

# (19) United States (12) Reissued Patent Hallmark et al.

# (10) Patent Number: US RE42,664 E (45) Date of Reissued Patent: Aug. 30, 2011

- (54) METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
   IMPLEMENTING PARALLEL OPERATIONS
   IN A DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
- (75) Inventors: Gary Hallmark, Portland, OR (US);Daniel Leary, New Ipswich, NH (US)
- (73) Assignee: Oracle International Corporation, Redwood Shores, CA (US)

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Hongjun Lu, Kian-Lee Tan, "Dynamic and Load-balanced Task-Oriented Database Query Processing in Parallel Systems",1992, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, "Advances in Database Technology— EDBT '92"; pp. 357-372.\*

#### (Continued)

Primary Examiner — Tony Mahmoudi Assistant Examiner — Dennis Truong

(21) Appl. No.: **09/757,399** 

(22) Filed: Jan. 5, 2001

#### **Related U.S. Patent Documents**

Reissue of:

Patent No.:	5,857,180
Issued:	Jan. 5, 1999
Appl. No.:	08/898,080
Filed:	Jul. 21, 1997
	Issued: Appl. No.:

U.S. Applications:

(63) Continuation of application No. 08/441,527, filed on May 15, 1995, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 08/127,585, filed on Sep. 27, 1993, now abandoned.

## (51) Int. Cl. *G06F 17/00*

	G06F 17/00	(2006.01)
	G06F 15/16	(2006.01)
(52)	U.S. Cl	. <b>707/636</b> ; 707/999.003; 707/999.008;
		707/000 1. 700/200. 719/100

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker LLP

#### (57) **ABSTRACT**

The present invention implements parallel processing in a Database Management System. The present invention provides the ability to locate transaction and recovery information at one location and eliminates the need for read locks and two-phased commits. The present invention provides the ability to dynamically partition row sources for parallel processing. Parallelism is based on the ability to parallelize a row source, the partitioning requirements of consecutive row sources and the entire row source tree, and any specification in the SQL statement. A Query Coordinator assumes control of the processing of a entire query and can execute serial row sources. Additional threads of control, Query Server, execute a parallel operators. Parallel operators are called data flow operators (DFOs). A DFO is represented as structured query language (SQL) statements and can be executed concurrently by multiple processes, or query slaves. A central scheduling mechanism, a data flow scheduler, controls a parallelized portion of an execution plan, and can become invisible for serial execution. Table queues are used to partition and transport rows between sets of processes. Node linkages provide the ability to divide the plan into independent lists that can each be executed by a set of query slaves. The present invention maintains a bit vector that is used by a subsequent producer to determine whether any rows need to be produced to its consumers. The present uses states and a count of the slaves that have reached these states to perform its scheduling tasks.

707/999.1; 709/200; 718/100

(56) **References Cited** 

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS 4,414,624 A \* 11/1983 Summer et al. ...... 712/21 (Continued)

#### 54 Claims, 33 Drawing Sheets



#### US RE42,664 E

#### Page 2

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4 760 772	٨	0/1000	Durror
4,769,772 4,829,427		9/1988 5/1989	-
4,937,777			Flood et al 710/107
5,091,852			Tsuchida et al.
5,193,189			Flood et al
5,253,171			Hsiao et al
5,257,372			Furtney et al. $$
5,307,485			Bordonaro et al. $\dots \dots \dots$
5,325,525			Shan et al.
5,339,429			Tanaka et al.
5,402,350			Kline
5,442,569		8/1995	Osano
5,448,732		9/1995	
5,452,468			Peterson
5,495,419			Rostoker et al.
5,495,606			Borden et al.
5,537,588			Engelmann et al.
5,551,027			Choy et al.
5,574,900			Huang et al.
5,590,319			Cohen et al.
5,602,754		2/1997	Beatty et al 716/6
5,642,515			Jones et al.
5,675,791		10/1997	Bhide et al 395/621
5,680,547	Α	10/1997	Chang
5,710,915		1/1998	McElĥiney
5,787,251	Α	7/1998	Hamilton et al.
5,857,180	A *	1/1999	Hallmark et al 707/2
5,918,225	A *	6/1999	White et al 707/3
6,009,265	Α	12/1999	Huang et al.
6,289,334	B1	9/2001	Reiner et al.
6,311,265	B1 *	10/2001	Beckerle et al 712/203
6,427,154	B1 *	7/2002	Kolodner et al 707/206
6,430,550			Leo et al.
6,430,580	B1 *	8/2002	Azagury et al 707/206
6,438,558		8/2002	Stegelmann 707/102
6,438,562			Gupta et al.
6,684,203			Waddington et al 707/3
6,687,798			Thusoo et al 711/154
6,711,560			Levy et al 707/1
6,901,405			McCrady et al.
6,941,360			Srivastava et al 709/223
6,954,776			Cruanes et al.
6,961,729			Toohey et al.
6,980,988			Demers et al.
6,990,503			Luo et al.
2002/0038313			Klein et al.
2002/0138376		9/2002	
2002/0143746			Levy et al 707/2
2005/0283471		$\frac{12}{2005}$	
2006/0041537	AI	2/2006	Anmea

"An Analysis of Three Transaction Processing Architectures" Anupam Bhide, Computer Science Division, UC Berkeley, 1988, pp. 339-350.

"A Benchmark of NonStop SQL Release 2 Demonstrating Near-Linear Speedup and Scaleup on Large Databases", Susan Englert, et al, Technical Report 89.4, May 1989, pp. 1-23.

"A Benchmark of NonStop SQL on the Debit Credit Transaction", The Tandem Performance Group, 1988, pp. 337-341.

"Data Placement in Bubba", George Copeland, et al., MCC, 1988, pp. 99-108.

"The Design of XPRS", Michael Stonebraker, et al., EECS Department UC Berkeley, 1988, pp. 318-330.

"A Performance Analysis of the Gamma Database Machine",

DeWitt, et al., Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin, 1988, pp. 350-360. German Patent and Trademark Office, "Attorney/Client Communication Privileged and Confidential", translation attached, Appliciation No. P4497320.9, dated Apr. 25, 2007, 18 pages. Claims, App. No. PCT/US94/10092, 4 pages. Rahm, Erhard, et al., "Analysis of Dynamic Load Balancing Strategies for Parallel Shared Nothing Database Systems", Proceedings of the 19<sup>th</sup> VLDB Conference, 1993, printed Apr. 25, 2007, pp. 182-193. German Patent Office, "Office Action," GR App. No. P4479320.9-53, dated Dec. 22, 2005 (5 pages), with English translation (4 pages). Current Claims, GR App. No. P4479320.9-53, 9 pages. Borla-Salamet, Pascale, "Compiling Control into Database Queries for Parallel Execution Management," IEEE Conference on Parallel Distributed Information Systems, 1991, ISBN 0-8186-2295-4, pp. 271-279. Bergsten, et al., "Prototyping DBS3 a Shared-Memory Parallel Database System", IEEE, 226-234, 1991.

Bhide, Anupam "An Analysis of Three Transaction Processing Architectures", Computer Science Division, UC Berkeley, 1998, pp. 339-350.

Copeland, George et al., "Data Placement in Bubba," MCC, 1988, pp. 99-108.

Dewitt, et al., "A Performance Analysis of the Gamma Database

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Goetz Graefe, "Encapsulation of Parallelism in the Volcano Query Processing System," Mar. 1990, pp. 102-111.

Bergsten, et al., Prototyping DBS3 a Shared-Memory Parallel Database System, IEEE, 226-234, 1991.

Hirano, et al., Load Balancing Algorithm for Parallel Processing on Shared Memory Multiprocessor, IEEE, pp. 210-217, 1991.

Hong et al., "Optimization of Parallel Query Execution Plans in XPRS," Proceedings of the First International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Information Systems, IEEE, 1991, pp. 218-225.

Machine," Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin, 1988, pp. 350-360.

Englert, Susan et al., "A Benchmark of NonStop SQL Release 2 Demonstrating Near-Linear Speedup and Scaleup on Large Databases", Technical Report 89.4, May 1989, pp. 1-23. Graefe, Goetz, "Encapsulation of Parallelism in the Volcano Query

Processing System," Mar. 1990, pp. 102-111.

Leverenz et al., "Oracle 8i Concepts Release 8.1.5", Oracle Corporation, Feb. 1999, located on the internet at <a href="http://www.csee.umbc.edu/help/oracle8/server.815/a67781/toc.htm">http://www.csee.umbc.edu/help/oracle8/server.815/a67781/toc.htm</a>, 121 pages.

Stonebraker, Michael, et al. "The Design of XPRS,", et al., EECS Department UC Berkeley, 1988, pp. 318-330.

Tandem, "A Benchmark of NonStop SQL on the Debit Credit Transaction", The Tandem Performance Group, 1988, pp. 337-341. Hong et al, Optimization of Parallel Query Execution Plans in XPRS,

IEEE, pp. 218-225, 1991.

"Encapsulation of Parallelism In the Volcano Query Processing System", Goetz Graefe, Computer Science, University of Colorado, Mar. 1990, pp. 102-111.

\* cited by examiner

#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 1 of 33 US RE42,664 E



FIG. 1A (Prior Art)



FIG. 1B (Prior Art)

#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 2 of 33 US RE42,664 E



FIG. 1C (Prior Art)





#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 3 of 33 US RE42,664 E



FIG. 3A

#### **U.S. Patent** US RE42,664 E Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 4 of 33

200



### FIG. 3B

#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 5 of 33 US RE42,664 E





### FIG. 3C

### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 6 of 33 US RE42,664 E



## **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 7 of 33 US RE42,664 E







# U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 8 of 33 US RE42,664 E

DFO Type	Type of DFO (e.g., table scan, sort/merge join)
Pointer to Parent	Pointer to parent node
Pointer to Sibling	Pointer to next-node
Row Source	Row source equivalent for this node
Operation	
Table Queue Identifier	Identifies table node for this queue
Skipped Node Information	
Non-QC Node Information	
Pointer to First Child	Pointer to first child of parallelized node
Key Column Number	Number of key columns in input table queue
Partitioning type	Parellelized node's partitioning type (e.g., hash, key range, rowid range, round robin)
Clumped Columns	Number of clumped columns with parent
Predicates	
Control Blocks Table Scan	Contains table scan information (e.g., table name and degree of parallelism)
Indexed Nested Loop Join name)	Contains information for an indexed nested loop join (e.g., right and left input table)
Sort/Merg join	Contains information for a sort/merge join (e.g., merge or outer join control flags)
Index Creation	Contains information for index creation (e.g., column list, index type, and storage parameters)

# **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 9 of 33 US RE42,664 E

Pointer to Next-to-Execute	Pointer to the next DFO to execute
Table Queue Identifier	Output table queue identifier
Rowid Table Number	Number of tables partitioned by rowid
SQL Statement Size	Size of the SQL settlement representing DFO
SQL Statement	SQL statement representing DFO
Flags	
Started	Slave sends "Started" message upon receipt
Ready	Slave sends "Ready" message when input consumed
Close	Slave expects to be closed upon completion

FIG. 6B

#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 10 of 33 US RE42,664 E



### **FIG. 7**A

#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 11 of 33 US RE42,664 E





# FIG. 7B

#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 12 of 33 US RE42,664 E



# FIG. 7C

#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 13 of 33 US RE42,664 E



Y









•

-

#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 14 of 33 US RE42,664 E



# 



### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 15 of 33 US RE42,664 E



#### **U.S. Patent** US RE42,664 E Aug. 30, 2011 **Sheet 16 of 33**



#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 17 of 33 US RE42,664 E





# **FIG. 10A**

#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 18 of 33 US RE42,664 E





#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 19 of 33 US RE42,664 E





# FIG. 10C

#### U.S. Patent US RE42,664 E Aug. 30, 2011 **Sheet 20 of 33**





# **FIG. 11A**

#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 21 of 33 US RE42,664 E



### **FIG. 11B**

#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 22 of 33 US RE42,664 E



#### **FIG. 11C**

#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 23 of 33 US RE42,664 E



### FIG. 11D

#### **U.S. Patent** US RE42,664 E Aug. 30, 2011 **Sheet 24 of 33**





#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 25 of 33 US RE42,664 E





#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 26 of 33 US RE42,664 E





#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 27 of 33 US RE42,664 E





# **FIG. 14B**

#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 28 of 33 US RE42,664 E





#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 29 of 33 US RE42,664 E



#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 30 of 33 US RE42,664 E





#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 31 of 33 US RE42,664 E





#### U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 32 of 33 US RE42,664 E





#### **U.S. Patent** Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 33 of 33 US RE42,664 E



#### US RE42,664 E

**METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR IMPLEMENTING PARALLEL OPERATIONS** IN A DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

This application is one of two reissue patent applications that are based on U.S. Pat. No. 5,857,180. This reissue patent application and reissue patent application Ser. No. 10/153, 983 are both divisional reissue patent applications based on 15 U.S. Pat. No. 5,857,180. U.S. Pat. No. 5,857,180 is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/441,527, filed May 15, 1995, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/127,585, filed Sep. 27, 1993 now abandoned.

#### 2

architecture avoids a two-phase commit because all processes can access all disks (see FIG. 1D). Therefore, recovery information for updates can be written to one disk, whereas data accesses for read-only accesses can be done using multiple disks in parallel.

Another hardware architecture, shared everything, provides the ability for any resource (e.g., central processing unit, memory, or disk storage) to be available to any other resource. FIG. 1A illustrates a shared everything hardware 10 architecture. FIG. 1A illustrates a shared everything hardware architecture. All of the resources are interconnected, and any one of the central processing units (i.e., CPU 1 or CPU n) can use any memory resource (i.e., Memory 1 to Memory n) or any disk storage (i.e., Disk Storage 1 to Disk Storage n). However a shared everything hardware architecture cannot scale. That is, a shared everything hardware architecture is feasible when the number of processors is kept at a minimal number of twenty to thirty processors. As the number of 20 processors increases (e.g., above thirty), the performance of the shared everything architecture is limited by the shared bus (e.g., bus 102 in FIG. 1A) between processors and memory. This bus has limited bandwidth and the current state of the art of shared everything systems does not provide for a means of <sup>25</sup> increasing the bandwidth of the shared bus as more processors and memory are added. Thus, only a fixed number of processors and memory can be supported in a shared everything architecture.

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

This invention relates to the field of parallel processing in a database environment.

2. Background Art

Sequential query execution uses one processor and one storage device at a time. Parallel query execution uses multiple processes to execute in parallel suboperations of a query. For example, virtually every query execution includes some 30 form of manipulation of rows in a relation, or table of the DBMS. Before any manipulation can be done, the rows must be read, or scanned. In a sequential scan, the table is scanned using one process.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention implements parallel processing in a Database Management System. The present invention does not rely on physical partitioning to determine the degree of Parallel query systems provide the ability to break up the 35 parallelism. Further, the present invention does not need to

scan such that more than one process can perform the table scan. Existing parallel query systems are implemented in a shared nothing, or a shared everything environment. In a shared nothing environment, each computer system is comprised of its own resources (e.g., memory, central processing 40 unit, and disk storage). FIG. 1B illustrates a shared nothing hardware architecture. The resources provided by System one are used exclusively by system one. Similarly, system n uses only those resources included in system n.

Thus, a shared nothing environment is comprised of one or 45 more autonomous computer systems that process their own data, and transmit a result to another system Therefore, a DBMS implemented in a shared nothing environment has an automatic partitioning scheme. For example, if a DBMS has partitioned a table across the one or more of the autonomous 50 computer systems, then any scan of the table requires multiple processes to process the scan.

This method of implementing a DBMS in a shared nothing environment provides one technique for introducing parallelism into a DBMS environment. However, using the location 55 of the data as a means for partitioning is limiting. For example, the type and degree of parallelism must be determined when the data is initially loaded into the DBMS. Thus, there is no ability to dynamically adjust the type and degree of parallelism based on changing factors (e.g., data load or sys- 60 tem resource availability). Further, using physical partitioning makes it difficult to mix parallel queries and sequential updates in one transaction without requiring a two phase commit. These types of systems must do two-phase commit because data is located on 65 multiple disks. That is, transaction and recovery information is located on multiple disks. A shared disk logical software

use read lock, or require a two-phased commit in transaction processing because transaction and recovery information is located on multiple disks.

The present invention provides the ability to dynamically partition row sources for parallel processing. That is, partitioning identifies the technique for directing row sources to one or more query slaves. The present invention does not rely on static partitioning (i.e., partitioning based on the storage location of the data).

The present invention can be implemented using any architecture (i.e., shared nothing, shared disk, and shared everything). Further, the present invention can be used in a software-implemented shared disk system (see FIG. 1D). A software-implemented shared disk systems is a shared nothing hardware architecture combined with a high bandwidth communications bus (bus 106 in FIG. 1D) and software that allows blocks of data to be efficiently transmitted between systems.

A central scheduling mechanism minimizes the resources needed to execute an SQL operation. Further, a hardware architecture where processors do not directly share disk architecture can be programmed to appear as a logically shared disk architecture to other, higher levels of software via mechanisms of passing disk input/output requests indirectly from processor to processor over high bandwidth shared nothing networks. At compilation time, a sequential query execution plan is generated. Then, the execution plan is examined, from the bottom up, to determine those portions of the plan that can be parallelized. Parallelism is based on the ability to parallelize a row source. Further, the partitioning requirements of consecutive row sources and the partitioning requirements of the
#### 3

entire row source tree is examined. Further, the present invention provides the ability for the SQL statement to specify the use and degree of parallelism.

A Query Coordinator (QC) process assumes control of the processing of a query. The QC can also execute row sources 5 that are to be executed serially. Additional threads of control are associated with the QC for the duration of the parallel execution of a query. Each of these threads is called a Query Server (QS). Each QS executes a parallel operator and processes a subset of intermediate or output data. The parallel 10 operators that are executed by a QS are called data flow operators (DFOs).

A DFO is represented as an extended structured query language (SQL) statement. A DFO is a representation of one row source or a tree of row sources suitable for parallel 15 execution. A DFO SQL statement can be executed concurrently by multiple processes, or query slaves. DFOs introduce parallelism into SQL operations such as table scan, order by, group by, joins, distinct, aggregate, unions, intersect, and minus. A DFO can be one or more of these operations. A central scheduling mechanism, a data flow scheduler, is allocated at compile time. When the top (i.e., root) of a row source tree, or a portion of a serial row source tree is encountered that cannot be implemented in parallel, the portion of the tree below this is allocated for parallelism. A data flow 25 scheduler row source is allocated at compilation time and is executed by the QC process. It is placed between the serial row source and the parallelizable row sources below the serial row source. Every data flow scheduler row source and the parallelizable row sources below it comprise a DFO tree. A 30 DFO tree is a proper subtree of the row source tree. A row source tree can contain multiple DFO trees.

#### 4

underlying row sources, since they read rows directly from the database, a table queue, or a remote data store.

A table queue row source is a mechanism for partitioning and transporting rows between sets of processes. The partitioning function of a table queue row source is determined by the partitioning type of the parent DFO.

The present invention provides the ability to eliminate needless production of rows (i.e., the sorcerer's apprentice problem). In some cases, an operation is dependent on the input from two or more operations. If the result of any input operation does not produce any rows for a given consumer of that operation, then the subsequent input operation must not produce any rows for that consumer. If a subsequent input operation were to produce rows for a consumer that did not expect rows, the input would behave erroneously, as a "sorcerer's apprentice." The present invention uses bit vector to monitor whether each consumer process received any rows from any producer slaves. Each consumer is represented by a bit in the bit vec-20 tors. When all of the end of fetch (i.e. eof) messages are received from the producers of a consumer slave, the consumer sends a done message to a central scheduling mechanism (i.e., a data flow scheduler). The data flow scheduler determines whether the consumer slave received any rows, and sets the consumer's bit accordingly. The bit in the bit vector is used by subsequent producers to determine whether any rows need to be produced for any of its consumers. The bit vector is reset at the beginning of each level of the tree. The dataflow scheduler uses states and a count of the slaves that have reached these states to perform its scheduling tasks. As the slaves asynchronously perform the tasks, transmitted to them by the dataflow scheduler, they transmit state messages to the dataflow scheduler indicating the stages they reach in these tasks. The data flow scheduler keeps track of the states of two DFOs at a time (i.e., the current DFO and the parent of the current DFO). A "started" state indicates that a slave is started and able to consume rows. A "ready" state indicates that a slave is processing rows and is about to produce rows. A "partial" state indicates that a slave is finished scanning a range of rowid, or equivalently, scanning a range of a file or files that contains rows, and needs another range of rowids to scan additional rows. "Done" indicates that a slave is finished processing.

If, at execution, the row source tree is implemented using parallelism, the parallelizer row source can implement the parallel processing of the DFOs in the row source tree for 35 which it is the root node. If the row source tree is implemented serially, the parallelizer row source becomes invisible. That is, the rows produced by the row sources in the DFO tree merely pass through the parallelizer to the row sources above them in the row source tree. The present invention uses table queues to partition and transport rows between sets of processes. A table queue (TQ) encapsulates the data flow and partitioning functions. A TQ partitions its input to its output according to the needs of the parent DFO and/or the needs of the entire row source tree. The 45 table queue row source synchronously dequeues rows from a table queue. A TQ connects the set of producer slaves on its input to the set of consumer slaves on its output. During the compilation and optimization process, each node in the row source tree is annotated with parallel data flow 50 information. Linkages between nodes in a row source tree provide the ability to divide the nodes into multiple lists. Each list can be executed by the same set of query slaves. In the present invention only those processes that are not dependent on another's input (i.e., leaf nodes), and those 55 slaves that must be executing to receive data from these processes execute concurrently. This technique of invoking only those slaves that are producing or consuming rows provides the ability to minimize the number of query slaves needed to implement parallelism. The present invention includes additional row sources to facilitate the implementation of the parallelism. These include table queue, table access by partition, and index creation row sources. An index creation row source assembles sub-indices from underlying row sources. The sub-indices are 65 serially merged into a single index. Row sources for table and index scanning, table queues, and remote tables have no

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGS. **1A-1**D illustrates shared everything, shared nothing, and shared disk environments.

FIG. 2 provides an example of database tables and an Structured Query Language query.

FIG. **3**A illustrates an example of a serial row source tree. FIG. **3**B illustrates a parallelized row source tree.

FIG. **3**C illustrates a row source tree divided into levels each of which is implemented by a set of query slaves.

FIG. 4 illustrates table queues.

FIG. **5** illustrates a right-deep row source tree. FIG. **6**A provides an example of parallelism annotation information.

FIG. **6**B provides an example of information sent to query slaves.

FIGS. **7**A-**7**F illustrates slave DFOs process flows. FIG. **8** illustrates a row source tree including parallelizer row sources.

FIG. 9 illustrates a three way join.FIG. 10A provides an Allocate Parallelizer process flow.FIGS. 10B-10C provide an example of the process flow for TreeTraversal.

### 5

FIG. **11**A illustrates a process flow for Fetch.

FIG. **11**B provides an example of the process flow of ProcessRowOutput.

FIGS. 11C-11D illustrate a process flow of ProcessMsgOutput.

FIG. 12 illustrates a Resume process flow.

FIG. 13 illustrates a process flow for ProcessReadyMsg. FIG. 14 provides a process flow for NextDFO. FIG. 15 illustrates a process flow for Start. FIG. 16 illustrates a process flow for Close. FIG. 17 illustrates a process flow for SendCloseMsg. FIG. 18 illustrates a StartParallelizer process flow. FIG. 19 illustrates a Stop process flow.

#### 0

will yield the employee name and the name of the department in which the employee works in order of employee.

An optimal plan for execution of SQL statement **216** is generated. A row source tree can be used to represent an execution plan. FIG. 3A illustrates an example of a row source tree for this query 216. Row source tree 300 is comprised of row sources. Table scan row source **310** performs a table scan on the employee table to generate rows from the employee table. The output of table scan **310** is the input of 10 sort **306**. Sort **306** sorts the input by department number. Table scan row source 312 performs a table scan on the department table to generate rows from the department table. The output of table scan 312 is the input of sort 308. Sort 308 sorts the input by department number.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

A method and apparatus for parallel query processing is described. In the following description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a more thorough  $_{20}$ description of the present invention. It will be apparent, however, to one skilled in the art, that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known features have not been described in detail so as not to obscure the invention. 25

#### ROW SOURCES

Prior to execution of a query, the query is compiled. The compilation step decomposes a query into its constituent 30 parts. In the present invention, the smallest constituent parts are row sources. A row source is an object-oriented mechanism for manipulating rows of data in a relational database system (RDBMS). A row source is implemented as an iterator. Every row source has class methods associated with it 35 (e.g., open, fetch next and close). Examples of row sources include: count, filter, join, sort, union, and table scan. Other row sources can be used without exceeding the scope of the present invention. As a result of the compilation process, a plan for the execu-40 tion of a query is generated. An execution plan is a plan for the execution of an SQL statement. An execution plan is generated by a query optimizer. A query optimizer compiles an SQL statement, identifies possible execution plans, and selects an optimal execution plan. One method of represent- 45 ing an execution plan is a row source tree. At execution, traversal of a row source tree from the bottom up yields a sequence of steps for performing the operation(s) specified by the SQL statement. A row source tree is composed of row sources. During the 50 compilation process, row sources are allocated, and each row source is linked to zero, one, two, or more underlying row sources. The makeup of a row source tree depends on the query and the decisions made by the query optimizer during the compilation process. Typically, a row source tree is comprised of multiple levels. The lowest level, the leaf nodes, access rows from a database or other data store. The top row source, the root of the tree, produces, by composition, the rows of the query that the tree implements. The intermediate levels perform various transformations on rows produced by 60 underlying row sources. Referring to FIG. 2, SQL statement 216 illustrates a query that involves the selection of department name 214 from department table 210 and employee name 206 from employee table 202 where department's department number is equal to 65 the employee's department number. The result is to be ordered by employee name 206. The result of this operation

The output from the two sort row sources (i.e., sort 306 and 15 sort 308) is the input to sort/merge join row source 304. Sort/Merge join 304 merges the input from the employee table (i.e., the input from sort 306) with the input from the department table (i.e., the input from sort **308**) by matching up the department number fields in the two inputs. The result will become the output of sort/merge join 304 and the input of orderBy **302**. OrderBy **302** will order the merged rows by the employee name.

#### DATA FLOW OPERATORS

A Query Coordinator (QC) assumes control of the processing of a query. The QC can also execute row sources that are to be executed serially. Additional threads of control are associated with the QC for the duration of the parallel execution of a query. Each of these threads is called a Query Server (QS). Each QS executes a parallel operator and processes a subset of the entire set of data, and produces a subset of the output data. The parallel operators that are executed by a QS are called data flow operators (DFOs). A DFO is a representation of row sources that are to be computed in parallel by query slaves. A DFO for a given query is equivalent to one or more adjacent row sources of that query's row source tree at the QC. Each DFO is a proper subtree of the query's row source tree. A DFO is represented as structured query language (SQL) statements. A DFO SQL statement can be executed concurrently by multiple processes, or query slaves. DFOs introduce parallelism into SQL operations such as table scan, orderBy, group by, joins, distinct, aggregate, unions, intersect, and minus. A DFO can be one or more of these operations. A DFO is converted back into row sources at the query slaves via the normal SQL parsing mechanism. No additional optimization is performed when DFO SQL is processed by the slaves. An SQL table scan scans a table to produce a set of rows from the relation, or table. A "group by" (groupBy) rearranges a relation into groups such that within any one group all rows have the same value for the grouping column(s). An "order by" (orderBy) orders a set of rows based on the values in the orderBy column(s). A join joins two or more relations based on the values in the join column(s) in the relations. Distinct eliminates any duplicate rows from the rows selected as a result of an operation. Aggregates compute functions aggregated over one or more groups of rows. Count, sum and average aggregates, for example, compute the cardinality, sum, and average of the values in the specified column(s), respectively. Maximum (i.e. Max) and minimum (i.e., Min) aggregates compute the largest and smallest value (respectively) of the specified column(s) among the group(s) of rows. A union operation creates a relation consisting of all rows that appear in any of two specified relations. An intersect operation creates a relation

#### 7

that consists of all rows that appear in both of two specified relations. A minus operation creates a relation that consists of all rows that appear in the first but not the second of two specified relations.

#### PARTITIONING

Existing parallel query systems are implemented in a shared nothing environment. FIG. 1B illustrates a shared nothing hardware architecture. In a shared nothing environ- 10 ment, each computer system is comprised of its own resources (e.g., memory, central processing unit, and disk storage). That is, a shared nothing environment is comprised of one or more autonomous computer systems, and each system processes its own data. For example, system one in 15 FIG. 1B is comprised of a central processing unit (i.e., CPU) 1), memory (i.e., memory 1), and disk storage (i.e., disk storage 1). Similarly, system n contains similar resources. A DBMS implemented in a shared nothing environment has an automatic partitioning scheme based on the physical 20 location of data. Therefore, partitioning, in a shared nothing environment, is determined at the time the physical layout of data is determined (i.e., at the creation of a database). Thus, any partitioning in a shared nothing environment is static. A scan of a table in a shared nothing environment neces- 25 sarily includes a scanning process at each autonomous system at which the table is located. Therefore, the partitioning of a table scan is determined at the point that the location of data is determined. Thus, a shared nothing environment results in a static partitioning scheme that cannot dynamically balance 30 data access among multiple processes. Further, a shared nothing environment limits the ability to use a variable number of scan slaves. A process, or slave, running in system one of FIG. 1B can manipulate the data that is resident on system one, and then transfer the results to another system. However, the same 35 process cannot operate on data resident on another system (i.e., system two through system n). Thus, processes on each system can only process the data resident on its on system, and cannot be used to share the processing load at other systems. Therefore, some processes 40 can complete their portion of a scan and become idle while other processes are still processing table scan tasks. Because each system is autonomous, idle processes cannot be used to assist the processes still executing a data access (e.g., table scan) on other systems. The present invention provides the ability to dynamically partition data. The present invention can be implemented using any of the hardware architectures (i.e., shared nothing, shared disk, or shared everything). Further, the present invention can be used in a software-implemented shared disk sys- 50 tem. A software-implemented shared disk system is a shared nothing hardware architecture combined with a high bandwidth communications bus and software that allows blocks of data to be efficiently transmitted between systems. Software implementation of a shared resource hardware architecture 55 reduces the hardware costs connected with a shared resource system, and provides the benefits of a shared resource system. FIG. 1D illustrates a software-implemented shared disk environment. System one through system n remain autonomous in the sense that each system contains its own resources. 60 However, a communications bus connects the systems such that data from system one through system n can transfer blocks of data. Thus, process, or slave, running in system one can perform operations on data transferred from another system (e.g., system n). In addition to the software-implemented shared disk environment, the present invention can be implemented in a

#### 8

shared everything hardware architecture (illustrated in FIG. 1A), and a shared disk hardware architecture (illustrated in FIG. 1C). In the shared resource environments (FIGS. 1A, 1C, and 1D), any data is accessible by any process (e.g., shared disk and shared everything). Thus, multiple central processing units can access any data stored on any storage device. The present invention provides the ability to dynamically partition an operation (e.g., table scan) based on the amount of data instead of the location of the data.

For example, the present invention provides the ability to spread a table scan across "N" slaves to balance the load, and to perform a table scan on a table such that each slave finishes at virtually the same time. The present invention determines an optimal number of slaves, "N", to perform an operation. All "N" slaves can access all of the data. For example, a table can be divided into three groups of "N" partitions (i.e., "3N") using three groups of "N" ranges(i.e., "3N"). The ranges can be based on the values that identify the rows (i.e., entries) in a table. Further, the "3N" partitions are arranged based on size. Thus, there are "N" large partitions, "N" medium-sized partitions, and "N" small partitions. Each partition represents are partial execution of the scan operation. The larger groups of rowids are submitted to the "N" slaves first. Each slave begins to process its rowid range. It is possible for some processes to complete their tasks before others (e.g., system resource fluctuations or variations in the estimations of partition sizes). When a process completes a partial execution, another set of rowid ranges can be submitted to the process. Since all of the large partitions were submitted to the "N" slaves at the start of a scan, faster slaves receive a medium or small rowid range partial execution. Similarly, as each slave completes its current rowid range, additional rowid ranges can be submitted to the slave. Because decreasing sizes of rowid ranges are submitted to the faster slaves, all of the slaves tend to finish at virtually the same time.

#### Partitioning Types

The present invention provides the ability to dynamically
partition using any performance optimization techniques. For example, prior to the execution of an operation to sort a table (i.e., order by), a sampling can be performed on the data in the table. From the results of the sampling, even distributions of the rows can be identified. These distributions can be used to
load balance a sort between multiple processes.

Some examples of partitioning include range, hash, and round-robin. Range partitioning divides rows from an input row source to an output row source based on a range of values (e.g., logical row addresses or column value). Hash partitioning divides rows based on hash field values. Roundrobin partitioning can divide rows from an input row source to an output row source when value based partitioning is not required. Some DFOs require outputs to be replicated, or broadcast, to consumers, instead of partitioned.

#### PLAN PARALLELIZATION

A serial execution plan (e.g., FIG. **3**A) provides a nonparallelized representation of a plan for execution of a query. In serial query processing, only one thread of control processes an entire query. For example, a table scan of the employee table (i.e., table scan **310** in FIG. **3**A), for example, is scanned sequentially. One process scans the employee table. The parallelism of the present invention provides the ability to divide an execution plan among one or more processes, or query slaves. Parallel query execution provides the ability to execute a query in a series of parallel steps, and to access

#### 9

data in parallel. For example, a table scan of the employee table can be partitioned and processed by multiple processes. Therefore, each process can scan a subset of the employee table.

At compilation time, a sequential query execution plan is 5 generated. Then, the execution plan is examined, from the bottom up, to determine those portions of the plan that can be parallelized. Parallelism is based on the ability to parallelize a row source. Further, the partitioning requirements of consecutive row sources and the partitioning requirements of the 10 entire row source tree is examined. Further, the present invention provides the ability for the SQL statement to specify the use and degree of parallelism.

#### 10

of FIG. 3A are combined with sort/merge join 304 to distinguish the sort/merge join DFO of FIG. 3B. Referring to FIG. 3B, slave DFOs 324A-324C perform the sort and join operations. The output of slave DFOs **330**A-**330**C is transmitted to slave DFOs **324**A-**324**C.

Table scan 332 scans a table (i.e., department table) that does not contain many rows. Thus, the application of parallelism to scan the department table may not improve performance. Therefore, table scan 332 can be implemented as a non-parallel scan of the department table. The output of table scan 332 becomes the input of slave DFOs 324A-324C.

An SQL statement can specify the degree of parallelism to be used for the execution of constituent parts of an SQL statement. Hints incorporated in the syntax of the statement can be used to affect the degree of parallelism. For example, an SQL statement may indicate that no amount of parallelism is to be used for a constituent table scan. Further, an SQL statement may specify the maximum amount of partitioning implemented on a table scan of a given table.

The present invention provides the ability to combine parallelism and serialism in the execution of a query. Parallelism 15 may be limited by the inability to parallelize a row source. Some row sources cannot be parallelized. For example, an operation that computes row numbers must allocate row numbers sequentially. When a portion of a row source tree is encountered that cannot be implemented in parallel, any por-20 tion below the serial row source is allocated for parallelism. A parallelizer row source is allocated between the serial row source and the parallelizable row sources below the serial row source. The parallelizer row source and the parallelizable row sources below it comprise a DFO tree. The output of this DFO 25 tree is then supplied as input to the serial row source. A row source tree can contain multiple DFO trees.

If, at execution, a given row source tree is implemented using parallelism, the parallelizer row source can implement the parallel processing of the parallelizable DFOs in the row 30 source tree for which it is the root node. If the row source tree is implemented serially, the parallelizer row source becomes invisible. That is, the rows produced by the row sources in the DFO tree merely pass through the parallelizer row source to the row sources above it in the row source tree. The row source tree is examined to determine the partitioning requirements between adjacent row sources, and the partitioning requirements of the entire row source tree. For example, the presence of an orderBy row source in a row source tree requires that all value based partitioning in the row 40source tree below the orderBy must use range partitioning instead of hash partitioning. This allows the orderBy to be identified as a DFO, and its operations parallelized, since ordered partitioning of the orderBy DFO's output will then produce correct ordered results. An orderBy operation orders the resulting rows (i.e., the output from the executed plan) according to the orderBy criteria contained in the SQL statement represented by the execution plan. To parallelize an orderBy operation, the query slaves that implement the operation each receive rows with a 50 range of key values. Each query can then order the rows within its range. The ranges output by each query slave (i.e., the rows ordered within each range) can then be concatenated based on the orderBy criteria. Each query slave implementing the orderBy operation expects row sources that fall within the 55 range specification for that query slave. Thus, the operations performed prior to the orderBy operation can be performed using range partitioning to facilitate the direction of the rows according to the range specification. FIG. **3**B illustrates a row source tree in which parallelism 60 has been introduced. Table scan 310 in FIG. 3A is processed by a single process, or query slave. In FIG. 3B, table scan 310 is partitioned into multiple table scans 330A-330C. That is, the table scan of the employee table is processed by multiple process, or query slaves. FIG. **3**B represents a parallel DFO tree corresponding to the row source tree depicted in FIG. 3A. Sort 306 and sort 308

#### TABLE QUEUES

Some DFOs function correctly with any arbitrary partitioning of input data (e.g., table scan). Other DFOs require a particular partitioning scheme. For example, a group by DFO needs to be partitioned on the grouping column(s). A sort/ merge join DFO needs to be partitioned on the join column(s). Range partitioning is typically chosen when an orderBy operation is present in a query. When a given child DFO produces rows in such a way as to be incompatible with the partitioning requirements of its parent DFO (i.e., the DFO) consuming the rows produced by a child DFO), a table queue is used to transmit rows from the child to the parent DFO and to repartition those rows to be compatible with the parent 35 DFO. The present invention uses a table queue to partition and transport rows between sets of processes. A table queue (TQ) encapsulates the data flow and partitioning functions. A TQ partitions its input to its output according to the needs of the consumer DFO and/or the needs of the entire row source tree. The table queue row source synchronously dequeues rows from a table queue. A TQ connects the set of producers on its input to the set of consumer slaves on its output. A TQ provides data flow directions. A TQ can connect a 45 QC to a QS. For example; a QC may perform a table scan on a small table and transmit the result to a table queue that distributes the resulting rows to one or more QS threads. The table queue, in such a case, has one input thread and some number of output threads equaling the number of QS threads. A table queue may connect some number, N, of query slaves to another set of N query slaves. This table queue has N input threads and N output threads. A table queue can connect a QS to a QC. For example, the root DFO in a DFO tree writes to a table queue that is consumed by the QC. This type of table queue has some number of input threads and one output thread.

FIG. 4 illustrates table queues using the parallel execution plan of SQL statement 216 in FIG. 2. Referring to FIG. 3B, the output of the table scans 330A-330C becomes the input of sort/merge join DFOs **324**A-**324**C. A scan of a table can be parallelized by partitioning the table into subsets. One or more subsets can be assigned to processes until the maximum number of processes are utilized, or there are no more subsets. While an ordering requirement in an SQL statement may 65 suggest an optimal partitioning type, any partitioning type may be used to perform a table scan because of the shared resources (e.g., shared disk) architecture. A table queue can

35

#### 11

be used to direct the output of a child DFO to its parent DFO according to the partitioning needs of the parent DFO and/or the entire row source tree. For example, table queue 406 receives the output of table scan DFOs 402A-402C. Table queue 406 directs the table scan output to one or more sort/5merge join DFOs **410**A-**410**C according to the partitioning needs of DFOs **410**A-**410**C.

In some instances, there is virtually no benefit in using parallel processing (e.g., table scan of a table with few rows). Referring to FIG. 4, table scan 412 of a small table (i.e., department table) is not executed in parallel. In the preferred embodiment, a table scan performed by a single process is performed by QC 432. Thus, the input to table queue 416 is output from QC 432. Table queue 416 directs this output to the input of DFOs 410A-410C. Table queue 416 connects QC<sup>15</sup> 432 to QS slave DFOs 410A-410C. The input from table queues 406 and 416 is used by DFOs 410A-410C to perform a sort/merge join operation. The output of DFOs 410A-410C is transmitted to table 20 tion. queue 420. Table queue 420 directs the output to DFOs 424A-**424**C. The existence of an orderBy requirement in an SQL statement requires the use of a type of range partitioning for table queue 420, and is suggested for range partitioning of TQ 406 and 416. Range partitioning will result in row partitions divided based on sort key value ranges. In the present example, SQL statement **216** in FIG. **2** specified an order in which the selected rows should be provided (i.e., ordered by employee name). Therefore, range partitioning is the partitioning scheme to execute SQL statement 216 in parallel. 30Thus, table queue 420 can direct a set of rows to each of the query slaves executing DFOs 424A-424C based on a set of ranges. Range partitioning can be used to divide the rows, by value ranges, between the query slaves processing the rows.

#### 12

operation of the table scan DFO (i.e., use rowid partitioning). Other examples are: "full" (i.e., scan entire table), "use\_ merge" (i.e., use a sort/merge join), and "use\_n1" (i.e., use a nested loop join).

Another extension provides the ability to use and reference table queues. The output of the employee table scan is directed to a table queue (e.g., Q1) as illustrated in FIG. 4. The contents of table queue Q1 become the input to the next operation (i.e., sort/merge). The DFO SQL statement assigns aliases for subsequent references to these fields. The DFO statement further creates a reference for the columns in the resulting table queue (i.e., "c1" and "c2"). These "aliases" can be used in subsequent SQL statements to reference the columns in any table queue. A second table scan is performed on the department table. As illustrated previously, because the department table is small (i.e., a lesser number of table entries), the department table scan can be performed serially. The output of the department table scan is directed to the Q0 table queue. The contents of Q0 table queue becomes the input to the sort/merge opera-The DFO SQL for the sort/merge operation is:

select /*+use	e_merge(a2)*/ a1.c2,a2.c2	
from :Q1 a1	from :Q1 a1, :Q0 a2	
where a1.c1	= a2.c1	

The sort/merge DFO SQL operates on the results of the employee table scan (i.e., Q1 table queue, or "a1"), and the results of the department table scan (i.e., Q0 table queue, or "a2"). The output of the sort/merge join DFO is directed to table queue Q2 as illustrated in FIG. 4. The contents of table queue Q2 becomes the input to the next operation (i.e., orderBy). The DFO SQL for the orderBy operation is: select c1, c2 from :Q2 order by c1

DFO SQL

A DFO is represented as structured query language (SQL) statements. For example, block **216** in FIG. **2** illustrates a selection operation from employee and department tables. A selection operation includes a scan operation of these tables. The DFO SQL for the employee table scan is:

> select /\*+rowid(e)\*/ deptno c1, empname c2 from emptable where rowid between :1 and :2

The ":1" and ":2" are rowid variables that delimit a rowid range. Actual rowid values are substituted at the beginning of 50 execution. As each slave completes the scanning of a rowid range (i.e., completion of a partial execution), additional rowid values are substituted at each subsequent partial execution. The scan produces the department field and employee name values. 55

The DFO SQL statement above illustrates extensions of SQL that provide the ability to represent DFOs in a precise and compact manner, and to facilitate the transmission of the parallel plan to multiple processes. One extension involves the use of hints in the DFO SQL statement that provide the 60 ability to represent a DFO in a precise and compact way. In additional to the hint previously discussed to specify the use and/or degree of parallelism, the present invention provides the ability to incorporate hints in a DFO SQL statement to specify various aspects of the execution plan for the DFO 65 SQL statement. For example, in the previous DFO SQL statement, the phrase "/\*+rowid(e) \*/" provides a hint as to the

The orderBy operation orders the results of the sort/merge join DFO. The output of the orderBy operation is directed to the requester of the data via table queue Q3.

#### COMBINED DFOs

If the partitioning requirements of adjacent parent-child DFOs are the same, the parent and child DFOs can be combined. Combining DFOs can be done using the SQL mecha-45 nism. For example, a reference to a table queue in a SQL statement (e.g., Qn) is replaced with the SQL text that defines the DFO. For example, if block **216** in FIG. **2** specified "order by deptNo," the sort/merge join and the orderBy operations can be combined into one DFO SQL. Thus, the first two statements can be combined to be statement three:

> 1. select /+ordered use\_merge(a2)\*/ a1.c2,a2.c2,a2.c2 from :Q1 a1, :Q0 a2 where a1.c1 = a2.c12. select c2, c3 from :Q2 order by c1

3. select c2, c3

from (select /\*+ordered use\_merge(a2)\*/ a1.c1 c1,a1.c2 c2, a2.c2 c3 from :Q1 a1, :Q0 a2 where a1.c1 = a2.c1) order by c1

#### PLAN ANNOTATIONS

During the compilation and optimization process, each node in the row source tree is annotated with parallel data flow

### 13

information. FIG. 6A provides an example of parallelism annotation information. If the node is a DFO, the type of DFO is retained (e.g., table scan, sort/merge join, distinct, and orderBy). If the node is a serial row source to be processed by the QC, the table queue to which the QC outputs the rows generated from the execution of the row source is stored with the other information associated with the row source, A node that represents a DFO also contains information regarding the DFO.

The number of query slaves available at the time of execution effects the degree of parallelism implemented. The number of available processes may be affected by, for example, quotas, user profiles, or the existing system activity. The present invention provides the ability to implement any degree of parallelism based on the number of query slaves available at runtime. If enough query slaves are available, the degree of parallelism identified at compile time can be fully implemented. If some number less than the number needed to fully implement the degree of parallelism identified at com- 20 pile time, the present invention provides the ability to use the available query slaves to implement some amount of parallelism. If the number of available query slaves dictates that the query be implemented serially, the present invention retains the row source equivalent for each node. Thus, the present invention provides the ability to serially implement a query parallelized at compile time. If the node is implemented by the QC, the output table queue identifier is included in the node information. If the node is not implemented by the QC, the pointer to the first child of the parallelized node, the number of key columns in the input table queue, the parallelized node's partitioning type, and the number of columns clumped with parent are included in the node information.

#### 14

execution of the row source tree. However, many of the slaves must wait to begin processing (i.e., remain idle) until other slaves supply data to them.

In the preferred embodiment of the present invention, a central scheduling mechanism is used to monitor the availability of data, and to start slaves as the data becomes ready for processing by the slaves. Therefore, the only slaves that are started are those that can begin processing immediately (i.e., leaf nodes), and those slaves that must be executing to 10 receive data from the leaf nodes. This technique of invoking only those slaves that are producing or consuming rows provides the ability to minimize the number of query slaves needed to implement parallelism.

If the node represents a table scan DFO, the information includes table scan information such as table name and degree of parallelism identified for the scan. If the DFO is an indexed, nested loop join, the information includes the right and left input table names. If the DFO is a sort/merge join, the infor- $_{40}$ mation includes two flags indicating whether the operation is a merge join or an outer join. If the DFO represents an index creation, the information includes a list of columns included in the index, the index type, and storage parameters. At the time of implementation, information describing the 45 DFOs is sent to the query slaves implementing the DFOs. All DFOs of an even depth are sent to one slave set. All DFOs of an odd depth are sent to the other slave set. Depth is measured from the top (root) node of the tree. FIG. 6B provides an example of information sent to query slaves. This information 50 includes a pointer to the next DFO for the slave set to execute. The next-to-execute pointer points to the next DFO at the same depth, or, if the current DFO is the last at its depth, the pointer points to the leftmost DFO in the tree at depth-2. The next-to-execute pointer links the DFOs not implemented by 55 tion). the QC into a set of subtrees, or lists.

For example, a first set of query slaves can be used to 15 produce rows for a second set of query slaves. Once the first set (i.e., the producing set of query slaves) completes its task of producing rows, the set can be used to implement the DFOs that consume the output from the second set of query slaves. Once the second set of slaves completes its task of producing rows for the first set, the set can be used to implement the level of the tree that receives input from the first set. This technique of folding the DFO tree around two sets of slave sets minimizes the number of slaves needed to implement a tree. As the depth of the tree increases, the savings in processing power increases. Further, this technique provides the ability to implement an arbitrarily complex DFO tree.

FIG. 3C illustrates a row source tree divided into thirds (i.e., Sets A-C) by lines 340 and 342 representing the levels of the tree that can be implemented by one set of query slaves. For example, Set A includes DFOs **330**A-C and DFOs **344**A-**344**C. These DFOs can be processed by a first slave set (i.e., slave set A).

The query slaves in slave set A perform table scans on an employee table and a department table. The rows generated 35 by these tables scans are the output of slave set A. The output of slave set A becomes the input of the query slaves in set B. Thus, the query slaves in set B must be ready to receive the output from slave set A. However, the query slaves implementing the operations in set C do not have to be invoked until slave set B begins to generate output. Slave set B must sort and merge the rows received from slave set A. Therefore, output from slave set B cannot occur until after slave set A has processed all of the rows in the employee and department tables. Therefore, once slave set A finishes processing the DFOs in set A, slave set A is available to implement the DFOs in set C. Therefore, the implementation of tree 350 only requires two slave sets (slave set A and B). Referring to FIG. 6B, information sent to query slaves include the output TQ identifier, the number of rowid-partitioned tables, the size of the SQL statement representing the DFO, the SQL statement representing the DFO, and flags that define runtime operations (e.g., slave must send "Started" message, slave sends "Ready" message when input consumed, and close slave expects to be closed upon comple-

Using the next-to-execute pointer, a row source tree can be

Additional row sources facilitate the implementation of the parallelism of the present invention. These include parallelizer, table queue, table access by partition, and index creation row sources. An index creation row source assembles subindices from underlying row sources. The sub-indices are serially merged into a single index. Row sources for table and index scanning, table queues, and remote tables have no underlying row sources, since they read rows directly from the database, a table queue, or a remote data store. A table queue is a mechanism for partitioning and transporting rows between sets of processes. The input TQ function of a table queue is determined by the partitioning type of

split into two DFO lists that can be executed by two sets of query slaves. The DFOs executed by a first set of query slaves is given by a list starting with the leftmost leaf of the DFO tree 60 and linked by the next-to-execute pointers. The DFOs executed by a second set of query slaves is given by the list starting with the parent of the leftmost leaf and linked by another set of sibling pointers.

The present invention can be implemented without a cen- 65 tral scheduling mechanism. In such a case, all of the slaves needed to implement the DFOs are implemented at the start of

### 15

the parent DFO. The following are examples of some considerations that can be used to determine the type of TQ partitioning:

1. The inputs to a DFO must be hash partitioned, if the DFO requires value partitioning (e.g., a sort/merge join or group by), there is no orderBy in the DFO tree, and the DFO is not a nested loop join;

2. The inputs to a DFO must be range partitioned, if the DFO requires value partitioning (e.g., a sort/merge join or group by), there is an orderBy in the DFO tree, and the DFO 10is not a nested loop join;

3. If the DFO is a nested loop join., one input must be arbitrarily partitioned and the other input must access all of

### 16

1020. At processing block 1022, the maximum depth of the tree is determined by examining the tree. At 1024, TreeTraversal is invoked to traverse the DFO tree for which the current parallelizer row source is being allocated. Processing ends at processing block **1026**.

TreeTraversal is invoked to further define the execution environment for a DFO tree. FIGS. **10**B and **10**C provide an example of the process flow for TreeTraversal. At processing block 1032, the table queue identifier (TQ ID) is initialized to zero, and the starting TQ ID for parallel DFOs is determined. At decision block 1034 (i.e., "all nodes processed?"), if the tree has been traversed, processing returns to AllocateParallelizer at block 1036. If the traversal is not complete, processing continues at block 1038. The first, or next node in the 15 execution order is identified at processing block **1038**. At processing block 1040, the TQ connection code (i.e., from slave set 1 to slave set 2, or from slave set 2 to slave set 1, or from QC to slave set 1, or from slave set 1 to QC, or from QC to slave set 2, or from slave set 2 to QC) is determined, and <sup>20</sup> the TQ's partitioning type is determined. At processing block 1044, a TQ ID is assigned to the TQ, and the TQ ID counter is incremented. At decision block 1046 (i.e., "table scans?"), if there are no table scans in the DFO, processing continues at decision block **1046**. If there are table scans, the number of distinct tables scanned is determined, and the index of distinct tables for this DFO is allocated and initialized at processing block **1046**. Processing continues at decision block **1050**. At decision block 1050 (i.e., "node to be executed by slave set 1 or slave set 2?"), if the node is executed by slave set 1, processing continues at decision block 1052. At decision block 1052 (i.e., "node first in execution chain 1?"), if the node is the first to be executed in the first chain, this node is set as the current node at processing block 1054, and processing continues at block 1058. If the node is not the first to be <sup>35</sup> executed, the next node pointer of the previous node in this

the input data either by using a broadcast TQ or a full table scan;

4. When rows are returned to the QC, partitions must be returned sequentially and in order, if the statement contains an orderBy. Otherwise, the rows returned from the partitions can be interleaved.

#### DATA FLOW SCHEDULER

The parallelizer row source (i.e., data flow scheduler) implements the parallel data flow scheduler. A parallelizer row source links each DFO to its parent using a TQ. If paral-25 lelism cannot be implemented because of the unavailability of additional query slaves, the parallelizer row source becomes invisible, and the serial row source tree is implemented. In this instance, the parallelizer is merely a conduit between the underlying row source and the row source to which the par-30 allelizer is the underlying row source. In general, row sources are encapsulated and, therefore, do not know anything about the row sources above or below them.

#### PARALLELIZER ALLOCATION

At compilation, when you reach a row source that is the top of a DFO tree, or is directly below a portion of the row source tree that cannot be parallelized, a parallelizer row source is allocated between the top of the DFO tree and below the serial 40 portion of the row source tree. FIG. 8 illustrates a row source tree including parallelizer row sources. Parallelizer 808 is allocated between DFO subtree 810 and serial row source **806**. Parallelizer **812** is allocated between DFO subtree **812** and serial row source tree 804.

FIG. 10A provides an Allocate Parallelizer process flow. Processing block 1002 gets the rood DFO in the DFO tree and initializes flags. At processing block 1004, the number of table instances scanned is determined. At processing block 1006, the number of table queues is determined. The number 50 of table queues receiving rows from serially processed nodes is determined at processing block 1008.

At decision block 1010 (i.e., "orderBy in query?"), if an orderBy is present in the SQL statement being processed, an orderBy flag is set, and processing continues at decision block 55 **1014**. If an orderBy is not present in the SQL statement, processing continues at decision block 1014. At decision block 1014 (i.e., "close message needed?"), if a close message must be sent to the slaves, a close flag is set, and processing continues at processing block **1018**. If no dose mes- 60 sage is needed, processing continues at processing block 1018. At processing block 1018, redundant columns that are not key columns are eliminated from the SQL statement(s). The start and ready synchronization requirements (i.e., whether 65 slaves need to communicate started and ready states to the data flow scheduler) are determined and retained at block

chain is set to point to the current node at processing block 1056, and processing continues at block 1058.

If, at decision block 1050, the node is to be executed by slave set 2, processing continues at decision block 1072. At decision block 1072 (i.e., "node first in execution chain 2?"), if the node is the first to be executed in the second chain, this node is set as the current node at processing block 1074, and processing continues at block 1058. If the node is not the first to be executed, the next node pointer of the previous node in 45 this chain is set to point to the current node at processing block 1076, and processing continues at block 1058.

At processing block 1058, the partitioning type for the TQ is determined. At processing block 1060, the table queue format is initialized. At processing block **1062** the table queue descriptor is allocated . At processing block **1062**, the table queue descriptor contains information regarding the TQ including the TQ ID, partitioning type, and connection code. The SQL for the DFO is generated at processing block 1064. Processing continues at decision block 1034 to process any remaining nodes of the tree.

#### PARALLELIZER INITIATION

After an SQL statement is compiled and an execution plan is identified, the SQL statement can be executed. To execute an SQL statement, execution begins from the top of the row source tree. From the root down, each node is told to perform one of its operations (e.g., open, fetch, or close). As each node begins its operations, it must call upon its underlying nodes to perform some prerequisite operations. As the tree is traversed in this manner, any parallelizer row sources that are encountered are called upon to implement its functionality (ie., start).

#### 17

Operations (e.g., fetching rows from DBMS) can be performed more than once. This results in multiple calls to a parallelizer. When a parallelizer is called after a first call to the parallelizer, the parallelizer must be able to determine the state of the slaves implementing the underlying DFO tree <sup>5</sup> (e.g., the state of the slaves, what DFOs are running). Start-Parallelizer, illustrated in FIGS. **18**A and **18**B, provides an example of the steps executed when a parallelizer row source is called.

At block 1802, flags are initialized (e.g., opened, started, 10 no row current, and not end of fetch). At decision block 1804 (i.e., "restart with work in progress?"), if the parallelizer was not restarted with work in progress, processing continues at block 1808. Processing continues at block 1808 to set the maximum number of slaves to the maximum number of 15 slaves allowed (i.e., based on a system's limitations) per query. At decision block 1810 (i.e., "rowid ranges set?"), if rowid ranges are set, processing continues at block 1814. If the rowid ranges have not been set, processing continues at block 20 1812 to allocate rowid ranges per slave, and processing continues at block 1814. At processing block 1814, the rowid ranges and the slave processes to implement the underlying DFO tree are allocated. At decision block 1816 (i.e., "any slaves available?"), if no slaves are available for allocation to 25 perform the parallelism of the underlying DFO tree, processing continues at block **1834** to clear flags in output TQ, and at **1836** to start the underlying serial row source. Thus, where system limitations do not permit any parallelism, the parallelizer initiates the serial row source tree to implement the 30 functionality of the parallel DFO tree. Processing returns at block **1834**.

#### 18

is started. At block **1506**, the fact that no ready message is needed is indicated (i.e., slaves will continue to process without ready synchronizations from the parallelizer). The counter is set to the number of slaves implementing the node at block **1508**. Processing continues at block **1510**.

If, at decision block **1502**, parallelism can be used to implement the node, processing continues at block **1520**. At block **1520**, the slave counter is set to zero. At decision block **1522** (i.e., "start confirmation needed?"), if it is determined that a start confirmation is necessary, a flag is set to mark the state as "Not Started" at block **1524**, and processing continues at block **1510**.

If no start confirmation is needed, processing continues at block **1526** to mark state as already started. At decision block **1528** (i.e., "ready confirmation needed?"), if ready confirmation is needed, processing continues at block **1510**. If it is not needed, the state is marked as already ready, and processing continues at block **1510**. At block **1510**, an initial rowid range of each parallel table scan is obtained for each slave implementing the current DFO. At block **1512**, an execution message is sent to all of the slaves that are implementing the current node. At block **1514**, the current node is marked as started. Processing returns at block **1516**.

If some amount of parallelism is available, processing continues at decision block 1818. At decision block 1818 (i.e., "first execute?"), if this is the first execution of the parallelizer, processing continues at block 1820 to initialize working storage (e.g., allocate variable length items from the cursor work heap, allocate and initialize bind value pointers, allocate and initialize TQ data structures, allocate SMJ TQ consumer bit vector, and allocate partial execution bit vector). Process- 40 ing continues at decision block 1822. If this is not the first execution of the parallelizer, processing continues at decision block 1822. At decision block 1822 (i.e., "SQL statement parsing necessary?"), if the parsing is required, processing continues at block **1824** to compile and 45 bind DFO SQL statement at all of the slaves. Processing continues at block 1826. If parsing is not necessary, processing continues at block **1826**. At block **1826**, the current node is set to the first node to be executed (i.e., the bottom-most, left-most node of the DFO 50 tree). At block 1828, the current node's and its' parent's slave count is set to zero, the current node's and its' parent's state is set to NULL. At block 1830, the TQ bit vector is set, the partial execution bit vector is cleared, and the row counter is set to zero. At **1832**, Start is invoked to start the current DFO. Processing ends at block 1834.

#### SORCERER'S APPRENTICE

The present invention provides the ability to eliminate needless production of rows (i.e., the sorcerer's apprentice problem). In some cases, an operation is dependent on the input from two other operations. If the result of the first input operation does not produce any rows, there is no need for the second input generator to produce any rows. However, unless these input generators are aware of the fact that there is no need to continue processing, they will execute their opera-

tions.

For example, a sort/merge join operation is dependent on the output of two separate underlying operations. If the execution of the first underlying operation does not produce any rows, there is no need to execute any remaining operations in the sort/merge join task. However, unless the processes executing the remaining underlying input are aware of the fact that there is no need to continue processing, they will continue to process despite the fact that there is no need to continue.

This problem is further complicated when multiple processes are involved (e.g., multiple slaves performing the first table scan) because some of the processes may produce rows while others do not produce rows. Therefore, it is important to be able to monitor whether any rows are produced for a given consumer. The producers of the rows can't be used to perform the monitoring function because the producers are not aware of the other producers or where the rows are going. Therefore, the consumer of the rows (i.e., the sort/merge join processes) must monitor whether any rows are received from the producers.

A bit vector is used to indicate whether each consumer

#### Start Node

At various stages of implementation of a DFO tree, the 60 parallelizer (i.e., data flow scheduler) traverses the DFO tree, using the DFO tree pointers, to find the next node to implement. When a node is identified that is not already started, the parallelizer starts the node. FIG. **15** illustrates a process flow for Start. 65

At decision block **1502** (i.e., "Nodes serially processed?"), processing continues at block **1504**. At block **1504**, the node

process received any rows from any producer slaves. Each consumer is represented by a bit in the bit vector. When all of
the end of fetch ("eof") messages are received from the producers of a consumer slave, the consumer sends a done message to the data flow scheduler. The data flow scheduler determines whether the consumer slave received any rows, and sets the consumer's bit accordingly. The bit in the bit
vector is used by subsequent producers to determine whether any rows need to be produced for any of its consumers. The bit vector is reset at the beginning of each level of the tree.

### 19

FIG. 9 illustrates a three way join. Employee table scan is implemented by slave DFOs 902A-902C in the first slave set. Rows produced by slave DFOs **902A-902**C in the first set are used by the second slave set implementing the first sort/merge join (i.e., slave DFOs **906**A-**906**C, respectively). The second 5 set of input to sort/merge join slave DFOs 906A-906C is generated by department table scan slave DFOs **904**A-**906**C in the first set, respectively. As slave DFOs 902A-902C complete, the sorcerer's apprentice bit vector is set to indicate whether any or none of slave DFOs 902A-902C produced any 10 rows. If none of these slave DFOs produced any rows, there is no need to continue processing. Further, if slave DFOs 902A-902C did not produce any rows for consumer slave DFO 906C, there is no need for slave DFOs 904A-904C to send any output to consumer slave DFO 906C. Therefore, subsequent 15 slave processes (e.g., 904C, 906C, 908C, or 910C) can examine the bit vector to determine what consumer slave DFOs should be serviced with input. The bit vector is updated to reflect a subsequent consumer slave's receipt (or lack thereof) of rows from their producer slaves, and examined by subse-20 quent producer slave processes to determine whether to process rows for their consumer slaves.

#### 20

state because it is not consuming any rows. Each table scan slave DFO SQL statement, when parsed, generates a table scan row source in each slave.

When executed, the table scan row source proceeds to access the employee table scan in the DBMS (e.g., performs the underlying operations required by the DBMS to read rows from a table), gets a first row, and is ready to transmit the row to its output table queue. The slaves implementing the table scan replies to the data flow scheduler that they are ready. The data flow scheduler monitors the count to determine when all of the slaves implementing the table scan have reached the ready state.

At this point, the data flow scheduler determines whether the DFO that is currently being implemented is the first child of the parent of this DFO. If it is, the data flow scheduler sends an execute to a second slave set to start the sort/merge join (SMJ) DFO (i.e., **324**A-**324**C). The slaves executing the SMJ DFO (i.e., 324A-324C) will transmit a "started" message. When the data flow scheduler has received a "started" message from all of the SMJ slaves (i.e., "n" slaves where "n" is the number of table scan and SMJ slaves), the data flow scheduler sends a resume to the table scan slaves. When the table scan slaves receive the resume, they begin to produce 25 rows. During execution, the table scan slaves may send a partial message. A partial message means that a slave has reached the end of a rowid range, and needs another rowid range to scan another portion of the table. The data flow scheduler does not have to wait for the other table scan slaves to reach this state. The data flow scheduler determines whether any rowid ranges remain. If there are no remaining rowid ranges, the data flow scheduler sends a message to the table scan slave that sent the "partial" message that it is finished. If there are more rowid ranges, the data flow scheduler sends the largest remaining

#### PARALLELIZER EXECUTION

After a parallelizer has been initiated, its operations include synchronising the parallel execution of the DFO tree. It allocates the DFOs in the DFO tree to the available slaves and specifies table queue information where appropriate. Like other row sources, the parallelizer row source can per- <sup>30</sup> form open, fetch, and close operations.

The data flow scheduler keeps track of the states of two DFOs at a time (i.e., the current DFO and the parent of the current DFO). As the slaves asynchronously perform the tasks, transmitted to them by the dataflow scheduler, they 35 transmit state messages to the dataflow scheduler indicating the stages they reach in these tasks. The data flow scheduler tracks the number of slaves that have reached a given state, and the state itself. The counter is used to synchronize the slaves in a slave set that are performing a DFO. The state 40 indicates the states of slaves implementing a DFO. For example, a started state indicates that a slave is started and able to consume rows. A ready state indicates that a slave is processing rows and is about to produce rows. A partial state indicates that a slave is finished with the range of rowids, and 45 needs another range of rowids to process additional rows. Partial state is the mechanism by which slave processes indicate to the QC that they need another rowid range to scan. Done indicates that a slave is finished processing. Some states are optional. The need for a given state is 50 dependent on where the DFO is positioned in the DFO tree, and the structure of the DFO. All DFOs except the DFO at the top of the DFO tree must indicate when they are ready. Every DFO except the leaves of the DFO tree must indicate when they have started. A DFO that is a producer of rows reaches 55 the ready state. Only table scan DFOs reach the partial state. A DFO that consumes the output of another DFO reaches the started state. Child DFOs that have a parent reach the done state.

rowid range to the table scan slave.

When each of the table scan slaves finish their portions of the scan, they send an "end of fetch" ("eof") message to the slaves that are executing the SMJ DFO via the table queue. When the SMJ DFO receives the "eof" messages from all of the table scan slaves, the SMJ DFO will report to the data flow scheduler that all of the table scan slaves are done. Once it is determined that all of the employee table scan has been completed, the data flow scheduler determines the next DFO to be executed.

The next DFO, the department table scan, is started. The same slave set is used to scan both the employee table and the department table. The department table scan slave DFOs (i.e., 344A-344C) will reach the ready state in the same way that the employee table scan reached ready. At that point, the data flow scheduler must determine whether the department table scan is the first child of its parent.

In this case, the department table scan DFO is not (i.e., the employee table scan DFO was the first child of the parent of the department table scan). Therefore, the parent DFO has already been started, and is ready to consume the rows produced by the department table scan slaves. Therefore, the data flow scheduler sends a "resume" to the department table scan slaves. The department table scan slaves will execute the department table scan sending "partial" messages, if applicable. Once an "eof" message is received from all of the slaves implementing the department table scan, the SMJ DFO slaves can consume all of its inputs from the employee and department table scans, and will become ready to produce a row. At this point, the SMJ DFO slaves can transmit a "ready" message to the data flow scheduler.

#### EXAMPLE

Referring to FIG. **3**C, each dataflow scheduler starts executing the deepest, leftmost leaf in the DFO tree. Thus, the employee scan DFO directs its underlying nodes to produce 65 rows. Eventually, the employee table scan DFO is told to begin execution. The employee table scan begins in the ready

### 21

Once the data flow scheduler receives a "ready" message from the all of the slaves (i.e., count is equal to the number of slaves implementing the SMJ DFO), the data flow scheduler must determine whether the SMJ DFO has parent. If so, the data flow scheduler must determine whether the SMJ DFO is 5 the first child of its parent. If it is, the data flow scheduler must send a "execute" message to the slaves implementing the OrderBy DFO. In this case, the SMJ DFO is the first child of the OrderBy DFO (i.e., 322A-322C). Therefore, the data flow scheduler starts the OrderBy DFO. Because the set of slave 10 that implemented the table scans are done, the OrderBy DFO can be implemented by the same set of slaves that implemented the table scan DFOs. Once the OrderBy DFO has started, it sends a "started" message to the data flow scheduler. When the data flow scheduler has received "started" messages from all of the OrderBy DFO slaves, it can send a "resume" message to the SMJ DFO slaves. The SMJ DFO begins to produce rows for consumption by the OrderBy slaves. As each SMJ DFO finishes, they send "eof" messages to the OrderBy DFO. Once the OrderBy <sup>20</sup> DFO receives an "eof" from all of the SMJ DFO slaves, the OrderBy DFO sends a message to the data flow scheduler. Because the OrderBy DFO is at the top of the tree, it does not have to go through any other states. Therefore, it can continue to output rows.

#### 22

used when rows have been produced, the data flow scheduler executes the callback routine, and processing returns to Fetch at block **1144**. If there is no callback routine, processing continues at processing block **1142** to decrement the number of rows to be supplied, and the number of rows supplied. Processing returns to Fetch at block **1144**.

#### ProcessMsgOutput

The slaves executing the operations synchronized by the data flow scheduler send messages to the data flow scheduler to request additional direction, or to communicate their states. When the data flow scheduler receives these messages, it

#### Fetch Operation

When a data flow scheduler receives a request for one or more rows, it executes its fetch operation. FIG. 11A illustrates 30 a process flow for Fetch. At decision block 1102 (i.e., "current" node not parallelized?"), if the current node is not parallelized, the row source operation is executed serially to satisfy the fetch request at block 1104. The data flow scheduler's fetch operation ends at block **1118**. If, at decision block 1102, it is determined that the current node is parallelized, processing continues at decision block **1106**. At decision block **1106** (i.e., "does requester still want" rows?"), if the requester no longer wants rows, processing ends at block **1118**. If the requester still wants rows, process- 40 ing continues at block 1110. At block 1110, the data flow scheduler waits for some output from the slaves processing the current node. At decision block 1112 (i.e., "received some output from a slave?"), if one or more rows are output from the slaves 45 processing continues at processing block 1116 to invoke ProcessRowOutput. If, at decision block 1112, the output is message output, processing continues at block 1114 to invoke ProcessMsgOutput. In either case, after the output is addressed, processing continues at decision block 1106 to 50 determine if more rows are requested by the requester.

processes them using ProcessMsgOutput. FIGS. 11C and
11D illustrate a process flow of ProcessMsgOutput. At decision block 1162 (i.e., "Message='Started'?"), if the message received from a slave is "Started," processing continues at decision block 1164. If, at decision block 1164 (i.e., "all slaves started?"), the data flow scheduler has not received the "Started" message from all of the slaves processing returns to Fetch at 1188.

If the data flow scheduler has received the "Started" message from all of the slaves, processing continues at block **1166**. At processing block **1166**, the slaves' next state becomes "Ready," and the data flow scheduler specifies that none of the slaves have reached that state. After each slave has sent "Started" message to the data flow scheduler, they wait for a "Resume" message in return. At processing block **1168**, the data flow scheduler sends a resume to the slaves, and processing returns to Fetch at block **1188**.

If, at decision block 1162, the output was not a start message, processing continues at decision block 1170. At decision block **1170** (i.e., "Message='Ready'?"), if the output is a ready message, processing continues at block 1172 to invoke <sup>35</sup> ProcessReadyMsg. After the ready message is processed by ProcessReadyMsg, processing returns to Fetch at block 1188. If, at decision block 1170, the output was not a ready message, processing continues at decision block 1174. At decision block 1174 (i.e., "Messag='Partial'?"), if the message was a "Partial," the slave has completed processing a table scan using a range, and is requesting a second range designation to continue scanning the table. At processing block 1176, the data flow scheduler sends a remaining range specification (if any) to the slave, and processing returns to Fetch at block **1188**. If, at decision block 1174, the message was not a partial message, processing continues at decision block 1178. At decision block **1178** (i.e., "Message="Done"), if the message is not a done message, processing returns to Fetch at **1188**. If the message was a done message, processing continues at block **1180** to get the next DFO to be executed. At processing block 1182, the bit vector is modified to record which consumers of the rows received rows from the finished slaves. At decision block **1184** (i.e., "all slaves done and some DFO is started or started DFO is next of next's parent?"), processing continues at block **1186** to invoke NextDFO to begin the next DFO, and processing returns to Fetch at block **1188**. If all of the slaves are not done or the started DFO is not ready, processing waits until the started DFO becomes ready, and returns to Fetch at block **1188**.

#### ProcessRowOutput

When the data flow scheduler determines that slaves have 55 generated rows (e.g., output rows to a TQ), the data flow scheduler monitors the output using ProcessRowOutput. FIG. **11**B provides an example of the process flow of Process-RowOutput. At block **1132**, the output is accessed in the output TQ. At decision block **1134** (i.e., "eof" pulled from 60 TQ?"), if the TQ output is an end of fetch, data flow scheduler marks all slaves as being finished, and stops the slaves at processing block **1136**, and processing returns to Fetch at block **1144**. If the output is not an "eof," processing continues at decision block **1138**.

At decision block **1138** (i.e., "callback procedure supplied?"), if the requester supplied a callback routine to be

#### Resume

When a slave repeals a ready for the current DFO, or a slave reports a started for the parent of the current DFO to the data flow scheduler, the data flow scheduler responds to the slave with a resume message to allow the slave to continue process-

### 23

ing. FIG. 12 illustrates a Resume process flow. At block 1202, the TQ ID for output, the TQ partitioning type, a node identifier, and the range partitioning keys are obtained. At decision block 1204 (i.e., "node executed by QC?"), if the node is being serially executed, processing continues at block 1206. <sup>5</sup> At block 1206, the process implementing the node (e.g., QC, data flow scheduler) empties the entire row source into the appropriate TQ, and Resume ends at block 1212.

If, at decision block **1204**, the node is parallelized, processing continues at block **1208** to send a resume message to all of <sup>10</sup> the slaves executing the current node. The next state for the slaves is marked as "DONE," and the count of the number of slaves that have reached that state is set to zero at processing

#### 24

DFO **506** even though current DFO **502** is the rightmost child of parent DFO 506. That is, next DFO 504 is not the parent of the current DFO 502. Thus, the normal next state (i.e., resume parent DFO 506) after receiving the done from current DFO **502**. Therefore, it is necessary to wait until current DFO **502** is done, and parent DFO 506 has reached a stable, ready state. Once parent DFO **506** has reached a ready state, the message from the data flow scheduler is not a resume for parent DFO **506**. Instead, the data flow scheduler transmits a message to execute next DFO 504, and to start DFO 508. When it is time to resume parent DFO 506, it is important to remember that parent DFO 506 has already been started, and is waiting for a resume message. All of this is handled by NextDFO. FIGS. 14A and 14B provide a process flow for NextDFO. 15 At processing block **1402**, the current node, the next node in the execution chain, the state of the parent, and the number of slaves executing the parent that have reached that state are identified. At processing block 1406, the sorcerer's apprentice bit vector is used to execute or resume, if the next DFO is a join apprentice (i.e., a DFO that needs to examine the join apprentice bit vector) to the current DFO. At decision block 1408 (i.e., "is next a sibling of current?"), if the next DFO to be implemented is a sibling of the current DFO, processing continues at decision block 1412. If, at decision block 1408, the next DFO is not a sibling of the current DFO, the slave count for the parent is set to zero, and the parent's state is set to NULL at block **1410**. Processing continues at decision block 1412. At decision block 1412 (i.e., "does the next node have a child?"), if the next node does not have a child, the current DFO's state is set to NULL, and the number of slaves that have reached that state is set to zero at processing block 1414. At processing block **1416**, Start is invoked to start next DFO. The next DFO is set to the current DFO at processing block If, at decision block 1412, the next node does have a child, processing continues at block 1418. At block 1418, parent is set to the parent of the next node. At decision block 1420 (i.e., "is next current's parent?"), if the next node is not the current's parent, the count is set to the number of slaves executing the current node, and the state is set to the ready state. Processing continues at decision block **1426**. If, at decision block 1420, it is determined that next is current's parent, processing continues at block 1424 to set the state of the current node to the state of its parent, and to set the count for the number of slaves that have reached that state to the number of slaves implementing the parent that have reached that state. Processing continues at decision block 1426. At decision block 1426 (i.e., "have all current's slaves reached the ready state?"), if all of the slaves implementing the current node have not reached ready, the next DFO is set to the current DFO at processing block **1433**, and processing returns at block 1434. If all of the slaves are ready, processing continues at decision block 1428. At decision block 1428 (i.e., "does next have a parent and is next the first child of the parent?"), if next is the first child of its parent, Start is invoked at block **1432** to start parent. If next is not the first child of its parent, Resume is invoked at block 1430 to resume the parent. In either case, the next DFO is set to the current DFO at block 1433, and processing returns at block 1434.

#### block 1210. Resume ends at block 1212.

#### ProcessReadyMsg

When a producer slave is about to produce rows, the producer slave sends a "Ready" message to the data flow scheduler. When a ready message is received by the data flow <sup>20</sup> scheduler, the data flow scheduler processes the ready message using FrocessReadyMsg. FIG. **13** illustrates a process flow for ProcessReadyMsg. At decision block **1302** (i.e., "all slaves ready?") if all of the slaves are not ready, processing returns to Fetch at **1318** to wait until all of the slaves reach the <sup>25</sup> ready state.

If, at decision block **1302**, it is determined that all of the states have reached ready (i.e., count is equal to the number of slaves), processing continues at processing block **1304**. At block **1304**, no DFO started is indicated. At decision block <sup>30</sup> **1306** (i.e., "parent of current ready?"), if the parent of the current node is ready to receive the rows produced by the slaves implementing the current node, processing continues at decision block **1308**.

At decision block 1308 (i.e., "is the current done?") if the 35 1433, processing returns at 1434. slaves executing the current DFO have not reached the done state, processing returns to Fetch to wait for them to complete. If the slaves have reached the done state, NextDFO is invoked to implement the next node after the current DFO, and processing returns to Fetch at block **1318**. If, at decision block **1306** (i.e., "parent of current ready?"), the parent of the current is not ready, processing continues at **1310** to identify the parent of the current DFO. At decision block 1312 (i.e., "child first child of parent), if the current node has a parent and the current node is the first child of the 45 parent to be executed, Start is invoked at block 1316 to start the parent. If the child is not the first child of the parent, the parem has already been started. Therefore, at block 1314, Resume is invoked to allow the parent to continue processing (e.g., consume the rows produced by the child). In either case, 50 processing returns to Fetch at block **1318**.

#### NextDFO

After the most recently process DFO reaches the done 55 state, it is necessary to determine the next DFO to be executed. The pointers that implement the structure of the row source and DFO trees are used to identify the next DFO to be executed. Generally, the row source tree is left deep. A row source 60 tree is left deep, if any row source subtree is the subtree of only the left input to its enclosing row source subtree. However, it is possible for a row source tree to be right deep. When a done reply is received from all of the slaves, it is necessary to determine when to execute the next DFO. In a right-deep 65 row source tree illustrated in FIG. **5**, the next DFO to execute after execution of current DFO **502** is DFO **504** not parent

**Close Operation** 

The close operation terminates the query slaves. Close can occur when the entire row source tree has been implemented, or at the end of a DFO tree. Initially, the parallelizer sends a

### 25

stop message to each of the slaves running DFOs in the parallelizer's DFO tree to tell each of the slaves to stop processing. This triggers the slaves to perform any clean up operations (e.g., release any locks on data or resources) and to reach a state for termination. In addition, the close operation <sup>5</sup> remits the slaves to the free pool.

FIG. 16 illustrates a process flow for Close. At decision block 1601 (i.e., "Close' message expected by slaves?"), if a close message is expected by the slaves, SendCloseMsg at block 1604. Stop is invoked at block 1606. Flags are cleared <sup>10</sup> at block 1608, and processing ends at block 1610.

FIG. 17 illustrates a process flow for SendCloseMsg. At block 1702, DFO is set to the first executed DFO. At decision

#### 26

the execution message is not a parse message, processing continues at decision block **708**. At decision block **708** (i.e., "message is 'execute'?"), if the execution message is an execute message, processing continues at block **710** to invoke SlaveExecute, and processing continues at block **702** to process execution messages.

If, at decision block **708**, the execution message is not an execution message, process continues at decision block **712**. At decision block **712** (i.e., "message is 'resume'?"), if the execution message is a resume message, processing continues at block **714** to invoke SlaveResume, and processing continues at block **702** to process execution messages. If the message is not a resume message, processing continues at decision block **716**. At decision block **716** (i.e., "message is 'close'?"), if the execution message is a close message, processing continues at block **718** to invoke SlaveClose. If the message is not a close message, processing continues at decision block **718** to invoke SlaveClose. If the message is not a close message, processing continues at decision block **702** to process execution messages.

block **1704** (i.e., "no current DFO or current DFO not parallel?"), if there is not current DFO or the current DFO is not <sup>15</sup> parallel, processing ends at block **1714**. If not, processing continues at decision block **1706**.

At decision block **1706** (i.e., "DFO found?"), if a DFO is not found, processing ends at block **1714**. If a DFO is found, processing continues at decision block **1708**. At decision <sup>20</sup> block **1708** (i.e., "DFO slaves expecting close message?"), if the DFO is expecting a close message, processing continues at block **1710** to send a close message to each of the slaves in the set, and processing continues at decision block **1716**. If the DFO is not expecting a close message, processing con- <sup>25</sup> tinues at decision block **1716**.

At decision block **1716** (i.e., "DFO=current DFO?"), if the DFO is the current DFO, processing ends at block **1714**. If it is not the current DFO, then processing continues at block **1716** to get the next DFO, and processing continues at deci-<sup>30</sup> sion block **1706** to process any remaining DFOs.

FIG. 19 illustrates a Stop process flow. At decision block 1902 (i.e., "Serial process?"), if the process is a serial process, processing continues at block 1904 to close the underlying row source, and processing ends at block 1610. If the process<sup>35</sup> is not a serial process, processing continues at block 1906. At block 1906, the slaves are closed, and deleted, if necessary. At block 1908, current DFO and current output TQ are cleared. Processing ends at block 1610.

#### SlaveParse

A parse execution message is sent after it is determined that the DFO SQL statements must be parsed before execution. FIG. 7B illustrates a process flow for a slave DFO processing a parse message. At block **720**, a database cursor is opened for each DFO. At block **722**, each DFO SQL statement is parsed. Processing block **724** binds all SQL statement inputs and defines all output values. At processing block **726**, the parsed cursor numbers are returned to the QC, and the SlaveParse process ends.

#### SlaveExecute

If an execute message is received from the QC, the slave DFO receiving the message must execute the DFO. FIG. 7C illustrates a process flow for executing a DFO. At decision block 730 (i.e., first execute of this DFO?"), if this is not the first execution message received for this DFO, processing continues at block 746 to invoke SlaveFetch to fetch all rows, 40 and processing ends at block **748**. If this is the first execution message received, processing continues at decision block 732 (i.e., QC expects 'started'?") to determine whether the QC expects a reply indicating that the slave has started. If yes, processing continues at block 734 to send a "started" message to the QC, and processing continues at block 736. If not, processing continues at block 736. Block 736 processes bind variables, and executes the cursor. At block **738**, a "done" replies are sent to QC for all of the child DFOs of the DFO being executed. At decision block 740 (i.e., "QC expects 'ready' replies?"), if the QC expects a ready message to indicate that the slave DFO is ready to fetch rows, processing continues at block 742. At block 742, one row is fetched from the DFO cursor. Processing continues at block 744 to send a "ready" reply to the QC, and processing ends. If 55 the QC does not expect a ready message, processing continues at block 746 to fetch all rows from the DFO cursor, and

#### Row Operator

The present invention provides the ability to pass a routine from a calling row source to an underlying row source. The routine can be used by the underlying row source to perform <sup>45</sup> a function for the calling row source. For example, a calling row source can call an underlying row source and pass a routine to the underlying row source to place the row sources in a location for the calling row source. Once the underlying routine has produced the rows, the underlying row source can <sup>50</sup> use the callback routine to place the row sources in a data store location (e.g., database or table queue).

#### SLAVE PROCESSES

A slave DFO receives execution messages from the dataflow scheduler. For example, a slave DFO may receive a message to parse DFO SQL statements, resume operation, execute a DFO, or close. When a message is received by a slave DFO, the slave DFO must determine the meaning of the 60 message and process the message. FIG. 7A illustrates a process flow for receipt of execution messages. At block **702**, an execution message from the QC is read. At decision block **704** (i.e., "message is 'parse' ?"), if the execution message is a parse message, processing continues at 65 block **706** to invoke SlaveParse, and processing continues at block **702** to process execution messages sent by the QC. If

processing ends at block 748.

#### SlaveResume

After a slave DFO sends a ready message, it waits for a resume message from the QC to continue processing. When it receives a resume message, a slave DFO resumes its execution. FIG. 7E illustrates a SlaveResume process flow. At decision block 750 (i.e., "first row already fetched?"), if the first row has already been fetched, processing continues at block 752 to write the first row to the slave DFO's output TQ, and

5

### 27

processing continues at block **754**. If the first row has not been fetch, processing continues at block **754**. At block **754**, SlaveFetch is invoked to fetch any remaining rows from the DFO cursor. Processing ends at block **756**.

#### SlaveClose

Upon completion of a DPO, the database cursor associated with the completed DFO can be closed. FIG. 7E illustrates a process flow for SlaveClose. At block 762, the DFO's data-<sup>10</sup> base cursor is closed. Processing ends at block 764.

#### SlaveFetch

#### 28

executing said parallelized execution plan when a plurality of parallel resources of said computer system are available, said first and second operations executing in parallel; and

executing said serial execution plan when said plurality of resources are not available.

[2. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of generating a parallelized execution plan includes the steps of:

identifying one or more segments of said serial execution plan that can be parallelized; and

identifying partitioning requirements of said one or more segments.]

[3. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of generating a parallelized execution plan is based on a specification of parallelism in a statement specifying one of said operations.]
[4. A method of generating an execution plan to process a database management system (DBMS) operation in parallel including the steps of:

If a "ready" reply is not expected, or a slave DFO receives <sup>15</sup> a resume after sending a "ready" reply, a slave DFO can fetch all the rows from a DFO cursor. FIG. 7F illustrates a process flow to fetch all rows. At decision block 770 (i.e., "given DFO cursor is at EOF?"), if the DFO cursor is at eof, processing <sub>20</sub> continues at decision block 772.

At decision block 772 (i.e., "QC expects 'partial' reply?"), if a partial execution message is expected by the QC to indicate that the slave DFO has completed processing the range of rowids provided by the QC, processing continues at block 774 25 to send the partial message to the QC, and processing ends at **780**. If a partial message is not expected (as determined at decision block 772), processing continues at block 778 to write an eof to the output TQ for the slave DFO. Processing ends at block 780. 30

If, at decision block **770** (i.e., given DFO cursor is at EOF?"), it is determined that the DFO cursor is not at eof, processing continues at block **776** to fetch the next row from the DFO cursor. At block **782**, the row is written to the slave DFO's output TQ, and processing continues at decision block 35

generating an execution plan for said operation; examining said execution plan from bottom up; identifying a parallelized portion of said execution plan, said parallelized portion can be processed in parallel, said parallelized portion including first and second operations, said first and second operations being executable in parallel, said second operation including one or more slave processes operating on a plurality of data partitions, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said slave processes, each of said slave processes operating on a different one of said data partitions, at least one of said slave processes operating on more than one of said data partitions; identifying some serial portion of said execution plan, said serial portion can be processed in serial; allocating a central scheduler between said parallelized portion and said serial portion.

770 to fetch any remaining rows from the DFO cursor.

#### OTHER PARALLELIZATION EXAMPLES

One application for parallelism is the creation of an index. 40 The index creation operation includes table scan, subindex create, and merge subindices operations. The table scan and subindex create can be performed in parallel. The output from the subindex creation operation is the input to the merge subindices process. In addition, a table can be created in 45 parallel. A subtable create operation can create a table in parallel, and the subtables can be merged.

Thus, a method and apparatus for processing queries in parallel has been provided.

We claim:

**1**. A computer-implemented method of implementing database management system (DBMS) operations in parallel, independent of physical storage locations, said computerimplemented method comprising the steps of: 55 generating a serial execution plan for operations in said DBMS; generating a parallelized execution plan for said serial execution plan, said parallelized execution plan including first and second operations, said second operation 60 including one or more slave processes operating on a plurality of data partitions, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said slave processes, each of said slave processes operating on a different one of said data partitions, at least one of said 65 slave processes operating on more than one of said data partitions;

**[5**. The method of claim 4 further including the steps of: identifying a first data flow requirement for a first portion of said execution plan said first data flow requirement corresponding to a partitioning of a data flow required by said first portion;

identifying a second data flow requirement for a second portion of said execution plan said second data flow requirement corresponding by said second portion; and allocating a data flow director between said first portion and said second portion when said first data flow requirement is not compatible with said second data flow requirement said data flow director repartitioning a data flow of said first portion to be compatible with said second data flow requirement.]

 [6. A computer-implemented method of executing database management system (DBMS) operations in parallel in a computer system, said method comprising the steps of: generating an execution plan to execute said operations in parallel, said execution plan including first and second operations;

initiating an operation coordinator in said computer system to coordinate execution of said execution plan;
initiating, by said operation coordinator, a first set of slaves operating on a plurality of data partitions to produce data, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said first set of slave processes, each of said slave processes of said first set of slave processes operating on a different one of said data partitions, at least one of said slave processes operating on more than one of said data partitions;
initiating, by said operation coordinator, a second set of slaves to consume data; and

45

### 29

directing said second set of slaves to produce data and said first set of slaves to consume data when said first set of slaves finishes producing data.]

[7. The method of claim 6 wherein said execution plan is comprised of operator nodes and said operator nodes are <sup>5</sup> linked together to form execution sets.]

**[8**. A computer-implemented method of executing database management system (DBMS) operations in parallel in a computer system, said method comprising the steps of:

- generating an execution plan to execute said operations in <sup>10</sup> parallel, said execution plan including first and second operations;
- initiating a data flow scheduler in said computer system to

#### 30

**[11**. The apparatus of claim **9** wherein said means for generating a parallelized execution plan is based on a specification of parallelism in a statement specifying one of said operations.]

**[12**. In a computer system, a database management apparatus for generating an execution plan to process database operations in parallel, said database management apparatus comprising;

means for generating an execution plan for said operations; means for examining said execution plan from bottom up; means for identifying a parallelized portion of said execution plan, said parallelized portion including first and second operations, said parallelized portion being processed in parallel, said second operation including one or more slave processes operating on a plurality of data partitions, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said slave processes, each of said slave processes operating on a different one of said data partitions, at least one of said slave processes operating on more than one of said data partitions; means for identifying some serial portion of said execution plan, said serial portion being processed in serial; and means for allocating a central scheduler between said parallelized portion and said serial portion. **[13**. The apparatus of claim **12** further including: means for identifying a first data flow requirement for a first portion of said execution plan said first data flow requirement corresponding to a partitioning of a data flow required by said first portion; means for identifying a second data flow requirement for a second portion of said execution plan said second data flow requirement corresponding to said second portion; and

coordinate data flow;

initiating, by said data flow scheduler, producer slaves
 operating on a plurality of data partitions to produce a first data production the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said producer slaves, each of said producer slaves operating on a different one 20 of said data partitions, at least one of said producer slaves slaves operating on more than one of said data partitions; initiating, by said data flow scheduler, consumer slaves to consume said first data production;

transmitting a ready message to said data flow scheduler 25 when said producer slaves become ready to produce data;

transmitting a completion message to said data flow scheduler when said first data production is completed:
generating, by said data flow scheduler, in response to said 30 completion message, an identification of a plurality of said consumer slaves that did not receive data in said first data production, said generating step using information derived from said ready message;

examining, by said producer slaves, said identification dur- 35

means for allocating a data flow director between said first portion and said second portion when said first data flow requirement is not compatible with said second data flow requirement, said data flow director repartitioning a data flow of said first portion to be compatible with said second data flow requirement.]
[14. In a computer system, a database management apparatus for executing database operations in parallel, said database management apparatus comprising:

ing a subsequent data production; and

reducing said subsequent data production such that said subsequent data production does not produce data for said plurality of said consumer slaves.

[9. In a computer system, a database management appara- 40 tus for implementing database operations in parallel, independent of physical storage locations, said database management apparatus comprising;

- means for generating a serial execution plan for operations in said database management apparatus;
- means for generating a parallelized execution plan for said serial execution plan, said parallelized execution plan including first and second operations, said second operation including one or more slave processes operating on a plurality of data partitions, the quantity of said data 50 partitions being greater than the quantity of said slave processes, each of said slave processes operating on a different one of said data partitions, at least one of said slave processes operating on more than one of said data partitions;
- means for executing said parallelized execution plan when a plurality of parallel resources of said computer system
- means for generating an execution plan to execute said operations in parallel, said execution plan including first and second operations, said first and second operations being executed in parallel;
  - means for initiating an operation coordinator in said computer system to coordinate execution of said execution plan;
  - means for initiating, by said operation coordinator, a first set of slaves operating on a plurality of data partitions to produce data, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said first set of slave processes, each of said slave processes of said first set of slave processes operating on a different one of said data

are available, said first and second operations executing in parallel; and

means for executing said serial execution plan when said 60 plurality of resources are not available.]
[10. The apparatus of claim 9 wherein said means for generating a parallelized execution plan further includes: means for identifying one or more segments of said serial execution plan that can be parallelized; and 65 means for identifying partitioning requirements of said one or more segments.]

slave processes operating on a unrefer one of said data partitions, at least one of said slave processes operating on more than one of said data partitions;
means for initiating, by said operation coordinator, a second set of slaves to consume data; and
means for directing said second set of slaves to produce data and said first set of slaves to consume data when said first set of slaves finishes producing data.]
[15. The apparatus of claim 14 wherein said execution plan is further comprised of operator nodes and said operator nodes are linked together to form execution sets.]

### 31

**[16**. In a computer system, a database management apparatus for executing database operations in parallel, said database management apparatus comprising:

means for generating an execution plan to execute said operations in parallel, said execution plan including first <sup>5</sup> and second operations, said first and second operations being executed in parallel;

means for initiating a data flow scheduler in said computer system to coordinate data flow;

means for initiating, by said data flow scheduler, producer  $10^{10}$ slaves operating on a plurality of data partitions to produce a first data production, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said producer slaves, each of said producer slaves operating on a 15different one of said data partitions, at least one of said producer slaves operating on more than one of said data partitions; means for initiating, by said data flow scheduler, consumer slaves to consume said first data production; 20 means for transmitting a ready message to said data flow scheduler when said producer slaves become ready to produce data; means for transmitting a completion message to said data flow scheduler when said first data production is com-<sup>25</sup> pleted; means for generating, by said data flow scheduler, in response to said completion message, an identification of a plurality of said consumer slaves that did not receive data in said first data production, said generating step <sup>30</sup> using information derived from said ready message; means for examining, by said producer slaves, said identification during a subsequent data production; and means for reducing said subsequent data production such 35

### 32

**[18**. The article of manufacture of claim **17** wherein said computer readable program code for causing said processing means to generate a parallelized execution plan further includes:

computer readable program code for causing said processing means to identify one or more segments of said serial execution plan that can be parallelized; and computer readable program code for causing said processing means to identify partitioning requirements of said one or more segments.]

[19. The article of manufacture of claim 17 wherein said computer readable program code for causing said processing means to generate a parallelized execution plan is based on a specification of parallelism in a statement specifying one of said operations.]

20. A method of parallelizing an operation, the method comprising the steps of:

dividing the operation into a set of work partitions;
assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions
to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of
said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work
partitions from said set of work partitions;
wherein the step of assigning work partitions is performed
by assigning the work partitions in a sequence based at
least in part on sizes associated with the work partitions,
with relatively larger work partitions assigned before

relatively smaller work partitions;

said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation; and

wherein assigning the work partitions in a sequence includes assigning a first previously unassigned work partition to a particular entity of the plurality of entities, and when the particular entity completes processing the first work partition, picking a second previously unassigned work partition based at least in part on the size of the second work partition, and assigning the second unassigned work partition to the particular entity for processing, wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices. 21. A method of parallelizing an operation, the method comprising the steps of: dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions from said set of work partitions, wherein the step of assigning work partitions includes: assigning said at least one entity a first work partition from said set of work partitions; and after said at least one entity has completed operating on said first work partition, assigning said at least one entity a second work partition from said set of work partitions, wherein the step of assigning said at least one entity a second work partition includes determining whether there are any unassigned work partitions from a first level in a hierarchy to which said first work partition belonged; and if there are no unassigned work partitions from the first level in the hierarchy, then selecting said second work partition from a level in said hierarchy that is two levels above said first level in said hierarchy; said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation; and

that said subsequent data production does not produce data for said plurality of said consumer slaves.]

**[17**. An article of manufacture comprising a computer usable mass storage medium having computer readable program code embodied therein for causing a processing means 40 to execute computer-implemented database management operations in parallel, independent of physical storage locations, said computer readable program code in said article of manufacture comprising:

computer readable program code for causing said process- 45
 ing means to generate a serial execution plan for said
 database management operations;

computer readable program code for causing said processing means to generate a parallelized execution plan for said serial execution plan, said parallelized execution <sup>50</sup> plan including first and second operations, said second operation including one or more slave processes operating on a plurality of data partitions, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said 55 slave processes, each of said slave processes operating on a different one of said data partitions, at least one of said slave processes operating on more than one of said data partitions; computer readable program code for causing said process- 60 ing means to execute said parallelized execution plan when a plurality of parallel resources of said computer system are available, said first and second operations executing in parallel; and computer readable program code for causing said process- 65 ing means to execute said serial execution plan when said plurality of resources are not available.

### 33

wherein the operation is specified in a query that corresponds to the hierarchy of operations,

wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices.

22. A method of parallelizing an operation, the method <sup>5</sup> comprising the steps of:

dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work<sup>10</sup> partitions from said set of work partitions;

said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation;<sup>15</sup> the method includes the step of generating a serial execution plan for operations in a database management system (DBMS) running on a computer system; the method includes the step of generating a parallelized execution plan for said serial execution plan, said parallelized execution plan including first and second operations;

#### 34

identifying some serial portion of said execution plan, said serial portion can be processed in serial; and
allocating a central scheduler between said parallelized portion and said serial portion,
wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices.

26. The method of claim 25 further including the steps of: identifying a first data flow requirement for a first portion of said execution plan said first data flow requirement corresponding to a partitioning of a data flow required by said first portion;

identifying a second data flow requirement for a second portion of said execution plan said second data flow requirement corresponding by said second portion; and allocating a data flow director between said first portion and said second portion when said first data flow requirement is not compatible with said second data flow requirement said data flow director repartitioning a data flow of said first portion to be compatible with said second data flow requirement.

- the step of dividing an operation is performed by dividing said second operation;
- the plurality of entities includes one or more slave pro- 25 cesses operating on a plurality of data partitions, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said slave processes;
- executing said parallelized execution plan when a plurality of parallel resources of said computer system are avail- 30 able; and
- executing said serial execution plan when said plurality of resources are not available,
- wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices.

27. A method for parallelizing an operation, the method comprising the steps of:

dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions from said set of work partitions;

said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation;

generating an execution plan to execute database management system (DBMS) operations in parallel, said execution plan including first and second operations; wherein the step of dividing said operation is performed by 35 dividing said second operation; initiating an operation coordinator in a computer system to coordinate execution of said execution plan; initiating, by said operation coordinator, a first set of slaves operating on a plurality of data partitions to produce data, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said first set of slave processes; initiating, as said plurality of entities, by said operation coordinator, a second set of slaves to consume data; and directing said second set of slaves to produce data and said first set of slaves to consume data when said first set of slaves finishes producing data, wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices. 28. The method of claim 27 wherein said execution plan is comprised of operator nodes and said operator nodes are linked together to form execution sets. 29. A method for parallelizing an operation, the method comprising the steps of: dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions from said set of work partitions; said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation; generating an execution plan to execute said operations in parallel, said execution plan including first and second operations; wherein the step of dividing said operation includes dividing said first operation;

23. The method of claim 22 wherein said step of generating a parallelized execution plan includes the steps of:

identifying one or more segments of said serial execution plan that can be parallelized; and

*identifying partitioning requirements of said one or more* 40 *segments.* 

24. The method of claim 22 wherein said step of generating a parallelized execution plan is based on a specification of parallelism in a statement specifying one of said operations.
25. A method of parallelizing an operation, the method 45

comprising the steps of:

dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work 50 partitions from said set of work partitions; said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation;

generating an execution plan for said operation; 55 examining said execution plan from bottom up; identifying a parallelized portion of said execution plan, said parallelized portion can be processed in parallel, said parallelized portion including first and second operations, said first and second operations being 60 executable in parallel;

wherein the step of dividing the operation is performed by dividing said second operation;

wherein the plurality of entities includes one or more slave processes operating on a plurality of data partitions, the 65 quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said slave processes;

20

### 35

*initiating producer slaves operating on a plurality of data partitions to produce a first data production; initiating consumer slaves to consume said first data production;* 

when said first data production is completed, generating an <sup>5</sup> identification of a plurality of said consumer slaves that did not receive data in said first data production; examining said identification during a subsequent data production; and

reducing said subsequent data production such that said <sup>10</sup> subsequent data production does not produce data for said plurality of said consumer slaves,

wherein the method is performed by one or more comput-

#### 36

of said set of work partitions to be performed serially by a single entity to which said work partition is assigned; incorporating hints into at least some of said query fragments at said database server, wherein said query fragments incorporating hints comprise work partitions that may be performed in a plurality of ways to reach a same result, and wherein said hint associated with a given query fragment indicates one way of said plurality of ways to perform said work partition; assigning at said database server query fragments from

assigning, at said database server, query fragments from said set of query fragments to a plurality of entities; and said plurality of entities operating in parallel on query fragments assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said database operation, wherein entities working on a query fragment associated with a hint perform the work partition associated with said query fragment in said one way dictated by said hint, wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices.

ing devices.

30. A method for processing a statement in a database system, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving, at a database server, a statement that specifies at least a database operation that operates on data within a database;

determining, at said database server, a user-specified degree of parallelism to use in performing the database operation, wherein said user-specified degree of parallelism expressly indicates a specific number of entities to use in parallel to perform said database operation; dividing, at said database server, the database operation into a set of work partitions;

performing, at said database server, a determination of how many entities to use to perform said operation based, at least in part, on the user-specified degree of 30 parallelism, wherein the amount of entities that are chosen to use to perform on the database operation is different than the amount of entities that would have been chosen if no user-specified degree of parallelism had been specified; assigning, at said database server, work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities based on said determination; and said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to per- 40 form said database operation, wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices. 31. The method of claim 30 wherein: the statement requires a plurality of operations; 45 the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified in said statement, and the statement specifies said degree of parallelism for a subset of the plurality of operations required by the statement. 50

35. The method of claim 34 wherein the step of incorporating hints includes incorporating hints that dictate the operation of a table scan.

36. The method of claim 35 wherein the step of incorporating hints that dictate the operation of a table scan includes incorporating hints that rowid partitioning is to be used during the table scan.

37. The method of claim 34 wherein the step of incorporating hints includes incorporating hints that specify performance of a full table scan.

38. The method of claim 34 wherein the step of incorporating hints includes incorporating hints that specify using a particular type of join.

39. The method of claim 38 wherein the step of incorporat-35 ing hints that specify using a particular type of join includes incorporating hints that specify using a sort/merge join. 40. The method of claim 38 wherein the step of incorporating hints that specify using a particular type of join includes incorporating hints that specify using a nested loop join. 41. A method of processing a query, the method comprising the steps of: determining a hierarchy of operations associated with a query; dividing a first operation required by said query into a first set of work partitions; dividing a second operation required by said query into a second set of work partitions, wherein said second operation immediately follows said first operation in said hierarchy; dividing a third operation required by said query into a third set of work partitions, wherein said third operation immediately follows said second operation in said hierarchy;

32. The method of claim 30 wherein

the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified in said statement; and

the degree of parallelism specified by the statement indicates that no amount of parallelism is to be used during 55 execution of a particular portion of the statement.
33. The method of claim 30 wherein the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified in said statement, and

assigning work partitions from said first set of work partitions to a first plurality of entities;

said first plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said first plurality of entities from said first set of work partitions to perform said first operation;

the degree of parallelism specified by the statement indi- 60 cates a maximum amount of parallelism to use during execution of said operation.

34. A method of processing a query in a database system, the method comprising the steps of:

dividing, at a database server, a database operation 65 required by said query into a set of work partitions by generating a set of query fragments, each work partition assigning work partitions from said second set of work partitions to a second plurality of entities, wherein said second plurality of entities are different entities than said first plurality of entities; and

said second plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said second plurality of entities from said second set of work partitions to perform said second operation;

### 37

assigning work partitions from said third set of work partitions to said first plurality of entities; and

said first plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said first plurality of entities from said third set of work partitions to perform said third <sup>5</sup> operation,

wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices.

42. The method of claim 41 further comprising performing the following steps when a given entity in said first set of 10 entities finishes performing a work partition from said first set of work partitions:

determining whether there are any unassigned work partitions from said first set of work partitions; and

#### 38

after said at least one entity has completed operating on said first work partition, assigning said at least one entity a second work partition from said set of work partitions;

said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation;

wherein the operation is specified in a query that corresponds to a hierarchy of operations; and

the step of assigning said at least one entity a second work partition includes

determining whether there are any unassigned work partitions from a first level in the hierarchy to which said first work partition belonged; and if there are no unassigned work partitions from the first level in the hierarchy, then selecting said second work partition from a level in said hierarchy that is two levels above said first level in said hierarchy. 47. A computer-readable storage medium carrying instructions for parallelizing an operation, the instructions including instructions for performing the steps of: dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions from said set of work partitions; said plurality of entities operation in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation; wherein the instructions include instructions for performing the step of generating a serial execution plan for operations in a database management system (DBMS) running on a computer system; wherein the instructions include instructions for performing the step of generating a parallelized execution plan for said serial execution plan, said parallelized execution plan including first and second operations; wherein the step of dividing an operation is performed by dividing said second operation; wherein the plurality of entities includes one or more slave processes operating on a plurality of data partitions, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said slave processes; wherein the instructions include instructions for performing the step of executing said parallelized execution plan when a plurality of parallel resources of said computer system are available; and wherein the instructions include instructions for performing the step of executing said serial execution plan when said plurality of resources are not available. 48. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 47 wherein said step of generating a parallelized execution plan includes the steps of: identifying one or more segments of said serial execution plan that can be parallelized; and identifying partitioning requirements of said one or more segments.

- if there are no unassigned work partitions from said first set 15 of work partitions, then assigning the given entity a work partition selected from said third set of work partitions; and
- if there are unassigned work partitions from said first set of work partitions, then assigning the given entity a work 20 partition selected from said first set of work partitions. 43. The method of claim 41 wherein the hierarchy includes odd levels and even levels, and the method further comprises the steps of assigning work partitions from odd levels to said first plurality of entities and work partitions from even levels 25 to said second plurality of entities.

44. The method of claim 41 wherein performing work partitions in said first set of work partitions causes said first set of entities produce output consumed by said second plurality of entities, and performing work partitions in said third set of <sup>30</sup> work partitions causes said first set of entities to consume output produced by said second plurality of entities.

45. A computer-readable storage medium carrying instructions for parallelizing an operation, the instructions including instructions for performing the steps of: 35 dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions from said set of work partitions; 40 wherein the step of assigning work partitions is performed by assigning the work partitions in a sequence based at least in part on sizes associated, with the work partitions with relatively larger work partitions assigned before relatively smaller work partitions; 45 said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation; and wherein assigning the work partitions in a sequence includes assigning a first previously unassigned work 50 partition to a particular entity of the plurality of entities, and when the particular entity completes processing the first work partition, picking a second previously unassigned work partition based at least in part to the size of the second work partition, and assigning the second 55 unassigned work partition to the particular entity for processing. 46. A computer-readable storage medium carrying instructions for parallelizing an operation, the instructions including instructions for performing the steps of: 60 dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions from said set of work partitions, wherein the step of assigning work partitions includes 65 assigning said at least one entity a first work partition from said set of work partitions; and

49. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 47 wherein said step of generating a parallelized execution plan is based on a specification of parallelism in a statement specifying one of said operations.
50. A computer-readable storage medium carrying instructions for parallelizing an operation, the instructions including instructions for performing the steps of: dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions;

#### **39**

said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform some operation;

generating an execution plan for said operation; examining said execution plan from bottom up; identifying a parallelized portion of said execution plan, said parallelized portion can be processed in parallel, said parallelized portion including first and second operations, said first and second operations being executable in parallel;

wherein the step of dividing the operation is performed by dividing said second operation;

wherein the plurality of entities includes one or more slave processes operating on a plurality of data partitions, the quantity of said data partitions being greater than the quantity of said slave processes;

#### **40**

54. A computer-readable storage medium carrying instructions for parallelizing an operation, the instructions including instructions for performing the steps of: dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions from said set of work partitions; said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation;

generating an execution plan to execute said operations in parallel, said execution plan including first and second operations;

identifying some serial portion of said execution plan, said serial portion can be processed in serial; and

allocating a central scheduler between said parallelized 20 portion and said serial portion.

51. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50 further including instructions for performing the steps of: identifying a first data flow requirement for a first portion of said execution plan said first data flow requirement 25 corresponding to a partitioning of a data flow required by said first portion;

identifying a second data flow requirement for a second portion of said execution plan said second data flow requirement corresponding by said second portion; and 30 allocating a data flow director between said first portion and said second portion when said first data flow requirement is not compatible with said second data flow requirement said data flow director repartitioning a data flow of said first portion to be compatible with said 35 wherein the step of dividing said operation includes dividing said first operation;

*initiating producer slaves operating on a plurality of data partitions to produce a first data production; initiating consumer slaves to consume said first data production;* 

when said first data production is completed, generating an identification of a plurality of said consumer slaves that did not receive data in said first data production; examining said identification during a subsequent data production; and

reducing said subsequent data production such that said subsequent data production does not produce data for said plurality of said consumer slaves.

55. A computer-readable storage medium storing instructions for processing a statement in a database system, the instructions including instructions for performing the steps of:

receiving, at a database server, a statement that specifies at least a database operation that operates on data within

second data flow requirement.

52. A computer-readable storage medium carrying instructions for parallelizing an operation, the instructions including instructions for performing the steps of:

dividing the operation into a set of work partitions; assigning work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities, wherein at least one entity of said plurality of entities is assigned a plurality of work partitions from said set of work partitions;

said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work 45 partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said operation;

- generating an execution plan to execute database management system (DBMS) operations in parallel, said execution plan including first and second operations;
  50
  wherein the step of dividing said operation is performed by dividing said second operation;
- initiating an operation coordinator in a computer system to coordinate execution of said execution plan;
- *initiating, by said operation coordinator, a first set of slaves* 55 *operating on a plurality of data partitions to produce data, the quantity of said data partitions being greater*

a database;

40

determining, at said database server, a user-specified degree of parallelism to use in performing the database operation, wherein said user-specified degree of parallelism expressly indicates a specific number of entities to use in parallel to perform said database operation; dividing, at said database server, the database operation into a set of work partitions;

performing, at said database server, a determination of how many entities to use to perform said operation based, at least in part, on the user-specified degree of parallelism, wherein the amount of entities that are chosen to use to perform on the database operation is different than the amount of entities that would have been chosen if no user-specified degree of parallelism had been specified;

- assigning, at said database server, work partitions from said set of work partitions to a plurality of entities based on said determination; and
- said plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said database operation,

than the quantity of said first set of slave processes;
initiating, as said plurality of entities, by said operation coordinator, a second set of slaves to consume data; and 60 directing said second set of slaves to produce data and said first set of slaves to consume data when said first set of slaves to consume data.
53. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 52 wherein said execution plan is comprised of operator nodes 65 and said operator nodes are linked together to form execution sets.

wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices.

56. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 55 wherein:

the statement requires a plurality of operations; the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified in said statement, and

the statement specifies said degree of parallelism for a subset of the plurality of operations required by the statement.

50

### 41

57. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 55 wherein

- the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified in said statement; and
- the degree of parallelism specified by the statement indi-5 cates that no amount of parallelism is to be used during execution of a particular portion of the statement.

58. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 55 wherein

- the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified in said  $_{10}$ statement, and
- the degree of parallelism specified by the statement indicates a maximum amount of parallelism to use during execution of said operation.

#### 42

dividing a second operation required by said query into a second set of work partitions, wherein said second operation immediately follows said first operation in said hierarchy;

- dividing a third operation required by said query into a third set of work partitions, wherein said third operation immediately follows said second operation in said hierarchy;
- assigning work partitions from said first set of work partitions to a first plurality of entities;
- said first plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said first plurality of entities from said first set of work partitions to perform said first operation;

59. A computer-readable storage medium carrying instructions for processing a query in a database system, the  $^{15}$ instructions including instructions for performing the steps of:

dividing, at a database server, a database operation required by said query into a set of work partitions by generating a set of query fragments, each work partition <sup>20</sup> of said set of work partitions to be performed serially by a single entity to which said work partition is assigned; incorporating hints into at least some of said query fragments at said database server, wherein said query fragments incorporating hints comprise work partitions that 25 may be performed in a plurality of ways to reach a same result, and wherein said hint associated with a given query fragment indicates one way of said plurality of ways to perform said work partition;

assigning, at said database server, query fragments from  $_{30}$ said set of query fragments to a plurality of entities; and said plurality of entities operating in parallel on query fragments assigned to said plurality of entities to perform said database operation, wherein entities working on a query fragment associated with a hint perform the work partition associated with said query fragment in <sup>35</sup>

assigning work partitions from said second set of work partitions to a second plurality of entities, wherein said second plurality of entities are different entities than said first plurality of entities; and

said second plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said second plurality of entities from said second set of work partitions to perform said second operation;

assigning work partitions from said third set of work partitions to said first plurality of entities; and said first plurality of entities operating in parallel on work partitions assigned to said first plurality of entities from said third set of work partitions to perform said third operation.

67. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 66 further comprising instructions for performing the following steps when a given entity in said first set of entities finishes performing a work partition from said first set of work partitions:

determining whether there are any unassigned work partitions from said first set of work partitions; and if there are no unassigned work partitions from said first set of work partitions, then assigning the given entity a work partition selected from said third set of work partitions; and

said one way dictated by said hint,

wherein the method is performed by one or more computing devices.

60. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 59 wherein the step of incorporating hints includes incorporat- 40 ing hints that dictate the operation of a table scan.

61. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 60 wherein the step of incorporating hints that dictate the operation of a table scan includes incorporating hints that rowid partitioning is to be used during the table scan.

62. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 59 wherein the step of incorporating hints includes incorporating hints that specify performance of a full table scan.

63. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 59 wherein the step of incorporating hints includes incorporating hints that specify using a particular type of join.

64. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 63 wherein the step of incorporating hints that specify using a particular type of join includes incorporating hints that specify using a sort/merge join.

65. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 63<sup>55</sup> wherein the step of incorporating hints that specify using a particular type of join includes incorporating hints that specify using a nested loop join.

if there are unassigned work partitions from said first set of work partitions, then assigning the given entity a work partition selected from said first set of work partitions. 68. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 66 wherein the hierarchy includes odd levels and even levels, and the instructions further include instructions for performing the steps of assigning work partitions from odd levels to said 45 first plurality of entities and work partitions from even levels to said second plurality of entities.

69. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 66 wherein performing work partitions in said first set of work partitions causes said first set of entities produce output consumed by said second plurality of entities, and performing work partitions in said third set of work partitions causes said first set of entities to consume output produced by said second plurality of entities.

70. The method of claim 30, wherein the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified in said statement.

71. The method of claim 30, wherein the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified for operations that involve a particular table.

66. A computer-readable storage medium carrying instructions for processing a query, the instructions including 60 instructions for performing the steps of:

determining a hierarchy of operations associated with a query; dividing a first operation required by said query into a first set of work partitions;

72. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 55, wherein the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified in said statement.

73. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 55, wherein the user-specified degree of parallelism is specified for operations that involve a particular table.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO.: RE42,664 EAPPLICATION NO.: 09/757399DATED: August 30, 2011INVENTOR(S): Hallmark et al.

Page 1 of 3

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On Title page 2, Item (56), under "Other Publications", line 17-18, delete "Appliciation" and insert -- Application --, therefor.

On Sheet 8 of 33, in figure 6A, line 22, delete "Parellelized" and insert -- Parallelized --, therefor. See attached.

On Sheet 8 of 33, in figure 6A, line 22, delete "name)" and insert -- name --, therefor. See attached.

On Sheet 17 of 33, in figure 10A, Box 1008, line 2, delete "cooridinator" and insert -- coordinator --, therefor. See attached.

In column 12, line 53, delete "select /+ordered" and insert -- select /\*+ordered --, therefor.

In column 19, line 27, delete "synchronising" and insert -- synchronizing --, therefor.

In column 22, line 39, delete "Messag=" and insert -- "Message= --, therefor.

In column 22, line 64, delete "repeals" and insert -- reports --, therefor.

In column 23, line 22, delete "FrocessReadyMsg." and insert -- ProcessReadyMsg. --, therefor.

In column 23, line 48, delete "parem" and insert -- parent --, therefor.







#### David J. Kappos Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

## **CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION (continued)**

Page 2 of 3

# U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 8 of 33 RE42,664 E



		sommerge join)
Point	er to Parent	Pointer to parent node
	er to Sibling	Pointer to next-node
Row Source		Row source equivalent for this node
Oper	ation	
Table Queue Identifier		Identifies table node for this queue
Skipp	ped Node Information	
Non-	QC Node Information	
	Pointer to First Child	Pointer to first child of parallelized node
	Key Column Number	Number of key columns in input table queue
	Partitioning type	Parallelized node's partitioning type (e.g., hash, key range, rowid range, round robin)
	Clumped Columns	Number of clumped columns with parent
	cates	
Conti	rol Blocks	
	Table Scan	Contains table scan information (e.g., table name and degree of parallelism)
name	Indexed Nested Loop Join	Contains information for an indexed nested loop join (e.g., right and left input table)
	Sort/Merg join	Contains information for a sort/merge join (e.g., merge or outer join control flags)
	Index Creation	Contains information for index creation (e.g., column list, index type, and storage parameters)

FIG. 6A

### **CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION (continued)**

Page 3 of 3

## U.S. Patent Aug. 30, 2011 Sheet 17 of 33 RE42,664 E





## **FIG. 10A**