



US00RE40268E

(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
Meyers et al.

(10) **Patent Number: US RE40,268 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent: Apr. 29, 2008**

(54) **WHEEL LIFT IDENTIFICATION FOR AN AUTOMOTIVE VEHICLE**

3,948,567 A 4/1976 Kasselmann et al.
3,972,543 A 8/1976 Presley et al.
4,023,864 A 5/1977 Lang et al.
RE30,550 E 3/1981 Reise
4,480,714 A 11/1984 Yabuta et al.
4,592,565 A 6/1986 Eagle
4,597,462 A 7/1986 Sano et al.

(75) Inventors: **Joseph Carr Meyers**, Farmington Hills, MI (US); **Todd Allen Brown**, Dearborn, MI (US)

(73) Assignee: **Ford Global Technologies, LLC**, Dearborn, MI (US)

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(21) Appl. No.: **11/019,148**

DE 36 16 907 11/1987

(22) Filed: **Dec. 21, 2004**

DE 38 15 938 11/1989

DE 43 21 571 1/1994

DE 42 27 886 2/1994

DE 42 28 893 3/1994

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **6,356,188**
Issued: **Mar. 12, 2002**
Appl. No.: **09/669,513**
Filed: **Sep. 25, 2000**

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 10/459,697, filed Jun. 11, 2003, Lu.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/608,909, filed Jun. 27, 2003, Lu.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
B60Q 1/00 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **340/440**; 340/439; 340/441;
340/465; 701/42; 701/45; 701/46; 701/70;
701/71

Primary Examiner—Tai Nguyen

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Fred Owens, Esq.;
Dickinson Wright PLLC

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 340/440,
340/439, 441, 465, 438; 701/42, 45, 46, 70,
701/71

(57) **ABSTRACT**

See application file for complete search history.


A system for detecting wheel lift of an automotive vehicle has a speed sensor (22) coupled to a wheel (12) of automotive vehicle (10). A torque control system (20) is coupled to wheel (12) to change the torque at the wheel. A controller (18) is coupled to the torque control system and a speed sensor. The controller (18) determines lift by changing the torque of the wheel, measuring the change in torque and indicating lift in response to change in torque which may be indicated by wheel speed.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,917,126 A 12/1959 Phillips
3,604,273 A 9/1971 Kwok et al.
3,608,925 A 9/1971 Murphy
3,797,893 A 3/1974 Burckhardt
3,899,028 A 8/1975 Morris et al.

14 Claims, 2 Drawing Sheets



US RE40,268 E

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
			5,723,782 A		3/1998 Bolles, Jr.
			5,732,377 A		3/1998 Eckert
4,624,476 A	11/1986	Tanaka et al.	5,732,378 A		3/1998 Eckert et al.
4,650,212 A	3/1987	Yoshimura 280/707	5,732,379 A		3/1998 Eckert et al.
4,679,808 A	7/1987	Ito et al.	5,736,939 A		4/1998 Corcoran
4,690,553 A	9/1987	Fukamizu et al.	5,737,224 A		4/1998 Jeenicke et al.
4,705,130 A	11/1987	Fukunaga et al.	5,740,041 A		4/1998 Iyoda
4,761,022 A	8/1988	Ohashi et al. 280/772	5,740,877 A		4/1998 Sasaki
4,765,649 A	8/1988	Ikemoto et al.	5,742,918 A		4/1998 Ashrafi et al.
4,767,588 A	8/1988	Ito	5,742,919 A		4/1998 Ashrafi et al.
4,778,773 A	10/1988	Sukegawa	5,762,406 A		6/1998 Yasui et al.
4,809,183 A	2/1989	Eckert	5,782,543 A		7/1998 Monzaki et al.
4,827,416 A	5/1989	Kawagoe et al.	5,787,375 A		7/1998 Madau et al.
4,846,496 A	7/1989	Tanaka et al.	5,801,647 A		9/1998 Survo et al.
4,872,116 A	10/1989	Ito et al.	5,809,434 A		9/1998 Ashrafi et al.
4,888,696 A	12/1989	Akatsu et al.	5,816,670 A		10/1998 Yamada et al.
4,898,431 A	2/1990	Karnopp et al.	5,825,284 A		10/1998 Dunwoody et al.
4,930,082 A	5/1990	Harara et al.	5,842,143 A		11/1998 Lohrenz et al.
4,951,198 A	8/1990	Watanabe et al.	5,857,160 A		1/1999 Dickinson et al.
4,960,292 A	10/1990	Sadler	5,857,535 A		1/1999 Brooks
4,964,679 A	10/1990	Rath	5,869,943 A		2/1999 Nakashima et al.
4,967,865 A	11/1990	Schindler	5,878,357 A		3/1999 Sivashankar et al.
4,976,330 A	12/1990	Matsumoto 180/197	5,890,084 A		3/1999 Halasz et al.
4,998,593 A	3/1991	Karnopp et al.	5,893,896 A		4/1999 Imamura et al.
5,033,770 A	7/1991	Kamimura et al.	5,925,083 A		7/1999 Ackermann
5,058,017 A	10/1991	Adachi et al.	5,931,546 A		8/1999 Nakashima et al.
5,066,041 A	11/1991	Kindermann et al.	5,944,137 A		8/1999 Moser et al.
5,088,040 A	2/1992	Matsuda et al.	5,944,392 A		8/1999 Tachihata et al.
5,089,967 A	2/1992	Haseda et al.	5,946,644 A		8/1999 Cowan et al.
5,163,319 A	11/1992	Spies et al.	5,964,819 A		10/1999 Naito
5,200,896 A	4/1993	Sato et al.	5,971,503 A		10/1999 Joyce et al.
5,208,749 A	5/1993	Adachi et al.	6,002,974 A		12/1999 Schiffmann 701/36
5,224,765 A	7/1993	Matsuda	6,002,975 A		12/1999 Schiffmann et al.
5,228,757 A	7/1993	Ito et al.	6,026,926 A		2/2000 Noro et al.
5,239,868 A	8/1993	Takenaka et al.	6,038,495 A		3/2000 Schiffman
5,247,466 A	9/1993	Shimada et al.	6,040,916 A		3/2000 Griesinger
5,261,503 A	11/1993	Yasui	6,050,360 A		4/2000 Pattok et al.
5,265,020 A	11/1993	Nakayama 364/424.05	6,055,472 A		4/2000 Breunig et al.
5,274,576 A	12/1993	Williams	6,062,336 A		5/2000 Amberkar et al.
5,278,761 A	1/1994	Ander et al.	6,065,558 A		5/2000 Wielenga
5,282,134 A	1/1994	Gioutsos et al.	6,073,065 A		6/2000 Brown et al.
5,297,646 A	3/1994	Yamamura et al.	6,079,513 A		6/2000 Nishizaki et al.
5,307,274 A	4/1994	Takata et al.	6,081,761 A		6/2000 Harada et al.
5,311,431 A	5/1994	Cao et al.	6,085,860 A		7/2000 Hackl et al.
5,311,956 A	5/1994	Sugiyama	6,086,168 A		7/2000 Rump
5,324,102 A	6/1994	Roll et al.	6,089,344 A		7/2000 Baughn et al.
5,335,176 A	8/1994	Nakamura	6,104,284 A		8/2000 Otsuka
5,365,439 A	11/1994	Momose et al.	6,121,873 A		9/2000 Yamada et al.
5,370,199 A	12/1994	Akuta et al. 180/197	6,122,568 A		9/2000 Madau et al.
5,408,411 A	4/1995	Nakamura et al.	6,122,584 A		9/2000 Lin et al.
5,446,658 A	8/1995	Pastor et al.	6,129,172 A		10/2000 Yoshida
5,455,770 A	10/1995	Hadeler et al.	6,141,604 A		10/2000 Mattes et al.
5,510,989 A	4/1996	Zabler et al.	6,141,605 A		10/2000 Joyce
5,515,277 A	5/1996	Mine	6,144,904 A		11/2000 Tseng
5,548,536 A	8/1996	Ammon	6,149,251 A		11/2000 Wuerth et al.
5,549,328 A	8/1996	Cubalchini	6,161,905 A		12/2000 Hac et al.
5,560,688 A	10/1996	Schappler et al.	6,169,939 B1		1/2001 Raad et al.
5,579,245 A	11/1996	Kato	6,169,946 B1		1/2001 Griessbach
5,598,335 A	1/1997	You	6,176,555 B1		1/2001 Semsey
5,602,734 A	2/1997	Kithil	6,178,375 B1		1/2001 Breunig
5,610,575 A	3/1997	Gioutsos	6,179,310 B1		1/2001 Clare et al.
5,627,756 A	5/1997	Fukada et al.	6,179,394 B1		1/2001 Browalski et al.
5,634,698 A	6/1997	Cao et al.	6,184,637 B1		2/2001 Yamawaki et al.
5,640,324 A	6/1997	Inagaki	6,185,485 B1		2/2001 Ashrafi et al.
5,648,903 A	7/1997	Liubakka	6,185,497 B1		2/2001 Taniguchi et al.
5,671,982 A	9/1997	Wanke	6,186,267 B1		2/2001 Hackl et al.
5,676,433 A	10/1997	Inagaki et al.	6,192,305 B1		2/2001 Schiffmann
5,694,319 A	12/1997	Suissa et al.	6,195,606 B1		2/2001 Barta et al.
5,703,776 A	12/1997	Soung	6,198,988 B1		3/2001 Tseng
5,707,117 A	1/1998	Hu et al.	6,202,009 B1		3/2001 Tseng
5,707,120 A	1/1998	Monzaki et al.	6,202,020 B1		3/2001 Kyrtsos
5,720,533 A	2/1998	Pastor et al.			

6,206,383 B1 3/2001 Burdock
 6,219,604 B1 4/2001 Dilger et al.
 6,223,114 B1 4/2001 Boros et al.
 6,226,579 B1 5/2001 Hackl et al.
 6,227,482 B1 5/2001 Yamamoto
 6,233,510 B1 5/2001 Platner et al.
 6,236,916 B1 5/2001 Staub et al.
 6,263,261 B1 7/2001 Brown et al.
 6,266,596 B1 7/2001 Hartman et al.
 6,272,420 B1 8/2001 Schramm et al.
 6,278,930 B1 8/2001 Yamada et al.
 6,282,471 B1 8/2001 Burdock et al.
 6,282,472 B1 8/2001 Jones et al.
 6,282,474 B1 8/2001 Chou et al.
 6,290,019 B1 9/2001 Kolassa et al.
 6,292,734 B1 9/2001 Murakami et al.
 6,292,759 B1 9/2001 Schiffmann
 6,311,111 B1 10/2001 Leimbach et al.
 6,314,329 B1 11/2001 Madau et al.
 6,315,373 B1 11/2001 Yamada et al.
 6,321,141 B1 11/2001 Leimbach
 6,324,445 B2 11/2001 Tozu et al.
 6,324,446 B1 11/2001 Brown et al.
 6,324,458 B1 11/2001 Takagi et al.
 6,330,522 B1 12/2001 Takeuchi
 6,332,104 B1 12/2001 Brown et al.
 6,338,012 B2 1/2002 Brown et al.
 6,349,247 B1 2/2002 Schramm et al.
 6,351,694 B1 2/2002 Tseng et al.
 6,352,318 B1 3/2002 Hosomi et al.
 6,356,188 B1 3/2002 Meyers et al.
 6,363,309 B1 3/2002 Irie et al.
 6,370,938 B1 4/2002 Leimbach et al.
 6,394,240 B1 5/2002 Barwick
 6,397,127 B1 5/2002 Meyers et al.
 6,419,240 B1 7/2002 Burdock et al.
 6,424,897 B1 7/2002 Mattes et al.
 6,428,118 B1 8/2002 Blosch
 6,438,464 B1 8/2002 Woywod et al.
 6,459,990 B1 10/2002 McCall et al.
 6,471,218 B1 10/2002 Burdock et al.
 6,477,480 B1 11/2002 Tseng et al.
 6,496,758 B2 12/2002 Rhode et al.
 6,496,763 B2 12/2002 Griessbach
 6,498,976 B1 12/2002 Ehlbeck et al.
 6,502,023 B1 12/2002 Fukada
 6,526,342 B1 2/2003 Burdock et al.
 6,529,803 B2 3/2003 Meyers et al.
 6,542,792 B2 4/2003 Schubert et al.
 6,547,022 B2 4/2003 Hosomi et al.
 6,553,284 B2 4/2003 Holst et al.
 6,554,293 B1 4/2003 Fennel et al.
 6,556,908 B1 4/2003 Lu et al.
 6,559,634 B2 5/2003 Yamada
 6,593,849 B2 7/2003 Chubb
 6,598,946 B2 7/2003 Nagae
 6,600,414 B2 7/2003 Foo et al.
 6,600,985 B2 7/2003 Weaver
 6,618,656 B2 9/2003 Kueblbeck et al.
 6,631,317 B2 10/2003 Lu
 6,644,454 B2 11/2003 Yamada et al.
 6,650,971 B2 11/2003 Haas
 6,654,674 B2 11/2003 Lu et al.
 6,657,539 B2 12/2003 Yamamoto et al.
 6,681,196 B2 1/2004 Glaser et al.
 6,704,631 B2 3/2004 Winner et al.
 6,747,553 B2 6/2004 Yamada et al.
 6,756,890 B1 6/2004 Schramm et al.

6,799,092 B2 9/2004 Lu
 2003/0182025 A1 9/2003 Tseng et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	43 35 979	4/1995
DE	43 42 732	6/1995
DE	199 07 633	10/1999
DE	10025493	5/2000
DE	10065010	12/2000
DE	10046036	3/2002
DE	10133409	1/2003
EP	0 430 813	12/1993
EP	0 662 601	7/1995
EP	0 758 601	2/1997
EP	1 046 571	4/2000
EP	1 197 409	9/2001
FR	24 25 342	12/1979
GB	2257403	1/1993
GB	2 342 078	4/2000
JP	62055211	9/1985
JP	63116918	5/1988
JP	63151539	6/1988
JP	63203456	8/1988
JP	1101238	4/1989
JP	2171373	7/1990
JP	3042360	2/1991
JP	3045452	2/1991
JP	4008837	1/1992
JP	5016699	1/1993
JP	5254406	10/1993
JP	6278586	10/1994
JP	6297985	10/1994
JP	6312612	11/1994
JP	8080825	3/1996
JP	9005352	1/1997
JP	10024819	1/1998
JP	10329682	12/1998
JP	11011272	1/1999
JP	11170992	6/1999
JP	11254992	9/1999
JP	11255093	9/1999
JP	11304663	10/1999
JP	11304662	11/1999
JP	11321603	11/1999
SU	816649	3/1981
WO	WO 02/20318	3/2002
WO	WO 03/072397	9/2003

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

A method for reducing on-road rollovers—anti-rollover braking, Thomas J. Wielenga, Dynamotive, LLC, International Congress and Exposition, Detroit, Michigan, Mar. 1–4, 1999.
 Eger, R., Majjad, R., Naser, N., “Rollover simulation based on a nonlinear model”, SAE 98020.
 Nalecz, A.G., Bindemann, A.C., Brewer H.K., “Dynamic analysis of vehicle rollover”, 12th International Conference on Experimental Safety Vehicles, Goteborg, Sweden, May 29–Jun. 1, 1989.
 Niii, N., Nishijima, Y., Nakagaw, K., “rollover analysis method of a large-size bus”, JSAE 9540020, 1995.
 Eger, R., Kiencke, U., “Modeling of rollover sequences”, Control Engineering Practice 11 (2003) 209–216.
 Chen, Bo-Chiuan, Peng, Huei; “A Real-time Rollover Threat Index for Sports Utility Vehicles”, Proceedings of the American Control Conference, San Diego, CA, Jun. 1999.




FIG. 1




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 2

1

WHEEL LIFT IDENTIFICATION FOR AN AUTOMOTIVE VEHICLE

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates generally to a dynamic behavior control apparatus for an automotive vehicle, and more specifically, to a method and apparatus for determining whether a wheel of an automotive vehicle has lifted from the pavement.

BACKGROUND

Dynamic control systems for automotive vehicles have recently begun to be offered on various products. Dynamic control systems typically control the yaw of the vehicle by controlling the braking effort at various wheels of the vehicle. By regulating the amount of braking at each corner of the vehicle, the desired direction of the vehicle may be maintained.

Typically, the dynamic control systems do not address roll of the vehicle. For high profile vehicles in particular, it would be desirable to control the rollover characteristics of the vehicle to maintain the vehicle position with respect to the road. That is, it is desirable to maintain contact of each of the four tires of the vehicle on the road.

Vehicle rollover and tilt control (or body roll) are distinguishable dynamic characteristics. Tilt control maintains the body on a plane or nearly on a plane parallel to the road surface. Rollover control is used to maintain the vehicle wheels on the road surface.

Such systems typically use position sensors to measure the relative distance between the vehicle body and the vehicle suspension. One drawback to such systems is that the distance from the body to the road must be inferred.

It would therefore be desirable to provide a rollover detection system having reduced costs and increased reliability in predicting the occurrence of a rollover.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore one object of the invention to provide a rollover detection system that may be used in conjunction with the dynamic stability control system of the vehicle to determine rollover.

In one aspect of the invention, a wheel lift identification system for an automotive vehicle includes a speed sensor coupled to the vehicle producing a wheel speed signal. A torque control system is coupled to the wheel for changing the torque at the wheel. A controller is coupled to the torque control system and the speed sensor. The controller determines lift by changing the torque of the wheel, measuring the change in wheel speed since the torque was changed, and indicating a wheel lift if the change in the wheel speed is greater than a predetermined value.

In a further aspect of the invention, a method for determining wheel lift of a vehicle comprises the steps of:

- changing the torque of a wheel;
- measuring the change in wheel speed since the step of changing torque; and,
- indicating wheel lift if the change in wheel speed is greater than a predetermined value.

2

In a further aspect of the invention, the changing of the torque of the wheel may be performed by increasing the brake pressure for that wheel. When the wheel speed has significant deceleration, a wheel flag is set. When the brake pressure is released and the wheel speed changes greater than a reacceleration threshold, then wheel contact is assumed. If the wheel speed does not increase over the reacceleration threshold within a predetermined time, then wheel lift status is confirmed. As an alternative, driveline torque may be used.

One advantage of the invention is that in vehicles employing a dynamic stability control system, additional sensors may not be required.

Other objects and features of the present invention will become apparent when viewed in light of the detailed description of the preferred embodiment when taken in conjunction with the attached drawings and appended claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a partial cutaway view of an automotive vehicle having a wheel lift identification system according to the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a flow chart of a wheel lift identification system according to the present invention.

FIG. 3A is a plot of pressure versus time for a wheel lift identification system according to one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 3B is a plot of wheel speed versus time for a wheel lift identification system according to one embodiment of the present invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention is described with respect to a wheel lift identification system for an automotive vehicle. Those skilled in the art will recognize that the present invention may be incorporated into a rollover prevention system for an automotive vehicle.

Referring now to FIG. 1, an automotive vehicle 10 has a plurality of wheels 12, two of which are shown as elevated above a road plane 14. A roll control system 16 is included within vehicle 10. The roll control system 16 is used to counteract the lifting of wheels 12 from road plane 14 as will be further described below. Roll control system 16 includes a roll controller 18 that is preferably microprocessor based. Roll controller 18 may be part of a dynamic stability control system of the automotive vehicle 10. Roll controller 18 is coupled to a torque control system 20 that is used to control the torque of the wheels 12. Although torque control system 20 is illustrated as a separate item, torque control system 20 may be included in roll controller 18 which may in turn be included within a dynamic stability control system. Torque control system 20 may act in conjunction with the electronic engine controller, a driveline engagement mechanism or braking system, or a combination of these to control the torque at one or all of the wheels 12. Torque controller 20 and roll controller 18 may be coupled to wheel speed sensors 22 located at each of the wheels 12. Wheel speed sensors 22 provide roll controller 18 with a signal indicative of the speed of the individual wheel to which it is attached. Various types of wheel speed sensors including toothed-wheel type systems would be evident to those skilled in the art.

3

Other sensors 24 may be coupled to roll control system 16. For example, roll angle sensors, steering wheel angle sensors, yaw rate sensors, and other sensors may be incorporated therein. Other sensors 24, as will be further described below, may be used to identify a condition suitable for the potential of wheel lift. Such a condition may initiate further action by roll control system 16 to verify wheel lift.

In the following example, the application of brake pressure is used to provide the change in torque. However, other methods such as applying engine torque may also be used to change the amount of torque at a wheel. Further references to the application of torque to a wheel may include hydraulic or electric brake torque, changes in engine torque or engagement of driveline torque through the use of an electronically controlled transfer case, differential, transmission or clutch. The present invention may also be used to determine if a sensor has failed in the roll control system 16. That is, if roll is suspected by a particular sensor but all other conditions or sensors indicate otherwise, the sensor may be operating improperly. Also, although speed is used, wheel acceleration may also be used in place of speed as would be evident to those skilled in the art.

Referring now to FIG. 2, in step 30, if a roll sensor failure is suspected or in step 32 if wheel lift is suspected by the roll control system 16, block 34 initiates the wheel lift determination process. In step 36, torque is applied to the wheel suspected of lifting and the wheel speed at the suspected wheel is stored. In step 38, the torque is increased by applying a test pulse of torque to the suspected wheel. Torque is applied until a torque threshold (Torque_Max) is achieved. In step 40, if the torque is greater than the Torque_Max, the torque is held constant in step 42. In step 44, if the time as counted by the Build_Counter is greater than a predetermined time, step 46 is executed in which the torque is released and the wheel speed at the initiation of the release of torque is stored. In step 44, if the counter is not greater than the predetermined hold time, the counter is incremented in step 48. After step 48 the change in wheel speed is compared to a predetermined change in wheel speed. If the wheel speed change is not greater than a predetermined speed in step 50, steps 38-44 are again executed. If the wheel speed change is greater than a predetermined speed, this indicates a lifted wheel. In this case, step 52 is executed in which a wheel lift status flag is set. After step 52, step 54 is executed in which the build counter is reset.

Referring back to step 40, if the torque is not greater than the torque threshold then step 50 is executed.

Referring back to step 46, after the wheel speed is recorded after the torque release, step 56 is executed. In step 56 torque is released. After step 56, step 58 is implemented in which the wheel speed change is compared to a reacceleration threshold. The reacceleration threshold is a predetermined value that corresponds to a wheel speed change that should be achieved should wheel contact be reestablished. The wheel speed change is determined from the time that the torque was released. If the wheel speed change is greater than a reacceleration threshold or if the wheel lift status from step 52 is zero, wheel contact is assumed. In such a case the traction level may be calculated in step 60. If the wheel speed does not increase over the reacceleration threshold, then the wheel lift status is confirmed beginning with step 70.

Referring back to step 58, if the wheel speed is less than the reacceleration threshold, step 62 compares the Dump_Counter to a predetermined dump time. If the predetermined

4

dump time is greater than the Dump_Counter, then the Dump_Counter is incremented in step 64 and steps 56 and 58 are again executed. If the Dump_Counter is greater than the predetermined dump time, then the wheel lift status flag is set in step 66 and the Dump_Counter is reset in step 68. After step 68, the process is reinitiated and returns to step 36.

Returning back to step 60, the traction level is calculated in step 60. After step 60, the plausibility of a sensor failure is determined. If, for example, the process was initiated based on the suspicion of a sensor failure from block 30 above and no wheel lift was detected, a sensor failure is indicated in step 72. For either result, if a sensor failure is indicated by block 70 or not, the build counter and Dump_Counter are cleared in block 74 and the wheel lift status is cleared in block 76. The end of the routine occurs in block 78.

Thus, as can be seen, the application of torque can be used to first determine whether a suspected wheel has lifted from the pavement. For confirmation, the removal of the torque and the resulting wheel speed change may be used to confirm the initial finding. Advantageously, the system may be implemented in a dynamic stability system of an automotive vehicle without adding further sensors. If rollover is detected, then the rollover can be corrected by applying the brakes or generating a steering correction.

Referring now to FIG. 3A, various lines 90, 92, 94 are illustrated during the build time to illustrate the variation in pressure of the braking system due to wear and other effects of the brakes. Lines 90, 92, 94 have little effect on the overall operation of the system. Thus, the thresholds and parameters are selected so that the system is robust to wear and system variation. The maximum pressure P_{max} is reached and maintained for a hold time (such as set forth in step 42 above) until it is released.

Referring now to FIG. 3B, a plot of wheel speed corresponding to the various times is illustrated. As shown, the wheel speed of a loaded wheel is illustrated by line 96 which is higher than the wheel speed of a lifted wheel illustrated by line 98.

While particular embodiments of the invention have been shown and described, numerous variations alternate embodiments will occur to those skilled in the art. Accordingly, it is intended that the invention be limited only in terms of the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

[1. A method for determining wheel lift of a wheel of an automotive vehicle comprising the steps of:

applying a change of torque to the wheel;

measuring a change in a wheel condition since initiating the step of applying a change of torque;

indicating wheel lift if the change in the wheel condition is greater than a predetermined value.]

[2. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein the condition is one selected from the group of acceleration and speed.]

[3. A method as recited in claim 1 further comprising the step of removing the change of torque;

measuring a wheel condition after the step of removing the change of torque.]

[4. A method as recited in claim 3 further comprising the step of determining whether the second wheel condition is above a threshold.]

[5. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein the step of applying a change of torque comprises applying a brake to the wheel.]

[6. A method as recited in claim 5 further comprising the step of releasing the brake;

5

determining a wheel condition after the step of releasing the brake;

when the wheel condition does not increase over a reacceleration threshold, confirming wheel lift;

when wheel speed condition increases over a reacceleration threshold, indicating wheel contact.]

[7. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein the step of applying a change of torque comprises applying engine torque.]

8. A method for monitoring a predetermined condition of an automotive vehicle having a plurality of wheels comprising the steps of:

determining a potential for the predetermined condition of the wheel;

measuring a first wheel speed of a suspected lifting wheel; thereafter, changing [the] torque [of a] applied to the suspected lifting wheel from a first torque to a second torque;

changing the torque [from the vehicle] applied to the suspected lifting wheel from the second torque to the first torque;

measuring a second wheel speed of the suspected lifting wheel;

determining a wheel speed change as a function of the first wheel speed and the second wheel speed;

when the change in wheel speed is greater than a reacceleration threshold, confirming the wheel lift.

9. A method as recited in claim 8 wherein [the predetermined condition is] determining a potential for wheel lift comprises determining the potential for wheel lift as a function of roll angle, steering wheel angle, and road bank angle.

10. A method as recited in claim 8 wherein the step of changing the torque comprises the step of applying the brake.

11. A method as recited in claim 8 wherein the step of changing the torque comprises the step of applying engine torque.

[12. A method as recited in claim 8 wherein a predetermined condition comprises a sensor failure.]

[13. A method as recited in claim 8 wherein the predetermined condition comprises wheel lift.]

14. A method as recited in claim 8 further comprising the step of correcting lift by applying the brakes.

15. A method as recited in claim 8 further comprising the step of correcting lift by applying a steering correction.

16. A method as recited in claim 8 further comprising the step of calculating a traction level after the step of confirming wheel lift.

17. A system for detecting lift of a wheel of an automotive vehicle comprising:

a speed sensor coupled to the wheel producing a wheel speed signal;

a torque control system coupled to the wheel for changing [the] torque [at] applied to the wheel;

a controller coupled to the said torque control system and the wheel speed sensor, said controller determining lift by changing the torque [of] applied to the wheel, increasing [the] a brake torque until a maximum brake torque threshold is achieved, detecting a change in wheel speed since [the] application of the brake torque, comparing the change in wheel speed to a threshold, when the change in wheel speed is above the wheel speed change threshold value, indicating wheel lift, when the brake torque reaches a maximum value before

6

the change in wheel speed reaches the threshold, holding the brake torque for a predetermined amount of time, continuing to monitor the change in wheel speed during a hold duration, determining a second change in wheel speed, comparing the second change in wheel speed to the threshold value, when the second change in wheel speed exceeds the threshold value during the hold duration, indicating lift.

18. A method for determining wheel lift of a vehicle comprising the steps of:

applying a torque to [the] a wheel by applying a brake torque;

increasing the brake torque to build until a maximum brake torque threshold is achieved;

detecting [the] a change in wheel speed since the application of brake torque;

comparing the change in wheel speed to a wheel speed change threshold;

when the change in speed is above the wheel speed change threshold value, indicating wheel lift;

when the brake torque reaches a maximum value before the change in wheel speed reaches the wheel speed change threshold, holding the torque for a predetermined amount of time;

continuing to monitor the change in wheel speed during a hold duration;

determining a second change in wheel speed;

comparing the second wheel speed to the threshold value; when the second wheel speed exceeds the threshold value during the hold duration, indicating a wheel lift.

19. A method as recited in claim 18 further comprising the steps of:

releasing the torque;

determining a wheel speed change;

when the wheel speed change is greater than a reacceleration threshold, indicating wheel contact;

when the wheel speed change is less than the reacceleration threshold, confirming an indication of wheel lift.

20. A method as recited in claim 18 further comprising the step of calculating a traction level.

21. A method as recited in claim 18 further comprising the step of when wheel lift is detected, continually monitoring the wheel speed change for a sudden increase to acknowledge wheel contact.

22. A method for monitoring wheel lift of an automotive vehicle having a plurality of wheels comprising the steps of:

determining a potential for wheel lift of the wheel as a function of road bank angle;

measuring a first wheel speed of a suspected lifting wheel; thereafter, changing a torque of the suspected lifting wheel from a first torque to a second torque;

changing the torque of the suspected lifting wheel from the second torque to the first torque;

measuring a second wheel speed of the suspected lifting wheel;

determining a wheel speed change as a function of the first wheel speed and the second wheel speed;

when the change in wheel speed is greater than a reacceleration threshold, confirming wheel lift.

23. A method for monitoring a sensor failure condition of an automotive vehicle having a plurality of wheels comprising the steps of:

determining a potential for sensor failure;

7

*measuring a first wheel speed;
thereafter, changing a torque of a suspected lifting wheel
from a first torque to a second torque;
changing the torque from the second torque to the first
torque;* 5
measuring a second wheel speed;

8

*determining a wheel speed change as a function of the
first wheel speed and the second wheel speed;
when the change in wheel speed is greater than a reac-
celeration threshold, confirming the sensor failure.*

* * * * *