



US00RE43328E

(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
Foley et al.

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE43,328 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** **Apr. 24, 2012**

(54) **IMAGE GUIDED AWL/TAP/SCREWDRIVER**
(75) Inventors: **Kevin T. Foley**, Memphis, TN (US);
Anthony J. Melkent, Lafayette, CO
(US); **Catalina J. Carroll**, Memphis, TN
(US)
(73) Assignee: **Medtronic Navigation, Inc**, Louisville,
CO (US)

3,061,936 A 11/1962 Dobbeleer
3,073,310 A 1/1963 Mocarski
3,109,588 A 11/1963 Polhemus et al.
3,294,083 A 12/1966 Alderson
3,367,326 A 2/1968 Frazier
3,439,256 A 4/1969 Kähne et al.
3,577,160 A 5/1971 White
3,614,950 A 10/1971 Rabey
3,644,825 A 2/1972 Davis, Jr. et al.

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **10/062,265**
(22) Filed: **Jan. 31, 2002**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 964149 3/1975
(Continued)

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:
(64) Patent No.: **6,021,343**
Issued: **Feb. 1, 2000**
Appl. No.: **08/971,126**
Filed: **Nov. 20, 1997**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Barrick, "Distal Locking Screw Insertion Using a Cannulated Drill Bit: Technical Note," Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, vol. 7, No. 3, 1993, pp. 248-251.

(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/05 (2006.01)
(52) **U.S. Cl.** **600/429; 600/417; 600/407; 600/473;**
600/476; 606/130; 606/79; 606/80; 606/96;
606/60; 606/61; 606/62; 606/104
(58) **Field of Classification Search** **600/429,**
600/417, 407, 473, 476; 606/130, 79, 80,
606/96, 60-62, 104
See application file for complete search history.

Primary Examiner — Tse Chen
Assistant Examiner — Mark Remaly
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Harness, Dickey


(57) **ABSTRACT**

A trackable medical instrument for use in a computer assisted image guided medical and surgical navigation systems that generate images during medical and surgical procedures, includes a guide member having an emitter array for being tracked by the system and a drive shaft contained within the guide member having a proximal and a distal end, the drive shaft being rotatable within the guide member while being fixable axially inside the guide member, the proximal end of the drive shaft having a first connector for interchangeably receiving at least one drive source, and the distal end having a second connector for interchangeably receiving at least one instrument tip.

(56) **References Cited**
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,576,781 A 3/1926 Phillips
1,735,726 A 11/1929 Bornhardt
2,407,845 A 9/1946 Nemeyer
2,650,588 A 9/1953 Drew
2,697,433 A 12/1954 Sehnder
3,016,899 A 1/1962 Stenvall
3,017,887 A 1/1962 Heyer

88 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets



US RE43,328 E

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
3,674,014	A	7/1972	Tillander	4,737,921	A	4/1988	Goldwasser et al.
3,702,935	A	11/1972	Carey et al.	4,742,356	A	5/1988	Kuipers
3,704,707	A	12/1972	Halloran	4,742,815	A	5/1988	Ninan et al.
3,821,469	A	6/1974	Whetstone et al.	4,743,770	A	5/1988	Lee
3,868,565	A	2/1975	Kuipers	4,743,771	A	5/1988	Sacks et al.
3,941,127	A	3/1976	Froning	4,745,290	A	5/1988	Frankel et al.
3,963,028	A	6/1976	Cooley et al.	4,750,487	A	6/1988	Zanetti
3,983,474	A	9/1976	Kuipers	4,753,528	A	6/1988	Hines et al.
4,017,858	A	4/1977	Kuipers	4,761,072	A	8/1988	Pryor
4,037,592	A	7/1977	Kronner	4,764,016	A	8/1988	Johansson
4,052,620	A	10/1977	Brunnett	4,771,787	A	9/1988	Wurster et al.
4,054,881	A	10/1977	Raab	4,779,212	A	10/1988	Levy
4,117,337	A	9/1978	Staats	4,782,239	A	11/1988	Hirose et al.
4,173,228	A	11/1979	Van Steenwyk et al.	4,788,481	A	11/1988	Niwa
4,182,312	A	1/1980	Mushabac	4,791,934	A	12/1988	Brunnett
4,202,349	A	5/1980	Jones	4,793,355	A	12/1988	Crum et al.
4,228,799	A	10/1980	Anichkov et al.	4,794,262	A	12/1988	Sato et al.
4,256,112	A	3/1981	Kopf et al.	4,797,907	A	1/1989	Anderton
4,262,306	A	4/1981	Renner	4,803,976	A	2/1989	Frigg et al.
4,287,809	A	9/1981	Egli et al.	4,804,261	A	2/1989	Kirschen
4,298,874	A	11/1981	Kuipers	4,805,615	A	2/1989	Carol
4,314,251	A	2/1982	Raab	4,809,694	A	3/1989	Ferrara
4,317,078	A	2/1982	Weed et al.	4,821,200	A	4/1989	Öberg
4,319,136	A	3/1982	Jinkins	4,821,206	A	4/1989	Arora
4,328,548	A	5/1982	Crow et al.	4,821,731	A	4/1989	Martinelli et al.
4,328,813	A	5/1982	Ray	4,822,163	A	4/1989	Schmidt
4,339,953	A	7/1982	Iwasaki	4,825,091	A	4/1989	Breyer et al.
4,341,220	A	7/1982	Perry	4,829,373	A	5/1989	Leberl et al.
4,346,384	A	8/1982	Raab	4,836,778	A	6/1989	Baumrind et al.
4,358,856	A	11/1982	Stivender et al.	4,838,265	A	6/1989	Cosman et al.
4,368,536	A	1/1983	Pfeiler	4,841,967	A	6/1989	Chang et al.
4,396,885	A	8/1983	Constant	4,845,771	A	7/1989	Wislocki et al.
4,396,945	A	8/1983	DiMatteo et al.	4,849,692	A	7/1989	Blood
4,403,321	A	9/1983	DiMarco	4,860,331	A	8/1989	Williams et al.
4,418,422	A	11/1983	Richter et al.	4,862,893	A	9/1989	Martinelli
4,419,012	A	12/1983	Stephenson et al.	4,869,247	A	9/1989	Howard, III et al.
4,422,041	A	12/1983	Lienau	4,875,165	A	10/1989	Fencil et al.
4,431,005	A	2/1984	McCormick	4,875,478	A	10/1989	Chen
4,485,815	A	12/1984	Amplatz	4,875,566	A	12/1989	Mountz et al.
4,506,676	A	3/1985	Duska	4,889,526	A	12/1989	Rauscher et al.
4,543,959	A	10/1985	Sepponen	4,896,673	A	1/1990	Rose et al.
4,548,208	A	10/1985	Niemi	4,905,698	A	3/1990	Strohl, Jr. et al.
4,571,834	A	2/1986	Fraser et al.	4,923,459	A	5/1990	Nambu
4,572,198	A	2/1986	Codrington	4,931,056	A	6/1990	Ghajar et al.
4,583,538	A	4/1986	Onik et al.	4,945,305	A	7/1990	Blood
4,584,577	A	4/1986	Temple	4,945,914	A	8/1990	Allen
4,608,977	A	9/1986	Brown	4,951,653	A	8/1990	Fry et al.
4,613,866	A	9/1986	Blood	4,955,891	A	9/1990	Carol
4,617,925	A	10/1986	Laitinen	4,961,422	A	10/1990	Marchosky et al.
4,618,978	A	10/1986	Cosman	4,977,655	A	12/1990	Martinelli
4,621,628	A	11/1986	Bludermann	4,989,608	A	2/1991	Ratner
4,625,718	A	12/1986	Olerud et al.	4,991,579	A	2/1991	Allen
4,638,798	A	1/1987	Shelden et al.	5,002,058	A	3/1991	Martinelli
4,642,786	A	2/1987	Hansen	5,005,592	A	4/1991	Cartmell
4,645,343	A	2/1987	Stockdale et al.	5,013,317	A	5/1991	Cole et al.
4,649,504	A	3/1987	Krouglicof et al.	5,016,639	A	5/1991	Allen
4,651,732	A	3/1987	Frederick	5,017,139	A	5/1991	Mushabac
4,653,509	A	3/1987	Oloff et al.	5,027,818	A	7/1991	Bova et al.
4,659,971	A	4/1987	Suzuki et al.	5,030,196	A	7/1991	Inoue
4,660,970	A	4/1987	Ferrano	5,030,222	A	7/1991	Calandrucchio et al.
4,672,306	A	6/1987	Thong	5,031,203	A	7/1991	Trecha
4,673,352	A	6/1987	Hansen	5,042,486	A	8/1991	Pfeiler et al.
4,688,037	A	8/1987	Krieg	5,047,036	A	9/1991	Koutrouvelis
4,701,049	A	10/1987	Beckmann et al.	5,050,608	A	9/1991	Watanabe et al.
4,705,395	A	11/1987	Hageniers	5,054,492	A	10/1991	Scribner et al.
4,705,401	A	11/1987	Addleman et al.	5,057,095	A	10/1991	Fabian
4,706,665	A	11/1987	Gouda	5,059,789	A	10/1991	Salcudean
4,709,156	A	11/1987	Murphy et al.	5,078,140	A	1/1992	Kwoh
4,710,708	A	12/1987	Rorden et al.	5,079,699	A	1/1992	Tuy et al.
4,719,419	A	1/1988	Dawley	5,086,401	A	2/1992	Glassman et al.
4,722,056	A	1/1988	Roberts et al.	5,094,241	A	3/1992	Allen
4,722,336	A	2/1988	Kim et al.	5,097,839	A	3/1992	Allen
4,723,544	A	2/1988	Moore et al.	5,098,426	A	3/1992	Sklar et al.
4,727,565	A	2/1988	Ericson	5,099,845	A	3/1992	Besz et al.
RE32,619	E	3/1988	Damadian	5,099,846	A	3/1992	Hardy
4,733,969	A	3/1988	Case et al.	5,105,829	A	4/1992	Fabian et al.
4,737,032	A	4/1988	Addleman et al.	5,107,839	A	4/1992	Houdek et al.
4,737,794	A	4/1988	Jones	5,107,843	A	4/1992	Aarnio et al.
				5,107,862	A	4/1992	Fabian et al.

US RE43,328 E

Page 3

5,109,194 A	4/1992	Cantaloube	5,383,454 A	1/1995	Buchholz
5,119,817 A	6/1992	Allen	5,385,146 A	1/1995	Goldreyer
5,142,930 A	9/1992	Allen et al.	5,385,148 A	1/1995	Lesh et al.
5,143,076 A	9/1992	Hardy et al.	5,386,828 A	2/1995	Owens et al.
5,152,288 A	10/1992	Hoening et al.	5,389,101 A	2/1995	Heilbrun et al.
5,160,337 A	11/1992	Cosman	5,391,199 A	2/1995	Ben-Haim
5,161,536 A	11/1992	Vikomerson et al.	5,394,457 A	2/1995	Leibinger et al.
5,178,164 A	1/1993	Allen	5,394,875 A	3/1995	Lewis et al.
5,178,621 A	1/1993	Cook et al.	5,397,329 A	3/1995	Allen
5,186,174 A	2/1993	Schlondorff et al.	5,398,684 A	3/1995	Hardy
5,187,475 A	2/1993	Wagener et al.	5,399,146 A	3/1995	Nowacki et al.
5,188,126 A	2/1993	Fabian et al.	5,400,384 A	3/1995	Fernandes et al.
5,190,059 A	3/1993	Fabian et al.	5,402,801 A	4/1995	Taylor
5,193,106 A	3/1993	DeSena	5,408,409 A	4/1995	Glassman et al.
5,197,476 A	3/1993	Nowacki et al.	5,413,573 A	5/1995	Koivukangas
5,197,965 A	3/1993	Cherry et al.	5,417,210 A	5/1995	Funda et al.
5,198,768 A	3/1993	Keren	5,419,325 A	5/1995	Dumoulin et al.
5,198,877 A	3/1993	Schulz	5,423,334 A	6/1995	Jordan
5,207,681 A	5/1993	Ghadjar et al.	5,425,367 A	6/1995	Shapiro et al.
5,207,688 A	5/1993	Carol	5,425,382 A	6/1995	Golden et al.
5,211,164 A	5/1993	Allen	5,426,683 A	6/1995	O'Farrell, Jr. et al.
5,211,165 A	5/1993	Dumoulin et al.	5,426,687 A	6/1995	Goodall et al.
5,211,176 A	5/1993	Ishiguro et al.	5,427,097 A	6/1995	Depp
5,212,720 A	5/1993	Landi et al.	5,429,132 A	7/1995	Guy et al.
5,214,615 A	5/1993	Bauer	5,433,198 A	7/1995	Desai
5,219,351 A	6/1993	Teubner et al.	RE35,025 E	8/1995	Anderton
5,222,499 A	6/1993	Allen et al.	5,437,212 A	8/1995	Thompson
5,224,049 A	6/1993	Mushabac	5,437,277 A	8/1995	Dumoulin et al.
5,228,442 A	7/1993	Imran	5,443,066 A	8/1995	Dumoulin et al.
5,230,338 A	7/1993	Allen et al.	5,443,489 A	8/1995	Ben-Haim
5,230,623 A	7/1993	Guthrie et al.	5,444,756 A	8/1995	Pai et al.
5,233,990 A	8/1993	Barnea	5,445,144 A	8/1995	Wodicka et al.
5,237,996 A	8/1993	Waldman et al.	5,445,150 A	8/1995	Dumoulin et al.
5,249,581 A	10/1993	Horbal et al.	5,445,166 A	8/1995	Taylor
5,251,127 A	10/1993	Raab	5,446,548 A	8/1995	Gerig et al.
5,251,635 A	10/1993	Dumoulin et al.	5,447,154 A	9/1995	Cinquin et al.
5,253,647 A	10/1993	Takahashi et al.	5,448,610 A	9/1995	Yamamoto et al.
5,255,680 A	10/1993	Darrow et al.	5,453,686 A	9/1995	Anderson
5,257,636 A	11/1993	White	5,456,718 A	10/1995	Szymaitis
5,257,998 A	11/1993	Ota et al.	5,457,641 A	10/1995	Zimmer et al.
5,261,404 A	11/1993	Mick et al.	5,464,446 A	11/1995	Dreessen et al.
5,265,610 A	11/1993	Darrow et al.	5,469,847 A	11/1995	Zinreich et al.
5,265,611 A	11/1993	Hoening et al.	5,474,558 A	12/1995	Neubardt
5,269,759 A	12/1993	Hernandez et al.	5,478,341 A	12/1995	Cook et al.
5,271,400 A	12/1993	Dumoulin et al.	5,478,343 A	12/1995	Ritter
5,273,025 A	12/1993	Sakiyama et al.	5,480,422 A	1/1996	Ben-Haim
5,274,551 A	12/1993	Corby, Jr.	5,480,439 A	1/1996	Bisek et al.
5,279,309 A	1/1994	Taylor et al.	5,483,961 A	1/1996	Kelly et al.
5,285,787 A	2/1994	Machida	5,485,849 A	1/1996	Panescu et al.
5,291,199 A	3/1994	Overman et al.	5,487,391 A	1/1996	Panescu
5,291,889 A	3/1994	Kenet et al.	5,487,729 A	1/1996	Avellanet et al.
5,295,483 A	3/1994	Nowacki et al.	5,487,757 A	1/1996	Truckai et al.
5,297,549 A	3/1994	Beatty et al.	5,490,196 A	2/1996	Rudich et al.
5,299,253 A	3/1994	Wessels	5,494,034 A	2/1996	Schlondorff et al.
5,299,254 A	3/1994	Dancer et al.	5,503,416 A	4/1996	Aoki et al.
5,299,288 A	3/1994	Glassman et al.	5,513,637 A	5/1996	Twiss et al.
5,300,080 A	4/1994	Clayman et al.	5,514,146 A	5/1996	Lam et al.
5,305,091 A	4/1994	Gelbart et al.	5,515,160 A	5/1996	Schulz et al.
5,305,203 A	4/1994	Raab	5,517,990 A	5/1996	Kalfas et al.
5,306,271 A	4/1994	Zinreich et al.	5,531,227 A	7/1996	Schneider
5,307,072 A	4/1994	Jones, Jr.	5,531,520 A	7/1996	Grimson et al.
5,309,913 A	5/1994	Kormos et al.	5,542,938 A	8/1996	Avellanet et al.
5,315,630 A	5/1994	Sturm et al.	5,543,951 A	8/1996	Moehrmann
5,316,024 A	5/1994	Hirschi et al.	5,546,940 A	8/1996	Panescu et al.
5,318,025 A	6/1994	Dumoulin et al.	5,546,949 A	8/1996	Frazin et al.
5,320,111 A	6/1994	Livingston	5,546,951 A	8/1996	Ben-Haim
5,325,728 A	7/1994	Zimmerman et al.	5,551,429 A	9/1996	Fitzpatrick et al.
5,325,873 A	7/1994	Hirschi et al.	5,558,091 A	9/1996	Acker et al.
5,329,944 A	7/1994	Fabian et al.	5,564,437 A	10/1996	Bainville et al.
5,330,485 A	7/1994	Clayman et al.	5,566,681 A	10/1996	Manwaring et al.
5,333,168 A	7/1994	Fernandes et al.	5,568,384 A	10/1996	Robb et al.
5,353,795 A	10/1994	Souza et al.	5,568,809 A	10/1996	Ben-haim
5,353,800 A	10/1994	Pohndorf et al.	5,572,999 A	11/1996	Funda et al.
5,353,807 A	10/1994	DeMarco	5,573,533 A	11/1996	Strul
5,359,417 A	10/1994	Müller et al.	5,575,192 A	11/1996	Eggert
5,368,030 A	11/1994	Zinreich et al.	5,575,794 A	11/1996	Walus et al.
5,371,778 A	12/1994	Yanof et al.	5,575,798 A	11/1996	Koutrouvelis
5,375,596 A	12/1994	Twiss et al.	5,583,909 A	12/1996	Hanover
5,377,678 A	1/1995	Dumoulin et al.	5,588,430 A	12/1996	Bova et al.

US RE43,328 E

5,590,215 A	12/1996	Allen	5,800,352 A	9/1998	Ferre et al.
5,591,207 A	1/1997	Coleman	5,800,535 A	9/1998	Howard, III
5,592,939 A	1/1997	Martinelli	5,802,719 A	9/1998	O'Farrell, Jr. et al.
5,595,193 A	1/1997	Walus et al.	5,803,089 A	9/1998	Ferre et al.
5,596,228 A	1/1997	Anderton et al.	5,807,252 A	9/1998	Hassfeld et al.
5,600,330 A	2/1997	Blood	5,810,008 A	9/1998	Dekel et al.
5,603,318 A	2/1997	Heilbrun et al.	5,810,728 A	9/1998	Kuhn
5,611,025 A	3/1997	Lorensen et al.	5,810,735 A	9/1998	Halperin et al.
5,617,462 A	4/1997	Spratt	5,810,828 A	9/1998	Lightman et al.
5,617,857 A *	4/1997	Chader et al. 600/424	5,820,553 A	10/1998	Hughes
5,619,261 A	4/1997	Anderton	5,823,192 A	10/1998	Kalend et al.
5,622,169 A	4/1997	Golden et al.	5,823,958 A	10/1998	Truppe
5,622,170 A	4/1997	Schulz	5,828,725 A	10/1998	Levinson
5,627,873 A	5/1997	Hanover et al.	5,828,770 A	10/1998	Leis et al.
5,628,315 A	5/1997	Vilsmeier et al.	5,829,444 A	11/1998	Ferre et al.
5,630,431 A	5/1997	Taylor	5,831,260 A	11/1998	Hansen
5,636,644 A	6/1997	Hart et al.	5,833,608 A	11/1998	Acker
5,638,819 A	6/1997	Manwaring et al.	5,834,759 A	11/1998	Glossop
5,640,170 A	6/1997	Anderson	5,836,954 A	11/1998	Heilbrun et al.
5,642,395 A	6/1997	Anderton et al.	5,840,024 A	11/1998	Taniguchi et al.
5,643,268 A	7/1997	Vilsmeier et al.	5,840,025 A	11/1998	Ben-Haim
5,645,065 A	7/1997	Shapiro et al.	5,843,076 A	12/1998	Webster, Jr. et al.
5,645,545 A *	7/1997	Bryant 606/62	5,848,967 A	12/1998	Cosman
5,646,524 A	7/1997	Gilboa	5,851,183 A	12/1998	Bucholz
5,647,361 A	7/1997	Damadian	5,865,846 A	2/1999	Bryan et al.
5,662,111 A	9/1997	Cosman	5,868,674 A	2/1999	Glowinski et al.
5,664,001 A	9/1997	Tachibana et al.	5,868,675 A	2/1999	Henrion et al.
5,674,296 A	10/1997	Bryan et al.	5,871,445 A	2/1999	Bucholz
5,676,673 A	10/1997	Ferre et al.	5,871,455 A	2/1999	Ueno
5,681,260 A	10/1997	Ueda et al.	5,871,487 A	2/1999	Warner et al.
5,682,886 A	11/1997	Delp et al.	5,873,822 A	2/1999	Ferre et al.
5,682,890 A *	11/1997	Kormos et al. 600/417	5,882,304 A	3/1999	Ehnholm et al.
5,690,108 A	11/1997	Chakeres	5,884,410 A	3/1999	Prinz
D387,427 S	12/1997	Bucholz et al.	5,889,834 A	3/1999	Vilsmeier et al.
5,694,945 A	12/1997	Ben-Haim	5,891,034 A	4/1999	Bucholz
5,695,500 A	12/1997	Taylor et al.	5,891,157 A	4/1999	Day et al.
5,695,501 A	12/1997	Carol et al.	5,904,691 A	5/1999	Barnett et al.
5,697,377 A	12/1997	Wittkamp	5,907,395 A	5/1999	Schultz et al.
5,702,406 A	12/1997	Vilsmeier et al.	5,913,820 A	6/1999	Bladen et al.
5,711,299 A	1/1998	Manwaring et al.	5,920,395 A	7/1999	Schulz
5,713,946 A	2/1998	Ben-Haim	5,921,992 A	7/1999	Costales et al.
5,715,822 A	2/1998	Watkins	5,923,727 A	7/1999	Navab
5,715,836 A	2/1998	Kliegis et al.	5,928,248 A	7/1999	Acker
5,718,241 A	2/1998	Ben-Haim et al.	5,938,603 A	8/1999	Ponzi
5,727,552 A	3/1998	Ryan	5,938,694 A	8/1999	Jaraczewski et al.
5,727,553 A	3/1998	Saad	5,947,980 A	9/1999	Jensen et al.
5,729,129 A	3/1998	Acker	5,947,981 A	9/1999	Cosman
5,730,129 A	3/1998	Darrow et al.	5,950,629 A	9/1999	Taylor et al.
5,730,130 A	3/1998	Fitzpatrick et al.	5,951,475 A	9/1999	Guezic et al.
5,732,703 A *	3/1998	Kalfas et al. 600/407	5,951,571 A	9/1999	Audette
5,735,278 A	4/1998	Hoult et al.	5,954,647 A	9/1999	Bova et al.
5,738,096 A	4/1998	Ben-Haim	5,957,844 A	9/1999	Dekel et al.
5,740,802 A	4/1998	Nafis et al.	5,964,796 A	10/1999	Imran
5,741,214 A	4/1998	Ouchi et al.	5,967,980 A	10/1999	Ferre et al.
5,742,394 A	4/1998	Hansen	5,967,982 A	10/1999	Barnett
5,744,953 A	4/1998	Hansen	5,968,047 A	10/1999	Reed
5,748,767 A	5/1998	Raab	5,971,997 A	10/1999	Guthrie et al.
5,749,362 A	5/1998	Funda et al.	5,976,156 A	11/1999	Taylor et al.
5,749,835 A	5/1998	Glantz	5,980,535 A	11/1999	Barnett et al.
5,752,513 A	5/1998	Acker et al.	5,983,126 A	11/1999	Wittkamp
5,755,725 A	5/1998	Druais	5,987,349 A	11/1999	Schulz
RE35,816 E	6/1998	Schulz	5,987,960 A	11/1999	Messner et al.
5,758,667 A	6/1998	Slettenmark	5,999,837 A	12/1999	Messner et al.
5,762,064 A	6/1998	Polyani	5,999,840 A	12/1999	Grimson et al.
5,767,669 A	6/1998	Hansen et al.	6,001,130 A	12/1999	Bryan et al.
5,767,960 A	6/1998	Orman	6,006,126 A	12/1999	Cosman
5,769,789 A	6/1998	Wang et al.	6,006,127 A	12/1999	Van Der Brug et al.
5,769,843 A	6/1998	Abela et al.	6,013,087 A	1/2000	Adams et al.
5,769,861 A	6/1998	Vilsmeier	6,014,580 A	1/2000	Blume et al.
5,772,594 A	6/1998	Barrick	6,016,439 A	1/2000	Acker
5,775,322 A	7/1998	Silverstein et al.	6,019,725 A	2/2000	Vesely et al.
5,776,064 A	7/1998	Kalfas et al.	6,024,408 A	2/2000	Greenberg et al.
5,782,765 A	7/1998	Jonkman	6,050,724 A	4/2000	Schmitz et al.
5,787,886 A	8/1998	Kelly et al.	6,059,718 A	5/2000	Taniguchi et al.
5,792,055 A	8/1998	McKinnon	6,063,022 A	5/2000	Ben-Haim
5,795,294 A	8/1998	Luber et al.	6,071,288 A	6/2000	Carol et al.
5,797,849 A	8/1998	Vesely et al.	6,073,043 A	6/2000	Schneider
5,799,055 A	8/1998	Peshkin et al.	6,076,008 A	6/2000	Bucholz
5,799,099 A	8/1998	Wang et al.	6,096,050 A	8/2000	Audette

6,104,944	A	8/2000	Martinelli	EP	0350996	A1	1/1990
6,118,845	A	9/2000	Simon et al.	EP	0 651 968	A1	8/1990
6,122,538	A	9/2000	Sliwa, Jr. et al.	EP	0 427 358		10/1990
6,122,541	A	9/2000	Cosman et al.	EP	0 501 993	B1	11/1990
6,131,396	A	10/2000	Duerr et al.	EP	0501993	B1	11/1990
6,139,183	A	10/2000	Graumann	EP	0 456 103		5/1991
6,147,480	A	11/2000	Osadchy et al.	EP	0 469 966	A1	7/1991
6,149,592	A	11/2000	Yanof et al.	EP	0469966	A1	7/1991
6,156,067	A	12/2000	Bryan et al.	EP	0 581 704	B1	7/1993
6,161,032	A	12/2000	Acker	EP	0655138	B1	8/1993
6,165,181	A	12/2000	Heilbrun et al.	EP	0894473	A2	1/1995
6,167,296	A	12/2000	Shahidi	EP	0 908 146		10/1998
6,172,499	B1	1/2001	Ashe	EP	0 930 046		10/1998
6,175,756	B1	1/2001	Ferre et al.	FR	2417970		2/1979
6,178,345	B1	1/2001	Vilsmeier et al.	FR	2 618 211		7/1987
6,194,639	B1	2/2001	Botella et al.	GB	2 094 590		2/1982
6,201,387	B1	3/2001	Govari	GB	2 164 856		10/1984
6,203,497	B1	3/2001	Dekel et al.	JP	61-94639		10/1984
6,211,666	B1	4/2001	Acker	JP	62-327		6/1985
6,223,067	B1	4/2001	Vilsmeier	JP	63-240851		3/1987
6,233,476	B1	5/2001	Strommer et al.	JP	3-267054		3/1990
6,236,875	B1 *	5/2001	Bucholz et al. 600/407	JP	2765738		4/1991
6,246,231	B1	6/2001	Ashe	WO	WO 88/09151		12/1988
6,259,942	B1	7/2001	Westermann et al.	WO	WO 89/05123		6/1989
6,273,896	B1	8/2001	Franck et al.	WO	WO 90/05494		11/1989
6,285,902	B1	9/2001	Kienzle, III et al.	WO	WO/90/05494		5/1990
6,298,262	B1	10/2001	Franck et al.	WO	WO 91/03982		4/1991
6,314,310	B1	11/2001	Ben-Haim et al.	WO	WO 91/04711		4/1991
6,332,089	B1	12/2001	Acker et al.	WO	WO 91/07726		5/1991
6,341,231	B1	1/2002	Ferre et al.	WO	WO 92/03090		3/1992
6,351,659	B1	2/2002	Vilsmeier	WO	WO 92/06645		4/1992
6,381,485	B1	4/2002	Hunter et al.	WO	WO 94/04938		3/1994
6,424,856	B1	7/2002	Vilsmeier et al.	WO	WO 95/07055		9/1994
6,427,314	B1	8/2002	Acker	WO	WO 94/23647		10/1994
6,428,547	B1	8/2002	Vilsmeier et al.	WO	WO 94/24933		11/1994
6,434,415	B1	8/2002	Foley et al.	WO	WO 96/32059		11/1995
6,437,567	B1	8/2002	Schenck et al.	WO	WO96/11624		4/1996
6,445,943	B1	9/2002	Ferre et al.	WO	WO97/15234		5/1997
6,470,207	B1	10/2002	Simon et al.	WO	WO 97/49453		6/1997
6,474,341	B1	11/2002	Hunter et al.	WO	WO 97/36192		10/1997
6,478,802	B2	11/2002	Kienzle, III et al.	WO	WO 99/23956		11/1997
6,484,049	B1	11/2002	Seeley et al.	WO	WO 98/08554		3/1998
6,490,475	B1	12/2002	Seeley et al.	WO	WO 98/38908		9/1998
6,493,573	B1	12/2002	Martinelli et al.	WO	WO 99/15097		9/1998
6,498,944	B1	12/2002	Ben-Haim et al.	WO	WO 99/21498		10/1998
6,516,046	B1	2/2003	Fröhlich et al.	WO	WO 99/27839		12/1998
6,527,443	B1	3/2003	Vilsmeier et al.	WO	WO 99/33406		12/1998
6,551,325	B2	4/2003	Neubauer et al.	WO	WO 99/38449		1/1999
6,584,174	B2	6/2003	Schubert et al.	WO	WO 99/52094		4/1999
6,609,022	B2	8/2003	Vilsmeier et al.	WO	WO 99/26549		6/1999
6,611,700	B1	8/2003	Vilsmeier et al.	WO	WO 99/29253		6/1999
6,640,128	B2	10/2003	Vilsmeier et al.	WO	WO 99/37208		7/1999
6,694,162	B2	2/2004	Hartlep	WO	WO 99/60939		12/1999
6,701,179	B1	3/2004	Martinelli et al.	WO	WO 01/30437	A1	5/2001
2001/0007918	A1	7/2001	Vilsmeier et al.				
2002/0095081	A1	7/2002	Vilsmeier				
2004/0024309	A1	2/2004	Ferre et al.				

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA	1336451	1/1988
DE	3042343 A1	6/1982
DE	35 08730	3/1985
DE	37 17 871	5/1987
DE	38 38011	11/1988
DE	3831278 A1	3/1989
DE	42 13 426	4/1992
DE	42 25 112	7/1992
DE	4233978 C1	4/1994
DE	197 15 202	4/1997
DE	197 47 427	10/1997
DE	197 51 761	11/1997
DE	198 32 296	7/1998
DE	10085137	11/2002
EP	0 062 941	3/1982
EP	0 119 660	9/1984
EP	0 155 857	1/1985
EP	0 319 844 A1	1/1988
EP	0 326 768	12/1988
EP	0419729 A1	9/1989

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Batnitzky et al., "Three-Dimensional Computer Reconstructions of Brain Lesions from Surface Contours Provided by Computed Tomography: A Prospectus," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 11, No. 1, Part 1, 1982, pp. 73-84.

Benzel et al., "Magnetic Source Imaging: a Review of the Magnes System of Biomagnetic Technologies Incorporated," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 33, No. 2 (Aug. 1993), pp. 252-259.

Bouazza-Marouf et al.; "Robotic-Assisted Internal Fixation of Femoral Fractures", *IMECHE.*, pp. 51-58 (1995).

Brack et al., "Accurate X-ray Based Navigation in Computer-Assisted Orthopedic Surgery," *CAR '98*, pp. 716-722.

Bryan, "Bryan Cervical Disc System Single Level Surgical Technique", *Spinal Dynamics*, 2002, pp. 1-33.

Bucholz et al., "Variables affecting the accuracy of stereotactic localization using computerized tomography," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 79, Nov. 1993, pp. 667-673.

Champleboux et al., "Accurate Calibration of Cameras and Range Imaging Sensors: the NPBS Method," *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Nice, France, May 1992.

- Champleboux, "Utilisation de Fonctions Splines pour la Mise au Point D'un Capteur Tridimensionnel sans Contact," *Quelques Applications Medicales*, Jul. 1991.
- Cinquin et al., "Computer Assisted Medical Interventions," *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology*, May/Jun. 1995, pp. 254-263.
- Cinquin et al., "Computer Assisted Medical Interventions," *International Advanced Robotics Programme*, Sep. 1989, pp. 63-65.
- Clarysse et al., "A Computer-Assisted System for 3-D Frameless Localization in Stereotaxic MRI," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 10, No. 4, Dec. 1991, pp. 523-529.
- Feldmar et al., "3D-2D Projective Registration of Free-Form Curves and Surfaces," *Rapport de recherche (Inria Sophia Antipolis)*, 1994, pp. 1-44.
- Foley et al., "Fundamentals of Interactive Computer Graphics," *The Systems Programming Series*, Chapter 7, Jul. 1984, pp. 245-266.
- Foley et al., "Image-guided Intraoperative Spinal Localization," *Intraoperative Neuroprotection*, Chapter 19, 1996, pp. 325-340.
- Foley, "The StealthStation: Three-Dimensional Image-Interactive Guidance for the Spine Surgeon," *Spinal Frontiers*, Apr. 1996, pp. 7-9.
- Gildenberg et al., "Calculation of Stereotactic Coordinates from the Computed Tomographic Scan," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 10, No. 5, May 1982, pp. 580-586.
- Gonzalez, "Digital Image Fundamentals," *Digital Image Processing*, Second Edition, 1987, pp. 52-54.
- Gottesfeld Brown et al., "Registration of Planar Film Radiographs with Computer Tomography," *Proceedings of MMBIA*, Jun. 1996, pp. 42-51.
- Guezic et al., "Registration of Computed Tomography Data to a Surgical Robot Using Fluoroscopy: A Feasibility Study," *Computer Science/Mathematics*, Sep. 27, 1996, 6 pages.
- Hamadeh et al., "Kinematic Study of Lumbar Spine Using Functional Radiographies and 3D/2D Registration," *TIMC UMR 5525—IMAG*.
- Hamadeh et al., "Automated 3-Dimensional Computed Tomographic and Fluoroscopic Image Registration," *Computer Aided Surgery (1998)*, 3:11-19.
- Hamadeh et al., "Towards Automatic Registration Between CT and X-ray Images: Cooperation Between 3D/2D Registration and 2D Edge Detection," *MRCAS '95*, pp. 39-46.
- Hatch, "Reference-Display System for the Integration of CT Scanning and the Operating Microscope," *Thesis, Thayer School of Engineering*, Oct. 1984, pp. 1-189.
- Heilbrun et al., "Preliminary experience with Brown-Roberts-Wells (BRW) computerized tomography stereotaxic guidance system," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 59, Aug. 1983, pp. 217-222.
- Henderson et al., "An Accurate and Ergonomic Method of Registration for Image-guided Neurosurgery," *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, vol. 18, No. 4, Jul.-Aug. 1994, pp. 273-277.
- Hoerenz, "The Operating Microscope I. Optical Principles, Illumination Systems, and Support Systems," *Journal of Microsurgery*, vol. 1, 1980, pp. 364-369.
- Hofstetter et al., "Fluoroscopy Based Surgical Navigation—Concept and Clinical Applications," *Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 1997, pp. 956-960.
- Horner et al., "A Comparison of CT-Stereotaxic Brain Biopsy Techniques," *Investigative Radiology*, Sep.-Oct. 1984, pp. 367-373.
- Hounsfield, "Computerized transverse axial scanning (tomography): Part 1. Description of system," *British Journal of Radiology*, vol. 46, No. 552, Dec. 1973, pp. 1016-1022.
- Jacques et al., "A Computerized Microstereotactic Method to Approach, 3-Dimensionally Reconstruct, Remove and Adjuvantly Treat Small CNS Lesions," *Applied Neurophysiology*, vol. 43, 1980, pp. 176-182.
- Jacques et al., "Computerized three-dimensional stereotaxic removal of small central nervous system lesion in patients," *J. Neurosurg.*, vol. 53, Dec. 1980, pp. 816-820.
- Joskowicz et al., "Computer-Aided Image-Guided Bone Fracture Surgery: Concept and Implementation," *CAR '98*, pp. 710-715.
- Kelly et al., "Computer-assisted stereotaxic laser resection of intra-axial brain neoplasms," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 64, Mar. 1986, pp. 427-439.
- Kelly et al., "Precision Resection of Intra-Axial CNS Lesions by CT-Based Stereotactic Craniotomy and Computer Monitored CO₂ Laser," *Acta Neurochirurgica*, vol. 68, 1983, pp. 1-9.
- Laitinen et al., "An Adapter for Computed Tomography-Guided, Stereotaxis," *Surg. Neurol.*, 1985, pp. 559-566.
- Laitinen, "Noninvasive multipurpose stereoadapter," *Neurological Research*, Jun. 1987, pp. 137-141.
- Lavallee et al., "Matching 3-D Smooth Surfaces with their 2-D Projections using 3-D Distance Maps," *SPIE*, vol. 1570, *Geometric Methods in Computer Vision*, 1991, pp. 322-336.
- Lavallee et al., "Computer Assisted Driving of a Needle into the Brain," *Proceedings of the International Symposium CAR '89, Computer Assisted Radiology*, 1989, pp. 416-420.
- Lavallee et al., "Computer Assisted Interventionist Imaging: The Instance of Stereotactic Brain Surgery," *North-Holland MEDINFO 89, Part 1*, 1989, pp. 613-617.
- Lavallee et al., "Computer Assisted Spine Surgery: A Technique For Accurate Transpedicular Screw Fixation Using CT Data and a 3-D Optical Localizer," *TIMC, Faculte de Medecine de Grenoble*.
- Lavallee et al., "Image guided operating robot: a clinical application in stereotactic neurosurgery," *Proceedings of the 1992 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, May 1992, pp. 618-624.
- Lavallee et al., "Matching of Medical Images for Computed and Robot Assisted Surgery," *IEEE EMBS, Orlando*, 1991.
- Lavallee, "A New System for Computer Assisted Neurosurgery," *IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society 11th Annual International Conference*, 1989, pp. 0926-0927.
- Lavallee, "VI Adaption de la Methodologie a Quelques Applications Cliniques," *Chapitre VI*, pp. 133-148.
- Leksell et al., "Stereotaxis and Tomography—A Technical Note," *ACTA Neurochirurgica*, vol. 52, 1980, pp. 1-7.
- Lemieux et al., "A Patient-to-Computed-Tomography Image Registration Method Based on Digitally Reconstructed Radiographs," *Med. Phys.* 21 (11), Nov. 1994, pp. 1749-1760.
- Levin et al., "The Brain: Integrated Three-dimensional Display of MR and PET Images," *Radiology*, vol. 172, No. 3, Sep. 1989, pp. 783-789.
- Mazier et al., "Computer-Assisted Interventionist Imaging: Application to the Vertebral Column Surgery," *Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, vol. 12, No. 1, 1990, pp. 0430-0431.
- Mazier et al., *Chirurgie de la Colonne Vertebrale Assiste par Ordinateur: Application au Vissage Pediculaire*, *Innov. Tech. Biol. Med.*, vol. 11, No. 5, 1990, pp. 559-566.
- Pelizzari et al., "Accurate Three-Dimensional Registration of CT, PET, and/or MR Images of the Brain," *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography*, Jan./Feb. 1989, pp. 20-26.
- Pelizzari et al., "Interactive 3D Patient-Image Registration," *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, 12th International Conference, IPMI '91, Jul. 7-12, 136-141 (A.C.F. Colchester et al. eds. 1991).
- Pelizzari et al., No. 528—"Three Dimensional Correlation of PET, CT and MRI Images," *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 28, No. 4, Apr. 1987, p. 682.
- Phillips et al., "Image Guided Orthopaedic Surgery Design and Analysis," *Trans Inst. MC*, vol. 17, No. 5, 1995, pp. 251-264.
- Potamianos et al., "Intra-Operative Imaging Guidance for Keyhole Surgery Methodology and Calibration," *First International Symposium on Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*, Sep. 22-24, 1994, pp. 98-104.
- Reinhardt et al., "CT-Guided 'Real Time' Stereotaxy," *ACTA Neurochirurgica*, 1989.
- Roberts et al., "A frameless stereotaxic integration of computerized tomographic imaging and the operating microscope," *J. Neurosurg.*, vol. 65, Oct. 1986, pp. 545-549.
- Rosenbaum et al., "Computerized Tomography Guided Stereotaxis: A New Approach," *Applied Neurophysiology*, vol. 43, No. 3-5, 1980, pp. 172-173.
- Sautot, "Vissage Pediculaire Assiste Par Ordinateur," Sep. 20, 1994.
- Schueler et al., "Correction of Image Intensifier Distortion for Three-Dimensional X-Ray Angiography," *SPIE Medical Imaging 1995*, vol. 2432, pp. 272-279.

- Selvik et al., "A Roentgen Stereophotogrammetric System," *Acta Radiologica Diagnosis*, 1983, pp. 343-352.
- Shelden et al., "Development of a computerized microstereotaxic method for localization and removal of minute CNS lesions under direct 3-D vision," *J. Neurosurg.*, vol. 52, 1980, pp. 21-27.
- Smith et al., "Computer Methods for Improved Diagnostic Image Display Applied to Stereotactic Neurosurgery," *Automedical*, vol. 14, 1992, pp. 371-382 (4 unnumbered pages).
- Smith et al., "The Neurostation™—A Highly Accurate, Minimally Invasive Solution to Frameless Stereotactic Neurosurgery," *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, vol. 18, Jul.-Aug. 1994, pp. 247-256.
- The Laitinen Stereotactic System, E2-E6.
- Viant et al., "A Computer Assisted Orthopaedic System for Distal Locking of Intramedullary Nails," *Proc. of MediMEC '95*, Bristol, 1995, pp. 86-91.
- Watanabe et al., "Three-Dimensional Digitizer (Neuronavigator): New Equipment for Computed Tomography-Guided Stereotaxic Surgery," *Surgical Neurology*, vol. 27, No. 6, Jun. 1987, pp. 543-547.
- Watanabe, "Neuronavigator," *Igaku-no-Ayumi*, vol. 137, No. 6, May 10, 1986, pp. 1-4.
- Weese et al., "An Approach to 2D/3D Registration of a Vertebra in 2D X-ray Fluoroscopies with 3D CT Images," pp. 119-128.
- Germano, "Instrumentation, Technique and Technology", *Neurosurgery*, vol. 37, No. 2, Aug. 1995, pp. 348-350.
- Merloz, et al., "Computer Assisted Spine Surgery", *Clinical Assisted Spine Surgery*, No. 337, pp. 86-96.
- Hatch, et al., "Reference-Display System for the Integration of CT Scanning and the Operating Microscope", *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Northeast Bioengineering Conference*, Mar. 14-15, 1985, pp. 252-254.
- "Prestige Cervical Disc System Surgical Technique", 12 pgs.
- Adams et al., "Orientation Aid for Head and Neck Surgeons," *Innov. Tech. Biol. Med.*, vol. 13, No. 4, 1992, pp. 409-424.
- Barrick et al., "Prophylactic Intramedullary Fixation of the Tibia for Stress Fracture in a Professional Athlete," *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 241-244 (1992).
- Barrick et al., "Technical Difficulties with the Brooker-Wills Nail in Acute Fractures of the Femur," *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 144-150 (1990).
- 3-D Digitizing Accessories, Pixsys, Jul. 2, 1992, 6 pages.
- Adams et al., *Computer-Assisted Surgery*, *IEEE Computer Graphics & Applications*, pp. 43-51, (May 1990).
- Adams, L., et al., "Aide Au Reperage Tridimensionnel Pour La Chirurgie de la Base du Crane," *Innov. Tech. Biol. Med.*, vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 409-424, 1992.
- Alignment Procedure for the PixSys Two-Emitter Offset Probe for the SAC GP83d Sonic Digitizer, Pixsys, Jul. 2, 1992, 4 pages.
- Bergstrom et al. *Stereotaxic Computed Tomography*, *Am. J. Roentgenol.*, vol. 127 pp. 167-170 (1976).
- Brown, R., M.D., *A Stereotactic Head Frame for Use with CT Body Scanners*, *Investigative Radiology* © J.B. Lippincott Company, pp. 300-304 (Jul.-Aug. 1979).
- Bucholz, R.D., et al. *Image-guided surgical techniques for infections and trauma of the central nervous system*, *Neurosurg. Clinics of N.A.*, vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 187-200 (1996).
- Bucholz, R.D., et al., *A Comparison of Sonic Digitizers Versus Light Emitting Diode-Based Localization*, *Interactive Image-Guided Neurosurgery*, Chapter 16, pp. 179-200 (1993).
- Bucholz, R.D., et al., *Intraoperative localization using a three dimensional optical digitizer*, *SPIE—The Intl. Soc. for Opt. Eng.*, vol. 1894, pp. 312-322 (Jan. 17-19, 1993).
- Bucholz, R.D., et al., *Intraoperative Ultrasonic Brain Shift Monitor and Analysis*, *Stealth Station Marketing Brochure* (2 pages) (undated).
- Bucholz, R.D., et al., *The Correction of Stereotactic Inaccuracy Caused by Brain Shift Using an Intraoperative Ultrasound Device*, *First Joint Conference, Computer Vision, Virtual Reality and Robotics in Medicine and Medical Robotics and Computer-Assisted Surgery*, Grenoble, France, pp. 459-466 (Mar. 19-22, 1997).
- Bucholz, Richard D., "Halo vest versus spinal fusion for cervical injury: evidence from an outcome study," *J. Neurosurg* 70:884-892, Jun. 1989.
- Bucholz, Richard, D., M.D., "A Comparison of Sonic Digitizers Versus Light Emitting Diode-Based Localization," *Interactive Image-Guided Neurosurgery*, pp. 179-200, 1993.
- Cutting M.D. et al., *Optical Tracking of Bone Fragments During Craniofacial Surgery*, *Second Annual International Symposium on Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*, pp. 221-225, (Nov. 1995).
- Friets, E.M., et al. *A Frameless Stereotaxic Operating Microscope for Neurosurgery*, *IEEE Trans. on Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 36, No. 6, pp. 608-617 (Jul. 1989).
- Gallen, C.C., et al., *Intracranial Neurosurgery Guided by Functional Imaging*, *Surg. Neurol.*, vol. 42, pp. 523-530 (1994).
- Galloway, R.L., et al., *Interactive Image-Guided Neurosurgery*, *IEEE Trans. on Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 89, No. 12, pp. 1226-1231 (1992).
- Galloway, R.L., Jr. et al, *Optical localization for interactive, image-guided neurosurgery*, *SPIE*, vol. 2164, pp. 137-145 (undated).
- Germano, Isabelle M., "The NeuroStation System fir Unage-Guided, Frameless Stereotaxy," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 37, No. 2 Aug. 1995, pp. 348-350.
- Gomez, C.R., et al., *Transcranial Doppler Ultrasound Following Closed Head Injury: Vasospasm or Vasoparalysis?*, *Surg. Neurol.*, vol. 35, pp. 30-35 (1991).
- Grimson, W.E.L., *An Automatic Registration Method for Frameless Stereotaxy, Image Guided Surgery, and enhanced Reality Visualization*, *IEEE*, pp. 430-436 (1994).
- Grimson, W.E.L., et al., *Virtual-reality technology is giving surgeons the equivalent of x-ray vision helping them to remove tumors more effectively, to minimize surgical wounds and to avoid damaging critical tissues*, *Sci. Amer.*, vol. 280, No. 6, pp. 62-69 (Jun. 1999).
- Guthrie, B.L., *Graphic-Interactive Cranial Surgery: The Operating Arm System*, *Handbook of Stereotaxy Using the CRW Apparatus*, Chapter 13, pp. 193-211 (undated).
- Hardy, T., M.D., et al., *CASS: A Program for Computer Assisted Stereotaxic Surgery*, *The Fifth Annual Symposium on Comptuer Applications in Medical Care*, *Proceedings*, Nov. 1-4, 1981, *IEEE*, pp. 1116-1126, (1981).
- Heilbrun, M.D., *Progressive Technology Applications, Neurosurgery for the Third Millenium*, Chapter 15, *J. Whitaker & Sons, Ltd., Amer. Assoc. of Neurol. Surgeons*, pp. 191-198 (1992).
- Heilbrun, M.P., *Computed Tomography—Guided Stereotactic Systems*, *Clinical Neurosurgery*, Chapter 31, pp. 564-581 (1983).
- Heilbrun, M.P., et al., *Stereotactic Localization and Guidance Using a Machine Vision Technique*, *Sterotact & Funct. Neurosurg.*, *Proceed. of the Mtg. of the Amer. Soc. for Sterot. and Funct. Neurosurg.* (Pittsburgh, PA) vol. 58, pp. 94-98 (1992).
- Kall, B., *The Impact of Computer and Imaging Technology on Stereotactic Surgery*, *Proceedings of the Meeting of the American Society for Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery*, pp. 10-22 (1987).
- Kato, A., et al., *A frameless, armless navigational system for computer-assisted neurosurgery*, *J. Neurosurg.*, vol. 74, pp. 845-849 (May 1991).
- Kelly, P.J., *Computer Assisted Stereotactic Biopsy and Volumetric Resection of Pediatric Brain Tumors*, *Brain Tumors in Children*, *Neurologic Clinics*, vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 317-336 (May 1991).
- Kelly, P.J., *Computer-Directed Stereotactic Resection of Brain Tumors*, *Neurologica Operative Atlas*, vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 299-313 (1991).
- Kelly, P.J., et al., *Results of Computed Tomography-based Computer-assisted Stereotactic Resection of Metastatic Intracranial Tumors*, *Neurosurgery*, vol. 22, No. 1, Part 1, 1988, pp. 7-17 (Jan. 1988).
- Kelly, P.J., *Stereotactic Imaging, Surgical Planning and Computer-Assisted Resection of Intracranial Lesions: Methods and Results*, *Advances and Technical Standards in Neurosurgery*, vol. 17, pp. 78-118, (1990).
- Kim, W.S. et al., *A Helmet Mounted Display for Telerobotics*, *IEEE*, pp. 543-547 (1988).
- Klimek, L., et al., *Long-Term Experience with Different Types of Localization Systems in Skull-Base Surgery, Ear, Nose & Throat Surgery*, Chapter 51, pp. 635-638 (undated).

- Kosugi, Y., et al., An Articulated Neurosurgical Navigation System Using MRI and CT Images, *IEEE Trans. on Biomed. Eng.* vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 147-152 (Feb. 1988).
- Krybus, W., et al., Navigation Support for Surgery by Means of Optical Position Detection, *Computer Assisted Radiology Proceed. of the Intl. Symp. CAR '91 Computed Assisted Radiology*, pp. 362-366 (Jul. 3-6, 1991).
- Kwoh, Y.S., Ph.D., et al., A New Computerized Tomographic-Aided Robotic Stereotaxis System, *Robotics Age*, vol. 7, No. 6, pp. 17-22 (Jun. 1985).
- Lavallee, S., et al., Computer Assisted Knee Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction First Clinical Tests, *Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*, pp. 11-16 (Sep. 1994).
- Lavallee, S., et al., Computer Assisted Medical Interventions, *NATO ASI Series*, vol. F 60, 3d Imaging in Medic., pp. 301-312 (1990).
- Leavitt, D.D., et al., Dynamic Field Shaping to Optimize Stereotactic Radiosurgery, *I.J. Rad. Onc. Biol. Physc.*, vol. 21, pp. 1247-1255 (1991).
- Maurer, Jr., et al., Registration of Head CT Images to Physical Space Using a Weighted Combination of Points and Surfaces, *IEEE Trans. on Med. Imaging*, vol. 17, No. 5, pp. 753-761 (Oct. 1998).
- McGirr, S., M.D., et al., Stereotactic Resection of Juvenile Pilocytic Astrocytomas of the Thalamus and Basal Ganglia, *Neurosurgery*, vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 447-452, (1987).
- Ng, W.S. et al., Robotic Surgery—A First-Hand Experience in Transurethral Resection of the Prostate Surgery, *IEEE Eng. in Med. and Biology*, pp. 120-125 (Mar. 1993).
- Offset Probe for SAC GP8-3d Digitizer, 2 pages, not dated.
- Penn, R.D., et al., Stereotactic Surgery with Image Processing of Computerized Tomographic Scans, *Neurosurgery*, vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 157-163 (Sep.-Oct. 1978).
- Pixsys, 3-D Digitizing Accessories, by Pixsys (marketing brochure)(undated) (2 pages).
- Reinhardt, H., et al., A Computer-Assisted Device for Intraoperative CT-Correlated Localization of Brain Tumors, pp. 51-58 (1988).
- Reinhardt, H.F. et al., Sonic Stereometry in Microsurgical Procedures for Deep-Seated Brain Tumors and Vascular Malformations, *Neurosurgery*, vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 51-57 (Jan. 1993).
- Reinhardt, H.F., et al., Mikrochirurgische Entfernung tiefliegender Gefäßmißbildungen mit Hilfe der Sonar-Stereometrie (Microsurgical Removal of Deep-Seated Vascular Malformations Using Sonar Stereometry). *Ultraschall in Med.* 12, pp. 80-83 (1991).
- Reinhardt, Hans. F., *Neuronavigation: A Ten-Year Review*, *Neurosurgery*, pp. 329-341 (undated).
- Simon, D.A., Accuracy Validation in Image-Guided Orthopaedic Surgery, *Second Annual Intl. Symp. on Med. Rob. an Comp-Assisted surgery*, *MRCAS '95*, pp. 185-192 (undated).
- Smith, K.R., et al. Multimodality Image Analysis and Display Methods for Improved Tumor Localization in Stereotactic Neurosurgery, *Annul Intl. Conf. of the IEEE Eng. in Med. and Biol. Soc.*, vol. 13, No. 1, p. 210 (1991).
- Tan, K., Ph.D., et al., A frameless stereotactic approach to neurosurgical planning based on retrospective patient-image registration, *J Neurosurg*, vol. 79, pp. 296-303 (Aug. 1993).
- Thompson, et al., A System for Anatomical and Functional Mapping of the Human Thalamus, *Computers and Biomedical Research*, vol. 10, pp. 9-24 (1977).
- Trobraugh, J.W., et al., Frameless Stereotactic Ultrasonography: Method and Applications, *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 235-246 (1994).
- Von Hanwhr et al., Foreword, *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 225-228, (Jul.-Aug. 1994).
- Wang, M.Y., et al., An Automatic Technique for Finding and Localizing Externally Attached Markers in CT and MR Volume Images of the Head, *IEEE Trans. on Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 43, No. 6, pp. 627-637 (Jun. 1996).
- Watanabe, E., M.D., et al., Open Surgery Assisted by the Neuronavigator, a Stereotactic, Articulated, Sensitive Arm, *Neurosurgery*, vol. 28, No. 6, pp. 792-800 (1991).
- Bucholz et al., Richard D.; "Clinical Applications of Modern Imaging Technology," *SPIE* vol. 1894; pp. 312-322; Jan. 19, 1993.
- Bucholz et al., Richard D.; "Poster #1120, Use of An Intraoperative Optical Digitizer in A System for Free-Hand Stereotactic Surgery," *Scientific Program, Am. Assoc. of Neurological Surgeons 1992 Annual Meeting*, pp. 284-285; Apr. 16, 1992.
- C. Hunter Sheldon, M.D, et al., "Development of a computerized microstereotactic method for localization and removal of minute CNS lesions under direct 3-D vision," *J. Neurosurg* 52: 21-27, 1980.
- M. Peter Heilbrun, M.D., "Computer Tomography—Guided Stereotactic Systems," *Computed Tomographic Stereotaxy*, Ch.31 pp. 564-581, 1983.
- Richard D. Bucholz, M.D. and K. Charles Cheung, M.D., "Halo vest versus spinal fusion for cervical injury: evidence from an outcome study," *J. Neurosurg* 70:884-892, Jun. 1989.
- W. Krybus, et al., "Navigation Support for Surgery by Means of Optical Position Detection," p. 362-366, 1990.
- Kurt R. Smith and Richard D. Bucholz, "Computer Methods for Improved Diagnostic Image Display Applied to Stereotactic Neurosurgery," *Stereotactic Neurosurgery Display*, vol. 14, pp. 371-382, 1992.
- L. Adams, et al., "Aide Au Reperage Tridimensionnel Pour La Chirurgie de la Base du Crane," *Innov. Tech. Biol. Med.*, vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 409-424, 1992.
- Hans F. Reinharts, M.D., et al., "Sonic Stereometry in Microsurgical Procedures for Deep-Seated Brain Tumors and Vascular Malformations," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 32, No. 1, Jan. 1993 pp. 51-57.
- Skip Jacques, et al., "A Computerized Microstereotactic Method to Approach, 3-Dimensionally Reconstruct, Remove and Adjuvantly Treat Small CNS Lesions," *Meeting of the Amer. Soc. Stereotactic & Functional Neurosurgery*, Houston 1980, *Appl. Neurophysiol.* 43: 176-182 (1980).
- Richard D. Bucholz, M.D., and Kurt R. Smith, "A Comparison of Sonic Digitizers Versus Light Emitting Diode-Based Localization," *Interactive Image-Guided Neurosurgery*, pp. 179-200, 1993.
- Richard D. Bucholz, et al., "Clinical Applications of Modern Imaging Technology," *SPIE* vol. 1894 pp. 312-322, Jan. 19, 1993.
- Richard D. Bucholz, et al., "Intraoperative localization using a three dimensional optical digitizer," *Proceedings of Clinical Applicatins of Modern Imaging Technology*, vol. 1894, pp. 312-322, 1993.
- Kevin T. Foley, et al., "Image-guided Intraoperative Spinal Localization," *Intraoperative Neuroprotection: Monitoring*, Ch. 19, pp. 325-340, 1996.
- Kurt R. Smith, et al., "The Neurostation™—A Highly Accurate, Minimally Invasive Solution To Frameless Stereotactic Neurosurgery," *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, Jul.-Aug. 1994, vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 247-256.
- Isabelle M. Germano, "The NeuroStation System for Image-Guided, Frameless Stereotaxy," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 37, No. 2, Aug. 1995, pp. 348-350.
- "Alignment Procedure for the PixSys Two-Emitter Offset Probe for the SAC GP-8-3d Sonic Digitizer," *PixSys*, Jul. 2, 1992, 4 pages.
- "3-D Digitizing Accessories," *PixSys*, Jul. 2, 1992, 6 pages.
- Richard D. Bucholz, M.D., et al., "Poster #1120, Use of an Intraoperative Optical Digitizer in a System for Free-Hand Stereotactic Surgery," *Scientific Program, Am. Assoc. of Neurological Surgeons 1992 Annual Meeting*, pp. 284-285, Apr. 16, 1992.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

IMAGE GUIDED AWL/TAP/SCREWDRIVER

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to computer assisted image guided medical and surgical navigation systems that generate images during medical and surgical procedures indicating the relative position of various body parts, surgical implants, and instruments. In particular, the present invention relates to an instrument for use in an image guided surgery navigation system that enables the system to track both the depth and the trajectory of the instrument during surgery.

2. Background of Related Art

Computer assisted image guided medical and surgical navigation systems are known and used to generate images in order to guide a doctor during a surgical procedure. Such systems are disclosed, for example, in U.S. Pat. No. 5,383,454 to Bucholz; PCT application Ser. No. PCT/US94/04530 (Publication No. WO 94/24933) to Bucholz; and PCT application Ser. No. PCT/US95/12984 (Publication No. WO 96/11624) to Bucholz et al., incorporated herein by reference.

In general, these image guided systems use images of a body part, such as CT scans, taken before surgery to generate images on a display, such as a CRT monitor screen, during surgery for representing the position of a surgical instrument with respect to the body part. The systems typically include tracking devices such as, for example, an LED array mounted on a surgical instrument as well as a body part, a digitizer to track in real time the position of the body part and the instrument used during surgery, and a monitor screen to display images representing the body and the position of the instrument relative to the body part as the surgical procedure is performed.

There is a need in the art for a surgically navigable tool for use with these image guided systems that is simple to use and manipulate, that enables the computer tracking system to track both the trajectory of the instrument and the depth that the instrument is inserted into the body, and that is easily interchangeable with alternative drive sources such as a ratcheting handle or other instruments such as awls, taps, and screwdrivers.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore an object of the invention to provide an image guided medical instrument whose tip and trajectory can be simultaneously tracked.

It is a further object of the invention to provide an image guided medical instrument capable of generating a signal representing the trajectory and the depth of the tip of the instrument.

It is a still further object of the invention to provide an image guided medical instrument that may easily be used with any number of different tips and handles.

It is another object of the invention to provide an image guided medical instrument that is of relatively simple construction and relatively easy to use.

Additional objects and advantages of the invention will be set forth in the description which follows and, in part, will be

obvious from the description or may be learned by practice of the invention. The objects and advantages of the invention will be realized and attained by means of the elements and combinations particularly pointed out in the appended claims.

To achieve the objects and in accordance with the purpose of the invention, as embodied and broadly described herein, the invention comprises a trackable medical instrument for use in a computer assisted image guided surgery system having a digitizer for tracking the position of the instrument in three dimensional space and a display providing an indication of the position of the instrument with respect to images of a body part taken preoperatively. The instrument includes a guide member having an emitter array mounted thereon for being tracked by the digitizer, and a drive shaft contained within the guide member, the drive shaft having a proximal and a distal end, the drive shaft being rotatable within the guide member while being fixable axially within the guide member, the proximal end of the drive shaft having a first connector for interchangeably receiving at least one drive source, and the distal end having a second connector for interchangeably receiving at least one instrument tip. The instrument may further include at least one instrument tip for connection to the distal end of the drive shaft and a drive handle for connection to the proximal end of the drive shaft for transmitting torque to the instrument tip to cause rotation of the instrument tip.

In another aspect of this invention, the instrument may further include a sensor which senses the removal and the connection of an instrument tip to the instrument. The sensor may be an electromechanical switch on the guide member.

It is to be understood that both the foregoing general description and the following detailed description are exemplary and explanatory and are not restrictive of the invention as claimed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings are included to provide a further understanding of the invention and are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification. The drawings illustrate embodiments of the invention and, together with the description, serve to explain the principles of the invention.

FIG. 1 is a schematic front view of a computer assisted image guided surgery system used with an instrument according to the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a perspective view of an instrument according to the present invention.

FIG. 3 is an exploded view of the instrument shown in FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a view of a portion of the instrument shown in FIG. 2.

FIG. 5 is an exploded view of the portion of the instrument shown in FIG. 4.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Reference will now be made in detail to the present preferred embodiments of the invention, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. Wherever possible, the same reference numbers are used in the drawings and the description to refer to the same or like parts.

The medical instrument of the present invention is shown generally at 10 in FIG. 1. Instrument 100 can be used in many known computer assisted image guided surgical navigation systems such the system shown in FIG. 1 and disclosed in

PCT application Ser. No. PCT/US95/12984 (Publication No. WO 96/11624) to Bucholz et al., incorporated herein by reference. A computer assisted image guided surgery system, shown at **10**, generates an image for display on a monitor **106** representing the real time position of a body part and the position of instrument **100** relative to the body part.

An image may be generated on monitor **106** from an image data set stored in a controller, such as computer **108**, usually generated preoperatively by some scanning technique such as by a CAT scanner or by magnetic resonance imaging. The image data set and the image generated have reference points for at least one body part. The reference points for the particularly body part have a fixed spatial relation to the particular body part.

System **10** also generally includes a processor for processing image data, shown as digitizer control unit **114**. Digitizer control unit **114** is connected to monitor **106**, under control of computer **108**, and to instrument **100**. Digitizer **114**, in conjunction with a reference frame arc **120** and a sensor array **110** or other known position sensing unit, tracks the real time position of a body part, such as a cranium shown at **119** clamped in reference frame **120**, and an instrument **100**. Reference frame **120** has emitters **122** or other tracking means that generate signals representing the position of the various body reference points. Reference frame **120** is fixed spatially in relation to a body part by a clamp assembly indicated generally at **124,125**, and **126**. Instrument **100** also has a tracking device shown as an emitter array **40** which generates signals representing the position of the instrument during the procedure.

Sensor array **110**, mounted on support **112**, receives and triangulates the signals generated by emitters **122** and emitter array **40** in order to identify during the procedure the relative position of each of the reference points and the instrument. Digitizer **114** and computer **108** may then modify the image data set according to the identified relative position of each of the reference points during the procedure. Computer **108** may then generate an image data set representing the position of the body elements and the instrument during the procedure. System **10** may also include a foot switch **116** connected to instrument **100** and digitizer **114** for controlling operation of the system. The structure and operation of an image guided surgery system is well known in the art and need not be discussed further here.

Referring to FIGS. **2** and **3**, an instrument according to the present invention is shown at **100**. Instrument **100** includes a guide member **30**, an interchangeable instrument tip **15**, and an interchangeable driving handle **20**.

A drive shaft **35** is housed within guide member **30** and is removably connected to an end, here the proximal end **37**, to surgical instrument tip **15** and at the other end, here the distal end **38**, to driving handle **20** such that torque applied manually or by motorized means to drive handle **20** is transmitted to drive shaft **35** which in turn is transmitted to tip **15**. Drive shaft **35**, while it could be extractable such as for service, is fixable axially in relation to guide member **30**, but is rotatable within guide member **30**. As shown in FIG. **5**, bushings **33** may be provided at each end of guide member **30** to ensure smooth motion between drive shaft **35** and guide member **30**. Guide member **30** is preferably made of stainless steel, but can also be made of titanium, aluminum or plastic. Shaft **35** is preferably made from stainless steel, titanium, or aluminum.

Instrument **100** further includes a tracking device such as emitter array **40** attached to guide member **30** for tracking the location and trajectory of instrument **100**. As shown in FIG. **4**, array **40** is equipped with a plurality of emitters or tracking means **45**, preferably four emitters, for generating a signal

representing the trajectory of instrument **100** and the depth of instrument tip **15**. Preferably emitters **45** are light emitting diodes; however, other tracking devices known in the art capable of being tracked by a corresponding sensor array are within the scope of the invention. For purposes of illustration, not limitation, the tracking device may generate signals actively such as with acoustic, magnetic, electromagnetic, radiologic, and micropulsed radar systems, or passively such as with reflective surfaces.

Drive handle **20** and instrument tip **15** are shown as modular units that can be attached to drive shaft **35** with corresponding and interlocking male and female socket joints. As shown in FIGS. **3** and **4**, drive shaft **35** has a female socket joint **34** for connection with a male socket **14** on tip **15**, and drive shaft **35** has a male socket joint **36** for connection with a female socket joint **26** on drive handle **20**. With the use of male and female socket joints, various instrument tips and various type and sized drive handles can be easily interchangeable. Instrument tip **15** could be any of a variety of instruments used in surgery such as taps, awls, and shaped tools for interacting with a work piece, such as a screwdriver for driving screws. Drive handle **20** could be any number of existing or specially designed handles and could be ratcheting, nonratcheting or motorized. Instrument tip **15** and drive handle **20** could also be permanently attached to drive shaft **35**. Other suitable connection means are within the scope of the invention as well.

In operation, torque applied to drive handle **20** is transmitted through drive shaft **35** to instrument tip **15**. Because drive shaft **35** is fixed axially in relation to guide member **30**, guide member **30** can remain stationary while drive shaft **35** rotates without translating along the axis of drive shaft **35**. The relationship between array **40** and the axis of drive shaft **35**, therefore, remains constant. Instrument tip **15** is also fixed axially in relation guide member **30**. As a result, the relationship between array **40** and instrument tip **15** also remains constant. Because the relationship between array **40** and tip **15** is constant, the signals emitted by emitters **45** can be used by the computer assisted image guided surgical navigation system to inform the surgeon of the position of instrument **100**, indicating both the trajectory or orientation in three dimensional space of instrument **100** and the length of travel along the trajectory, i.e., the depth instrument tip **15** has been inserted into a body part.

It should be recognized that other variations or modifications may be made to provide an instrument that has an emitter array fixed axially relative to the instrument tip while allowing the instrument tip to rotate relative to the emitter array. For example, guide member **30** may also be integral with instrument tip **15** and/or drive handle **20**. The array could then be fixed axially relative to the instrument and means could be provided to allow rotation of the instrument relative to the array.

As discussed above, a variety of different instrument tips may be easily interchanged on instrument **100**. To use these different instrument tips, information concerning the dimensions of the different tips may be entered into computer **108**. As a result, computer **108** can process the various image data for the specific instrument tip being used so that system **10** tracks the depth of the tip being used or, in the case of a screwdriver, so that system **10** tracks the depth of the screw being inserted.

System **10** may also be provided with a mechanism to prevent the system from operating after a new tip has been connected until computer **108** has been recalibrated. For example, an electromechanical switch, or other suitable sensors, could be provided on instrument **100** to provide a signal

5

to computer 108 indicating that instrument tip 15 has been removed from instrument 100 or that a new instrument tip 15 has been coupled to instrument 100. The switch is preferably a micro switch but can be embodied by any suitable electrical or electromechanical device or sensing device capable of providing a signal in response to attachment or detachment at a particular point on guide member 30 or tip 15.

The switch may be automatically actuated when tip 15 is removed or coupled to instrument 100. Computer 108 may be operably connected to the switch, such as through cable 161, and is responsive to the operation of the switch. Alternatively, if a wireless instrument is used such as one with passive reflective surfaces in place of LED emitters, any suitable form of communication known in the art can be used. An alarm or other indication of some type, such as a message or display on monitor 106, may be generated by computer 108 indicating to the user that tip 15 has been changed. The computer 108 may further prevent the system from operating until the system has been recalibrated for the new instrument tip. Recalibration may be accomplished by touching the instrument tip to a known reference point. Recalibration of the instrument tip can be positively confirmed by means of a light emission from the emitter array 40 detected by sensor array 110 and triangulated to determine the position of the instrument tip. Alternatively, the dimensions of the instrument or tool type may be entered into computer 108 or selected from a pre-programmed list of tool dimensions or tool types. Further, recalibration could be accomplished by a fiber optic device for reading a bar code on the instrument tip, or by any other suitable recalibration technique.

It will also be apparent to those skilled in the art that various modifications and variations can be made to the structure and methodology of the present invention without departing from the scope or spirit of the invention. In view of the foregoing, it is intended that the present invention cover modifications and variations of this invention provided they fall within the scope of the following claims and their equivalents.

What is claimed is:

1. A trackable medical instrument for use in a computer assisted image guided surgery system having a digitizer for tracking the position of the instrument in three dimensional space and a display providing an indication of the position of the instrument with respect to images of a body part [take] taken preoperatively, the instrument comprising:

a guide member having an emitter array mounted thereon for being tracked by a digitizer; and

a drive shaft contained within the guide member, the drive shaft having a longitudinal axis and a proximal and a distal end, the drive shaft being rotatable within the guide member while being fixable within the guide member in a direction of the longitudinal axis, the proximal end of the drive shaft having a first connector for interchangeably receiving at least one drive source for transmitting torque to the drive shaft causing rotation of the drive shaft relative to the guide member, and the distal end having a second connector for interchangeably receiving at least one instrument tip.

2. The instrument according to claim 1, further comprising at least one instrument tip for removable connection to the distal end of the drive shaft.

3. The instrument according to claim 2, wherein the at least one drive source comprises a drive handle for removable connection to the proximal end of the drive shaft for transmitting torque to the drive shaft and the instrument tip to cause rotation of the instrument tip.

6

4. The instrument according to claim 3, wherein the drive handle and the drive shaft include a male-female socket joint to removably connect the drive shaft to the drive handle.

5. The instrument according to claim 3, wherein the drive handle includes a ratchet.

6. The instrument according to claim 3, wherein the drive handle includes a motor for imparting torque to the drive shaft.

7. The instrument according to claim 2, wherein the instrument tip and the drive shaft include a male-female socket joint to removably connect the drive shaft to the instrument tip.

8. The instrument according to claim 2, wherein the instrument tip is an awl.

9. The instrument according to claim 2, wherein the instrument tip is a tap.

10. The instrument according to claim 2, wherein the instrument tip has a shaped end for mating with a workpiece to be rotated by said drive shaft.

11. The instrument according to claim 2, wherein the instrument tip is a drill bit.

12. The instrument according to claim 1, wherein the emitter array includes at least one LED array for emitting light signals.

13. The instrument according to claim 12, wherein the LED array includes a base and a plurality of LED emitters disposed on the base.

14. The instrument according to claim 1, wherein at least one bushing is provided in the guide member to reduce friction between the guide member and drive shaft.

15. The instrument according to claim 1, wherein the instrument includes a sensor which senses the removal and connection of an instrument tip to the instrument.

16. The instrument according to 15, wherein the sensor includes an electromechanical switch on the guide member electrically connected to the system.

17. A trackable medical instrument for use in a computer assisted image guided surgery system having a digitizer for receiving signals representing a position of the instrument during surgery, a computer for processing the signals received, and a display for providing an image representing the position of the instrument in three dimensional space during surgery, the instrument comprising:

guiding means for guiding the instrument in three dimensional space, the guiding means including signaling means for providing a signal representing the trajectory of the instrument and the location of the instrument; and driving means for driving the instrument contained within the guiding means, the driving means having a longitudinal axis and being fixable in relation to the guiding means in a direction of the longitudinal axis while being rotatable in relation to the guiding means, the driving means having a first end adapted to interchangeably receive at least one medical instrument tip and an opposite end adapted to interchangeably receive at least one drive source.

18. The instrument according to claim 17, wherein the instrument includes a sensing means for sensing the removal and the connection of an instrument tip to the instrument.

19. The instrument according to 18, wherein the sensing means includes an electromechanical switch on the guiding means connected to [the] a means for processing.

20. The instrument according to claim 17, wherein the guiding means comprises a housing for receiving the driving means, the driving means being rotatable within the housing while being retained axially within the housing.

21. The instrument according to claim 20 wherein the signaling means comprises an LED array.

22. The instrument according to claim 21, further comprising an instrument tip for connection to the first end of the driving means.

23. The instrument according to claim 22, further comprising a drive handle for connection to the opposite end of the driving means for transmitting torque to the instrument tip to cause rotation of the instrument tip.

24. The instrument according to claim 20, wherein the driving means comprises a drive shaft having mating connectors on both ends for connection to corresponding connectors disposed on an instrument tip and a drive source.

25. The instrument according to claim 24, wherein at least one bushing is provided between the housing and the drive shaft to reduce friction between the guide handle and drive shaft.

26. The instrument according to claim 22, wherein the instrument tip is an awl.

27. The instrument according to claim 22, wherein the instrument tip is a tap.

28. The instrument according to claim 22, wherein the instrument tip has a shaped end for mating with a workpiece.

29. A trackable medical instrument for use in a computer assisted image guided surgery system having a digitizer for tracking the position of the instrument in three dimensional space and a display providing an indication of the position of the instrument with respect to images of a body part [take] taken preoperatively, the instrument comprising:

a guide member having an emitter array mounted thereon for being tracked by a digitizer;

a drive shaft contained within the guide member, the drive shaft having a longitudinal axis and a proximal and a distal end, the drive shaft being rotatable within the guide member while being fixable within the guide member in a direction of the longitudinal axis;

an instrument tip extending from the proximal end of the drive shaft; wherein the instrument tip rotates freely relative to the guide member while being fixable axially relative to the guide member; and

a drive handle extending from the distal end of the drive shaft for guiding the instrument, including the guide member, and for imparting rotary motion to the drive shaft and the instrument tip independent of the guide member.

30. The instrument according to claim 29, further comprising a proximal coupler for interchangeably coupling the drive source to the drive shaft.

31. The instrument according to claim 30, wherein the proximal coupler comprises a male-female socket joint disposed on the drive shaft and the drive source to removably connect the drive source to the drive shaft.

32. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein the drive handle includes a ratchet.

33. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein the drive handle includes a motor for imparting rotary motion to the drive shaft.

34. The instrument according to claim 29, further comprising a distal coupler for interchangeably coupling the instrument tip to the drive shaft.

35. The instrument according to claim 34, wherein the distal coupler includes a male-female socket joint disposed on the drive shaft and the instrument tip to removably connect the instrument tip to the drive shaft.

36. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein the instrument tip is an awl.

37. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein the instrument tip is a tap.

38. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein the instrument tip has a shaped end for mating with a workpiece to be rotated by said drive shaft.

39. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein the instrument tip is a drill bit.

40. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein the emitter array includes at least one LED array for emitting light signals.

41. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein the at least one LED array includes a base and a plurality of LED emitters disposed on the base.

42. The instrument according to claim 29, wherein at least one bushing is provided in the guide member to reduce friction between the guide member and drive shaft.

43. A trackable medical instrument for use in a computer assisted image guided surgery system having a digitizer for tracking the position of the instrument in three dimensional space and a display providing an indication of the position of the instrument with respect to images of a body part taken preoperatively, the instrument comprising:

a guide member having a tracking device mounted thereon for being tracked by a digitizer;

a drive shaft contained within the guide member, the drive shaft having a longitudinal axis and a proximal and a distal end, the drive shaft being rotatable within the guide member while being fixable within the guide member in a direction of the longitudinal axis;

an instrument tip extending from the proximal end of the drive shaft; wherein the instrument tip rotates freely relative to the guide member while being fixable axially relative to the guide member; and

a drive handle extending from the distal end of the drive shaft for guiding the instrument, including the guide member, and for imparting rotary motion to the drive shaft and the instrument tip independent of the guide member.

44. The instrument according to claim 43, wherein the tracking device includes a passive signal generator.

45. The instrument according to claim 44, wherein the instrument comprises at least one reflective surface for reflecting signals to be tracked by the digitizer.

46. The instrument according to claim 44, wherein the instrument comprises at least three reflective surfaces for reflecting signals to be tracked by the digitizer.

47. The instrument according to claim 43, further comprising a proximal coupler for interchangeably coupling the drive source to the drive shaft.

48. The instrument according to claim 47, wherein the proximal coupler comprises a male-female socket joint disposed on the drive shaft and the drive source to removably connect the drive source to the drive shaft.

49. The instrument according to claim 43, further comprising a distal coupler for interchangeably coupling the instrument tip to the drive shaft.

50. The instrument according to claim 49, wherein the distal coupler includes a male-female socket joint disposed on the drive shaft and the instrument tip to removably connect the instrument tip to the drive shaft.

51. A trackable medical instrument for use in a computer assisted image guided surgery system having a digitizer for tracking the position of the instrument in three dimensional space and a display providing an indication of the position of the instrument with respect to images of a body part taken preoperatively, the instrument comprising:

a guide member having an emitter array mounted thereon for being tracked by a digitizer; and

a drive shaft contained within the guide member, the drive shaft having a longitudinal axis and a proximal and a distal end, the drive shaft being rotatable within the guide member while being fixable within the guide member in a direction of the longitudinal axis, the proximal end of the drive shaft having a first connector for receiving at least one drive source for transmitting torque to the drive shaft causing rotation of the drive shaft relative to the guide member, and the distal end having a second connector for receiving at least one instrument tip.

52. A trackable medical instrument for use with a surgical navigation system, the trackable medical instrument comprising:

a surgical implement having a distal end;
a guide member coupled to the surgical implement; and
a tracking device mounted to the guide member, wherein the tracking device is rotatable relative to the surgical implement wherein the relationship between the tracking device and the distal end of the surgical implement remains substantially constant upon rotating the tracking device relative to the surgical implement and the substantially constant relationship enables the distal end of the surgical implement to be tracked by the surgical navigation system.

53. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the substantially constant relationship between the tracking device and the distal end of the surgical implement is a distance between the tracking device and the distal end of the surgical implement.

54. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the surgical implement comprises a proximal end comprising a coupling member.

55. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the substantially constant relationship enables both orientation in three-dimensional space of the surgical implement and a depth the distal end of the surgical implement has been inserted into a body part to be tracked by the surgical navigation system.

56. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the tracking device is fixed axially with respect to the distal end of the surgical implement.

57. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the surgical implement is rotatably coupled to the guide member.

58. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 57, wherein the tracking device is fixedly secured to the guide member.

59. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the surgical implement and the guide member are integral.

60. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the tracking device is selected from at least one of a LED, a reflector, an acoustic device, a magnetic device, an electromagnetic device, a radiologic device, a micropulsed radar device or combinations thereof.

61. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the tracking device is one of either an active tracking device or a passive tracking device.

62. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the surgical implement is selected from at least one of a tap, an awl, a driving instrument, a drill, or combinations thereof.

63. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, further comprising a drive source coupled to the guide member to drive the surgical implement.

64. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 63, wherein the drive source, the surgical implement and the guide member are integral.

65. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 63, wherein the drive source is a drive handle.

66. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 65, wherein the drive handle includes a ratchet.

67. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 63, wherein the drive source is a motor.

68. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 63, further comprising a drive shaft housed within the guide member, wherein the drive source is operable to rotate the drive shaft to rotate the surgical implement.

69. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 68, wherein the surgical implement and the drive source are removable from the drive shaft.

70. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the tracking device includes a plurality of tracking devices.

71. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, further comprising a sensor operable to sense a removal and connection of the surgical implement to the medical instrument.

72. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, further comprising a bushing operable to allow the tracking device to be rotated relative to the surgical implement.

73. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 72, wherein the bushing is positioned within the guide member.

74. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, further comprising a plurality of surgical implements each operable to be interchangeably coupled to the guide member.

75. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the tracking device includes a tracking array mounted to the guide member.

76. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 52, wherein the guide member includes a mount for mounting the tracking device.

77. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 76, wherein the guide member further includes an elongated tubular body with the guide member mount extending from the elongated tubular body.

78. A trackable medical instrument for use with a surgical navigation system, the trackable medical instrument comprising:

a surgical implement having a distal end;
a guide member coupled to the surgical implement;
a tracking device mounted to the guide member; and
a means for rotating the tracking device relative to the surgical implement; wherein the relationship between the tracking device and the distal end of the surgical implement remains substantially constant upon rotating the tracking device relative to the surgical implement and the substantially constant relationship enables the distal end of the surgical implement to be tracked.

79. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 78, wherein the surgical implement is rotatably coupled to the guide member.

80. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 78, wherein the surgical implement is integral with the guide member.

81. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 78, wherein the tracking device is fixed axially with respect to the distal end of the surgical implement.

11

82. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 78, further comprising a drive source coupled to the guide member to drive the surgical implement.

83. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 78, wherein the tracking device is a passive reflective tracking device and the medical instrument is a wireless instrument.

84. The trackable medical device as defined in claim 78, wherein the surgical implement and the guide member extend along a longitudinal axis.

85. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 78, further comprising a sensor operable to sense a removal and connection of the surgical implement to the medical instrument.

86. A trackable medical instrument for use with a surgical navigation system, the trackable medical instrument comprising:

a surgical implement having a distal end;

12

a handle positioned near a proximal end of the medical instrument;

a guide member coupled to the surgical implement and handle; and

a tracking device mounted to the guide member, wherein the tracking device is rotatable relative to the surgical implement; and the relationship between the tracking device and the distal end of the surgical implement remains substantially constant upon rotating the tracking device relative to the surgical implement.

87. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 86, wherein the handle is a drive handle.

88. The trackable medical instrument as defined in claim 86, wherein the surgical implement is selected from at least one of a tap, an awl, a driving instrument, a drill, or combinations thereof.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : RE43,328 E
APPLICATION NO. : 10/062265
DATED : April 24, 2012
INVENTOR(S) : Kevin T. Foley et al.

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In the Specification

Column 1, line 8, above the heading, "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION" insert:

-- Notice: More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of patent 6,021,343. The reissue applications are application number 10/062,265, filed January 31, 2002, now U.S. Reissued Patent No. 43,328, and application number 13/453,709, filed April 23, 2012, which is a continuation reissue application of the 10/062,265 application and claims the benefit of the prior reissue application. --

Signed and Sealed this
Twelfth Day of November, 2013



Teresa Stanek Rea
Deputy Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : RE43,328 E
APPLICATION NO. : 10/062265
DATED : April 24, 2012
INVENTOR(S) : Foley et al.

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In the Specification


At Column 1, at Line 8 (approx.), above the heading "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION" at Line 9 (approx.), insert the following:

--CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

NOTICE: More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of U.S. Patent No. 6,021,343 A. The reissue applications are U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 14/691,954, filed on April 21, 2015, now abandoned, U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 14/691,159, filed on April 20, 2015, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE46,409 E, issued May 23, 2017, and U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 14/545,311, filed on April 20, 2015, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE46,422 E, issued June 6, 2017, each of which are a continuation reissue application of U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 13/453,709, filed on April 23, 2012, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE45,484 E, issued April 21, 2015, which is a continuation reissue application of U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 10/062,265 (the present application), filed on January 31, 2002, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE43,328 E, issued April 24, 2012, which is a reissue application of 08/971,126, filed on November 20, 1997, now U.S. Patent No. 6,021,343 A, issued February 1, 2000. The disclosures of the--

This certificate supersedes the Certificates of Correction issued November 12, 2013 and June 5, 2018.

Signed and Sealed this
Twelfth Day of January, 2021



Andrei Iancu
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : RE43,328 E
APPLICATION NO. : 10/062265
DATED : April 24, 2012
INVENTOR(S) : Foley et al.

Page 1 of 1


It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In the Specification

Please amend the paragraph beginning at Column 1, Line 8, as follows:

Notice: More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of U.S. Patent No. 6,021,343. The reissue applications are U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 14/691,159, filed on April 20, 2015, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE46,409 E, issued May 23, 2017, and U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 14/545,311, filed on April 20, 2015, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE46,422 E, issued June 6, 2017, each of which are a continuation reissue application of U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 13/453,709, filed on April 23, 2012, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE45,484 E, issued April 21, 2015, which is a continuation reissue application of U.S. Reissue Patent Application Serial No. 10/062,265 (the present application), filed on January 31, 2002, now U.S. Reissue Patent No. RE43,328 E, issued April 24, 2012.

Signed and Sealed this
Fifth Day of June, 2018



Andrei Iancu
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office