated region (FIG. 10B) is subtracted from the untreated region in order to define the remaining untreated region within treatment region 802. These areas can then be sent back to the planning stage (step 720) and the treatment plan can be updated.

13

Subtraction of the run-lengths representing untreated region and the treated-region follows the following rules:

For run-length segments [a,b] and [c,d],

$[a,b] - [c,d] =$	$c > b$ or $d < a$	$[a,b]$	(1)
	$c \leq a$ and $d \geq b$	0	(2)
	$c > a$ and $d \geq b$	$[a, c - 1]$	(3)
	$c \leq a$ and $d < b$	$[d + 1, b]$	(4)
	$c > a$ and $d < b$	$[a, c - 1]$ and $[d + 1, b]$	(5)

The subtraction, therefore, of two regions involves traversing the lines in each region and subtracting a line in the first data structure from its corresponding line in the second data structure.

The above rules will be further explained by means of an example in which region **808** illustrated in FIG. 10B, and represented by data structure **810**, will be subtracted from region **802** in FIG. 10A. Application of rules (1)-(5), for the given regions, results in the following:

(Step 1) Top Row:

$[1,2] - [1,2] = 0$	Rule (2)
$[7,7] - [1,2] = [7,7], [7,7] - [6,11] = 0$	Rules (1), (2)
$[11,11] - [1,2] = [11,11], [11,11] - [6,11] = 0$	Rules (1), (2)

(Step 2) Second Row:

$[1,3] - [2,3] = [1,1], [1,1] - [7,7] = [1,1], [1,1] - [11,11] = [1,1]$	Rules (3), (1), (1)
$[7,11] - [2,3] = [7,11], [7,11] - [7,7] = [7,11], [7,11] - [11,11] = [7,10]$	Rules (1), (1), (3)

(Step 3) Third Row:

$[1,11] - [1,11] = 0$	Rule (2)
-----------------------	----------

(Step 4) Lower Row:

$[1,10] - [4,9] = [1,3]$ and $[10,10]$	Rule (5)
--	----------

In performing the subtraction, each segment **806d** and **806e** in row 1 of data structure **810** is subtracted from each segment **806a**, **806b**, and **806c** in row 1 of data structure **804**. Thus, as can be seen for the top row under Step 1 above, segment **806d** is subtracted from segment **806a** using Rule (2). The application of Rule (2) results in a run-length segment that contains no pixels, i.e., a range of 0. Intuitively, it can be seen that segment **806d** is the same as segment **806a** and that subtraction of the two should result in 0, as it does under Rule (2). Next, segment **806d** is subtracted from segment **806b**, using Rule (1). Intuitively, because the pixel range described by segment **806d** does not overlap the range described by segment **806b**, subtracting **806d** from **806b** should have no effect. Indeed, application of rule (1) has no effect on segment **806b**.

Now, however, segment **806e** must be subtracted from segment **806b**. As can be seen, segment **806e** overlaps segment **806b** entirely. Therefore, application of rule (2), which is the appropriate rule for these two segments, results in 0. In other words, if region **802** is a target mass, and region **808** defines an expected thermal dose, then the dose represented by segment **806e** will completely ablate the portion of the target mass represented by segment **806b**. The same result occurs for the subtraction of segments **806e** and **806d** from segment **806c**. The subtraction then continues for each row as illustrated by steps 2, 3, and 4 above. The resulting region is illustrated in FIG. 10C, which actually consists of four separate regions **812**, **814**, **816**, and **818**. These regions **812**, **814**, **816**, and **818** are represented by data structure **820** and asso-

14

ciated linked lists of run-length segments **806**. It is these untreated regions **812**, **814**, **816**, and **818** that will require the treatment plan to be updated by returning to the planning stage in step **720**.

An alternative method for updating the treatment plan involves eliminating steps **710** and **712** in FIG. 9. Instead, the system accepts a target mass **914** to be treated and follows the steps illustrated in FIG. 11. First, in step **902**, it is determined if there is an untreated region **914**. If there is, then treatment site **916** is selected in step **904**, and thermal dose properties are estimated so as to deliver the appropriate thermal dose the treatment site **916**. Then, in step **906**, the thermal dose is applied to treatment site **916** resulting in a treated region **918**. In step **908**, the size of treated region is calculated and stored as a linked-list so that in step **910** the treated region can be subtracted from the untreated region **920** in order to determine the remaining untreated region. The process then reverts to step **902**, and a new treatment site is selected. Once the entire target mass **914** is treated, there will not be any untreated regions and the process will exit.

Referring back to FIG. 9, after the treatment is complete, it is determined in step **726** whether to restart a treatment or to exit. Additionally, if there is insufficient information or a fatal error occurs in any of steps **704-716**, the process will automatically go to step **726**, where it can be decided to proceed with a new treatment plan or to exit altogether.

Thus, on a most detailed and narrow aspect, the invention provides methods for constructing a three-dimensional thermal dose treatment plan, including:

- (a) receiving diagnostic images;
- (b) defining a target region;
- (c) defining a treatment line that cuts through the target mass perpendicular to the surface of the heat applying element and extending from the nearest point within the target mass to the farthest;
- (d) determining the maximal power required for a large and small focal spots at the furthest point;
- (e) determining if the corresponding maximal temperatures for the large and small focal spots exceed the allowed limits;
- (f) scaling the maximal power down until the maximal temperature is within the allowable limits, thus providing a scaled maximal power;
- (g) using the scaled maximal power from the previous step to find maximal powers for any intermediate focal spot sizes;
- (h) using the scaled maximal power to find the maximal powers for each focal spot size at the nearest point within the target mass along the treatment line;
- (i) assuming the thermal dose is focused at a first point between the nearest point and farthest point, but preferably close to the nearest point;
- (j) using the scaled maximal power to find maximal temperatures and a lesion size, corresponding to an appropriate focal spot size and required maximal power at the first point;
- (k) determining if the maximal temperature at the first point is greater than the allowable limits;
- (l) scaling down the maximal power at the first point so that the maximal temperature will not exceed the allowed limits;
- (m) finding the corresponding lesion size at the first point;
- (n) placing a first treatment slice using an overlap criterion with respect to a boundary defined by the nearest point in the target mass;
- (o) placing an auxiliary treatment slice on top of the first treatment using an inter-layer overlap criterion; and

15

(p) replacing the nearest point with the first point and returning to step (h) to repeat the process until the target region is covered from the nearest point to the farthest point along the treatment line.

Although many aspects and features of the present invention have been described and illustrated in the above description and drawings of the preferred embodiments, it is understood that numerous changes and modifications can be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the invention concepts disclosed herein.

The invention, therefore, is not to be restricted, except by the following claims and their equivalents.

What is claimed:

1. A thermal treatment system, comprising:
a heat applying element for [generating a] *delivering* thermal [dose] *doses* used to ablate a target mass in a patient;
a controller for controlling thermal dose properties of the heat applying element;
an imager for providing preliminary images of the target mass; *and*
a planner for automatically constructing a treatment plan, *the treatment plan* comprising a series of treatment sites that are each represented by a set of thermal dose properties;
wherein the planner automatically constructs the treatment plan based on input information including one or more of:
a volume of the target mass,
a distance from a skin surface of the patient to the target mass,
a set of default thermal dose prediction properties,
a set of user specified thermal dose prediction properties,
physical properties of the heat applying elements, and
images provided by the imager.
2. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the thermal dose properties translate, at least in part, to electrical and mechanical properties of the heat applying element.
3. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the default thermal dose prediction properties are based on a type of clinical application and include at least one of:
thermal dose threshold,
thermal dose prediction algorithm,
maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose,
thermal dose duration for each treatment site,
cooling time between thermal doses, and
electrical properties for the heat applying element.
4. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the user specified thermal [close] *dose* prediction properties include at least one of:
overrides for any default thermal dose prediction properties,
treatment site grid density, and
thermal dose prediction properties not specified as default thermal dose prediction properties *selected* from the group [comprised] *consisting* of thermal dose threshold, thermal dose prediction algorithm, maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose, thermal dose duration for each treatment site cooling time between thermal doses, and electrical properties for the heat applying element.
5. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the treatment plan ensures that the entire target mass is covered by a series of thermal doses so as to obtain a composite thermal dose sufficient to ablate the entire target mass.
6. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the thermal dose properties are automatically optimized using physiological properties as the optimization criterion.

16

7. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the planner limits the thermal dose at each treatment site in order to prevent carbonization or evaporation.

8. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the planner constructs a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan.

9. The treatment system of claim 1, further comprising a User Interface (UI) for entering user specified thermal dose prediction properties and for editing the treatment plan once the treatment plan is constructed.

10. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the treatment plan is constructed in three dimensions.

11. The treatment system of claim 1, further comprising a feedback imager for providing thermal images illustrating [the] *an* actual thermal dose distribution resulting *from a respective thermal dose delivery* at each treatment site.

12. The treatment system of claim 11, wherein the imager acts as the feedback imager.

13. The treatment system of claim 1, wherein the heat applying element applies one of the following:

- ultrasound energy,
- laser light energy,
- RF energy,
- microwave energy, and
- electrical energy.

14. A focused ultrasound system, comprising:

a transducer for [generating] *delivering successive delivered thermal doses of* ultrasound energy [that results in thermal doses to ablate] *for ablating* a target mass in a patient;

a controller for controlling thermal dose properties of the transducer;

an imager for providing preliminary images of the target mass, and for providing thermal images illustrating an actual thermal dose distribution in the patient *resulting from a delivered thermal dose of ultrasound energy to the target mass*; and

a planner *configured* for automatically constructing a treatment plan using the preliminary images, the treatment plan comprising a series of *predicted thermal doses to* treatment sites [represented by a set of thermal dose properties used by a controller to control the transducer] *of the target mass*;

wherein the planner further constructs a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan;

wherein after a thermal dose is delivered to each treatment site in the treatment plan, the actual thermal dose distribution is compared to the predicted thermal dose distribution to determine remaining untreated locations within the target mass.

15. The focused ultrasound system of claim 14, wherein [after a thermal dose is delivered to a treatment site in the treatment plan,] *the comparison of* the actual thermal dose distribution [is compared] to the predicted thermal dose distribution *is used* to determine changes to the [dosing parameters in neighboring sonication sites] *treatment plan for treating the remaining untreated locations*.

16. The focused ultrasound system of claim 14, wherein the planner automatically evaluates the treatment plan based on the remaining untreated locations and [updates], *in order to ensure complete ablation of the target mass, either changes the treatment plan [to ensure complete ablation of the target mass is achieved]* by one or more of adding treatment sites, removing treatment sites, modifying existing treatment sites, or [leaving] *leaves* the treatment plan unchanged, *based on*

the comparison of the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution.

17. The focused ultrasound system of claim 14, wherein a user can manually adjust the treatment plan based on the remaining untreated locations.

18. The focused ultrasound system of claim 14, wherein the preliminary images and the thermal images represent three-dimensional data.

19. The focused ultrasound system of claim 14, wherein the predicted thermal dose distribution and actual thermal dose distribution represent three-dimensional data.

20. The focused ultrasonic system of claim 14, wherein the imager further provides outlines of [sensitive] regions within the patient [where ultrasonic waves are not allowed to pass] that are sensitive to ultrasound.

21. The focused ultrasonic system of claim 20, wherein the [processor] planner uses the outlines in constructing the treatment plan so as to avoid exposing the sensitive regions to ultrasound.

22. The focused ultrasound system of claim [20] 21, wherein the sensitive regions comprise bones, gas, and other sensitive tissues.

23. *The focused ultrasound system of claim 14, wherein based on the comparison of the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution for a given treatment site, the planner adjusts the treatment plan to include delivery of an additional thermal dose to the same or substantially the same treatment site.*

24. *A thermal treatment system, comprising:*

a heat applying element for delivering thermal doses to ablate a target mass in a patient;

a controller for controlling thermal dose properties of the heat applying element;

an imager for providing preliminary images of the target mass; and

a planner for automatically constructing a treatment plan, the treatment plan comprising a series of treatment sites that are each represented by a set of thermal dose properties,

wherein the planner automatically constructs the treatment plan based on input information including thermal dose prediction properties comprising at least one of a set of default thermal dose prediction properties, or a set of user specified thermal dose prediction properties.

25. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the thermal dose properties translate, at least in part, to electrical and mechanical properties of the heat applying element.*

26. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the default thermal dose prediction properties are based on a type of clinical application and include at least one of:*

thermal dose threshold,

thermal dose prediction algorithm,

maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose,

thermal dose duration for each treatment site,

cooling time between thermal doses, and

electrical properties for the heat applying element.

27. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the user specified thermal dose prediction properties include at least one of:*

overrides for any default thermal dose prediction properties,

treatment site grid density, and

thermal dose prediction properties not specified as default thermal dose prediction properties and selected from the group consisting of thermal dose threshold, thermal dose prediction algorithm, maximum allowed energy for each thermal dose, thermal dose duration for each treat-

ment site cooling time between thermal doses, and electrical properties for the heat applying element.

28. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the treatment plan ensures that the entire target mass is covered by a series of thermal doses so as to obtain a composite thermal dose sufficient to ablate the entire target mass.*

29. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the thermal dose properties are automatically optimized using physiological properties as the optimization criterion.*

30. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the planner limits the thermal dose at each treatment site in order to prevent carbonization or evaporation.*

31. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the planner constructs a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan.*

32. *The treatment system of claim 24, further comprising a user interface for entering user specified thermal dose prediction properties and for editing the treatment plan once the treatment plan is constructed.*

33. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the treatment plan is constructed in three dimensions.*

34. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the imager provides thermal images illustrating an actual thermal dose distribution resulting from a respective thermal dose delivery at each treatment site.*

35. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the heat applying element applies one of the following:*

ultrasound energy,

laser light energy,

RF energy,

microwave energy, and

electrical energy.

36. *The treatment system of claim 24, wherein the input information further includes a volume of the target tissue mass.*

37. *A method of treating a target tissue mass in a patient using a focused ultrasound system, the system comprising a transducer for delivering thermal doses of ultrasound energy to the target tissue mass, an imager for providing images of the target mass, a controller for controlling the transducer, and a planner for constructing a treatment plan, the method comprising*

obtaining preliminary images of the target tissue mass; and

based on the preliminary images, constructing a treatment plan for ablating the target mass, the treatment plan comprising a series of treatment sites in the target mass that are to each receive a thermal dose of ultrasound energy from the transducer, each treatment site represented by a set of thermal dose properties to be used by the controller to control the delivery of ultrasound energy by the transducer.

38. *The method of claim 37, further comprising constructing a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan.*

39. *The method of claim 37, further comprising delivering thermal doses of ultrasound energy to one or more of the treatment sites.*

40. *The method of claim 37, wherein the imager provides thermal images illustrating an actual thermal dose distribution in the target tissue mass resulting from a thermal dose of ultrasound energy to a treatment site, the method further comprising*

constructing a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan,

delivering thermal doses of ultrasound energy to the treatment sites according to the treatment plan, and after a thermal dose is delivered to a treatment site in the treatment plan, comparing the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution for the treatment site.

41. The method of claim 40, further comprising, based on the comparison of the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution, selectively changing the treatment plan by one or more of adding treatment sites, removing treatment sites, and modifying treatment sites, to ensure complete ablation of the target mass.

42. The method of claim 40, further comprising, based on the comparison of the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution, delivering an additional thermal dose of ultrasound energy to the same or substantially the same treatment site.

43. The method of claim 37, further comprising manually adjusting the treatment plan.

44. The method of claim 37, further comprising: identifying in images used to construct the treatment plan outlines of regions within the patient that are sensitive to the application of ultrasound, and constructing the treatment plan so as to avoid exposure of the sensitive regions to ultrasound energy delivered by the transducer.

45. The method of claim 37, wherein the thermal dose properties translate, at least in part, to electrical and mechanical properties of the transducer.

46. The method of claim 37, wherein the treatment plan ensures that the entire target mass is covered by a series of thermal doses of ultrasound energy so as to obtain a composite thermal dose sufficient to ablate the entire target mass.

47. The method of claim 37, further comprising optimizing the thermal dose properties based on physiological properties as optimization criterion.

48. A method for treating a target tissue mass in a patient using a thermal energy treatment system, the system comprising a heat applying element for delivering thermal doses used to ablate the target mass, a controller for controlling thermal dose properties of the heat applying element, an imager for providing images of the target mass, and a planner for constructing a treatment plan, the method comprising:

receiving input information including preliminary images of the target mass; and

based on the input information, automatically constructing a treatment plan for ablating the target mass, the treatment plan comprising a series of treatment sites in the target mass that are to each receive a thermal dose from the heat applying element, each treatment site represented by a set of thermal dose properties to be used by the controller to control the delivery of the thermal doses.

49. The method of claim 48, further comprising automatically constructing a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan.

50. The method of claim 48, further comprising delivering thermal doses from the heat applying element to one or more of the treatment sites.

51. The method of claim 48, wherein the imager provides thermal images illustrating an actual thermal dose distribution in the target tissue mass resulting from a thermal dose delivered to a treatment site, the method further comprising constructing a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of each treatment site in the treatment plan,

delivering thermal doses to the treatment sites according to the treatment plan, and after a thermal dose is delivered to a treatment site in the treatment plan, comparing the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution for the treatment site.

52. The method of claim 51, further comprising, based on the comparison of the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution, changing the treatment plan by one or more of adding treatment sites, removing treatment sites, and modifying treatment sites, to ensure complete ablation of the target mass.

53. The method of claim 51, further comprising, based on the comparison of the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution, leaving the treatment plan unchanged in order to ensure complete ablation of the target mass.

54. The method of claim 51, further comprising, based on the comparison of the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution, delivering an additional thermal dose to the same or substantially the same treatment site.

55. The method of claim 48, further comprising manually adjusting the treatment plan.

56. The method of claim 48, wherein the thermal dose properties translate, at least in part, to electrical and mechanical properties of the heat applying element.

57. The method of claim 48, wherein the treatment plan ensures that the entire target mass is covered by a series of thermal doses so as to obtain a composite thermal dose sufficient to ablate the entire target mass.

58. The method of claim 48, further comprising optimizing the thermal dose properties based on physiological properties as optimization criterion.

59. The method of claim 48, wherein the input information further includes one or more of the group consisting of:

a volume of the target mass,
default thermal dose prediction properties, and
user specified thermal dose prediction properties.

60. The method of claim 53, wherein the heat applying element applies one of the following:

ultrasound energy,
laser light energy,
RF energy,
microwave energy, and
electrical energy.

61. A method of treating a target tissue mass in a patient using a focused ultrasound system, the system comprising a transducer for delivering thermal doses of ultrasound energy to the target tissue mass, an imager for providing images of the target mass, a controller for controlling the transducer, and a planner for constructing a treatment plan, the method comprising

obtaining preliminary images of the target tissue mass;
based on the preliminary images, automatically constructing, with the planner, a treatment plan for ablating the target mass, the treatment plan comprising a series of treatment sites in the target mass that are to each receive a thermal dose of ultrasound energy from the transducer, each treatment site represented by a set of thermal dose properties to be used by the controller to control the delivery of ultrasound energy by the transducer;

constructing a predicted thermal dose distribution illustrating the predicted thermal dose contours of the treatment sites in the treatment plan; and
delivering thermal doses of ultrasound energy to the treatment sites according to the treatment plan,

21

wherein the imager provides thermal images illustrating an actual thermal dose distribution in the target tissue mass resulting from a thermal dose delivered to a treatment site, and

wherein after a thermal dose is delivered to each treatment site, the actual thermal dose distribution is compared to the predicted thermal dose distribution to determine remaining untreated locations within the target mass.

62. The method of claim 61, wherein the comparison of the actual thermal dose distribution to the predicted thermal dose distribution is used to determine changes to the treatment plan for treating the remaining untreated locations.

63. The method of claim 61, wherein the planner automatically evaluates the treatment plan based on the remaining untreated locations and, in order to ensure complete ablation of the target mass, either changes the treatment plan by one or more of adding treatment sites, removing treatment sites, and modifying existing treatment sites, or leaves the treatment plan unchanged.

22

64. The method of claim 61, further comprising manually adjusting the treatment plan based on the remaining untreated locations.

65. The method of claim 61, wherein the preliminary images and the thermal images represent three-dimensional data.

66. The method of claim 61, wherein the predicted thermal dose distribution and actual thermal dose distribution represent three-dimensional data.

67. The method of claim 61, wherein the imager further provides outlines of regions within the patient that are sensitive to ultrasound, and wherein the planner uses the outlines in constructing the treatment plan so as to avoid exposing the sensitive regions to ultrasound.

* * * * *