US00RE42366E

(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
Horikawa et al.

(10) **Patent Number: US RE42,366 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent: May 17, 2011**

(54) **COMPUTER ANIMATION GENERATOR**

(75) Inventors: **Junji Horikawa**, Tokyo (JP); **Takashi Totsuka**, Chiba (JP)

(73) Assignee: **Sony Corporation**, Tokyo (JP)

(21) Appl. No.: **10/781,265**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 19, 2004**

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:
(64) Patent No.: **6,396,952**
Issued: **May 28, 2002**
Appl. No.: **09/366,549**
Filed: **Aug. 4, 1999**

U.S. Applications:

(63) Continuation of application No. 08/755,129, filed on Nov. 25, 1996, now Pat. No. 5,963,668.

(30) **Foreign Application Priority Data**

Dec. 18, 1995 (JP) 07-348403

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06K 9/46 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **382/203**; 382/173; 382/266; 345/420

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 382/203, 382/173, 266, 201, 202; 345/419, 420; 715/201, 715/202, 203
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,152,766 A 5/1979 Osofsky et al. 364/515

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0156343	* 10/1985
EP	0734163	* 9/1996
JP	63118890	* 5/1988
JP	01-205277	8/1989
JP	04-015772	1/1992
JP	5-250445	9/1993
JP	5-266212	10/1993
JP	5-266213	10/1993
JP	05-290145	11/1993
JP	06-052270	2/1994
JP	6-231276	9/1994
JP	06-251126	9/1994
JP	08272957	* 10/1996
JP	9-198524	7/1997

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Japanese Office Action issued Mar. 10, 2009 for corresponding Japanese Application No. 2005-299609/2008-6349.

Turk, Greg, "Re-Tilting Polygonal Surfaces," ACM SIGRAPH Computer Graphics, ACM, Jul. 1992, vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 55-64.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Anh Hong Do
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Polygonal data input in a first step is subjected to evaluation in which all edges of the polygon data are ranked in importance on the basis of a volume change caused by removal of that edge. The edges are sorted on the basis of an evaluation value in a third step. In a fourth step, the edge of a small evaluation value is determined to be an edge of a small influence on the general shape and is removed. In a fifth step, a new vertex is determined from the loss of vertex by the edge removal. In a sixth step, a movement of texture coordinates and a removal of the texture after the edge removal are executed on the basis of the area change of the texture due to the edge removal by a predetermined evaluating function. In a seventh step, by repeating the processes in the second to sixth steps, a polygon model approximated to a desired layer can be obtained.

338 Claims, 15 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,600,919	A		7/1986	Stern	395/175
4,694,407	A		9/1987	Ogden	364/518
4,783,829	A		11/1988	Miyakawa et al.	382/22
4,941,193	A	*	7/1990	Barnsley et al.	382/249
4,969,204	A		11/1990	Melnychuck et al.	382/56
5,029,228	A		7/1991	Nonoyama et al.	382/56
5,159,512	A	*	10/1992	Evans et al.	345/419
5,276,786	A		1/1994	Long et al.	395/128
5,341,466	A		8/1994	Perlin et al.	395/139
5,373,375	A		12/1994	Weldy	358/523
5,384,904	A		1/1995	Sprague et al.	395/139
5,448,686	A		9/1995	Borrel et al.	395/120
5,471,568	A	*	11/1995	Webb et al.	382/199
5,490,239	A		2/1996	Myers	395/129
5,506,947	A		4/1996	Taubin	395/133
5,590,248	A	*	12/1996	Zarge et al.	395/121
5,611,036	A	*	3/1997	Berend et al.	345/441
5,613,051	A		3/1997	Iodice et al.	395/128
5,621,827	A		4/1997	Uchiyama et al.	382/307
5,689,577	A		11/1997	Arata	382/128
5,751,852	A	*	5/1998	Marimont et al.	382/180
5,761,332	A		6/1998	Wischmann et al.	382/131
5,774,130	A		6/1998	Horikawa et al.	345/441
5,796,400	A		8/1998	Atkinson et al.	345/420
5,809,322	A	*	9/1998	Akerib	712/14
5,929,860	A		7/1999	Hoppe	345/419
5,963,209	A		10/1999	Hoppe	345/419
5,963,668	A	*	10/1999	Horikawa et al.	382/203
5,966,133	A		10/1999	Hoppe	345/420
6,046,744	A		4/2000	Hoppe	345/419

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Hoppe, Hugues et al, "Mesh Optimization," Computer Graphics (SIGGRAPH 1993 Proceedings), ACM, Aug. 1993, pp. 19–26.

Hoppe, Hugues et al., "Mesh Optimization," Computer Graphics (SIGGRAPH '93 Proceedings), 1993, pp. 19–26.

Hoppe, Hugues, "Progressive Meshes," Computer Graphics (SIGGRAPH '96 Proceedings), 1996, pp. 99–108.

Hiroyuki Yamamoto, et al., "The Delaunay Triangulation for Accurate Three–Dimensional Graphic Model", (IEICE) Transactions, D–11, vol. J78–D–11 No. 5, pp. 745–753, May 1995.

Wentao Zheng, et al., "Surface Representation Based on Invariant Characteristics", Technical Report of the Institute of Television, vol. 18, No. 15, pp. 31–38, Feb. 25, 1994.

Shinji Uchiyama, et al., "Hierarchical Shape Representation with Adaptive Meshes from a Range Image", Media Technology Laboratory, Canon Inc., pp. 351–361, vol. 36 No. 2, Feb. 1995.

Japanese Office Action dated Jul. 10, 2007 for corresponding patent application No. 2005–299609.

Mesh Optimization; Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series, 1993 Hoppe et al.; pp. 19–26.

Re–Tiling Polygonal Surfaces; Computer Graphics, 26, Jul. 2, 1992; Greg Turk; pp. 55–64.

An Adaptive Subdivision Method for Surface—Fitting from Sampled Data; Schmitt et al.; SIGGRAPH '86; vol. 20, No. 4, 1986; pp. 179–188.

* cited by examiner

Fig. 1


START
S1
INPUT POLYGON DATA
S2
EVALUATE EDGE
S3
SORT EDGE
S4
REMOVE EDGE
S5
DETERMINE VERTEX POSITION
S6
REMOVE TEXTURE/ DETERMINE POSITION
S7
EXECUTION OF DESIRED LAYERS?
NO
YES
S8
DRAW IMAGE OF DESIRED LAYER
END

Fig. 2



Fig. 3A



Fig. 3B



E:edge, Ni:normal, Ai:area,
v1~v10:vertices

Fig. 4A

Fig. 4B


v4
v5
v6
v3
v1
v2
v7
e
v10
v8
Layer N
v9
v4
v5
v6
v3
v' (=v₁)
v7
v10
v8
Layer N+1
v9

Fig. 5A



Fig. 5B



Fig. 6A


v1
v2
v3
v4
v5
v6
v7
v8
v1
v2
v3'
v4
v5
v7
v8

Fig. 6B


vt1
vt3
vt2
vt4
vt5
vt7
vt6
vt8
vt1
vt3
vt2
vt4
vt5
vt7
vt8

Fig. 7A


v 3
v3 BEFORE EDGE REMOVAL
1 − t
t
v 6
v6 BEFORE EDGE REMOVAL

Fig. 7B


v t 3
vt3 BEFORE EDGE REMOVAL
1 − t
t
v t 6
vt6 BEFORE EDGE REMOVAL

Fig. 8A



Fig. 8B



Fig. 8C



Fig. 9A



Fig. 9B

Fig. 9C



Fig. 9D



Fig. 10


V0
FRONT EDGE
REAR EDGE
V3
LENGTH L1
LENGTH L2
NORMAL N1
NORMAL N2
V1
V2
EDGE:e
VECTOR E


Fig. 11A
Fig. 11B
Fig. 11C
v4
v5
v6
v3
v1
edge
v2
v7
v10
v8
Layer N
v9
v1'
v2'
e
Middle Layer N'
v4
v5
v6
v3
v' (=v1)
v7
v10
v8
Layer N+1
v9

Fig. 12



original:182vertices

60%:109vertices



36%:66vertices

21. 6%:39vertices

Fig. 13


original:182vertices
60%:109vertices
36%:66vertices
21.6%:39vertices

Fig. 14

PRIOR ART



Fig. 15



COMPUTER ANIMATION GENERATOR

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [ ] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

This application is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/755,129, filed on Nov. 25, 1996, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,963, 668.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for hierarchically approximating shape data with an image, in which the data amount is reduced by reducing the complexity of the shape of a geometric model which is used in generating CG (Computer Graphics), thereby enabling the CG to be drawn at a high rate of speed. The invention also relates to a method and apparatus for hierarchically approximating shape data with an image, which is suitable for use in a game using CG, VR (Virtual Reality), designing, and the like since a shape which was approximated so as not to give a sense of incongruity is changed.

2. Description of the Prior Art

When drawing using a model as part of computer graphics, the same model may be used repeatedly. For example, as shown in FIG. **14**, a detailed original model having data of 100% is formed and the CG is drawn on a display by using it repeatedly. When the model is arranged in a far position in a picture plane and is rendered smaller, the same model still is used, and the degree of details of the model is not changed. Therefore, the time required for the drawing depends on the degree of detail of the model and the number of models.

However, when the observer pays no attention to the model because the model is minimized and looks smaller on the picture plane or the model is out of a target point of the picture plane, it is not always necessary to draw by using the model having a high degree of detail. That is, by using a similar model in which a degree of detail is decreased to a certain extent by using a method of reducing the number of vertices of the model, reducing the number of planes of a polygon, or the like, it can appear as if the same model is used. FIG. **15** shows such an example. When the model is to appear at a distance and its size on the picture plane is small, as shown in the example, it is sufficient to draw the CG by using models in which data is reduced to, for example, 50% or 25% from that of the original model and for which the degree of detail is reduced. By using a model having a data amount smaller than that of the original model as mentioned above, a high drawing speed can be realized.

Such an approximation of the model is useful for the drawing of the CG display as mentioned above. However, if the data amount of the model is simply reduced by approximating the details of the model, the observer feels incongruity when he sees the approximated model. If this sense of incongruity can be suppressed, requests for both of the drawing speed and the drawing quality can be satisfied. For this purpose, it is desirable to reduce the data amount in a manner such that a general characteristic portion of the model is left and the other portions are reduced. Hitherto, such an approximation of the model is often executed by the manual work of a designer, so that much expense and time are necessary for the above work.

A method of obtaining a more realistic image by adhering a two-dimensional image to a plane of a model as a drawing target is generally used. This is called a texture mapping, The image that is adhered in this instance is called a texture. When the approximation of the shape as mentioned above is executed to the model which was subjected to the texture mapping, it is necessary to also pay attention to the texture adhered to the model plane. That is, it is necessary to prevent a deterioration in the appearance of the model due to a deformation of the texture shape at the time of approximation and to prevent the occurrence of a problem such that the amount of work is increased since the texture must be again adhered to the approximated model.

In past studies, according to Francis J. M. Schmitt, Brian A. Barsky, and Wen-Hui Du, "An Adaptive Subdivision Method for Surface-Fitting from Sampled Data", Computer Graphics, Vol. 20, No. 4, August, 1986, although the shape is approximated by adhering the Bezier patch to a three-dimensional shape, there is a problem in that a general polygon is not a target.

According to Greg Turk, "Re-Tiling Polygonal Surface", Computer Graphics, Vol. 26, No. 2, July, 1992, a trial of hierarchically approximating a polygon model is executed. There is, however, a problem in that although the algorithm in the above paper can be applied to a round shape, it is not suitable for a square shape and a general shape is not a target. Further, it is not considered to approximate the shape on the basis of characteristic points of the object shape.

Further, according to Hugues Hoppe et al., "Mesh Optimization", Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series, SIGGRAPH 1993, a model is approximated in a manner such that energy is introduced to an evaluation of the approximated model, and operations for removing the edge, dividing the patch, and swapping the edge are repeated so as to minimize the energy. According to the method of the paper, however, it is necessary to execute a long repetitive calculation until the minimum point of the energy is determined. In addition, a solving method such as a simulated annealing or the like is necessary in a manner similar to other energy minimizing problems so as not to reach a local minimum point. There is no guarantee that the energy minimum point is always visually the best point.

Further, in those papers, no consideration is made up to the texture adhered to the model upon approximation. Consequently, the method of approximating the model according to the methods in the papers has a problem in that double processes are required in which the texture is newly adhered to the approximated model after the approximation.

As mentioned above, the past studies have problems regarding the approximation of a model when a polygon is drawn. That is, the conventional method has problems such that application of the shape approximation is limited, a long calculation time is necessary for approximation, and the approximation in which required characteristic points are considered is not executed. The approximation of figure data to realize a switching of continuous layers, in which the sense of incongruity to be given to the observer at the time of the switching of the approximated model is considered, is not executed.

When the approximation is executed to the geometric model to which the texture is adhered, there is a problem in that a measure to prevent a quality deterioration after the approximation, by keeping the shape of the texture adhered to the model, is not taken. There is also a problem in that a measure to eliminate the necessity to newly adhere the texture after the approximation is not taken. Further, there is a problem that the approximation in which the existence of the texture itself is considered is not executed.

OBJECTS AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is, therefore, an object of the invention to provide a method and apparatus for hierarchically approximating figure data with an image in the drawing of CG so that high-speed drawing is performed while maintaining a quality of the drawing.

It is another object of the invention to provide a method and apparatus for hierarchically approximating figure data with an image as if the approximation of a geometric model is performed in consideration of the existence of a texture itself.

According to the invention, in order to solve the above problems, there is provided a hierarchical approximating method of shape data for approximating shape data to data of a desired resolution, comprising the steps of: evaluating an importance of each of the edges which construct the shape data; removing an unnecessary edge on the basis of a result of the edge evaluation; and determining a vertex position after the unnecessary edge was removed.

According to the invention, in order to solve the above problems, there is provided a hierarchical approximating method of shape data with an image for approximating shape data to which image data was adhered to data of a desired resolution, comprising the steps of: determining which edge in the shape data should be removed upon approximation; determining a new vertex position in the shape data after the edge removal performed on the basis of the edge removal determination; and removing an unnecessary vertex in the image data adhered to the shape data in accordance with outputs from the edge removal determining step and the vertex movement determining step and moving a vertex on the image data in accordance with the new vertex position in the shape data.

According to the invention, in order to solve the above problems, there is provided an approximating apparatus for figure data for approximating shape data to that of a desired resolution, comprising: evaluating means for evaluating an importance of each of the edges which construct the shape data; edge removing means for removing an unnecessary edge on the basis of a result of the edge evaluation; and vertex position determining means for determining a vertex position after the unnecessary edge was removed.

According to the invention, in order to solve the above problems, there is provided a hierarchical approximating apparatus for figure data with image data for approximating shape data to which image data is adhered to data of a desired resolution, comprising: edge removal determining means for determining which edge in the shape data is removed upon approximation; vertex movement determining means for determining a new vertex position in the shape data after the edge removal; and image data removal and movement determining means for removing an unnecessary vertex in the image data adhered to the shape data in accordance with outputs from the edge removal determining means and the vertex movement determining means and for moving the vertex on the image data in accordance with the new vertex position in the shape data.

According to the invention as mentioned above, the importance of each of the edges of the shape data is evaluated, the unnecessary edge is removed on the basis of the evaluation, a new vertex after the edge removal is determined, and further, the vertex is moved on the image data in accordance with the new vertex position. Thus, the shape data can be approximated so that the change in shape is little while suppressing the deterioration of the image data adhered to the shape model.

The above and other objects and features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description and the appended claims with reference to the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** is a flowchart of a hierarchical approximation of a texture mapped polygon model according to the invention;

FIG. **2** is a diagram showing an example of a drawing apparatus that can be adhered to the invention;

FIGS. **3**A and **3**B are schematic diagrams for explaining equation (1);

FIGS. **4**A and **4**B are schematic diagrams showing an example of a vertex position decision;

FIGS. **5**A and **5**B are schematic diagrams showing an example of a method of determining a position at which a vertex to be left is put;

FIGS. **6**A and **6**B are diagrams schematically showing an example in which a texture is allocated on a certain plane of a polygon model;

FIGS. **7**A and **7**B are diagrams schematically showing an integration of vertices and texture coordinates in association with an edge removal;

FIGS. **8**A to **8**C are diagrams for explaining that the texture is changed by the integration of the vertices;

FIGS. **9**A to **9**D are diagrams for explaining a case where two different textures are adhered to one polygon;

FIG. **10** is a schematic diagram for explaining an equation (2);

FIGS. **11**A to **11**C are schematic diagrams showing examples of a method of forming an approximate model of a middle layer;

FIG. **12** is a diagram schematically showing an example of a processing result according to an embodiment of the invention;

FIG. **13** is a diagram schematically showing an example of a processing result according to an embodiment of the invention;

FIG. **14** is a schematic diagram showing an example of a CG drawing according to a conventional method; and

FIG. **15** is a schematic diagram showing an example of a desirable CG drawing.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

An embodiment of the invention will now be described hereinbelow with reference to the drawings. FIG. **1** is a flowchart for a hierarchical approximation of a geometric (polygon) model that was subjected to a texture mapping according to the invention. FIG. **2** shows an example of a structure of a drawing apparatus that can execute the processes of the flowchart.

As shown in FIG. **2**, the drawing apparatus can be constructed by a computer with a standard structure which comprises: a keyboard **1**; a data input device such as floppy disk drive (FDD) **2**, magneto-optic disk (MO) drive **3**, or the like; a data processing apparatus constructed by a CPU **4**, an RAM **5**, and the like; an external memory apparatus such as hard disk **6**, semiconductor memory **7**, or the like; and a display apparatus **8** such as a CRT or the like, and in which those component elements are respectively connected by a bus **9**. As an input device, a mouse or the like may also be used. The floppy disk drive **2** and MO drive **3** are also used as data output devices. Further, data can be also supplied from a network such as the internet. The above structure is an example and the actual drawing apparatus can have various constructions.

First, processes in the flowchart shown in FIG. **1** will be schematically described. A texture as image data is allocated and adhered to each plane of a polygon. In the invention, in order to approximate the polygon, edges constructing the polygon are removed and the shape is approximated. Since the shape of the polygon is merely approximated by only removing the edges, in order to approximate the textures allocated to the planes of the polygon, an optimization is executed by integrating the textures associated with the edge removal and moving the coordinates of the textures.

In the first step S**1**, original polygon data is inputted. The texture is adhered to each plane for the inputted polygon data. The input of the data and the adhesion of the texture are manually performed from the keyboard **1** or by a method whereby data which has been made in another place and stored in a floppy disk or an MO disk is read out by the FDD **2** or MO drive **3**. The polygon data can be also inputted through a network such as the internet.

In step S**2**, each edge of the inputted polygon data is evaluated for performing the edge removal. In the edge evaluation in step S**2**, each edge of the inputted polygon data is converted into a numerical value by a method, which will be described below, and is set to an evaluation value. In step S**3**, the evaluation values of the edges obtained in step S**2** are sorted and the edge having the minimum evaluation value is selected (*i.e., identified*). The processing routine advances to step S**4**. In step S**4**, the edge having the minimum evaluation value that was selected in step S**3** is removed.

When the edge is removed in step S**4**, the processing routine advances to step S**5**. In step S**5**, the position of the vertex which remains after the edge was removed in step S**4** is determined. In step S**6**, the texture portion which becomes unnecessary in association with the edge removal is removed and the positions of the remaining texture coordinates are determined.

Approximated polygon data that was approximated at a precision of one stage and was subjected to the texture mapping is obtained by the foregoing processes in steps S**2** to S**6**. The edge removal, the determination of a new vertex, and the process of the texture in association with them are repeated by repeatedly executing the processes in steps S**2** to S**6**. Consequently, the approximated polygon data, which was subjected to the texture mapping can be obtained (*i.e., created*) at a desired precision.

When the approximated polygon data that was subjected to the texture mapping at a desired precision in step S**6** is obtained (step S**7**), the processing routine advances to step S**8**. The obtained approximated polygon data that was texture mapped is drawn on the display apparatus **8**. The obtained approximated polygon data which was texture mapped can be also stored into an external memory apparatus such as a hard disk **6** or memory **7**, a floppy disk inserted in the FDD **2**, or an MO inserted in the MO drive **3**. The derived data can be also supplied and stored to another computer system through the network.

The processes in the above flowchart are executed mainly by the CPU **4** in the hardware structure of FIG. **2**. Instructions or the like which are necessary during the processes are sent from the input such as a keyboard **1** or the like to the CPU **4**.

Processes regarding a model approximation will now be described. As mentioned above, the approximation of the polygon model is executed by repeating the edge removal. In this instance, small convex and concave components which do not contribute to the general shape of the model are judged and edges which should be preferentially removed are determined on the basis of the [judgement] *judgment* result. In order to select the edges which are preferentially removed, the extent to which the edges constructing the model contribute to the general shape, namely, the importance of each edge is evaluated and the removal is executed to remove the edge with the smallest evaluation value. In step S**2**, the importance of each edge is evaluated.

In order to select the edge which is suitable to be removed by obtaining the evaluation value, an evaluation function to evaluate the extent to which each of the edges constructing the polygon model contributes to the shape of the polygon model is introduced. The following equation (1) shows an example of the evaluation function. FIGS. **3**A and **3**B are diagrams for explaining the equation (1).

$$F(e) = \sum_i |aV_i + bS_i| \tag{1}$$

where

$$V_i = (N_i \cdot E) \times A_i$$

$$S_i |E| \times A$$

where

$$V_i = (N_i \cdot E) \times A_i$$

$$S_i = |E| \times A_i$$

FIG. **3**B shows an example in which a part of a spherical polygon model shown in FIG. **3**A in which each plane is bounded by a triangle is enlarged. By the equation (1), an edge e constructed by two vertices v_1 and v_2 is evaluated. With respect to the vertices v_1 and v_2 bounding the edge $e(v_1, v_2)$, when sets of planes including them as vertices assume $S(v_1)$ and $S(v_2)$, a range of i is set to $S(v_1) \cup S(v_2)$. That is, $1 \leqq i \leqq 10$ in the example shown in FIG. **3**B. In the diagram, E denotes a vector having the direction and length of the edge e; N_i denotes a unit normal vector of each plane; A_i denotes an area of the plane; and $|E|$ a length of the vector E.

The equation (1) is constructed by two terms. The first term V_i shows a volume amount which is changed when the edge as an evaluation target is removed. The volume amount here denotes a virtual volume of a shape specified by the shape data of the polygon. The second term S_i shows a value obtained by multiplying the planes existing on both sides of the target edge with the length of the target edge. It denotes a change amount of the volume of the plane including only the target edge. Coefficients a and b are multiplied to the two terms. The user can select which one of the first term V_i and the second term S_i is preferentially used by properly setting the values of the coefficients.

The first term V_i largely depends on the peripheral shape of the edge as an evaluation target. On the other hand, the second term Si depends on the length of the target edge and the area of planes existing on both sides of the target edge. In the case of a polygon model having a flat shape like a sheet of paper, when the edge $e(v_1$ and $v_2)$ is removed, the change amount by the term S_i is larger than that by the term V_i. In the polygon model constructed by planes in which all of them have similar shapes and areas, for example, in the model shown in FIG. **3**A, the change amount by the term V_i is larger than that by the term S_i.

The value of the equation (1) is calculated with respect to each of the edges constructing the polygon model and the evaluation value for each edge is obtained. In step S**3**, the calculation values are sorted in accordance with the values and the edge having the minimum evaluation value is selected, thereby obtaining the edge whose contribution to the model shape when the edge is removed is the smallest.

When the importance of the edge is evaluated in step S**2**, the length of edge is considered. When the evaluation values are the same, the shorter edge can be also set as a target to be removed.

Although the local evaluation value in the polygon model is obtained by the equation (1), each edge can be also evaluated by a value obtained by adding the evaluation values of the peripheral edges to the evaluation value of a certain target edge. In this case, the evaluation can be performed not only with the peripheral shape of one edge but also with the shape or a wide range. When the area which the user wants to evaluate is wide as mentioned above, the calculation range of the equation (1) can be widened in accordance with such a wide area.

In addition to the evaluation value simply derived by the calculation of the equation (1), the user can give the evaluation value or can operate the evaluation value. Therefore, when there is a portion which the user wants to leave intact without approximation or a portion which he, contrarily, wants to approximate, the intention of the designer or operator can be reflected in the approximating process by designating such a portion. In this case, the evaluation value is determined by executing a weighted addition by giving a weight coefficient to each of the value operated by the user and the calculated evaluation value.

In this case, the approximation in which the intention of the designer is reflected can be performed by giving a weight coefficient, for example, by giving weight to the evaluation value designated by the user. On the contrary, when a large weight is given to the evaluation value obtained by the calculation of the equation (1), an accurate approximation can be performed by a quantitative evaluation of the volume change in shape. In this manner, the change in shape can be freely controlled by the weighting process.

When the evaluation values for the edges of the polygon data are obtained in step S**2** as mentioned above, the obtained evaluation values are sorted and the edge having the minimum evaluation value is selected in step S**3**. When sorting the edges, for example, a quick sorting as a known technique can be used. Other sorting methods can be also obviously used. Since the sorting methods including the quick sorting are described in detail in "Algorithm Dictionary" published by Kyoritsu Publication Co., Ltd. or the like, the description is omitted here. The selected edge having the minimum evaluation value is removed in step S**4**.

Although the case where the edge having the minimum evaluation value is simply removed has been described here, the removing order of the edges or the edge which is not removed can be also arbitrarily designated. When the edge is not removed, there is no change in shape of such a portion. For example, in the case where it is desirable that the shape is not changed, like a portion in which two models are in contact each other, it is sufficient to set a portion where no edge is removed.

When the edge is removed in step S**4**, the vertices (v_1 and v_2 in this case) constructing the edge are lost. In step S**5**, therefore, a new vertex position in association with the edge removal is determined. FIGS. **4**A and **4**B show examples of the vertex position determination. After the edge was removed, either one of the two vertices constructing the edge is left. In this case, the edge **[**e(v_1 and V_2)**]** *e(v_1 and v_2)* in a layer N in FIG. **4**A is removed, thereby obtaining a layer (N+1) shown in FIG. **4**B. The vertex v_1 remains and becomes a new vertex v'.

In this instance, the shape after the edge removal is changed depends on the position of the vertex v_1 which remains. FIGS. **5**A and **5**B show examples of a method of determining the position where the vertex to be left is located. FIGS. **5**A and **5**B show cross sectional views of an edge shape in the polygon data. That is, FIG. **5**A shows a case where the edge e(v_1, v_2,) bounded by the vertices v_1 and v_2 is formed in a convex shape including the outer edges of v_1 and v_2. FIG. **5**B shows a case where the edge e(v_1, v_2,) is between the upper and lower directions of the outer edges of v_1 and v_2 forming an S shape. In FIGS. **5**A and **5**B, v' indicates a vertex to be left.

In FIGS. **5**A and **5**B, areas S_1 and S_2 shown by hatched regions show volume change amounts when the edge e(v_1, v_2) is removed and the vertex v' is left. The vertex v' which is left after the edge e(v_1, v_2) was removed is positioned where the volume change amount S_1 on the vertex v_1 side and the volume change amount S_2 on the vertex **[**V_2 **]** *v_2* side are equal. By arranging the vertex to the position where the volume change amounts on both sides of the removed edge e(v_1, v_2) are equal as mentioned above, the shape after the edge removal can be approximated to the original shape.

Although the vertex v_1 which is left and becomes a new vertex is arranged to the position where the volume change amounts on both sides of the edge are equal irrespective of the peripheral shape of the edge which is removed in step S**5** in the above description, the invention is not limited to the example. For example, the vertex v' can be also arranged at a position where the volume change upon edge removal is the minimum. As mentioned above, the method of arranging the vertex v' to the position where the volume change amounts on both sides of the edge are equalized and the method of arranging the vertex v' to the position where the volume change is the minimum can be selectively used in accordance with a desire of the user.

In consideration of the peripheral shape of the edge, when the shape has a concave or convex shape, the vertex v' can be also arranged at a position where the volume change after the edge removal is the minimum. When the periphery has an S-character shape, the vertex v' can be arranged at a position where the volume change amounts on both sides of the edge are equalized. In this case, the position of the vertex v' is deviated to either one of the ends of the edge in the case of the concave or convex shape. In case of the S-character shape, the vertex v' is arranged in the middle of the S character. Thus, both of an effect to suppress the volume change and an effect to absorb the continuous changes like an S character by the plane can be achieved.

For example, an area having a small S-character shape like a saw tooth can be approximated by one plane in a general shape. A portion having a large change except the S-character shape can be approximated by a shape which is closer to the original shape. In the approximation in which the shape has a priority, such a setting is also possible. The approximating methods can be selectively used in accordance with the intention of the user.

It is also possible not to change the vertex position remaining after the edge removal from the vertex position before the edge removal. That is, in the example shown in FIGS. **4**A and **4**B, after the edge e(v_1, v_2) was removed, only the vertex v_1 is left as a new vertex v' without changing the position from the position before the removal. This is effective means when it is desirable not to move the position of a target vertex because the target vertex exists at a contact point with the other model or the like.

When the edge is evaluated and removed and the new vertex in association with the edge removal is determined in the steps up to step S**5**, a process regarding the texture adhered to each plane of the polygon model is executed in step S**6**. [FIGS. G] *FIGS. 6*A and **6**B schematically show examples in which image data (texture) is allocated to a certain plane on the polygon model. FIG. **6**A shows a polygon model itself comprising vertices [V_1 to V_8] v_1 *to* v_8. It shows that when an edge [$e(V_3, V_6)$] $e(v_3, v_6)$ shown by a broken line is removed from the model shown in the left diagram, the model is approximated to a shape shown in the right diagram.

FIG. **6**B shows a state in which a texture is adhered to the polygon model shown in FIG. **6**A. In this instance, for easy understanding, image data based on a portrait is used as a texture. Coordinates vt_1 to vt_8 in FIG. **6**B correspond to the vertices v_1 to v_8 in FIG. **6**A, respectively. FIG. **6**B, therefore, shows that the coordinates vt_1 to vt_8 in the diagram on the left side are changed as shown in a diagram on the right side in association with the removal of the edge [$e(V_3, V_6)$] $e(v_3, v_6$ in FIG. **6**A.

The vertex [V_6] v_6 is removed by the approximation of the polygon model and the two vertices v_3 and v_6 in this model are integrated to one vertex [V_3] v_3'. In association with it, by removing the edge $e(v_3, v_6)$ comprising v_3 and v_6, triangular areas on both sides including the removed edge are lost. In this instance, unless the loss of those triangular areas is considered, the image data comprising the texture coordinates [Vt_3, Vt_4, and Vt_6] vt_3, vt_4, *and* vt_6 and the image data comprising [Vt_3, vt_5, and Vt_6] vt_3, vt_5, *and* vt_6 are lost.

As shown by the texture in the diagram on the right side in FIG. **6**B, therefore, it is necessary to execute an integration and a position movement to the texture in accordance with the approximation of the edge removal. Thus, the continuous image data on the approximated model surface can be reproduced.

In this example, the vertices v_3 and v_6 are integrated on the polygon model and the vertex v_3 remains. The remaining vertex [V_3] v_3 is set to a vertex [V_3'] v_3'. The position of the vertex [V_3'] v_3' is arranged at a predetermined distribution ratio t on the coordinates between the edge $e(v_3, v_6)$ comprising [V_3] v_3 and v_6 before approximation. In this case, the coordinates of the vertex v_3' can be calculated by [$((1-t)\times V_3+t\times V_6)$.] $((1-t)\times v_3+t\times v_6)$. When $0\leqq t\leqq 1$, the distribution coefficient t exists on the edge straight line of the edge $e(v_3, v_6)$ before approximation and, when $t<0$ or $1<t$, t exists out of the edge $e(v_3, v_6)$. By changing a value of t, therefore, a shape change amount after the model was approximated by the edge removal can be controlled.

As mentioned above, the vertices v_3 and v_6 are integrated on the polygon model and are set to the vertices v_3', and [V_3'] v_3' is arranged between the vertex v_3 and the vertex v_6. The texture coordinates vt_3 and vt_6 corresponding to those two vertices are, therefore, also integrated to the coordinates [Vt_3] vt_3 after approximation and are set to coordinates vt_3'. The coordinates vt_3' are arranged between the coordinates [Vt_3] vt_3 and vt_6 before approximation.

FIGS. **7**A and **7**B schematically show the integration of vertices and the integration of texture coordinates in association with the edge removal. FIG. **7**A shows an example in which the integrated vertex [V_3'] v_3' is arranged to the position calculated by $((1-t)\times v_3+t\times v_6)$ in association with the removal of the edge $e(v_3, v_6)$. A distribution of the remaining texture coordinates can be obtained in a manner similar to the arrangement of the vertex v_3' based on the distribution t. That is, as shown in FIG. **7**B, as for the distribution of the remaining texture coordinates [Vt_3',] vt_3' by calculating [$((1-t)\times Vt_3+t\times Vt_6)$] $((1-t)\times vt_3+t\times vt_6)$ in a manner similar to the distribution t between the above vertices v_3 and v_6, an image can be distributed in a form according to a change in model shape to which the image is adhered. Thus, as shown in the diagram on the right side of FIG. **6**B, the textures can be continuously adhered to the polygon model.

In this instance, when the position of the coordinates [Vt_3] vt_3 of the texture data corresponding to the vertex [V_3] v_3 on the polygon model is not changed in accordance with the change in model shape as mentioned above, for example, in the texture shown in FIG. **6**B, an image existing at the position of the face corresponding to the triangular plane including the removed edge [$e(V_3, V_6)$] $e(v_3, v_6)$ cannot be adhered to the model.

With respect to an original polygon model shown in FIG. **8**A, for example, when the coordinates vt_6 on the texture allocated to the vertex v_6 are made correspond to the remaining vertex [V_3] v_3 side from the integration relations of vertices after the removal of the edge e without considering the image data allocated to the triangular plane which disappears at the time of the removal of the edge [$e(V_3, V_6)$,] $e(v_3, v_6)$, the portion of the face disappears as shown in FIG. **8**B. Further, when the coordinates of [Vt_3] vt_3 before the edge removal are succeeded as they are after the edge removal without considering the integration relation of the vertices at the time of the removal of the edge e, as shown in FIG. **8**C, since the coordinates of the vertex v_3 change after the removal of the edge e and an area of each plane changes, the resultant image to which the texture was adhered is distorted. That is, the texture data also needs to be changed in accordance with the change in plane and change in model vertex position due to the edge removal.

When the texture is adhered to the polygon model, there is a case where not only one texture but also a plurality of different textures are allocated to the model. In this case, a boundary in which the texture is switched from a certain texture to another texture exists.

In case of adhering the texture to the polygon model, as mentioned above, the texture is allocated to each vertex of the model. Even in the boundary of the texture, therefore, the boundary is allocated to each vertex constructing the edge of the model. Further, as mentioned above, the approximation of the model is performed by repeating the edge removal only a desired number of times. In this instance, if the texture area allocated to the edge as a target of the removal is in the texture, as shown in FIGS. **6** and **7** mentioned above, the model can be approximated while holding a continuity of the image.

However, when the area of the image allocated to the edge as a removal target exists just on the boundary of the image, the polygon model is approximated by the edge removal and since the vertex position is moved, a plurality of textures are mixed and the appearance of the texture is broken. To prevent this, it is necessary to make a discrimination so as not to break the image boundary at the time of the edge removal and to decide sizes of a change of the outline portion by the edge removal.

As shown in FIG. **9**A, two different textures comprising an image of a hatched portion and an image of a face are both adhered to one polygon model. FIG. **9**B shows a certain continuous edge train in the model shown in FIG. **9**A. In the model shown in FIGS. **9**A and **9**B, for example, when the edge $e(v_4, v_5)$ comprising the vertices v_4 and v_5 is removed and the vertex v_4 is left after the removal, when executing a process to arrange a vertex v_4' based on the vertex v_4 to the middle position of the edge $e(v_4, v_5)$ as a removal target, an outline portion of the edge changes as shown in FIG. **9**C.

In this case, since the outline portion of the face image has also been adhered to each of the vertices v_3 to v_6, as shown in FIG. **9**D, the shapes of the two adhered images are broken. In this example, the shape of the lower portion of the face picture is largely changed and the image of the hatched region increases. As mentioned above, in the edges of the model to which the outline portion of the image is allocated, if the edge removal is simply repeated as mentioned above, the quality after the approximation is deteriorated.

To prevent this, a removal evaluating function of the edge as a boundary portion of the texture is introduced and when the shape of the texture boundary is largely changed by the edge removal, it is necessary to use any one of the following methods. Namely, as a first method, the relevant edge is not removed. As a second method, although the edge is removed, a movement amount of the vertex position after the removal is adjusted. The following equation (2) is used as a removal evaluating function of each edge in this instance. FIG. **10** shows a diagram for explaining the equation (2).

$$F(e) = \sum_i |(N_i \cdot E) \times L_i| \tag{2}$$

In the equation (2), E denotes the vector having the direction and length of the edge e, Ni indicates the normal vector of the edge, and Li the length of edge. A range of i corresponds to the whole edge of the boundary lines existing before and after the edge as a removal target. The equation (2) denotes an area change amount when the edge of the boundary portion is removed. Therefore, when the calculation value of the equation (2) is large, a change of the outline portion by the edge removal is large.

Namely, when the calculation value of the equation (2) is large, the area change in the outline portion of the texture increases, so that there is a fear of occurrence of the breakage of the texture shape. To prevent this, there is a method whereby the relevant edge is not removed like the foregoing first method. However, like the foregoing second method, there is also a method whereby the texture coordinates after the edge removal are moved within a range where the value of the equation (2) is smaller than the designated value, thereby consequently decreasing the change amount of the outline portion. By using the second method, the breakage of the texture after the approximation can be suppressed.

As mentioned above, the approximated polygon model to which the texture having a desired precision is adhered can be obtained. In this case, when the texture is adhered to the original model, there is no need to again adhere the texture to the model after completion of the approximation and the approximated model with the texture can be automatically obtained.

As mentioned above, the approximated model obtained by repeating the processes in steps S**2** to S**6** is stored in the external storing apparatus such as hard disk **6** or memory **7**. However, when displaying in step S**8**, the approximated model stored in the external storing apparatus is read out, drawn, and displayed to the display apparatus **8**. As already described in the foregoing prior art, in this display, for example, when the model is displayed as a small image on the picture plane because it appears at a remote location or when the observer fails to notice the model because it is out of the target point on the picture plane, the model is switched to the model of a layer that was approximated and the image is displayed.

Upon switching to the approximated model, if the model is suddenly switched to the model in which a degree of approximation largely differs, a sudden change occurs in the shape of the displayed model at a moment of the switching and a feeling of disorder is given to the observer.

To prevent that feeling of disorder, it is sufficient that a number of models whose approximation degrees are slightly changed are prepared and stored into the external storing apparatus and the display is performed while sequentially switching those models. In this case, however, since an amount of models to be stored increases, it is not efficient. Therefore, to realize a smooth continuous conversion even with a small number of models, it is sufficient to interpolate the model among the discrete layers and to obtain the model of the middle layer.

For example, in the example shown in FIGS. **4**A and **4**B mentioned above, the vertex after the edge $e(v_1, v_2)$ was removed is set to v'. However, as for the vertex v', it is considered that the vertices v_1 and v_2 in the edge $e(v_1, v_2)$ approach each other and become the vertex v'. Namely, the vertices v_1 and v_2 are consequently integrated to the vertex v'. As mentioned above, since the correspondence relation of the vertices before and after the edge removal is known, the data between the data before and after the edge removal can be obtained by an interpolation from the data before and after the edge removal by using the correspondence relation of the vertices.

Such a forming method of the approximated model in the middle layer between the discrete layers has already been described in detail in Japanese Patent Application No. 6-248602 regarding the proposition of the present inventors.

FIGS. **11**A to **11**C show the formation of the approximated model of the middle layer using the correspondence relation of the vertices between two layers as mentioned above. In FIGS. **11**A to **11**C, a layer before the edge removal is set to a layer N as shown in FIG. **11**A and a layer after the edge removal is set to a layer N+1 as shown in FIG. **11**C, thereby obtaining a model of a middle layer N' shown in FIG. **6**B from those two layers.

In the example, the vertices v_1, and v_2 bounding the edge $e(v_1, v_2)$ of the layer N are integrated to v_1 in the layer N+1 and the deleted vertex v_2 is integrated to v_1. From the correspondence relation of the vertices, in the middle layer N', the positions of vertices v_1' and **[**V_2'**]** $\mathit{v_2'}$ bounding an edge **[**$e'(v_1', V_2')$**]** $\mathit{e'(v_1', v_2')}$ corresponding to the edge $e(v_1, v_2)$ of the layer N can be obtained by the linear interpolation between the layers N and N+1. Although the example in which one middle layer is obtained is shown here, a degree of linear interpolation is changed in accordance with a desired number of middle layers and a plurality of middle layers can be obtained. The formation of the approximated model of the middle layer can be performed in a real-time manner in accordance with a situation in which the model is displayed.

Although the case where the approximated model of the middle layer is formed and displayed in a real-time manner while displaying the model has been described here, the invention is not limited to such an example. For instance, it is also possible to practice the invention in a manner such that the approximated model of the middle layer is previously formed and stored in the external storing apparatus and the stored approximated model of the middle layer is read out at the time of the display.

Although the case where one edge is removed has been mentioned as an example here, since the edge removal is repeated a plurality of number of times in the approximation of the actual model, one vertex of a certain layer corresponds to a plurality of vertices of another layer which is closer to the original model. By using the correspondence relation of the vertices in those two layers as mentioned above, the vertices of the model can be made to correspond among all of the layers. The model of the middle layer is obtained on the basis of the correspondence relation of the vertices derived as mentioned above.

As mentioned above, since the coordinates of the image data in the texture are allocated to each vertex of each model, in a manner similar to the case of the vertices of such a model, the model to which the texture was adhered in the middle layer can be obtained by the interpolation of the texture coordinates vt_1 and vt_2 allocated to the vertices v_1 and v_2, respectively. By such a process, the models in a range from the original model to the most approximated model can be smoothly continuously obtained.

By the above processes, the discrete hierarchical approximated model can be obtained and the model of the middle layer can be also obtained. The approximated model obtained and stored as mentioned above is switched in accordance with the size, position, speed, and attention point of the viewer of the apparent model on the picture plane in the display apparatus **8** and is displayed in step S**8**. FIGS. **7**A and **7**B show examples of the approximated model derived by the embodiment.

FIG. **12** schematically shows an example of the**[.]** processing results according to the embodiment. In this example, the original model is a sphere comprising 182 vertices, 360 planes, and 279 texture coordinates. An image of the earth is adhered as a texture to the sphere. It is approximated for the original model by reducing every 60% in comparison of the number of vertices. FIG. **13** shows a wire frame state of a model when the texture of the same approximated model is not adhered. In FIG. **12**, since the image is consistently held, it is difficult to know a degree of approximation, in the approximated state before the texture image is adhered as shown in FIG. **13**, the progress of the approximation can be clearly seen.

As specifically shown in FIG. **13**, by using the present invention, even if the number of vertices is reduced to 36% or 21.6% of the original model, the hierarchical approximated model can be obtained without losing the general shape which the original model has.

Although the case where the texture image is adhered to the polygon model has been described above, the invention can be also obviously applied to the case where the texture image is not adhered. In this case, step S**6** can be omitted in the flowchart shown in FIG. **1** mentioned above.

As described above, according to the invention, when image data (texture) is adhered to geometric data such as polygon data which is used in the CG, the model can be approximated to a desired degree of details while preventing the breakage of the texture shape or an apparent deterioration of the quality.

According to the invention, therefore, there is an effect such that the geometric model which is used in the CG can be approximated in a state in which the texture is adhered. There is also an effect such that not only is the model approximated but also the breakage of the appearance of the texture in the approximation result can be suppressed.

By using the geometric model approximated by the method based on the invention, in the drawing of the CG, there is an effect such that a request for drawing of at a high speed and at a high picture quality can both be satisfied.

Further, according to the invention, an importance degree of each edge constructing the geometric model which is used for the CG can be evaluated by an evaluation value. There is an effect such that the geometric model can be approximated by preferentially removing the edge of a low evaluation value of the edge.

According to the invention, the position of the vertex remaining after the edge was removed can be determined so as to suppress a change in general shape. Thus, there is an effect such that a feeling of disorder upon looking when drawing by using the approximated model can be suppressed.

According to the invention, figure data which is used in the CG can be approximated by a plurality of resolutions. There is an effect such that by using the figure data derived by the invention, both of the goals of drawing at a high speed and drawing with a high quality can be satisfied.

The present invention is not limited to the foregoing embodiments but many modifications and variations are possible within the spirit and scope of the appended claims of the invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said method comprising:

evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework;

removing at least one unnecessary line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of said degree of importance of each line segment; and

determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed,

wherein said framework is drawn on a display apparatus.

2. The method of claim **1**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

3. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed by evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

4. The method of claim **3**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a line segment a degree of importance in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

5. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed using a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

6. The method of claim **5**,

wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating an importance of a particular line segment by $(N \cdot E) \times A$,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

7. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

8. The method of claim **7**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a degree of importance to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

9. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

10. The method of claim **9**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating a sum of results of an equation $|E| \times A$ for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "$|E|$" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

11. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of said line segments.

12. The method of claim **11**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of said line segments further comprises assigning a degree of importance to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

13. The method of claim **1**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, said method further comprises assigning a lowest degree of importance among said two or more line segments to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

14. The method of claim **1**, further comprising repeating said steps of evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment; removing an unnecessary line segment; and determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

15. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

16. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

17. The method of claim **16**, further comprising specifying one or more of said line segments as of high importance, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises preventing said one or more high importance line segments from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

18. The method of claim **1**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning said vertex at said position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said unnecessary line segment and a framework in which said unnecessary line segment is removed is minimized.

19. The method of claim **1**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said unnecessary line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said vertex.

20. The method of claim **1**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning said vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed unnecessary line segment.

21. The method of claim **1**, further comprising, generating an intermediate configuration of said image data by decreasing a length of said unnecessary line segment prior to said step of removing said unnecessary line segment.

22. The method of claim **1**, further comprising, generating an intermediate polygonal framework between an original framework including said unnecessary line segment and a new framework with said unnecessary line segment removed.

23. The method of claim **22**, wherein said generating an intermediate framework comprises locating a vertex **[**an**]** *at* a position intermediate to a vertex position in said original framework and a vertex position determined in said step of determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

24. The method of claim **23**, wherein said locating a vertex at an intermediate position comprises using a linear interpolation on said vertex position in said original framework and said vertex position determined in said step of determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

25. The method of claim **1**, further comprising reconfiguring a texture applied to said framework to account for said removing of said unnecessary line segment.

26. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluation of a degree of importance of each line segment is based in part on an evaluation of the degree of importance of line segments contiguous to a particular line segment being evaluated.

27. The method of claim **1**, further comprising reconfiguring said framework after said unnecessary line segment has been removed by placing a new vertex at said position identified in said step of determining a position of a vertex.

28. The method of claim **27**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises using said new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said unnecessary, removed line segment.

29. The method of claim **1**, wherein said evaluating a degree of said line segment is performed on the basis of a removal importance value of the line segment obtained from a change amount of said image data caused by the removing of said line segment and on the basis of an assigned importance value of the line segment assigned by a user.

30. The method of claim **29**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of the line segment decides that the degree of importance of said line segment is small, if said removal importance value and said assigned importance value are both small.

31. The method of claim **29**, wherein said assigned importance value is a removing order of said line segments.

32. The method of claim **17**, wherein said specifying the at least one line segment as of high importance is performed by a user.

33. The method of claim **17**, wherein said specifying the at least one line segment as of high importance specifies a portion where more than two image data are adjacent.

34. The method of claim **18**, wherein when the shape of the portion that includes said unnecessary line segment is a concave or convex shape, said vertex is positioned where said total loss of area is minimized.

35. The method of claim **19**, wherein when the shape of the portion where said unnecessary line segment is a S-character shape, said vertex is arranged at a position where a loss of area between said original framework and said reconfigured framework is equal on both sides of said vertex.

36. The method of claim **1**, wherein said determining a position of said vertex determines the vertex at a position of one of the vertices of the removal line segment.

37. The method of claim **1**, further comprising, generating an intermediate configuration of said image data by decreasing a length of said unnecessary line segment.

38. The method of claim **1**, further comprising, generating a framework at an intermediate layer between an original framework which is the polygonal framework before said unnecessary line segment is removed and a reconfigured framework which is the polygonal framework after said unnecessary line segment is removed by determining a position of vertices in the intermediate layer on the basis of the relation of the position of said vertices between said original framework and said reconfigured framework.

39. The method of claim **38**, said position of said vertices at said intermediate layer is determined by the interpolation of said position of said vertices in said original framework and said reconfigured framework.

40. The method of claim **39**, said interpolation is a linear interpolation.

41. The method of claim **1**, wherein said removing of said unnecessary line segment removes either one of two vertices constructing the unnecessary line segment; and wherein said determining of a position of said vertex determines the shift amount of the vertex which is constructing the unnecessary line segment and not removed on said removing of said unnecessary line segment.

42. A method of approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework formed of polygons to which textures or pictures are applied, said polygons of said framework being composed of line segments connected between vertices, said method comprising:

evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework;

removing an unnecessary line segment identified by said step of evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment;

reconfiguring said framework to account for said removal of said line segment; and

reconfiguring said textures or pictures applied to said framework to account for said removal of said line segment,

wherein said framework is drawn on a display apparatus.

43. The method of claim **42**, wherein said reconfiguring the textures or pictures applied to the framework is preformed altering an association between a vertex of said unnecessary line segment and any of said textures or pictures.

44. The method of claim **42**, wherein:

said reconfiguring of said framework comprises replacing two vertices of said framework, between which said unnecessary, removed line segment had been connected, with a single new vertex; and

said reconfiguring the textures or pictures applied to the framework comprises determining a new position on said textures or pictures corresponding to a position of said single new vertex in said framework.

45. The method of claim **44**, wherein said reconfiguring of said textures or pictures applied to the framework comprises determining said new position by interpolation between two points on the textures or pictures which correspond to the unnecessary line segment.

46. The method of claim **45**, wherein said interpolation is a linear interpolation.

47. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework further comprises preventing any line segment existing on an outline of any of said textures or pictures from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

48. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework further comprises preventing any line segment, which exists on an outline of any of said textures or pictures from being designated as said unnecessary line segment if a change in an area of said texture or picture resulting from removal of that line segment exceeds a predetermined value.

49. The method of claim **48**, wherein said area change amount after the line segment removal is obtained on the basis of a calculation of sum of results of an equation $|(N \cdot E) \times L|$ at line segments corresponding to the boundary lines of the texture or picture existing before and after the line segment to be removed, wherein "E" is representing that line segment, "L" is a length of line segment corresponding to the boundary lines of the texture or picture, "N" is a normal vector of said line segments, "·" is a inner product, and "×" is a product.

50. The method of claim **42**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

51. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed by evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

52. The method of claim **51**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a line segment a degree of importance in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

53. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed using a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

54. The method of claim **53**,

wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating an importance of a particular line segment by $(N \cdot E) \times A$,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

55. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

56. The method of claim **55**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a degree of importance to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

57. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

58. The method of claim **57**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating a sum of results of an equation $|E| \times A$ for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "$|E|$" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

59. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of said line segments.

60. The method of claim **59**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of said line segments further comprises assigning a degree of importance to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

61. The method of claim **42**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, said method further comprises assigning a lowest degree of importance among said two or more line segments to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

62. The method of claim **42**, further comprising repeating said steps of evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment; removing an unnecessary line segment; reconfiguring said framework; and reconfiguring said textures or pictures.

63. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

64. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

65. The method of claim **64**, further comprising specifying one or more of said line segments as of high importance, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises preventing said one or more high importance line segments from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

66. The method of claim **42**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said unnecessary line and a framework in which said unnecessary line segment is removed is minimized.

67. The method of claim **42**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said unnecessary line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said vertex.

68. The method of claim **42**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a new vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed unnecessary line segment.

69. The method of claim **42**, further comprising, generating an intermediate configuration of said image data by decreasing a length of said unnecessary line segment prior to said step of removing said unnecessary line segment.

70. The method of claim **42**, further comprising, generating an intermediate polygonal framework between an original framework including said unnecessary line segment and a new framework with said unnecessary line segment removed.

71. The method of claim **70**, wherein said generating an intermediate framework comprises locating a vertex an a position intermediate to a vertex position in said original framework and a vertex position determined in said step of determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

72. The method of claim **71**, wherein said locating a vertex at an intermediate position comprises using a linear interpolation on said vertex position in said original framework and said vertex position determined in said step of determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

73. The method of claim **42**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is based in part on an evaluation of a degree of importance of line segments contiguous to a particular line segment being evaluated.

74. The method of claim **42**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises using a new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said unnecessary, removed line segment.

75. The method of claim **42**, wherein said reconfiguring said textures or pictures applied to said framework decides a new position of the corresponding point on said textures or pictures where an area change amount of said textures or pictures to be influenced by the approximation lies within a predetermined range.

76. The **[**apparatus**]** *method* of claim **44**, wherein said reconfiguring of said textures or pictures applied to the framework comprises determining said new position by interpolation between two points on the textures or pictures which correspond to the unnecessary line segment.

77. An apparatus for use with a display device that approximates an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said **[**device**]** *apparatus* comprising:

a memory unit for storing said image data; and

a processor connected to said memory unit, wherein said processor is programmed to:

(a) assign an importance value to each line segment of said framework;

(b) remove from said framework that line segment having a lowest importance value; and

(c) reconfigure said framework to account for said removal of said line segment having said lowest importance value.

78. The apparatus of claim **77**, further comprising an input device inputting said image data to said processor for storage in said memory unit.

79. The apparatus of claim **78**, wherein said input device comprises a floppy disk drive.

80. The apparatus of claim **78**, wherein said input device comprises a magneto-optical disk drive.

81. The apparatus of claim **77**, further comprising a user input device for inputting data to said processor.

82. The apparatus of claim **81**, wherein said user input device comprises a keyboard.

83. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is further programmed to reconfigure texture and pictures applied to said framework to account for removal of said line segment.

84. The apparatus of claim **77**, said processor, in performing said reconfiguration of said framework, is programmed to replace two vertices of said framework, between which said removed line segment had been connected, with a single new vertex.

85. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

86. The apparatus of claim **77**, said processor, in performing said assignment of importance values, is programmed to evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

87. The apparatus of claim **86**, said processor, in performing said assignment of importance values, is programmed to assign a line segment an importance value in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

88. The apparatus of claim **77**, said processor, in performing said assignment of importance values, is programmed to use a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework, wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

89. The apparatus of claim **88**,

wherein said processor assigns an importance value to each line segment by calculating an importance of a particular line segment by (N·E)×A,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

90. The apparatus of claim **77**, said processor, in performing said assignment of importance values, is programmed to determine an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

91. The apparatus of claim **90**, wherein said processor assigns an importance value to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

92. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor, in performing said assignment of importance values, assigns an importance value to each line segment based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

93. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor assigns an importance value to each line segment by calculating a sum of results of an equation |E|×A for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "|E|" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

94. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor, in performing said assignment of importance values, is programmed to assign an importance value to each line segment based on a length of said line segments.

95. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor assigns an importance value to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

96. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, said processor assigns a lowest degree of importance among said two or more line segments to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

97. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is further programmed to repeat said assignment of an importance value to each line segment; said removal of that line segment with the lowest importance value; and said reconfiguration said framework.

98. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is programmed to assign an importance value to each line segment based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

99. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is programmed to assign an importance value to each line segment based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

100. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is programmed to reconfigure said framework by positioning a new vertex at a position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said line segment having said lowest importance value and a framework containing said new vertex and in which said lowest-importance-value line segment is removed is minimized.

101. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is programmed to reconfigure said framework by positioning a new vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said lowest-importance-value line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said new vertex.

102. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is programmed to reconfigure said framework by positioning a vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed lowest-importance-value line segment.

103. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is programmed to generate an intermediate configuration of said image data by decreasing a length of said lowest-importance-value line segment.

104. The apparatus of claim **77**, wherein said processor is programmed to reconfigure said framework by generating a new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said removed, lowest-importance-value line segment.

105. A method of approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said method comprising:

assigning an importance value to each line segment of said framework;

removing from said framework that line segment having a lowest importance value; and

reconfiguring said framework to account for said removal of said line segment having said lowest importance value*,*

wherein said framework is drawn on a display apparatus.

106. The method of claim **105**, wherein said reconfiguring further comprises replacing two vertices of said framework, between which said removed line segment had been connected, with a single new vertex.

107. The method of claim **105**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

108. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed by evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

109. The method of claim **108**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises assigning a line segment an importance value in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

110. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed using a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework, wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

111. The method of claim **110**,

wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises calculating an importance of a particular line segment by (N·E)×A,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

112. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

113. The method of claim **112**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises assigning an importance value to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

114. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

115. The method of claim **114**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises calculating a sum of results of an equation |E|×A for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "|E|" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

116. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on a length of said line segments.

117. The method of claim **116**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each of said line segments further comprises assigning an importance value to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

118. The method of claim **105**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, said method further comprises assigning a lowest degree of importance among said two or more line segments to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

119. The method of claim **105**, further comprising repeating said steps of assigning an importance value to each line segment; removing that line segment with the lowest importance value; and reconfiguring said framework.

120. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

121. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

122. The method of claim **121**, further comprising specifying one or more of said line segments as of high importance, wherein assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises preventing said one or more high importance line segments from being removed.

123. The method of claim **105**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises positioning a new vertex at a position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said line segment having said lowest importance value and a framework comprising said new vertex and in which said lowest-importance-value line segment is removed is minimized.

124. The method of claim **105**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said lowest-importance-value line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said vertex.

125. The method of claim **105**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises positioning a vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed lowest-importance-value line segment.

126. The method of claim **105**, further comprising, generating an intermediate configuration of said image data by decreasing a length of said lowest-importance-value line segment prior to said step of removing said lowest-importance-value line segment.

127. The method of claim **105**, further comprising, generating an intermediate polygonal framework between an original framework including said lowest-importance-value line segment and a new reconfigured framework with said lowest-importance-value line segment removed.

128. The method of claim **127**, wherein said generating an intermediate framework comprises locating a vertex an a position intermediate to a vertex position in said original framework and a new vertex position determined in said step of reconfiguring.

129. The method of claim **128**, wherein said locating a vertex at an intermediate position comprises using a linear interpolation on said vertex position in said original framework and said new vertex position determined in said step of reconfiguring.

130. The method of claim **105**, further comprising reconfiguring a texture applied to said framework to account for said removing of said lowest-importance-value line segment.

131. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is done in accordance with an assigned importance value of line segments contiguous to a particular line segment being evaluated.

132. The method of claim **105**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises using a new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said removed, lowest-importance-value line segment.

133. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment comprises deciding that the degree of importance of said line segment is small as said change amount of said volume is small.

134. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed on the basis of a vector which is representing said line segment, an area of a plane of said image data which is composed by at least one of said vertices of said line segment, and a normal vector at said plane.

135. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed on the basis of a change amount of area of the image specified by said image data when said line segment is removed.

136. The method of claim **135**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment comprises deciding that the degree of importance of said line segment is small as said change amount of said area is small.

137. The method of claim **105**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment comprises deciding that the degree of importance of said line segment is small as said length of said line segment is short.

138. The method of claim **105**, wherein when two or more edges are assigned an identical degree of importance, a shortest line segment of said line segments receiving an identical degree of importance is said unnecessary edge.

139. The method of claim **105**, further comprising repeating the step of said evaluating a degree of importance of the line segment, the step of said removing said unnecessary line segment, and the step of said determining said position of said vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

140. The method of claim **105**, wherein said evaluating a degree of said line segment is performed on the basis of a removal importance value of the line segment obtained from a change amount of said image data caused by the removing of said line segment and on the basis of an assigned importance value of the line segment assigned by a user.

141. The method of claim **140**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of the line segment decides that the degree of importance of said line segment is small, if said removal importance value and said assigned importance value are both small.

142. The method of claim **140**, wherein said assigned importance value is a removing order of said line segments.

143. The method of claim **105**, further comprising specifying at least one said line segment as of high importance, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of said at least one line segment further comprises preventing said at least one high importance line segment from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

144. The method of claim **143**, wherein said specifying the at least one line segment as of high importance is performed by a user.

145. The method of claim **143**, wherein said specifying the at least one line segment as of high importance specifies a portion where more than two image data are adjacent.

146. The method of claim **105**, wherein said vertex is decided at a position where a total loss of area between the original framework which is the framework before line segment removing and the reconfigured framework which is the framework after said line segment removing is minimized.

147. The method of claim **146**, wherein when the shape of the portion where said removal line segment is a concave or convex shape, said vertex is decided at a position where a total loss of area between said original framework and said reconfigured framework is minimized.

148. The method of claim **105**, wherein said vertex is decided at a position where a loss of area between the original framework which is the framework before line segment removing and the reconfigured framework which is the framework after line segment removing is equal on both sides of said vertex.

149. The method of claim **148**, wherein when the shape of the portion where said removal line segment is a S-character shape, said vertex is arranged at a position where a loss of area between said original framework and said reconfigured framework is equal on both sides of said vertex.

150. The method of claim **105**, wherein said determining a position of said vertex determines the vertex at a position of one of the vertices of the removal line segment.

151. The method of claim **105**, further comprising, generating an intermediate configuration of said image data by decreasing a length of said unnecessary line segment.

152. The method of claim **105**, further comprising, generating an framework at intermediate layer between said original framework which is the framework before line segment removing and said reconfigured framework which is the framework after line segment removing by determining a position of vertices in the intermediate layer on the basis of the relation of vertices position between said original framework and said reconfigured framework.

153. The method of claim **105**, further comprising reconfiguring a texture applied to said framework to account for said removing of said unnecessary line segment.

154. The method of claim **105**, wherein said evaluation of degree of importance of the line segment is performed on the basis of an evaluation value of said line segment and evaluation values of peripheral line segments.

155. The method of claim **105**, wherein said removing of unnecessary line segment removes either one or two vertices constructing the unnecessary line segment; and

said determining of a position of vertex determines amount of shift the vertex which is constructing the unnecessary line segment and not removed on said removing of unnecessary line segment.

156. An apparatus for approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said apparatus comprising:

a memory unit configured to store said image data; and

a processing apparatus **[**for:**]** *configured to:*

[evaluating**]** *evaluate* a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework;

[removing**]** *remove* at least one unnecessary line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of said degree of importance of each line segment; and

[determining**]** *determine* a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

157. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

158. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed by evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

159. The apparatus of claim **158**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a line segment a degree of importance in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

160. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed using a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

161. The apparatus of claim **160**,

wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating an importance of a particular line segment by $(N \cdot E) \times A$,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

162. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

163. The apparatus of claim **162**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a degree of importance to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

164. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

165. The apparatus of claim **164**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating a sum of results of an equation $|E| \times A$ for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "$|E|$" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

166. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of said line segments.

167. The apparatus of claim **166**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of said line segments further comprises assigning a degree of importance to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

168. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, said processing apparatus assigns a lowest degree of importance among said two or more line segments to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

169. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said processing apparatus repeats said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment; said removing an unnecessary line segment; and said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

170. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

171. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

172. The apparatus of claim **171**, said processing apparatus specifies one or more of said line segments as of high importance, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises preventing said one or more high importance line segments from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

173. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning said vertex at said position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said unnecessary line segment and a framework in which said unnecessary line segment is removed is minimized.

174. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said unnecessary line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said vertex.

175. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning said vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed unnecessary line segment.

176. The apparatus of claim **156**, said processing apparatus generates an intermediate configuration of said image data by decreasing a length of said unnecessary line segment prior to said step of removing said unnecessary line segment.

177. The apparatus of claim **156**, said processing apparatus generates an intermediate polygonal framework between an original framework including said unnecessary line segment and a new framework with said unnecessary line segment removed.

178. The apparatus of claim **177**, wherein said generating an intermediate framework comprises locating a vertex an a position intermediate to a vertex position in said original framework and a vertex position determined in said step of determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

179. The apparatus of claim **178**, wherein said locating a vertex at an intermediate position comprises using a linear interpolation on said vertex position in said original framework and said vertex position determined in said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

180. The apparatus of claim **156**, said processing apparatus reconfigures a texture applied to said framework to account for said removing of said unnecessary line segment.

181. The apparatus of claim **156**, wherein said evaluation of a degree of importance of each line segment is based in part on an evaluation of the degree of importance of line segments contiguous to a particular line segment being evaluated.

182. The apparatus of claim **156**, said processing apparatus reconfigures said framework after said unnecessary line segment has been removed by placing a new vertex at said position identified in said step of determining a position of a vertex.

183. The apparatus of claim **182**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises using said new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said unnecessary, removed line segment.

184. An apparatus for approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework formed of polygons to which textures or pictures are applied, said polygons of said framework being composed of line segments connected between vertices, said apparatus comprising:

a memory unit configured to store said image data; and

a processing apparatus [for:] *configured to:*

[evaluating] *evaluate* a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework;

[removing] *remove* an unnecessary line segment identified by said step of evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment;

[reconfiguring] *reconfigure* said framework to account for said removal of said line segment; and

[reconfiguring] *reconfigure* said textures or pictures applied to said framework to account for said removal of said line segment.

185. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said reconfiguring the textures or pictures applied to the framework is preformed altering an association between a vertex of said unnecessary line segment and any of said textures or pictures.

186. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein:

said reconfiguring of said framework comprises replacing two vertices of said framework, between which said unnecessary, removed line segment had been connected, with a single new vertex; and

said reconfiguring the textures or pictures applied to the framework comprises determining a new position on said textures or pictures corresponding to a position of said single new vertex in said framework.

187. The apparatus of claim **186**, wherein said interpolation is a linear interpolation.

188. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework further comprises preventing any line segment existing on an outline of any of said textures or pictures from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

189. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework further comprises preventing any line segment, which exists on an outline of any of said textures or pictures from being designated as said unnecessary line segment if a change in an area of said texture or picture resulting from removal of that line segment exceeds a predetermined value.

190. The apparatus of claim **189**, wherein said area change amount after the line segment removal is obtained on the basis of a calculation of sum of results of an equation $|(N \cdot E) \times L|$ at line segments corresponding to the boundary lines of the texture or picture existing before and after the line segment to be removed, wherein "E" is representing that line segment, "L" is a length of line segment corresponding to the boundary lines of the texture or picture, "N" is a normal vector of said line segments, "·" is a inner product, and "×" is a product.

191. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

192. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed by evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

193. The apparatus of claim **192**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a line segment a degree of importance in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

194. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed using a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

195. The apparatus of claim **194**,

wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating an importance of a particular line segment by $(N \cdot E) \times A$,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

196. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

197. The apparatus of claim **196**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a degree of importance to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

198. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

199. The apparatus of claim **198**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating a sum of results of an equation $|E| \times A$ for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "$|E|$" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

200. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of said line segments.

201. The apparatus of claim **200**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of said line segments further comprises assigning a degree of importance to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

202. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, said processing apparatus assigns a lowest degree of importance among said two or more line segments to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

203. The apparatus of claim **184**, said processing apparatus repeats said steps of evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment; removing an unnecessary line segment; reconfiguring said framework; and reconfiguring said textures or pictures.

204. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

205. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

206. The apparatus of claim **205**, said processing apparatus specifies one or more of said line segments as of high importance, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises preventing said one or more high importance line segments from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

207. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said unnecessary line and a framework in which said unnecessary line segment is removed is minimized.

208. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said unnecessary line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said vertex.

209. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a new vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed unnecessary line segment.

210. The apparatus of claim **184**, said processing apparatus generates an intermediate configuration of said image data by decreasing a length of said unnecessary line segment prior to said step of removing said unnecessary line segment.

211. The apparatus of claim **184**, said processing apparatus generates an intermediate polygonal framework between an original framework including said unnecessary line segment and a new framework with said unnecessary line segment removed.

212. The apparatus of claim **211**, wherein said generating an intermediate framework comprises locating a vertex an a position intermediate to a vertex position in said original framework and a vertex position determined in said step of determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

213. The apparatus of claim **212**, wherein said locating a vertex at an intermediate position comprises using a linear interpolation on said vertex position in said original framework and said vertex position determined in said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

214. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is based in part on an evaluation of a degree of importance of line segments contiguous to a particular line segment being evaluated.

215. The apparatus of claim **184**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises using a new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said unnecessary, removed line segment.

216. A medium for storing image data for approximating an image by decreasing an amount of said image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said medium comprising:

a memory unit [for storing] *configured to store* said image data, wherein said image data stored onto said memory unit are generated by *a processor that*:

[evaluating] *evaluates* a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework;

[removing] *removes* at least one unnecessary line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of said degree of importance of each line segment; and

[determining] *determines* a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

217. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

218. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed by evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

219. The medium of claim **218**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a line segment a degree of importance in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

220. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed using a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

221. The medium of claim **220**,

wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating an importance of a particular line segment by (N·E)×A,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

222. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

223. The medium of claim **222**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a degree of importance to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

224. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

225. The medium of claim **224**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating a sum of results of an equation |E|×A for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "|E|" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

226. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of said line segments.

227. The medium of claim **226**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of said line segments further comprises assigning a degree of importance to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

228. The medium of claim **216**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, a lowest degree of importance among said two or more line segments is assigned to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

229. The medium of claim **216**, further comprising repeating said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment; said removing an unnecessary line segment; and said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

230. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

231. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

232. The medium of claim **231**, further comprising specifying one or more of said line segments as of high importance, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises preventing said one or more high importance line segments from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

233. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning said vertex at said position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said unnecessary line segment and a framework in which said unnecessary line segment is removed is minimized.

234. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said unnecessary line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said vertex.

235. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed comprises positioning said vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed unnecessary line segment.

236. The medium of claim **216**, wherein an intermediate configuration of said image data is generated by decreasing a length of said unnecessary line segment prior to said removing said unnecessary line segment.

237. The medium of claim **216**, wherein an intermediate polygonal framework is generated between an original framework including said unnecessary line segment and a new framework with said unnecessary line segment removed.

238. The medium of claim **237**, wherein said generating an intermediate framework comprises locating a vertex an a position intermediate to a vertex position in said original framework and a vertex position determined in said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

239. The medium of claim **238**, wherein said locating a vertex at an intermediate position comprises using a linear interpolation on said vertex position in said original framework and said vertex position determined in said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

240. The medium of claim **216**, further comprising reconfiguring a texture applied to said framework to account for said removing of said unnecessary line segment.

241. The medium of claim **216**, wherein said evaluation of a degree of importance of each line segment is based in part on an evaluation of the degree of importance of line segments contiguous to a particular line segment being evaluated.

242. The medium of claim **216**, further comprising reconfiguring said framework after said unnecessary line segment has been removed by placing a new vertex at said position identified in said determining a position of a vertex.

243. The medium of claim **242**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises using said new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said unnecessary, removed line segment.

244. A medium for storing image data for approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said medium comprising:

a memory unit for storing said image data, wherein said image data stored onto said memory unit are generated by *a processor that*:

[assigning] *assigns* an importance value to each line segment of said framework;

[removing] *removes* from said framework that line segment having a lowest importance value; and

[reconfiguring] *reconfigures* said framework to account for said removal of said line segment having said lowest importance value.

245. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said reconfiguring further comprises replacing two vertices of said framework, between which said removed line segment had been connected, with a single new vertex.

246. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

247. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed by evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

248. The medium of claim **247**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises assigning a line segment an importance value in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

249. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed using a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework, wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

250. The medium of claim **249**,

wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises calculating an importance of a particular line segment by $(N \cdot E) \times A$,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

251. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

252. The medium of claim **251**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises assigning an importance value to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

253. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

254. The medium of claim **252**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises calculating a sum of results of an equation $|E| \times A$ for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "$|E|$" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

255. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on a length of said line segments.

256. The medium of claim **255**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each of said line segments further comprises assigning an importance value to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

257. The medium of claim **244**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, a lowest degree of importance is assigned among said two or more line segments to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

258. The medium of claim **244**, further comprising repeating said steps of assigning an importance value to each line segment; removing that line segment with the lowest importance value; and reconfiguring said framework.

259. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

260. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is performed based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

261. The medium of claim **260**, further comprising specifying one or more of said line segments as of high importance, wherein assigning an importance value to each line segment further comprises preventing said one or more high importance line segments from being removed.

262. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises positioning a new vertex at a position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said line segment having said lowest importance value and a framework comprising said new vertex and in which said lowest-importance-value line segment is removed is minimized.

263. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said lowest-importance-value line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said vertex.

264. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises positioning a vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed lowest-importance-value line segment.

265. The medium of claim **244**, wherein an intermediate configuration of said image data is generated by decreasing a length of said lowest-importance-value line segment prior to said removing said lowest-importance-value line segment.

266. The medium of claim **244**, wherein an intermediate polygonal framework is generated between an original framework including said lowest-importance-value line segment and a new reconfigured framework with said lowest-importance-value line segment removed.

267. The medium of claim **266**, wherein said generating an intermediate framework comprises locating a vertex an a position intermediate to a vertex position in said original framework and a new vertex position determined in said reconfiguring.

268. The medium of claim **267**, wherein said locating a vertex at an intermediate position comprises using a linear interpolation on said vertex position in said original framework and said new vertex position determined in said reconfiguring.

269. The medium of claim **244**, wherein a texture applied to said framework is reconfigured to account for said removing of said lowest-importance-value line segment.

270. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said assigning an importance value to each line segment is done in accordance with an assigned importance value of line segments contiguous to a particular line segment being evaluated.

271. The medium of claim **244**, wherein said reconfiguring comprises using a new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said removed, lowest-importance-value line segment.

272. A medium for storing image data for approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework formed of polygons to which textures or pictures are applied, said polygons of said framework being composed of line segments connected between vertices, said medium comprising:

a memory unit for storing said image data, wherein said image data stored onto said memory unit are generated by *a processor for*:

evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework;

removing an unnecessary line segment identified by said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment;

reconfiguring said framework to account for said removal of said line segment; and

reconfiguring said textures or pictures applied to said framework to account for said removal of said line segment.

273. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said reconfiguring the textures or pictures applied to the framework is preformed altering an association between a vertex of said unnecessary line segment and any of said textures or pictures.

274. The medium of claim **272**, wherein:

said reconfiguring of said framework comprises replacing two vertices of said framework, between which said unnecessary, removed line segment had been connected, with a single new vertex; and

said reconfiguring the textures or pictures applied to the framework comprises determining a new position on said textures or pictures corresponding to a position of said single new vertex in said framework.

275. The medium of claim **274**, wherein said reconfiguring of said textures or pictures applied to the framework comprises determining said new position by interpolation between two points on the textures or pictures which correspond to the unnecessary line segment.

276. The medium of claim **275**, wherein said interpolation is a linear interpolation.

277. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework further comprises preventing any line segment existing on an outline of any of said textures or pictures from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

278. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment of said framework further comprises preventing any line segment, which exists on an outline of any of said textures or pictures from being designated as said unnecessary line segment if a change in an area of said texture or picture resulting from removal of that line segment exceeds a predetermined value.

279. The medium of claim **278**, wherein said area change amount after the line segment removal is obtained on the basis of a calculation of sum of results of an equation $|(N \cdot E) \times L|$ at line segments corresponding to the boundary lines of the texture or picture existing before and after the line segment to be removed, wherein "E" is representing that line segment, "L" is a length of line segment corresponding to the boundary lines of the texture or picture, "N" is a normal vector of said line segments, "·" is a inner product, and "×" is a product.

280. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said image data defines a 3-dimensional polygonal framework.

281. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed by evaluating an amount by which a volume of the polygonal framework defined by the image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

282. The medium of claim **281**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a line segment a degree of importance in direct proportion to the amount of volume change caused by removal of that line segment.

283. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed using a vector (E) which represents a particular line segment, an area (A) of a polygon within said polygonal framework wherein said particular line segment is a side of said polygon, and a vector (N) normal to a plane of said polygon.

284. The medium of claim **283**,

wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating an importance of a particular line segment by $(N \cdot E) \times A$,

wherein E is said vector representing a particular line segment, A is said area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment, and N is said normal vector.

285. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an area of said polygonal framework defined by said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

286. The medium of claim **285**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises assigning a degree of importance to a particular line segment in direct proportion to said amount by which said area of said polygonal framework is changed by removal of that line segment.

287. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of a particular line segment and an area of a polygon within said polygonal framework of which said particular line segment is a side.

288. The medium of claim **287**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises calculating a sum of results of an equation $|E| \times A$ for polygons sided by said particular line segment, wherein "$|E|$" is length of that line segment, "A" is an area of a polygon sided by said particular line segment.

289. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on a length of said line segments.

290. The medium of claim **289**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of said line segments further comprises assigning a degree of importance to each line segment in direct proportion to a length of that line segment.

291. The medium of claim **272**, wherein if two or more line segments are assigned an identical degree of importance, a lowest degree of importance is assigned among said two or more line segments to that line segment of said two or more line segments with a shortest length.

292. The medium of claim **272**, further comprising repeating said steps of evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment; removing an unnecessary line segment; reconfiguring said framework; and reconfiguring said textures or pictures.

293. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on an amount by which an amount of said image data is changed by removal of a particular line segment.

294. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is performed based on importance values assigned by a user to one or more of said line segments.

295. The medium of claim **294**, one or more of said line segments is specified as of high importance, wherein said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment further comprises preventing said one or more high importance line segments from being designated as said unnecessary line segment.

296. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a total loss of area between a framework including said unnecessary line and a framework in which said unnecessary line segment is removed is minimized.

297. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a vertex at a position such that a loss of area to said framework caused by removal of said unnecessary line segment is approximately equal for portions of said framework on opposite sides of said vertex.

298. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises positioning a new vertex at a position corresponding to an end of said removed unnecessary line segment.

299. The medium of claim **272**, wherein an intermediate configuration of said image data is generated by decreasing a length of said unnecessary line segment prior to said removing said unnecessary line segment.

300. The medium of claim **272**, wherein an intermediate polygonal framework between an original framework is generated to include said unnecessary line segment and a new framework with said unnecessary line segment removed.

301. The medium of claim **300**, wherein said generating an intermediate framework comprises locating a vertex an a position intermediate to a vertex position in said original framework and a vertex position determined in said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

302. The medium of claim **301**, wherein said locating a vertex at an intermediate position comprises using a linear interpolation on said vertex position in said original framework and said vertex position determined in said determining a position of a vertex after said unnecessary line segment is removed.

303. The medium of claim **272**, **[**werein**]** *wherein* said evaluating a degree of importance of each line segment is based in part on an evaluation of a degree of importance of line segments contiguous to a particular line segment being evaluated.

304. The medium of claim **272**, wherein said reconfiguring said framework comprises using a new vertex to replace a previous vertex located at an end of said unnecessary, removed line segment.

305. A method of approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said method comprising the steps of:

evaluating line segments of said framework;

identifying at least one line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of the line segments;

integrating vertices connected by the identified line segment to an integrated vertex, a position of the integrated vertex being determined based on at least location information of one of the vertices integrated to the integrated vertex; and

assigning a weight which is considered in the evaluating step or the identifying step to reflect a user's intention in the approximated image,

wherein said framework is drawn on a display apparatus.

306. The method of claim 305, further comprising the steps of:

storing data relating to said integrated vertices; and

using the stored data for forming a model finer than the approximated image.

307. A method for creating data which comprises approximated image data formed by decreasing an amount of original image data, wherein said approximated and original image data define a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said method comprising the steps of:

causing a processor to form said approximated image data from said original image data,

wherein the processor forms said approximated image data by executing the steps of:

evaluating line segments of said framework;

identifying at least one line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of the line segments;

integrating vertices connected by the identified line segment to an integrated vertex, a position of the integrated vertex being determined based on at least location information of one of the vertices integrated to the integrated vertex; and

storing said approximated image data.

308. The method of claim 307, further comprising the step of storing data relating said vertices integrated to said integrated vertex for use in forming a model finer than said approximated image data by using the stored data relating to said vertices.

309. The method of claim 308, further comprising the step of assigning a weight which is considered in the evaluating step or the identifying step to reflect a user's intention in the approximated image.

310. A method of forming a finer model from the data created by the method of claim 308, wherein the finer model is formed by using said approximated image data and said data relating said vertices integrated to said new vertex.

311. A method of forming finer model from image data created by an approximated image data creation, wherein:

(a) the image data created by said approximated image data creation comprising approximated image data formed by decreasing an amount of original image data, wherein said original image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices; and

(b) said approximated image data creation comprising:

(b-1) forming said approximated image data from said original image data, the step (b-1) being executed by a processor, wherein the step (b-1) of forming said approximated image data comprises:

(b-1-1) evaluating line segments of said framework;

(b-1-2) identifying at least one line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of each line segments;

(b-1-3) integrating vertices connected by the identified at least one line segment to an integrated vertex, a position of the integrated vertex being determined based on at least location information of one of the vertices integrated to the integrated vertex;

(b-2) storing said approximated image data; and

(b-3) storing additional data relating integration of said vertices to said integrated vertex for use in forming a model finer than said approximated image data;

said method comprising the steps of:

(c) forming the finer model by using said approximated image data and said additional data, the step (c) of forming the finer model comprises:

(c-1) creating at least two vertices comprised in said finer model from said integrated vertex by using data included in said additional data.

312. A forming method of claim 311, wherein

said forming step (c) further comprising:

(c-2) allotting a texture to a face created by said creating step (c-1) of said two vertices.

313. A forming method of claim 311, wherein

said finer model having one or more vertices and two or more faces than does said approximated image data.

314. A forming method of claim 311, wherein

said polygonal framework being represented using triangle meshes.

315. A forming method of claim 311, wherein

said identifying step (b-1-2) and said integrating step (b-1-3) are repeated in said approximated image data creation so that said approximated data have a desired resolution.

316. A forming method of claim 311, wherein

said additional data comprises information of integration relations of said integrated vertex and deleted vertices in said original image data.

317. A forming method of claim 311, wherein

in said creating step (c-1),

one of said two vertices is created by modifying the position of said integrated vertex based on information comprised in said additional data, and

the other is created by modifying the position of said integrated vertex based on information comprised in said additional data.

318. A forming method of claim 311, wherein

a plurality of said finer image data can be formed from said approximated image data, wherein

one of the finer image data has resolution which is different from resolution of another of the finer image data.

319. A forming method of claim 311, wherein

in said forming step (c), the creating step (c-1) for creating of said two vertices comprised in said finer model is repeated in order to be executed on a plurality of integrated vertices of said approximated image data.

320. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in said integrating step (b-1-3), two vertices connected by the identified line segment are integrated to a single integrated vertex.

321. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in said integrating step (b-1-3), the integration is performed by removing one of said vertices from and keeping the other one of said vertices in the model of said approximated image data.

322. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in the evaluating step (b-1-1), a numerical measure is used in the line segment evaluation.

323. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in the evaluating step (b-1-1), a value relating normal of plane is used in the line segment evaluation.

324. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in the evaluating step (b-1-1), a length of vector is used in each of the line segment evaluation.

325. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, the forming step (c) further comprising steps of:

(c-2) receiving said approximated image data stored in an external storing device for utilizing the approximated image data in the vertices creating step (c-1), and

(c-3) displaying the formed finer model on a local display.

326. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, the forming step (c) further comprising steps of:

(c-4) receiving said additional data stored in an external storing device for utilizing the additional data in the vertices creating step (c-1), and

(c-5) displaying the formed finer model on a local display.

327. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, the forming step (c) further comprising steps of:

(c-6) receiving said approximated image data and said additional data stored in an external storing device for utilizing the approximated image data and the additional data in the vertices creating step (c-1);

(c-7) displaying the formed finer model on a local display.

328. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in said integrating step (b-1-3), two vertices connected by the identified line segment are integrated to a single integrated vertex.

329. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in said integrating step (b-1-3), the integration is performed by removing one of said vertices from and keeping the other one of said vertices in the model of said approximated image data.

330. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in the evaluating step (b-1-1), a numerical measure is used in the line segment evaluation.

331. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in the evaluating step (b-1-1), a value relating normal of plane is used in the line segment evaluation.

332. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, in the evaluating step (b-1-1), a length of vector is used in each of the line segment evaluation.

333. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, the forming step (c) further comprising steps of:

(c-2) receiving said approximated image data stored in an external storing device for utilizing the approximated image data in the vertices creating step (c-1); and

(c-3) displaying the formed finer model on a local display.

334. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, the forming step (c) further comprising steps of:

(c-4) receiving said additional data stored in an external storing device for utilizing the additional data in the vertices creating step (c-1); and

(c-5) displaying the formed finer model on a local display.

335. A forming method of claim 311 wherein, the forming step (c) further comprising steps of:

(c-6) receiving said approximated image data and said additional data stored in an external storing device for utilizing the approximated image data and the additional data in the vertices creating step (c-1);

(c-7) displaying the formed finer model on a local display.

336. An apparatus of approximating an image by decreasing an amount of image data used to create the image, wherein said image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said apparatus comprising a processor and storing instructions which when executed by the processor executes the steps of: evaluating line segments of said framework;

identifying at least one line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of line segment;

integrating vertices connected by the identified line segment to an integrated vertex, wherein a position of the integrated vertex is determined based on at least location information of one of the vertices integrated to the integrated vertex; and

assigning a weight which is considered in the evaluating step or the identifying step to reflect a user's intention in the approximated image.

337. An apparatus for creating data which comprises approximated image data formed by decreasing an amount of original image data, wherein said approximated and original image data define a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices, said apparatus comprising a processor and storing instructions which when executed by the processor executes the steps of:

forming said approximated image data from said original image data, wherein the forming step comprises:

evaluating each line segment of said framework;

identifying at least one line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of each line segment; and

integrating vertices connected by the identified line segment to an integrated vertex, wherein a position of the integrated vertex is determined based on at least location information of one of the vertices integrated to the integrated vertex; and

storing said approximated image data.

338. An apparatus of forming finer model from image data created by an approximated image data creation, wherein:

(a) the image data created by said approximated image data creation comprising approximated image data formed by decreasing an amount of original image data, wherein said original image data defines a polygonal framework, said framework being composed of line segments drawn between vertices; and

(b) said approximated image data creation comprising:

(b-1) forming said approximated image data from said original image data, wherein the step (b-1) of forming said approximated image data comprises:

(b-1-1) evaluating line segments of said framework;

(b-1-2) identifying at least one line segment from said framework which is identified based on said evaluation of line segments;

(b-1-3) integrating vertices connected by the identified at least one line segment to an integrated vertex, wherein a position of the integrated vertex is determined based on at least location information of one of the vertices integrated to the integrated vertex;

(b-2) storing said approximated image data; and

(b-3) storing additional data relating integration of said vertices to said integrated vertex for use in forming a model finer than said approximated image data;

said apparatus comprising a processor, and instructions stored within memory of said apparatus which when executed perform the steps of:

(c) forming the finer model by using said approximated image data and said additional data, the step (c) of forming the finer model comprises:

(c-1) creating at least two vertices comprised in said finer model from said integrated vertex by using data included in said additional data.

* * * * *