



US00RE39133E

(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
Clayton et al.

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE39,133 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** **Jun. 13, 2006**

(54) **PERCUTANEOUS REGISTRATION APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR USE IN COMPUTER-ASSISTED SURGICAL NAVIGATION**

(75) Inventors: **John B. Clayton**, Superior, CO (US);
Anthony Melkent, Memphis, TN (US);
Kevin T. Foley, Germantown, TN (US);
Michael C. Sherman, Memphis, TN (US)

2,697,433 A 12/1954 Sehnder
3,016,899 A 1/1962 Stenvall
3,017,887 A 1/1962 Heyer
3,061,936 A 11/1962 Dobbeleer
3,073,310 A 1/1963 Mocarski
3,294,083 A 12/1966 Alderson
3,367,326 A 2/1968 Frazier
3,439,256 A 4/1969 Kähne et al.
3,577,160 A 5/1971 White

(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **Surgical Navigation Technologies, Inc.**, Louisville, CO (US)

(21) Appl. No.: **10/423,332**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 24, 2003**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 964149 3/1975
DE 4233978 4/1949
DE 3042343 A1 6/1982

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

3-D Digitizer Captures the World, BYTE, Oct. 1990, p. 43.
Adams et al., Computer-Assisted Surgery, IEEE Computer Graphics & Applications, May 1990, pp. 43-51.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—George Manuel
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **6,226,548**
Issued: **May 1, 2001**
Appl. No.: **09/148,498**
Filed: **Sep. 4, 1998**

U.S. Applications:

(60) Provisional application No. 60/059,915, filed on Sep. 24, 1997.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/05 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **600/426**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 600/407,
600/414, 417, 426, 427, 429; 606/60, 61,
606/65, 104, 130

See application file for complete search history.

(57) **ABSTRACT**


An apparatus and procedures for percutaneous placement of surgical implants and instruments such as, for example, screws, rods, wires and plates into various body parts using image guided surgery. The invention includes an apparatus for use with a surgical navigation system, an attaching device rigidly connected to a body part, such as the spinous process of a vertebrae, with an identification superstructure rigidly but removably connected to the attaching device. This identification superstructure, for example, is a reference arc and fiducial array which accomplishes the function of identifying the location of the superstructure, and, therefore, the body part to which it is fixed, during imaging by CAT scan or MRI, and later during medical procedures.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,576,781 A 3/1926 Phillips
1,735,726 A 11/1929 Bornhardt
2,407,845 A 9/1946 Nemeyer

63 Claims, 19 Drawing Sheets



US RE39,133 E

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
			4,805,615 A	2/1989	Carol
			4,809,694 A	3/1989	Ferrara
			4,821,206 A	4/1989	Arora
			4,836,778 A	6/1989	Baumrind et al.
3,674,014 A	7/1972	Tillander	4,841,967 A	6/1989	Chang et al.
3,702,935 A	11/1972	Carey et al.	4,845,771 A	7/1989	Wislocki et al.
3,704,707 A	12/1972	Halloran	4,849,692 A	7/1989	Blood
3,821,469 A	* 6/1974	Whetstone et al.	4,862,893 A	9/1989	Martinelli
3,868,565 A	2/1975	Kuipers	4,875,478 A	10/1989	Chen
3,941,127 A	3/1976	Froning	4,889,526 A	12/1989	Rauscher et al.
3,983,474 A	* 9/1976	Kuipers	4,896,673 A	1/1990	Rose et al.
4,037,592 A	7/1977	Kronner	4,905,698 A	3/1990	Strohl, Jr. et al.
4,052,620 A	10/1977	Brunnett	4,931,056 A	6/1990	Ghajar et al.
4,054,881 A	10/1977	Raab	4,943,296 A	7/1990	Funakubo et al.
4,058,114 A	11/1977	Soldner	4,945,305 A	7/1990	Blood
4,117,337 A	9/1978	Staats	4,945,914 A	8/1990	Allen
4,173,228 A	11/1979	Van Steenwyk et al.	4,955,891 A	9/1990	Carol
4,202,349 A	5/1980	Jones	4,971,069 A	* 11/1990	Gracovetsky 600/594
4,209,254 A	6/1980	Reymond et al.	4,977,655 A	12/1990	Martinelli
4,259,725 A	3/1981	Andrews et al.	4,989,608 A	2/1991	Ratner
4,262,306 A	4/1981	Renner	4,991,579 A	2/1991	Allen
4,287,809 A	9/1981	Egli et al.	5,002,058 A	3/1991	Martinelli
4,314,251 A	2/1982	Raab	5,005,592 A	4/1991	Cartmell
4,317,078 A	2/1982	Weed et al.	5,013,317 A	5/1991	Cole et al.
4,339,953 A	7/1982	Iwasaki	5,016,639 A	5/1991	Allen
4,341,220 A	7/1982	Perry	5,027,818 A	7/1991	Bova et al.
4,358,856 A	11/1982	Stivender et al.	5,030,196 A	7/1991	Inoue
4,368,536 A	1/1983	Pfeiler	5,030,222 A	7/1991	Calandruccio et al.
4,396,885 A	8/1983	Constant	5,031,203 A	7/1991	Trecha
4,396,945 A	8/1983	DiMatteo et al.	5,042,486 A	8/1991	Pfeiler et al.
4,398,540 A	8/1983	Takemura et al.	5,047,036 A	9/1991	Koutrouvelis
4,403,321 A	9/1983	Krüger	5,050,608 A	9/1991	Watanabe et al.
4,418,422 A	11/1983	Richter et al.	5,054,492 A	10/1991	Scribner et al.
4,419,012 A	12/1983	Stephenson et al.	5,057,095 A	10/1991	Fabian
4,422,041 A	12/1983	Lienau	5,078,140 A	1/1992	Kwoh
4,431,005 A	2/1984	McCormick	5,079,699 A	1/1992	Tuy et al.
4,457,311 A	7/1984	Sorenson et al.	5,080,662 A	1/1992	Paul
4,485,815 A	12/1984	Amplatz	5,086,401 A	2/1992	Glassman et al.
4,543,959 A	10/1985	Sepponen	5,094,241 A	3/1992	Allen
4,548,208 A	10/1985	Niemi	5,097,839 A	3/1992	Allen
4,572,198 A	2/1986	Codrington	5,099,845 A	3/1992	Besz et al.
4,583,538 A	4/1986	Onik et al.	5,105,829 A	4/1992	Fabian et al.
4,584,577 A	4/1986	Temple	5,107,839 A	4/1992	Houdek et al.
4,592,352 A	6/1986	Patil	5,107,843 A	4/1992	Aarnio et al.
4,602,622 A	7/1986	Bär et al.	5,107,862 A	4/1992	Fabian et al.
4,608,977 A	9/1986	Brown	5,109,194 A	4/1992	Cantaloube
4,613,866 A	9/1986	Blood	5,119,817 A	6/1992	Allen
4,625,718 A	12/1986	Olerud et al.	5,142,930 A	9/1992	Allen et al.
4,638,798 A	1/1987	Shelden et al.	5,152,288 A	10/1992	Hoenig et al.
4,642,786 A	2/1987	Hansen	5,161,536 A	11/1992	Vikomerson et al.
4,649,504 A	3/1987	Krouglicof et al.	5,178,164 A	1/1993	Allen
4,651,732 A	3/1987	Frederick	5,178,621 A	1/1993	Cook et al.
4,653,509 A	3/1987	Oloff et al.	5,186,174 A	2/1993	Schlöndorff et al.
4,686,997 A	8/1987	Oloff et al.	5,187,475 A	2/1993	Wagener et al.
4,701,049 A	10/1987	Beckmann et al.	5,188,126 A	2/1993	Fabian et al.
4,705,395 A	11/1987	Hageniers	5,190,059 A	3/1993	Fabian et al.
4,705,401 A	11/1987	Addleman et al.	5,197,476 A	3/1993	Nowacki et al.
4,706,665 A	11/1987	Gouda	5,198,768 A	3/1993	Keren
4,719,419 A	1/1988	Dawley	5,198,877 A	3/1993	Schulz
4,722,336 A	2/1988	Kim et al.	5,211,164 A	5/1993	Allen
4,723,544 A	2/1988	Moore et al.	5,211,165 A	5/1993	Dumoulin et al.
4,727,565 A	2/1988	Ericson	5,212,720 A	5/1993	Landi et al.
4,733,661 A	3/1988	Palestrant	5,214,615 A	5/1993	Bauer
4,737,794 A	4/1988	Jones	5,219,351 A	6/1993	Teubner et al.
4,737,921 A	4/1988	Goldwasser et al.	5,222,499 A	6/1993	Allen et al.
4,750,487 A	6/1988	Zanetti	5,228,442 A	7/1993	Imran
4,760,851 A	* 8/1988	Fraser et al. 600/587	5,230,338 A	7/1993	Allen et al.
4,771,787 A	9/1988	Wurster et al.	5,230,623 A	7/1993	Guthrie et al.
4,779,212 A	10/1988	Levy	5,233,990 A	8/1993	Barnea
4,782,239 A	11/1988	Hirose et al.	5,237,996 A	8/1993	Waldman et al.
4,788,481 A	11/1988	Niwa	5,249,581 A	10/1993	Horbal et al.
4,791,934 A	12/1988	Brunnett			
4,793,355 A	12/1988	Crum et al.			
4,797,907 A	1/1989	Anderton			

US RE39,133 E

Page 3

5,251,127 A	10/1993	Raab	5,513,637 A	5/1996	Twiss et al.
5,251,635 A	10/1993	Dumoulin et al.	5,515,160 A	5/1996	Schulz et al.
5,253,647 A	10/1993	Takahashi et al.	5,517,990 A	5/1996	Kalfas et al.
5,255,680 A	10/1993	Darrow et al.	5,526,576 A	6/1996	Fuchs et al.
5,257,636 A	11/1993	White	5,531,227 A	7/1996	Schneider
5,257,998 A	11/1993	Ota et al.	5,531,520 A	7/1996	Grimson et al.
5,265,610 A	11/1993	Darrow et al.	5,542,938 A	8/1996	Avellanet et al.
5,269,759 A	12/1993	Hernandez et al.	5,543,951 A	8/1996	Moehrmann
5,271,400 A	12/1993	Dumoulin et al.	5,546,940 A	8/1996	Panescu et al.
5,273,025 A	12/1993	Sakiyama et al.	5,546,949 A	8/1996	Frazin et al.
5,274,551 A	12/1993	Corby, Jr.	5,551,429 A	9/1996	Fitzpatrick et al.
5,279,309 A	1/1994	Taylor et al.	5,572,999 A	11/1996	Funda et al.
5,291,199 A	3/1994	Overman et al.	5,573,533 A	11/1996	Strul
5,295,200 A	3/1994	Boyer	5,583,909 A	12/1996	Hanover
5,295,483 A	3/1994	Nowacki et al.	5,596,228 A	1/1997	Anderton et al.
5,297,549 A	3/1994	Beatty et al.	5,600,330 A	2/1997	Blood
5,299,288 A	3/1994	Glassman et al.	5,603,318 A	2/1997	Heilbrun et al.
5,305,203 A	4/1994	Raab	5,603,328 A	2/1997	Zucker et al.
5,309,913 A	5/1994	Kormos et al.	5,617,462 A	4/1997	Spratt
5,316,024 A	5/1994	Hirschi et al.	5,617,857 A	4/1997	Chader et al.
5,318,025 A	6/1994	Dumoulin et al.	5,619,261 A	4/1997	Anderton
5,320,111 A	6/1994	Livingston	5,622,169 A	4/1997	Golden et al.
5,325,728 A	7/1994	Zimmerman et al.	5,622,170 A	4/1997	Schulz
5,325,873 A	7/1994	Hirschi et al.	5,627,873 A	5/1997	Hanover et al.
5,329,944 A	7/1994	Fabian et al.	5,628,315 A	5/1997	Vilsmeier et al.
5,333,168 A	7/1994	Fernandes et al.	5,630,431 A	5/1997	Taylor
5,353,795 A	10/1994	Souza et al.	5,636,644 A	6/1997	Hart et al.
5,353,807 A	10/1994	DeMarco	5,638,819 A	6/1997	Manwaring et al.
5,371,778 A	12/1994	Yanof et al.	5,640,170 A	6/1997	Anderson
5,375,596 A	12/1994	Twiss et al.	5,642,395 A	6/1997	Anderton et al.
5,377,678 A	1/1995	Dumoulin et al.	5,643,268 A	7/1997	Vilsmeier et al.
5,383,454 A	1/1995	Bucholz	5,645,065 A	7/1997	Shapiro et al.
5,385,146 A	1/1995	Goldreyer	5,647,361 A	7/1997	Damadian
5,385,148 A	1/1995	Lesh et al.	5,662,111 A	9/1997	Cosman
5,386,828 A	2/1995	Owens et al.	5,664,001 A	9/1997	Tachibana et al.
5,389,101 A	2/1995	Heilbrun et al.	5,674,296 A	10/1997	Bryan et al.
5,399,146 A	3/1995	Nowacki et al.	5,676,673 A	10/1997	Ferre et al.
5,400,384 A	3/1995	Fernandes et al.	5,681,260 A	10/1997	Ueda et al.
5,402,801 A	4/1995	Taylor	5,682,886 A	11/1997	Delp et al.
5,408,409 A	4/1995	Glassman et al.	5,690,108 A	11/1997	Chakeres
5,417,210 A	5/1995	Funda et al.	5,694,945 A	12/1997	Ben-Haim
5,419,325 A	5/1995	Dumoulin et al.	5,702,406 A	12/1997	Vilsmeier et al.
5,423,334 A	6/1995	Jordan	5,713,946 A	2/1998	Ben-Haim
5,425,367 A	6/1995	Shapiro et al.	5,715,822 A	2/1998	Watkins
5,425,382 A	6/1995	Golden et al.	5,715,836 A	2/1998	Kliegis et al.
5,426,683 A	6/1995	O'Farrell, Jr. et al.	5,718,241 A	2/1998	Ben-Haim et al.
5,426,687 A	6/1995	Goodall et al.	5,727,552 A	3/1998	Ryan
5,427,097 A	6/1995	Depp	5,730,129 A	3/1998	Darrow et al.
5,433,198 A	7/1995	Desai	5,732,703 A	3/1998	Kalfas et al.
RE35,025 E	8/1995	Anderton	5,735,278 A	4/1998	Hoult et al.
5,437,277 A	8/1995	Dumoulin et al.	5,741,214 A	4/1998	Ouchi et al.
5,443,066 A	8/1995	Dumoulin et al.	5,744,953 A	4/1998	Hansen
5,444,756 A	8/1995	Pai et al.	5,748,767 A	5/1998	Raab
5,445,144 A	8/1995	Wodicka et al.	5,749,362 A	5/1998	Funda et al.
5,445,150 A	8/1995	Dumoulin et al.	5,749,835 A	5/1998	Glantz
5,445,166 A	8/1995	Taylor	5,755,725 A	5/1998	Druais
5,446,548 A	8/1995	Gerig et al.	RE35,816 E *	6/1998	Schulz
5,447,154 A	9/1995	Chinquin et al.	5,758,667 A	6/1998	Slettenmark
5,448,610 A	9/1995	Yamamoto et al.	5,767,669 A	6/1998	Hansen et al.
5,453,686 A	9/1995	Anderson	5,769,789 A	6/1998	Wang et al.
5,456,718 A	10/1995	Szymaitis	5,769,861 A	6/1998	Vilsmeier
5,458,718 A	10/1995	Venkitachalam	5,775,322 A	7/1998	Silverstein et al.
5,478,341 A	12/1995	Cook et al.	5,776,064 A	7/1998	Kalfas et al.
5,478,343 A	12/1995	Ritter	5,782,765 A	7/1998	Jonkman
5,483,961 A	1/1996	Kelly et al.	5,792,055 A	8/1998	McKinnon
5,485,849 A	1/1996	Panescu et al.	5,792,147 A	8/1998	Evans et al.
5,487,391 A	1/1996	Panescu	5,795,294 A	8/1998	Luber et al.
5,487,729 A	1/1996	Avellanet et al.	5,799,055 A	8/1998	Peshkin et al.
5,487,757 A	1/1996	Truckai et al.	5,800,535 A	9/1998	Howard, III
5,494,034 A	2/1996	Schlöndorff et al.	5,802,719 A	9/1998	O'Farrell, Jr. et al.
5,503,416 A	4/1996	Aoki et al.	5,803,089 A	9/1998	Ferre et al.

5,807,252 A 9/1998 Hassfeld et al.
 5,810,728 A 9/1998 Kuhn
 5,810,735 A 9/1998 Halperin et al.
 5,823,192 A 10/1998 Kalend et al.
 5,823,958 A 10/1998 Truppe
 5,828,725 A 10/1998 Levinson
 5,831,260 A 11/1998 Hansen
 5,834,759 A 11/1998 Glossop
 5,836,954 A 11/1998 Heilbrun et al.
 5,840,024 A 11/1998 Taniguchi et al.
 5,843,076 A 12/1998 Webster, Jr. et al.
 5,848,967 A 12/1998 Cosman
 5,851,183 A 12/1998 Bucholz
 5,865,846 A 2/1999 Bryan et al.
 5,868,674 A 2/1999 Glowinski et al.
 5,868,675 A 2/1999 Henrion et al.
 5,871,445 A 2/1999 Bucholz
 5,871,487 A 2/1999 Warner et al.
 5,891,034 A 4/1999 Bucholz
 5,891,157 A 4/1999 Day et al.
 5,923,727 A 7/1999 Navab
 5,928,248 A 7/1999 Acker
 5,938,603 A 8/1999 Ponzi
 5,938,694 A 8/1999 Jaraczewski et al.
 5,950,629 A 9/1999 Taylor et al.
 5,951,475 A 9/1999 Gueziec et al.
 5,964,796 A 10/1999 Imran
 5,967,980 A 10/1999 Ferre et al.
 5,976,156 A 11/1999 Taylor et al.
 5,983,126 A 11/1999 Wittkampf
 5,987,349 A 11/1999 Schulz
 6,001,130 A 12/1999 Bryan et al.
 6,006,126 A 12/1999 Cosman
 6,016,439 A 1/2000 Acker
 6,019,725 A 2/2000 Vesely et al.
 6,024,695 A 2/2000 Taylor et al.
 6,050,724 A 4/2000 Schmitz et al.
 6,059,718 A 5/2000 Taniguchi et al.
 6,063,022 A 5/2000 Ben-Haim
 6,073,043 A 6/2000 Schneider
 6,104,944 A 8/2000 Martinelli
 6,118,845 A 9/2000 Simon et al.
 6,122,538 A 9/2000 Sliwa, Jr. et al.
 6,131,396 A 10/2000 Duerr et al.
 6,139,183 A 10/2000 Graumann
 6,149,592 A 11/2000 Yanof et al.
 6,156,067 A 12/2000 Bryan et al.
 6,161,032 A 12/2000 Acker
 6,167,296 A 12/2000 Shahidi
 6,172,499 B1 1/2001 Ashe
 6,175,756 B1 1/2001 Ferre et al.
 6,223,067 B1 4/2001 Vilsmeier
 6,233,476 B1 5/2001 Strommer et al.
 6,236,875 B1 * 5/2001 Bucholz et al. 600/407
 6,246,231 B1 6/2001 Ashe
 6,273,896 B1 8/2001 Franck et al.
 6,298,262 B1 10/2001 Franck et al.
 6,341,231 B1 1/2002 Ferre et al.
 6,347,240 B1 2/2002 Foley et al.
 6,351,659 B1 2/2002 Vilsmeier
 6,381,485 B1 4/2002 Hunter et al.
 6,424,856 B1 7/2002 Vilsmeier et al.
 6,428,547 B1 8/2002 Vilsmeier et al.
 6,434,415 B1 8/2002 Foley et al.
 6,437,567 B1 8/2002 Schenck et al.
 6,445,943 B1 9/2002 Ferre et al.
 6,470,207 B1 10/2002 Simon et al.
 6,474,341 B1 11/2002 Hunter et al.
 6,493,573 B1 12/2002 Martinelli et al.
 6,499,488 B1 12/2002 Hunter et al.
 6,527,443 B1 3/2003 Vilsmeier et al.

6,551,325 B1 4/2003 Neubauer et al.
 6,584,174 B1 6/2003 Schubert et al.
 6,609,022 B1 8/2003 Vilsmeier et al.
 6,611,700 B1 8/2003 Vilsmeier et al.
 6,640,128 B1 10/2003 Vilsmeier et al.
 6,694,162 B1 2/2004 Hartlep
 6,701,179 B1 3/2004 Martinelli et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 3831278 3/1989
 DE 197 15 202 A1 * 4/1997
 DE 297 04 393 U1 8/1997
 DE 10085137 11/2002
 EP 0 018 166 A1 * 4/1980
 EP 0 319 844 A1 1/1988
 EP 0 359 773 B1 * 5/1988
 EP 0 326 768 A2 * 12/1988
 EP 0419729 A1 9/1989
 EP 0350996 A1 1/1990
 EP 0 651 968 8/1990
 EP 0 427 358 A1 * 10/1990
 EP 0 501 993 B1 * 11/1990
 EP 0 456 103 A2 * 5/1991
 EP 0 496 966 A1 * 7/1991
 EP 0 581 704 B1 7/1993
 EP 0 655 138 8/1993
 EP 0 894 473 1/1995
 EP 0 832 609 A2 4/1998
 FR 2417970 2/1979
 JP 2765738 6/1998
 WO WO 88/09151 * 12/1988
 WO WO 89/05123 6/1989
 WO WO 91/03982 4/1991
 WO WO 91/04711 4/1991
 WO WO 91/07726 * 5/1991
 WO WO 92/03090 3/1992
 WO WO 92/06645 * 4/1992
 WO WO 94/04938 3/1994
 WO WO 94/23647 * 10/1994
 WO WO 94/24933 * 11/1994
 WO WO 96/11624 * 4/1995
 WO WO 95/15714 6/1995
 WO WO 96/11624 * 4/1996
 WO WO 96/32059 * 10/1996
 WO WO 97/40764 * 11/1997
 WO WO 98/08554 3/1998
 WO WO 98/38908 9/1998
 WO WO 99/60939 12/1999
 WO WO 01/30437 A1 5/2001

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Alignment Procedure for the PixSys Two-Emitter Offset Probe for the SAC GP-8-3d Sonic Digitizer, PixSys, Jul. 2, 1992, pp. 1-4.
 Awwad et al., Post-Traumatic Spinal Synovial Cyst with Spondylolysis: CT Features, Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, vol. 13, No. 2, 1989, pp. 334-337.
 Bucholz et al., Halo Vest Versus Spinal Fusion for Cervical Injury: Evidence from an Outcome Study, J. Neurosurg., vol. 70, pp. 884-892.
 Bucholz et al., Image-Guided Surgical Techniques for Infections and Trauma of the Central Nervous System, Neurosurgery Clinics of North America, vol. 7, No. 2, Apr. 1996, pp. 187-200.
 Bucholz et al., Intraoperative Localization Using a Three Dimensional Optical Digitizer, SPIE, vol. 1894, Jan. 17, 1993, pp. 312-322.

- Cinquin et al., GOR: Image Guided Operating Robot. Methodology, Applications, IEEE EMBS, Paris 1992, pp. 1–2.
- Foley et al., Image-Guided Intraoperative Spinal Localization, Intraoperative Neuroprotection: Monitoring, Part Three, 1996, pp. 325–340.
- Kato et al., A Frameless, Armless Navigational System for Computer-Assisted Neurosurgery, *J. Neurosurg* 74, 1991, pp. 845–849.
- Kelly, The NeuroStation System for Image-Guided, Frameless Stereotaxy, *Neurosurgery*, vol. 37, No. 2, Aug. 1995, pp. 348–350.
- Lavallée et al., Computer Assisted Medical Interventions, NATO ASI Series, vol. F 60, 1990, pp. 301–312.
- Mallet et al., Post-Laminectomy Cervical-Thoracic Kyphosis in a Patient with Von Recklinghausen's Disease, *Spinal Frontiers*, vol. Three, Issue One, Apr. 1996, pp. 1–15.
- Mazier et al., Computer Assisted Interventionist Imaging: Application to the Vertebral Column Surgery, Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, vol. 12, No. 1, 1990, pp. 0430–0431.
- Reinhardt et al., Interactive Sonar-Operated Device for Stereotactic and Open Surgery, Proceedings of the Xth Meeting of the World Society for Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery, Maebashi, Japan, Oct. 1989, pp. 393–397.
- Reinhardt, Neuronavigation: A Ten-Year Review, *Neurosurgery*, 1993, pp. 329–341.
- Sautot et al., Computer Assisted Spine Surgery: A first Step Toward Clinical Application in Orthopaedics, IEEE, 1992, pp. 1071–1072.
- Smith et al., Computer Methods for Improved Diagnostic Image Display Applied to Stereotactic Neurosurgery, *Automedica*, 1992, vol. 14, pp. 371–382.
- Smith et al., Multimodality Image Analysis and Display Methods for Improved Tumor Localization in Stereotactic Neurosurgery, Proceedings of the Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, vol. 13, 1991, p. 0210.
- Smith et al., The Neurostation™—A Highly Accurate, Minimally Invasive Solution to Frameless Stereotactic Neurosurgery, *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, vol. 18, 1994, pp. 247–256.
- Vector Vision: The Power of Surgical Tracking, BrainLab, 1997.
- Weinstein et al., Spinal Pedicle Fixation: Reliability and Validity of Roentgenogram-Based Assessment and Surgical Factors on Successful Screw Placement, *Spine*, vol. 13, No. 9, 1988, pp. 1012–1018.
- “Prestige Cervical Disc System Surgical Technique” Brochure, 12 pgs.
- Adams et al., “Orientation Aid for Head and Neck Surgeons,” *Innov. Tech. Biol. Med.*, vol. 12, No. 4, 1992, pp. 409–424.
- Barrick et al., “Prophylactic Intramedullary Fixation of the Tibia for Stress Fracture in a Professional Athlete,” *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 241–244 (1992).
- Batnitzky et al., “Three-Dimensional Computer Reconstructions of Brain Lesions from Surface Contours Provided by Computed Tomography: A Prospectus,” *Neurosurgery*, vol. 11, No. 1, Part 1, 1982, pp. 73–84.
- Benzel, Edmund C. et al., “Magnetic Source Imaging: a Review of the Magnes System of Biomagnetic Technologies Incorporated,” *Neurosurgery*, vol. 33, No. 2 (Aug. 1993), pp. 252–259.
- Bouazza-Marouf et al.; “Robotic-Assisted Internal Fixation of Femoral Fractures”, *IMECHE.*, pp. 51–58 (1995).
- Brack, C. et al., “Accurate X-ray Based Navigation in Computer-Assisted Orthopedic Surgery,” *CAR '98*, pp. 716–722.
- Bryan, “Bryan Cervical Disc System Single Level Surgical Technique”, *Spinal Dynamics Brochure*, 2002, pp. 1–33 (described by Vincent Bryan).
- Bulcholz, Richard D. et al., “Variables affecting the accuracy of stereotactic localization using computerized tomography,” *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 79, Nov. 1993, pp. 667–673.
- Champleboux et al., “Accurate Calibration of Cameras and Range Imaging Sensors: the NPBS Method,” *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Nice, France, May, 1992.
- Champleboux, Guillerne “Utilisation de Fonctions Splines Pour la Mise au Point D'un Capteur Tridimensionnel sans Contact,” *Quelques Applications Medicales*, Jul. 1991.
- Cinquin et al., “Computer Assisted Medical Interventions,” *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology*, May/June. 1995, pp. 254–263.
- Cinquin et al., “Computer Assisted Medical Interventions,” *International Advanced Robotics Programme*, Sep. 1989, pp. 63–65.
- Clarysse et al., “A Computer-Assisted System for 3-D Frameless Localization in Stereotaxic MRI,” *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 10, No. 4, Dec. 1991, pp. 523–529.
- Feldmar et al., “3D-2D Projective Registration of Free-Form Curves and Surfaces,” *Rapport de recherche (Inria Sophia Antipolis)*, 1994, pp. 1–44.
- Foley et al., “Fundamentals of Interactive Computer Graphics,” *The Systems Programming Series*, Chapter 7, Jul. 1984, pp. 245–266.
- Gildenberg et al., “Calculation of Stereotactic Coordinates from the Computed Tomographic Scan,” *Neurosurgery*, vol. 10, No. 5, May 1982, pp. 580–586.
- Gonzalez, “Digital Image Fundamentals,” *Digital Image Processing*, Second Edition, 1987, pp. 52–54.
- Gottesfeld Brown et al., “Registration of Planar Film Radiographs with Computer Tomography,” *Proceedings of MMBIA*, Jun. '96, pp. 42–51.
- Guezic et al., “Registration of Computed Tomography Data to a Surgical Robot Using Fluoroscopy: A Feasibility Study,” *Computer Science/Mathematics*, Sep. 27, 1996, 6 pages.
- Hamadeh et al., “Kinematic Study of Lumbar Spine Using Functional Radiographies and 3D/2D Registration,” *TIMC UMR 5525 – IMAG*, 1997.
- Hamadeh et al., “Automated 3-Dimensional Computed Tomographic and Fluoroscopic Image Registration,” *Computer Aided Surgery* (1998), 3:11–19.
- Hamadeh et al., “Towards Automatic Registration Between CT and X-ray Images: Cooperation Between 3D/2D Registration and 2D Edge Detection,” *MRCAS '95*, pp. 39–46.
- Hatch, et al. “Reference-Display System for the Integration of CT Scanning and the Operating Microscope,” *Thesis, Thayer School of Engineering*, Oct. 1984, pp. 1–189.
- Henderson et al., “An Accurate and Ergonomic Method of Registration for Image-guided Neurosurgery,” *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, vol. 18, No. 4, Jul.–Aug. 1994, pp. 273–277.

- Hoerenz, "The Operating Microscope I. Optical Principles, Illumination Systems, and Support Systems," *Journal of Microsurgery*, vol. 1, 1980, pp. 364-369.
- Hofstetter et al., "Fluoroscopy Based Surgical Navigation—Concept and Clinical Applications," *Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 1997, pp. 956-960.
- Hounsfield, "Computerized transverse axial scanning (tomography): Part 1. Description of system," *British Journal of Radiology*, vol. 46, No. 552, Dec. 1973, pp. 1016-1022.
- Jacques et al., "A Computerized Microstereotactic Method to Approach, 3-Dimensionally Reconstruct, Remove and Adjuvantly Treat Small CNS Lesions," *Applied Neurophysiology*, vol. 43, 1980, pp. 176-182.
- Jacques et al., "Computerized three-dimensional stereotaxic removal of small central nervous system lesion in patients," *J. Neurosurg.*, vol. 53, Dec. 1980, pp. 816-820.
- Joskowicz et al., "Computer-Aided Image-Guided Bone Fracture Surgery: Concept and Implementation," *CAR '98*, pp. 710-715.
- Kelly et al., "Precision Resection of Intra-Axial CNS Lesions by CT-Based Stereotactic Craniotomy and Computer Monitored CO₂ Laser," *Acta Neurochirurgica*, vol. 68, 1983, pp. 1-9.
- Lavallee et al., "Matching 3-D Smooth Surfaces with their 2-D Projections using 3-D Distance Maps," *SPIE*, vol. 1570, *Geometric Methods in Computer Vision*, 1991, pp. 322-336.
- Lavallee et al., "Computer Assisted Driving of a Needle into the Brain," *Proceedings of the International Symposium CAR '89, Computer Assisted Radiology*, 1989, pp. 416-420.
- Lavallee et al., "Computer Assisted Interventionist Imaging: The Instance of Stereotactic Brain Surgery," *North-Holland MEDINFO 89, Part 1*, 1989, pp. 613-617.
- Lavallee et al., "Computer Assisted Spine Surgery: A Technique For Accurate Transpedicular Screw Fixation Using CT Data and a 3-D Optical Localizer," *TIMC, Faculte de Medecine de Grenoble*.
- Lavallee et al., "Image guided operating robot: a clinical application in stereotactic neurosurgery," *Proceedings of the 1992 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, May 1992, pp. 618-624.
- Lavallee et al., "Matching of Medical Images for Computed and Robot Assisted Surgery," *IEEE EMBS, Orlando*, 1991.
- Lavallee, "A New System for Computer Assisted Neurosurgery," *IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society 11th Annual International Conference*, 1989, pp. 0926-0927.
- Lavallee, "VI Adaption de la Methodologie a Quelques Applications Cliniques," *Chapitre VI*, pp. 133-148.
- Leksell et al., "Stereotaxis and Tomography—A Technical Note," *ACTA Neurochirurgica*, vol. 52, 1980, pp. 1-7.
- Lemieux et al., "A Patient-to-Computed-Tomography Image Registration Method Based on Digitally Reconstructed Radiographs," *Med. Phys.* 21 (11), Nov. 1994, pp. 1749-1760.
- Levin et al., "The Brain: Integrated Three-dimensional Display of MR and PET Images," *Radiology*, vol. 172, No. 3, Sep. 1989, pp. 783-789.
- Mazier et al., "Computer-Assisted Interventionist Imaging: Application to the Vertebral Column Surgery," *Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, vol. 12, No. 1, 1990, pp. 0430-0431.
- Mazier et al., *Chirurgie de la Colonne Vertebrale Assiste par Ordinateur: Application au Vissage Pediculaire*, *Innov. Tech. Biol. Med.*, vol. 11, No. 5, 1990, pp. 559-566.
- Pelizzari et al., "Accurate Three-Dimensional Registration of CT, PET, and/or MR Images of the Brain," *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography*, Jan./Feb. 1989, pp. 20-26.
- Pelizzari et al., "Interactive 3D Patient-Image Registration," *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, 12th International Conference, IPMI '91, Jul. 7-12, 136-141 (A.C.F. Colchester et al. eds. 1991).
- Pelizzari et al., No. 528—"Three Dimensional Correlation of PET, CT and MRI Images," *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 28, No. 4, Apr. 1987, p. 682.
- Phillips et al., "Image Guided Orthopaedic Surgery Design and Analysis," *Trans Inst. MC*, vol. 17, No. 5, 1995, pp. 251-264.
- Potamianos et al., "Intra-Operative Imaging Guidance for Keyhole Surgery Methodology and Calibration," *First International Symposium on Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*, Sep. 22-24, 1994, pp. 98-104.
- Reinhardt et al., "CT-Guided 'Real Time' Stereotaxy," *ACTA Neurochirurgica*, 1989.
- Roberts et al., "A frameless stereotaxic integration of computerized tomographic imaging and the operating microscope," *J. Neurosurg.*, vol. 65, Oct. 1986, pp. 545-549.
- Rosenbaum et al., "Computerized Tomography Guided Stereotaxis: A New Approach," *Applied Neurophysiology*, vol. 43, No. 3-5, 1980, pp. 172-173.
- Sautot, "Vissage Pediculaire Assiste Par Ordinateur," Sep. 20, 1994.
- Schueler et al., "Correction of Image Intensifier Distortion for Three-Dimensional X-Ray Angiography," *SPIE Medical Imaging 1995*, vol. 2432, pp. 272-279.
- Selvik et al., "A Roentgen Stereophotogrammetric System," *Acta Radiologica Diagnosis*, 1983, pp. 343-352.
- Shelden et al., "Development of a computerized microstereotaxic method for localization and removal of minute CNS lesions under direct 3-D vision," *J. Neurosurg.*, vol. 52, 1980, pp. 21-27.
- Smith et al., "Computer Methods for Improved Diagnostic Image Display Applied to Stereotactic Neurosurgery," *Automedical*, vol. 14, 1992, pp. 371-382.
- Viant et al., "A Computer Assisted Orthopaedic System for Distal Locking of Intramedullary Nails," *Proc. of MediMEC '95, Bristol*, 1995, pp. 86-91.
- Watanabe et al., "Three-Dimensional Digitizer (Neuronavigator): New Equipment for Computer Tomography-Guided Stereotaxic Surgery," *Surgical Neurology*, vol. 27, No. 6, Jun. 1987, pp. 543-547.
- Watanabe, "Neuronavigator," *Igaku-no-Ayumi*, vol. 137, No. 6, May 10, 1986, pp. 1-4.
- Weese et al., "An Approach to 2D/3D Registration of a Vertebra in 2D X-ray Fluoroscopies with 3D CT Images," pp. 119-128.
- Germano, "Instrumentation, Technique, and Technology," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 37, No. 2, Aug. 1995, pp. 348-350.
- Merloz, et al., "Computer Assisted Spine Surgery," *Clinical Assisted Spine Surgery*, No. 337, pp. 86-96.
- Hatch, et al., "Reference-Display System for the Integration of CT Scanning and the Operating Microscope," *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Northeast Bioengineering Conference*, May, 1985, pp. 252-254.




FIG. 1




FIG. 1A




FIG. 2




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 2C




FIG. 2D




FIG. 2E




FIG. 3




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 3C




FIG. 4






FIG. 4A






FIG. 4D




FIG. 4E




FIG. 4F




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

FIG. 7





FIG. 7A






FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11

FIG. 12





FIG. 13



**PERCUTANEOUS REGISTRATION
APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR USE IN
COMPUTER-ASSISTED SURGICAL
NAVIGATION**

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

The present invention claims rights under 35 U.S.C. § 119 on provisional application No. 60/059,915, filed on Sep. 24, 1997, and entitled "Percutaneous Registration Apparatus and Method for Use in Computer-Assisted Surgical Navigation."

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to guiding, directing, or navigating instruments or implants in a body percutaneously, in conjunction with systems that use and generate images during medical and surgical procedures, which images assist in executing the procedures and indicate the relative position of various body parts, surgical implants, and instruments. In particular the invention relates to apparatus and minimally invasive procedures for navigating instruments and providing surgical implants percutaneously in the spine, for example, to stabilize the spine, correct deformity, or enhance fusion in conjunction with a surgical navigation system for generating images during medical and surgical procedures.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Typically, spinal surgical procedures used, for example, to provide stabilization, fusion, or to correct deformities, require large incisions and substantial exposure of the spinal areas to permit the placement of surgical implants such as, for example, various forms of screws or hooks linked by rods, wires, or plates into portions of the spine. This standard procedure is invasive and can result in trauma, blood loss, and post operative pain. Alternatively, fluoroscopes have been used to assist in placing screws beneath the skin. In this alternative procedure at least four incisions must be made in the patient's back for inserting rods or wires through previously inserted screws. However, this technique can be difficult in that fluoroscopes only provide two-dimensional images and require the surgeon to rotate the fluoroscope frequently in order to get a mental image of the anatomy in three dimensions. Fluoroscopes also generate radiation to which the patient and surgical staff may become over exposed over time. Additionally, the subcutaneous implants required for this procedure may irritate the patient. A lever arm effect can also occur with the screws that are not connected by the rods or wires at the spine. Fluoroscopic screw placement techniques have traditionally used rods or plates that are subcutaneous to connect screws from vertebra to vertebra. This is due in part to the fact that there is no fluoroscopic technique that has been designed which can always adequately place rods or plates at the submuscular region (or adjacent to the vertebrae). These subcutaneous rods or plates may not be well tolerated by the patient. They also may not provide the optimal mechanical support to the spine because the moment arm of the construct can be increased, thereby translating higher loads and stresses through the construct.

A number of different types of surgical navigation systems have been described that include indications of the positions of medical instruments and patient anatomy used

in medical or surgical procedures. For example, U.S. Pat. No. 5,383,454 to Bucholz; PCT Application No. PCT/US94/04530 (Publication No. WO 94/24933) to Bucholz; and PCT Application No. PCT/US95/12894 (Publication No. WO 96/11624) to Bucholz et al., the entire disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference, disclose systems for use during a medical or surgical procedure using scans generated by a scanner prior to the procedure. Surgical navigation systems typically include tracking means such as, for example, an LED array on the body part, LED emitters on the medical instruments, a digitizer to track the positions of the body part and the instruments, and a display for the position of an instrument used in a medical procedure relative to an image of a body part.

Bucholz et al. WO 96/11624 is of particular interest, in that it identifies special issues associated with surgical navigation in the spine, where there are multiple vertebral bodies that can move with respect to each other. Bucholz et al. describes a procedure for operating on the spine during an open process where, after imaging, the spinous process reference points may move with respect to each other. It also discloses a procedure for modifying and repositioning the image data set to match the actual position of the anatomical elements. When there is an opportunity for anatomical movement, such movement degrades the fidelity of the pre-procedural images in depicting the intra-procedural anatomy. Therefore, additional innovations are desirable to bring image guidance to the parts of the body experiencing anatomical movement.

Furthermore, spinal surgical procedures are typically highly invasive. There is, thus, a need for more minimally invasive techniques for performing these spinal procedures, such as biopsy, spinal fixation, endoscopy, spinal implant insertion, fusion, and insertion of drug delivery systems, by reducing incision size and amount. One such way is to use surgical navigation equipment to perform procedures percutaneously, that is beneath the skin. To do so by means of surgical navigation also requires apparatus that can indicate the position of the spinal elements, such as, for example the vertebrae, involved in the procedure relative to the instruments and implants being inserted beneath the patient's skin and into the patient's spine. Additionally, because the spinal elements naturally move relative to each other, the user requires the ability to reorient these spinal elements to align with earlier scanned images stored in the surgical navigation system computer, to assure the correct location of those elements relative to the instruments and implants being applied or inserted percutaneously.

In light of the foregoing, there is a need in the art for apparatus and minimally invasive procedures for percutaneous placement of surgical implants and instruments in the spine, reducing the size and amount of incisions and utilizing surgical navigation techniques.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, the present invention is directed to apparatus and procedures for percutaneous placement of surgical implants and instruments such as, for example, screws, rods, wires and plates into various body parts using image guided surgery. More specifically, one object of the present invention is directed to apparatus and procedures for the percutaneous placement of surgical implants and instruments into various elements of the spine using image guided surgery.

To achieve these objects and other advantages and in accordance with the purpose of the invention, as embodied and broadly described herein, the invention includes an

apparatus for use with a surgical navigation system and comprises an attaching device rigidly connected to a body part, such as the spinous process of a vertebrae, with an identification superstructure rigidly but removably connected to the attaching device. This identification superstructure is a reference arc and fiducial array, which accomplishes the function of identifying the location of the superstructure, and, therefore, the body part to which it is fixed, during imaging by CAT scan or MRI, and later during medical procedures.

In one aspect, the attaching device is a clamp with jaws and sharp teeth for biting into the spinous process.

In another aspect, the fixture is a screw, having a head, wherein the screw is implanted into the spinous process and a relatively rigid wire is attached to the head of the screw and also implanted into the spinous process at an angle to the axis of the screw to prevent the screw from rotating in either direction.

In another aspect, the superstructure includes a central post, and a fiducial array and a reference arc rigidly but removably attached to the central post. The fiducial array is composed of image-compatible materials, and includes fiducials for providing a reference point, indicating the position of the array, which are rigidly attaching to the fiducial array, composed of, for example titanium or aluminum spheres. The reference arc includes emitters, such as, for example Light Emitting Diodes (“LEDs”), passive reflective spheres, or other tracking means such as acoustic, magnetic, electromagnetic, radiologic, or micropulsed radar, for indicating the location of the reference arc and, thus, the body part it is attached to, during medical procedures.

In addition, the invention further comprises a method for monitoring the location of an instrument, surgical implants and the various portions of the body, for example, vertebrae, to be operated on in a surgical navigation system comprising the steps of: attaching a fixture to the spinous process; attaching a superstructure including a fiducial array with fiducials and a reference arc to the fixture; scanning the patient using CT, MRI or some other three-dimensional method, with fiducial array rigidly fixed to patient to identify it on the scanned image; and thereafter, in an operating room, using image-guided technology, touching an image-guided surgical pointer or other instrument to one or more of the fiducials on the fiducial array to register the location of the spinal element fixed to the array and emitting an audio, visual, radiologic, magnetic or other detectable signal from the reference arc to an instrument such as, for example, a digitizer or other position-sensing unit, to indicate changes in position of the spinal element during a surgical procedure, and performing a surgical or medical procedure percutaneously on the patient using instruments and implants locatable relative to spinal elements in a known position in the surgical navigation system.

In another aspect, the method includes inserting screws or rigid wires in spatial elements in the area involved in the anticipated surgical procedure before scanning the patient, and after scanning the patient and bringing the patient to the operating area, touching an image-guided or tracked surgical pointer to these screws and wires attached to the vertebrae to positively register their location in the surgical navigation computer, and manipulating either the patient’s spine or the image to align the actual position of the spinal elements with the scanned image.

In another aspect, the method includes percutaneously implanting screws into spinal elements, which screws are located using image guided surgical navigation techniques,

and further manipulating the orientation of the screw heads percutaneously using a head-positioning probe containing an emitter, that can communicate to the surgical navigation computer the orientation of the screw heads and position them, by use of a specially designed head-positioning tool with an end portion that mates with the heads of the screws and can rotate those screw heads to receive a rod, wire, plate, or other connecting implant. If a rod is being inserted into the screw heads for example, the method further includes tracking the location and position of the rod, percutaneously using a rod inserter having one or more emitters communicating the location and orientation of the rod to the surgical navigation computer.

The objects of the invention are to provide a user, such as a surgeon, with the system and method to track an instrument and surgical implants used in conjunction with a surgical navigation system in such a manner to operate percutaneously on a patient’s body parts, such as spinal vertebrae which can move relative to each other.

It is a further object of this invention to provide a system and method to simply and yet positively indicate to the user a change in position of body parts, such as spinal vertebrae segments, from that identified in a stored image scan, such as from an MRI or CAT scan, and provide a method to realign those body parts to correspond with a previously stored image or the image to correspond with the actual current position of the body parts.

It is a further object of this invention to provide a system or method for allowing a fiducial array or reference arc that is removable from a location rigidly fixed to a body part and replaceable back in that precise location.

It is another object of this invention to provide a system and method for positively generating a display of instruments and surgical implants, such as, for example screws and rods, placed percutaneously in a patient using image-guided surgical methods and techniques.

It is another object of this invention for a percutaneous reference array and fiducial array, as described in this application, to be used to register and track the position of the vertebrae for the purposes of targeting a radiation dose to a diseased portion of said vertebrae using a traditional radiosurgical technique.

Additional objects and advantages of the invention will be set forth in part in the description which follows, and in part will be obvious from the description, or may be learned by practice of the invention. The objects and advantages of the invention will be realized and attained by means of the elements and combinations particularly pointed out in this description.

It is to be understood that both the foregoing general description and the following detailed description are exemplary and explanatory only and are not restrictive of the invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification, illustrate one embodiment of the invention and together with the description, serve to explain the principles of the invention.

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of one preferred embodiment of a superstructure for use in the current invention, including a reference arc, center post and fiducial array and rigid Kirschner wires (“K wires”) and screws placed in the spine for use with a surgical navigation system for percutaneous spinal surgical procedures.

5

FIG. 1A is an enlarged view of the superstructure depicted in FIG. 1 engaging a vertebra by a clamp and also K wires implanted in adjacent vertebrae in the superior and inferior positions of the spinous process.

FIG. 2 is a diagram of the preferred embodiment of a clamp fixture for rigid connection to the spinous process of a single vertebrae with an H-shaped fiducial array attached to a center post rigidly attached to the clamp and a mating connector at the tip of the post for mating with a reference array, and a reference array for use in the current invention.

FIG. 2A is a side view of FIG. 2 FIG. 2B is another side view of FIG. 2.

FIG. 2C is a top view of FIG. 2.

FIG. 2D is an exploded view of FIG. 2 without the reference arc.

FIG. 2E is an exploded view of the interface of the center post and clamp of FIG. 2.

FIG. 3 is a diagram of a W-Shaped fiducial array mounted to a central post with generally spherical fiducials attached to the array, for mounting to a single vertebrae.

FIG. 3A is a side view of FIG. 3.

FIG. 3B is another side view of FIG. 3.

FIG. 3C is a top view of FIG. 3.

FIG. 4 is a diagram of a reference arc and fiducial attached to a center post for use in the current invention in mounting to a single vertebrae.

FIG. 4A is a side view of FIG. 4.

FIG. 4B is a back view of FIG. 4.

FIG. 4C is a top view of FIG. 4.

FIG. 4D is an expanded view of FIG. 4.

FIG. 4E is an expanded side view of FIG. 4.

FIG. 4F is an expanded view of the array foot and shoe of FIG. 4E.

FIG. 5 is a diagram of an alternative embodiment of a fixture for use in the current invention using a cannulated screw for insertion into a vertebrae, with Kirschner wire mounted on a central post and including an alternate embodiment of a fiducial array and reference arc combined on a single structure.

FIG. 6 is a side view of the screw and Kirschner wire fixture of FIG. 5 implanted in a spinous process of a vertebrae.

FIG. 7 is a diagram of a screw-head positioning probe and multiaxial screw for insertion into a single vertebrae.

FIG. 7A is a diagram of the screw of FIG. 7.

FIG. 8 is a diagram of a head positioning probe, multiaxial screw and spinal segment.

FIG. 9 is a diagram of a rod inserter with an LED.

FIG. 10 is a diagram of an alternative embodiment of the invention depicting a cannulated tube and attachment for holding a reference arc.

FIG. 11 is a diagram of the cannulated tube of FIG. 10 with a reference arc and screw for attachment to a spinal process.

FIG. 12 is a posterior view of spinal segment and implanted screws before alignment.

FIG. 13 is a posterior view of spinal segment and implanted screws after alignment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Reference will now be made in detail to the present preferred embodiment of the invention, an example of which

6

is illustrated in the accompanying drawings. Wherever possible, the same reference numbers will be used throughout the drawings to refer to the same or like parts. The following example is intended to be purely exemplary of the invention.

As generally described in PCT/US95/12894, the entire disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference, a typical surgical navigation system is shown in FIG. 1 adopted to be used in the present invention. A computer assisted image-guided surgery system, indicated generally at 10, generates an image for display on a monitor 106 representing the position of one or more body elements, such as spinal elements fixedly held in a stabilizing frame or device such as a spinal surgery frame 125 commonly used for spinal surgery. A reference arc 120 bearing tracking means or emitters, such as for example LED emitters 122, is mounted to the spinous process by a central post 150. The structures 20 and K wires 260 of FIG. 1 are depicted in more detail in FIG. 1A. The image 105 is generated from an image data set, usually generated preoperatively by a CAT scanner or by MRI for example, which image 105 has reference points for at least one body element, such as a spinal element or vertebrae. The reference points of the particular body element have a fixed spatial relation to the particular body element.

The system includes an apparatus such as a digitizer or other Position Sensing Unit (PSU), such as for example sensor array 110 on support 112 for identifying, during the procedure, the relative position of each of the reference points to be displayed by tracking the position of emitters 122 on arc 120. The system also includes a processor 114 such as a PC or other suitable workstation processor associated with controller 108 for modifying the image data set according to the identified relative position of each of the reference points during the procedure, as identified by digitizer 110. The processor 114 can then, for example, generate an image data set representing the position of the body elements during the procedure for display on monitor 106. A surgical instrument 130, such as a probe or drill or other tool, may be included in the system, which is positioned relative to a body part and similarly tracked by sensor array 110.

In summary, the general operation of a surgical navigating system is well known in the art and need not further be described here.

In accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention, with further reference to FIGS. 1 through 6, a registration device 20 is rigidly fixed to a spinal element by, for example, a device such as a bone clamp 30 depicted in FIG. 2. Alternatively, a screw retention device 40, such as the cannulated screw 42 depicted in FIG. 5, and described in more detail below, can be used.

With reference now to FIG. 2, bone clamp 30 is fixedly attached to the spinous process. The clamp 30 includes at least two blades (or jaws) 32 with tips or teeth 34, which are preferably sharp, for driving together and penetrating soft tissue or more dense bone for rigid fixation to the spinous process. The teeth 34 are also preferably sized to accommodate the bulb shape of the spinous process. The driving mechanism 40 is, for example, a screw driven into a sleeve 41 and is also preferably located such that it will be accessible in a percutaneous manner. Attached to the clamp 30 is a superstructure 20. The superstructure 20 includes a central post 150 which is relocatable, that is, it fixes to the clamp 30 in a rigid fashion, for example, as depicted in FIGS. 2D and 2E, by being inserted into a V-shaped wedge

44 orienting the post 150 front to back and providing a mating hole 48 along the wedge 44 for insertion of post 150 in a single orientation and also providing fasteners such as screw 43 for tightening to lock the post 150 in place. The post 150 can be removed and reapplied by loosening and tightening screw 43, such that the original geometry and orientation is maintained. The central post 150 has at its apex a connector 60 with unique geometrical configuration, such as, for example, a starburst, onto which a spinal reference arc 120 of the superstructure 20 attaches. Any such standard reference arc 120 can be used, such as depicted in FIGS. 1A, 4, and 11, preferably including emitters 122, such as for example LEDs or reflective spheres for providing a positive indication of movement to the surgical navigation system during a procedure.

Also rigidly attached to the central post 150, as part of the superstructure 20 preferably at a location closer to the skin, or possibly collocated with or also performing the function of the reference arc 120, is a fiducial array 170, which can be of various different shapes, such as, for example the H-shaped frame 170 depicted in FIG. 2, the W-shaped frame 170' as depicted in FIG. 3, the U-shaped frame 170" as depicted in FIG. 4 or the X-shaped frame 170''' depicted in FIG. 5 (depicting a structure that is both a fiducial array and a reference arc). As depicted in FIGS. 2 and 3, this array can include fiducial points 29 or spheres 17, rigidly attached to fiducial array 170, 170' and is, for example, as depicted in FIG. 3, substantially in the shape of spheres 17 and of a material detectable by the CAT scan or MRI, preferably titanium or aluminum. This fiducial array such as 170 indicates to the surgical navigation system the location of the bone structure to which the clamp 30 and central post 150 are attached by touching a pointed surgical tracker to fiducial points 29 or a cup-shaped probe to fiducial spheres 17, thereby indicating the center of the fiducial to the surgical navigation controller 114. The array 170 and central post 150 are also attached to the clamp 30, as described above, in such a way that they can be removed and replaced in the same geometric orientation and location, for example, by means of a uniquely shaped interface, for example, a triangle, or a single unique shape or a combination of unique angles or pins with the clamp 30 such that the post 150 can only be reinserted the same way it was removed.

Additionally, the fiducial array 170, can be located at various heights on the post 150 to accommodate variations in patient tissue depth and size, preferably as close to the patient's body as possible, and then fixed at that specific height by the use of pins or indents matched to holes 19 (shown in FIG. 2) in the central post 150 or by placing the rods 39 of H-shaped array 170 in different holes 31. The fiducial array 170 also has, for example, divots 29 (shown in FIG. 2) shaped to interface with an instrument such as a surgical pointer 130 which can touch that divot 29 to register the location of the divot 29 and, thus, the location of the fiducial array 170 and likewise the spinal element in the surgical navigation system. Multiple divots can be registered to further increase accuracy of the registration system. In one preferred embodiment of the array, the fiducials 17 or 29 can be mounted in a manner such that they can be adjusted, for example by mounting them on a rotatable or collapsible arm 66 (as depicted in FIG. 3) that pivots and folds together, to get the maximum distance between fiducials while not dramatically increasing the field of view required at the time of scanning.

Alternatively, rather than using clamp 30, a screw 42 and rigid wire 45 attachment, as depicted in FIGS. 5 and 6, may be used to rigidly attach the central post of the superstructure

20 to a body element, such as, for example, a vertebrae. As depicted in FIG. 6, screw 42 is screwed into the spinal process of spinal element 100. A rigid wire 45, post, or other sufficiently rigid fastener such as for example a Kirschner wire (K-wire), is inserted through the cannulation in the center of post 150 and the screw 42 or is otherwise fixed to the screw 42, and exits the tip of the screw 42 at some angle, and is also implanted into the spinal element 100 to prevent the screw 42 from rotating in either direction.

Another embodiment for preventing the superstructure 20 from rotating as depicted in FIGS. 10 and 11 includes the insertion of a screw 85 through a cannulated tube 86 which has teeth 89 in the end (or V-shaped end) that would bite into the tip of the spinous process, preventing rotation.

Having described the preferred embodiment of this apparatus of the present system, the method of using this apparatus to practice the invention of registering a single vertebrae will now be discussed. The operation of a surgical navigating system is generally well known and is described in PCT/US95/12894. In the preferred method of operation, clamp 30 of FIG. 2 or screw 42 and K-Wire 45 of FIG. 5 are implanted percutaneously through a small incision in the skin and rigidly attached to the spinal process. This attachment occurs with the clamp 30, by driving the blades 32 of the clamp 30 together to hold the spinous process rigidly. The central post 150 is then rigidly fixed to the clamp 30 or screw 42 and the fiducial array 170 is rigidly fixed to the central post 150. The patient is then scanned and imaged with a CAT scan or MRI with a field of view sufficiently large to display the spinal anatomy and the clamp 30 or screw 42 and the fiducial array 170. This scan is loaded into the surgical navigation system processor 104.

After scanning the patient, the array 120 and post 150 can be removed from the patient, while leaving in place the rigidly connected clamp 30 or screw 42. For example, as depicted in FIGS. 4D and 4E, a foot 55 located below array 170" engages with shoe 56 and rigidly connected by screws 57 and 58. Before the surgical procedure, the post 150, array 120 and other remaining portions of the superstructure 20, once removed, may be sterilized. The patient is then moved to the operating room or similar facility from, for example, the scanning room.

Once in the operating room, the patient may be positioned in an apparatus, such as, for example, a spinal surgery frame 125 to help keep the spinal elements in a particular position and relatively motionless. The superstructure 20 is then replaced on the clamp 30 or screw 42 in a precise manner to the same relative position to the spinal elements as it was in the earlier CAT scan or MRI imaging. The reference arc 120 is fixed to the starburst or other interface connector 60 on the central post 150 which is fixed to the clamp 30 or screw 42. The operator, for example a surgeon, then touches an instrument with a tracking emitter such as a surgical pointer 130 with emitters 195 to the divots 29 on the fiducial array 170 to register the location of the array 170 and, thus, because the spinal process is fixed to the fiducial array 170, the location of the spinal element is also registered in the surgical navigation system.

Once the superstructure 20 is placed back on the patient, any instrument 130 fitted with tracking emitters thereon such as, for example, a drill or screw driver, can be tracked in space relative to the spine in the surgical navigation system without further surgical exposure of the spine. The position of the instrument 130 is determined by the user stepping on a foot pedal 116 to begin tracking the emitter array 190. The emitters 195 generate infrared signals to be picked up by

camera digitizer array **110** and triangulated to determine the position of the instrument **130**. Additionally, other methods may be employed to track reference arcs, pointer probes, and other tracked instruments, such as with reflective spheres, or sound or magnetic emitters, instead of LED's. For example, reflective spheres can reflect infrared light that is emitted from the camera array **110** back to the camera array **110**. The relative position of the body part, such as the spinal process is determined in a similar manner, through the use of similar emitters **122** mounted on the reference frame **120** in mechanical communication with the spinal segment. As is well known in this art and described generally in PCT/US95/12894, based upon the relative position of the spinal segment and the instrument **130** (such as by touching a known reference point) the computer would illustrate a preoperative scan—such as the proper CAT scan slice—on the screen of monitor **106** which would indicate the position of the tool **130** and the spinal segment for the area of the spine involved in the medical procedure.

For better access by the operator of various areas near the central post **150**, the fiducial array **170** can be removed from the central post **150**, by, for example, loosening screw **42** and sliding the array **170** off post **150**, leaving the reference arc **120** in place or replacing it after removal of array **170**. By leaving the reference arc **120** in place, the registration of the location of the spinal process is maintained. Additionally, the central post **150**, reference arc **120**, and fiducial array **170** can be removed after the spinal element has been registered leaving only the clamp **30** or screw **42** in place. The entire surgical field can then be sterilized and a sterile post **150** and reference arc **170** fixed to the clamp **30** or screw **42** with the registration maintained.

This surgical navigation system, with spinal element registration maintained, can then be used, for example, to place necessary and desired screws, rods, hooks, plates, wires, and other surgical instruments and implants percutaneously, using image-guided technology. Once the location of the spinal element **100** involved in the procedure is registered, by the process described above, in relation to the image data set and image **105** projected on monitor **106**, other instruments **130** and surgical implants can be placed under the patient's skin at locations indicated by the instrument **130** relative to the spinal element **100**.

Additionally, the location of other spinal elements, relative to the spinal element **100** containing the fiducial array **170**, can be registered in the surgical navigation system by, for example, inserting additional screws **250**, rigid wires **260**, or other rigid implants or imageable devices into the spinal segment.

For example, as depicted in FIG. 1, and in more detail FIG. 1A, additional screws **250** or rigid and pointed wires **260** are placed in the vertebrae adjacent to the vertebrae containing the clamp **30** and post **150** prior to scanning. On the image **105** provided by monitor **106**, the surgeon can see the clamp **30** or screw **42** and fiducial array **170** and also the additional screws **250**, wires **260** or other imageable devices. When screws **250** or other devices are used, these screws **250** (as depicted in FIG. 7) may contain a divot **256** or other specially shaped interface on the head **255** so that a pointer probe **130** can be used to point to the head **255** of the screw **250** (or wire) and indicate the orientation of the screw **250** or wire **260** to the surgical navigation system by communicating to the controller **114** or by emission from LEDs **195** on probe **130** to digitizer **110**. The image of these additional screws **250** also appear in the scan. Once the patient is then moved to the operating facility, rather than the scanning area, the image of the screw **250** can be compared to the

actual position of the screw **250** as indicated by the pointer probe **130** that is touched to the head **255** of the screw **250** or wire **260**. If necessary, the operator can manipulate the position of the patient to move the spinal element and thus the location of the screw **250** or wire **260** to realign the spinal elements with the earlier image of the spin. Alternatively, the operator can manipulate the image to correspond to the current position of the spinal segments.

For additional positioning information, the operator can place additional rigid wires **260** or screws **250** into the vertebrae, for example, located at the superior (toward the patient's head) and inferior (towards the patient's feet) ends of the spinal process to more accurately position those vertebrae relative to the other vertebrae and the image data. Additionally, the wires **260** and screws **250** implanted to provide positioning information can also be equipped with emitters, such as, for example, LEDs, to provide additional information to the surgical navigation system on the location of the wire **260** or screw **250**, and thus the vertebra to which they are affixed.

Alternatively, the patient can be placed in a position stabilizing device, such as a spinal surgery frame **125** or board, before a scan is taken, and then moved to the operating facility for the procedure, maintaining the spine segments in the same position from the time of scanning until the time of surgery. Alternatively, a fluoroscope can be used to reposition the spinal segments relative to the earlier image from the scan. An ultrasound probe can be used to take real-time images of the spinal segment which can be portrayed by monitor **106** overlaid or superimposed on image **105**. Then the operator can manually manipulate the spinal elements and take additional images of these elements with the fluoroscope to, in an iterative fashion, align the spinal elements with the previously scanned image **105**.

Alternatively, a clamp **30** or screw **42** and superstructure **20** can be rigidly fixed to each vertebra involved in the surgical or medical procedure to register the position of each vertebra as explained previously for a single vertebra.

After the spinal elements are registered in the spine, various medical and surgical procedures can be performed on that patient. For example, spinal implants, endoscopes, or biopsy probes can be passed into the spine and procedures such as, for example, spinal fusion, manipulation, or disc removal can be performed percutaneously and facilitated by the surgical navigation image-guiding system. Additionally, a radiation dose can be targeted to a specific region of the vertebrae.

One such procedure facilitated by the apparatus and methods described above is the percutaneous insertion of screws and rods, fixed to different vertebra in a spine to stabilize them. Once screws, for example multiaxial screws **250**, (as depicted in FIG. 12, before manipulation) are implanted through small incisions they can be manipulated by a head-positioning probe **280**. The final position of screws **250** and heads **255** are depicted in FIG. 13. This probe **280**, as depicted in FIG. 7, includes a head **285** that mates in a geometrically unique fashion with the head **255** of the screw **250**. An emitter, such as for example an LED array **380** on the probe **280**, indicates the location and orientation of the screw head **255** to the computer **114** of the surgical navigation system by providing an optical signal received by digitizer **110**. The screw head **255** can then be rotatably manipulated under the patient's skin by the head positioning probe **280** to be properly oriented for the receipt of a rod **360** inserted through the rotating head **255**. The operator can then plan a path from the head **255** of each

11

screw 250 to the other screws 250 to be connected. Then, with reference now to FIG. 9, an optically tracked rod inserter 245 also equipped with emitters, such as, for example LEDs 247, can be placed through another small incision to mate with and guide a rod 360 through the holes or slots in the screw heads 245, through and beneath various tissues of the patient, with the rod inserter 245, and, therefore, the rod 360, fixed to the inserter 245, being tracked in the surgical navigation system. The operator can also use the computer 114 to determine the required bending angles of the rod 360. For greater visualization, the geometry of the screws 250 could be loaded into the computer 114 and when the position and orientation of the head 255 is given to the computer 114 via the probe 280, the computer 114 could place this geometry onto the image data and three-dimensional model. The rod 360 geometry could also be loaded into the computer 114 and could be visible and shown in real time on monitor 106 as the operator is placing it in the screw heads 255.

In an alternative procedure, one or more plates and/or one or more wires may be inserted instead of one or more rods 360.

It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various modifications and variations can be made in the present invention and in construction of this surgical navigation system without departing from the scope or spirit of the invention. Other embodiments of the invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art from consideration of the specification and practice of the invention disclosed herein. It is intended that the specification and examples be considered as exemplary only.

What is claimed is:

1. An apparatus for facilitating percutaneous placement of surgical instruments into the spine, adapted for use with a surgical navigation system employing an energy-detecting array in communication with a surgical navigation computer to track positions of instruments in three dimensional space relative to a known reference point, said apparatus comprising:

a connector adapted to be rigidly attached to a portion of the spine;

at least one central post connected to said connector;

a position identification structure rigidly and removably connected to said central post at a predetermined position on said central post and adapted to be reconnected at the same said predetermined position, said identification structure being further adapted to allow a patient to be scanned with the structure connected to the central post, said structure including an assembly for communicating positioning information with respect to said assembly to the energy detecting array and surgical navigation computer; and

a connector assembly for said reconnecting of said structure substantially to said predetermined position on said central post.

2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the connector is a clamp having teeth adapted for biting into a spinous process.

3. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the connector includes an elongated fixture with a central axis and a threaded end adapted to be inserted into the spinous process and a substantially rigid wire connected to the fixture with the central axis of the wire adapted to be implanted into the spinous process at an angle to elongated fixture to prevent the fixture from rotating.

4. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said assembly for communication positioning information is a substantially H-shaped frame.

12

5. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said assembly for communicating positioning information is a substantially W-shaped frame.

6. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said assembly for communicating positioning information is a substantially U-shaped frame.

7. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said assembly for communicating positioning information is a substantially X-shaped frame.

8. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said assembly for communicating positioning information comprises:

a fiducial array for registering the location of a spinal element with rigidly connected fiducials; and

a reference arc for signaling the position of a spinal element, said arc further comprising rigidly connected emitters.

9. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said references point is on the spine.

10. A method for monitoring the location of an instrument, surgical implant and various portions of the body, to be operated on, using a surgical navigation system with a surgical navigation computer and a digitizer array for monitoring the location of instruments in three-dimensional space relative to a known reference point, said method comprising the steps of:

attaching a fixture having a central post to a portion of the spine;

removably attaching an identification structure including a fiducial array and a reference arc to said central post;

providing a scanned three-dimensional image of a patient including said fiducial array rigidly attached to said central post of said fixture, said fixture being rigidly attached to the patient to identify the position of said fixture and said fiducial array on the scanned image;

using an image-guided system, by touching an image guided surgical pointer to one or more fiducials on the fiducial array to register the location of a spinal element fixed to said array; and

emitting a signal from said reference arc to indicate changes in position of the spinal element during a surgical procedure.

11. The method of claim 10, further comprising:

performing a surgical procedure percutaneously on a patient using an instrument and implant locatable relative to the spinal element and said structure in known positions identified in the surgical navigation system.

12. The method of claim 10, further comprising:

inserting a threaded fixture having a substantially rigid wire into a spinal element; and

touching an image guided pointer to said threaded fixture and wire to positively register the location of said fixture and wire in a surgical navigation computer.

13. The method of claim 10, further comprising:

implanting imageable devices into spinal elements to identify the location of the spinal elements in the surgical navigation computer.

14. The method of claim 10, further comprising:

implanting imageable devices into a plurality of spinal elements; and

manipulating the patient's spine by viewing the location of the implanted devices, as communicated to the surgical navigation computer by touching an instrument with a tracking emitter to said implanted imageable devices to align the actual position of the spinal elements with the previously scanned image.

13

15. The method of claim 10 further comprising:
percutaneously implanting screws into spinal elements;
and

locating the position of said screws using image guided
surgical navigation techniques.

16. The method of claim 15 further comprising:
manipulating the orientation of the screw heads percuta-
neously using a head-positioning probe for communi-
cating location containing an emitter, said probe com-
municating to the surgical navigation computer the
orientation of the screw heads; and

using a head positioning tool for manipulating implants
having an end portion that mates with the heads of the
screws and rotating the screws to receive a connecting
implant.

17. The method of claim 16 further comprising:
tracking the location and position of the connecting
implant by means of an instrument affixed to the
implant having emitters capable of communicating
orientation and location to the surgical navigation com-
puter.

18. A system for use in performing the percutaneous
placement of surgical implants and instruments into the
spine using image guided surgery and a surgical navigation
computer and energy detecting array, said system compris-
ing:

means for attaching a fixture to a portion of the spine;
means for communicating position information to the
surgical navigation computer and energy detecting
array said means rigidly and removably connected to
said means for attaching a fixture;

means for providing location information of said spinal
portion to the surgical navigation system adapted to be
connected to spinal elements;

means for indicating screw-head position said means
electrically connected to the surgical navigation system
and adapted to mate with the head of a screw implanted
in one or more of said spinal elements.

19. The system of claim 18 further comprising:
an elongated implant adapted to be inserted into said
implanted screws;

means for indicating the position of said elongated
implant electrically connected to the surgical naviga-
tion system and adapted to mate with the elongated
implant.

20. The system of claim 18, wherein said implanted
screws have heads and the elongated implant is a rod
adapted to be guided through holes in said implanted screw
heads.

21. *The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the connector
includes an elongated fixture with a central axis and an end
adapted to be inserted into the spinous process and a
substantially rigid wire connected to the fixture with the
central axis of the wire adapted to be implanted into the
spinous process at an angle to said elongated fixture to
prevent said fixture from rotating.*

22. *An apparatus for facilitating percutaneous placement
of surgical instruments into the spine, adapted for use with
a surgical navigation system employing an energy-detecting
array in communication with a surgical navigation com-
puter to track positions of instruments in three dimensional
space relative to a known reference point, said apparatus
comprising:*

*a connector adapted to be rigidly attached to a portion of
the spine;*

14

*at least one post connected to said connector;
a position identification structure rigidly connected to
said post at a predetermined position on said post, said
identification structure being further adapted to allow
a patient to be scanned with the structure connected to
the post, said structure including an assembly for
communicating positioning information with respect to
said assembly to the energy detecting array and sur-
gical navigation computer; and
a means for indicating a screw-head position said means
electrically connected to the surgical navigation system
and adapted to mate with the head of a screw implanted
in the spine.*

23. *The apparatus of claim 22 wherein said position
identification structure is rigidly and removably connected
to said post and adapted to be reconnected at said same
predetermined position.*

24. *The apparatus of claim 23 wherein said apparatus
further includes a connector assembly for said reconnecting
of said structure substantially to said predetermined position
on said post.*

25. *The apparatus of claim 22, wherein the connector is
a clamp having teeth adapted for biting into a spinous
process.*

26. *An apparatus for facilitating percutaneous placement
of surgical instruments into the spine, adapted for use with
a surgical navigation system employing an energy-detecting
array in communication with a surgical navigation com-
puter to track positions of instruments in three dimensional
space relative to a known reference point, said apparatus
comprising:*

*a connector adapted to be rigidly attached to a portion of
the spine;*

*at least one post connected to said connector;
a position identification structure rigidly connected to
said post at a predetermined position on said post, said
identification structure being further adapted to allow
a patient to be scanned with the structure connected to
the post, said structure including an assembly for
communicating positioning information with respect to
said assembly to the energy detecting array and sur-
gical navigation computer; and*

*wherein the connector includes an elongated fixture with
a central axis and a threaded end adapted to be
inserted into the spinous process and a substantially
rigid wire connected to the fixture, said wire adapted to
be implanted into the spinous process at an angle to
prevent the fixture from rotating.*

27. *The apparatus of claim 22, wherein said assembly for
communicating positioning information is a substantially
H-shaped frame.*

28. *The apparatus of claim 22, wherein said assembly for
communicating positioning information is a substantially
W-shaped frame.*

29. *The apparatus of claim 22, wherein said assembly for
communicating positioning information is a substantially
U-shaped frame.*

30. *The apparatus of claim 22, wherein said assembly for
communicating positioning information is a substantially
X-shaped frame.*

31. *The apparatus of claim 1, wherein said assembly for
communicating positioning information comprises:*

*a reference arc for signaling the position of a spinal
element, said arc further comprising rigidly connected
emitters.*

32. *The apparatus of claim 31 wherein said assembly
further includes a fiducial array for registering the location
of a spinal element with rigidly connected fiducials.*

15

33. The apparatus of claim 32, wherein said reference point is on the spine.

34. A method for monitoring the location of an instrument, surgical implant and various portions of the body, to be operated on, using a surgical navigation system with a surgical navigation computer and a digitizer array for monitoring the location of instruments in three-dimensional space relative to a known reference point, said method comprising:

attaching a fixture having a post to a portion of the body;
attaching a reference arc to said post;
providing a scanned three-dimensional image of a patient with the reference arc in place;
using an image-guided system to track instruments and implants relative to the reference arc and three-dimensional scan;
emitting a signal from said reference arc to indicate changes in position of the spinal element during a surgical procedure,
inserting a threaded fixture having a substantially rigid wire into a spinal element; and
touching an image guided pointer to said threaded fixture and wire to positively register the location of said fixture and wire in a surgical navigation computer.

35. A method for monitoring the location of an instrument, surgical implant and various portions of the body, to be operated on, using a surgical navigation system with a surgical navigation computer and a digitizer array for monitoring the location of instruments in three-dimensional space relative to a known reference point, said method comprising:

attaching a fixture having a post to a portion of the body;
attaching a reference arc to said post;
providing a scanned three-dimensional image of a patient with the reference arc in place;
using an image-guided system to track instruments and implants relative to the reference arc and three-dimensional scan; and
emitting a signal from said reference arc to indicate changes in position of the spinal element during a surgical procedure;
implanting imageable devices into a plurality of spinal elements; and
manipulating the patient's spine by viewing the location of the implanted devices, as communicated to the surgical navigation computer by touching an instrument with a tracking emitter to said implanted imageable devices to align the actual position of the spinal elements with the previously scanned image.

36. The method of claim 35, further comprising:

performing a surgical procedure percutaneously on a patient using an instrument and implant locatable relative to the spinal element and said structure in known positions identified in the surgical navigation system.

37. The method of claim 35, further comprising:

implanting imageable devices into spinal elements to identify the location of the spinal elements in the surgical navigation computer.

38. The method of claim 35 further comprising:

percutaneously implanting screws into spinal elements; and
locating the position of said screws using image guided surgical navigation techniques.

16

39. A method for monitoring the location of an instrument, surgical implant and various portions of the body, to be operated on, using a surgical navigation system with a surgical navigation computer and a digitizer array for monitoring the location of instruments in three-dimensional space relative to a known reference point, said method comprising:

attaching a fixture having a post to a portion of the body;
attaching a reference arc to said post;
providing a scanned three-dimensional image of a patient with the reference arc in place;
using an image-guided system to track instruments and implants relative to the reference arc and three-dimensional scan;
emitting a signal from said reference arc to indicate changes in position of the spinal element during a surgical procedure;
percutaneously implanting screws into spinal elements;
locating the position of said screws using image guided surgical navigation techniques;
manipulating the orientation of the screw heads percutaneously using a head-positioning probe for communicating location containing an emitter, said probe communicating to the surgical navigation computer the orientation of the screw heads; and
using a head positioning tool for manipulating implants having an end portion that mates with the heads of the screws and rotating the screws to receive a connecting implant.

40. The method of claim 39 further comprising:

tracking the location and position of the connecting implant by means of an instrument affixed to the implant having emitters capable of communicating orientation and location to the surgical navigation computer.

41. A system for use in performing the percutaneous placement of surgical implants and instruments into the spine using image guided surgery and a surgical navigation computer and energy detecting array, said system comprising:

means for attaching a fixture to a portion of the spine;
means for communicating position information to the surgical navigation computer and energy detecting array said means rigidly connected to said means for attaching a fixture;
means for providing location information of said spinal portion to the surgical navigation system adapted to be connected to spinal elements; and
a means for indicating screw-head position said means electrically connected to the surgical navigation system and adapted to mate with the head of a screw implanted in one or more of said spinal elements.

42. The system of claim 41 further comprising:

an elongated implant adapted to be inserted into said screw;
means for indicating the position of said elongated implant electrically connected to the surgical navigation system and adapted to mate with the elongated implant.

43. The system of claim 41 wherein energy detecting array is removably connected to said means for attaching a fixture.

44. A system for use in performing the percutaneous placement of surgical implants and instruments into the spine using image guided surgery and a surgical navigation computer and energy detecting array, said system comprising:

means for attaching a fixture to a portion of the spine;

means for communicating position information to the surgical navigation computer and energy detecting array said means rigidly connected to said means for attaching a fixture;

means for providing location information of said spinal portion to the surgical navigation system adapted to be connected to spinal elements; and

wherein a screw has a head and the elongated implant is a rod adapted to be guided through holes in said implanted screw head.

45. An apparatus for use with a surgical navigation system to track a position of at least one object in three-dimensional space relative to a patient's body, said apparatus comprising:

an anchor operable to be attached to a portion of the body;

a position identification structure rigidly and removably connected to said anchor at a pre-determined position and adapted to be reconnected at said same pre-determined position, said position identification structure being further adapted to allow the patient to be scanned with said position identification structure connected to said anchor, said position identification structure including an assembly for providing position information to the surgical navigation system;

a connector assembly associated with said position identification structure operable to reconnect said position identification structure substantially to said pre-determined position; and

a means for indicating a member position said means electrically connected to the surgical navigation system and adapted to mate with said member implanted in the body.

46. The apparatus as defined in claim 45 wherein the anchor is a clamp having teeth adapted for biting into a patient's body.

47. The apparatus as defined in claim 45 wherein the anchor is an elongated fixture having a central axis and a threaded end adapted to be inserted into the patient's body.

48. The apparatus as defined in claim 47 wherein said elongated fixture further includes a substantially rigid wire adapted to be implanted at an angle to said elongated fixture to prevent said fixture from rotating.

49. The apparatus as defined in claim 45 wherein the object is a surgical instrument.

50. The apparatus as defined in claim 45 wherein the object is an implant.

51. The apparatus as defined in claim 45 wherein said position identification structure includes a fiducial array operable to register a location of the patient's body; and

a reference arc operable to identify a position of the patient's body.

52. The apparatus as defined in claim 51 wherein said fiducial array includes a plurality of rigidly connected fiducials and said reference arc includes a plurality of rigidly connected emitters.

53. The apparatus as defined in claim 45 wherein a portion of the patient's body is the spine.

54. The apparatus as defined in claim 45 wherein said position identification structure includes a fiducial array selected from a group comprising a W-shaped frame, a U-shaped frame, an X-shaped frame, and an H-shaped frame.

55. A method for monitoring the location of an object relative to a patient's body using a surgical navigation system, said method comprising:

attaching an anchor to a portion of the body;

movably attaching an identification structure having a fiducial array and a reference arc to said anchor;

providing a three-dimensional image of a patient, including said fiducial array attached to said anchor, said anchor being attached to the body to identify the position of said fiducial array on the three-dimensional image;

using the fiducial array to register a location of the body fixed to said fiducial array; and

using the reference arc to identify changes in position of the body during a surgical procedure;

inserting a threaded anchor into a spinal element; and

touching an image guided pointer to said threaded anchor to positively register a location of said fixture with the surgical navigation system.

56. The method as defined in claim 55 further comprising using an image guided system and touching an image guided surgical pointer to one or more fiducials on the fiducial array to register a location of the body to the array.

57. The method as defined in claim 55 further comprising emitting a signal from the reference arc to identify changes in position of the body during the surgical procedure.

58. The method as defined in claim 55 further comprising performing a surgical procedure percutaneously on a spine of the patient.

59. The method as defined in claim 58 further comprising locating an implant relative to the spine and an instrument associated with the implant.

60. The method as defined in claim 59 further comprising percutaneously implanting screws into spinal elements; and locating the position of the screws.

61. The method as defined in claim 60 further comprising manipulating an orientation of screw heads associated with the screws percutaneously using a head-positioning probe, which is adapted to communicate with the surgical navigation system to orient the screw head.

62. The method as defined in claim 55 further comprising: implanting imageable devices into spinal elements of the body to identify the location of the spinal elements with the surgical navigation system.

63. A method for monitoring the location of an object relative to a patient's body using a surgical navigation system, said method comprising:

attaching an anchor to a portion of the body;

movably attaching an identification structure having a fiducial array and a reference arc to said anchor;

providing a three-dimensional image of a patient, including said fiducial array attached to said anchor, said anchor being attached to the body to identify the position of said fiducial array on the three-dimensional image;

using the fiducial array to register a location of the body fixed to said fiducial array;

19

*using the reference arc to identify changes in position of
the body during a surgical procedure;*
*performing a surgical procedure percutaneously on a
spine of the patient;*
*locating an implant relative to the spine and an instru- 5
ment associated with the implant;*
*comprising percutaneously implanting screws into spinal
elements;*
locating the position of the screws; 10
*manipulating an orientation of screw heads associated
with the screws percutaneously using a head-*

20

*positioning probe, which is adapted to communicate
with the surgical navigation system to orient the screw
head;*
*tracking a location and position of a connecting implant
by use of an instrument attached to the connecting
implant that include emitters capable of communicat-
ing orientational location to the surgical navigation
system; and*
*attaching the connecting implant to the screw heads
percutaneously.*

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : RE39,133 E
APPLICATION NO. : 10/423332
DATED : June 13, 2006
INVENTOR(S) : John B. Clayton et al.

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 1, line 15, above the heading, "FIELD OF THE INVENTION" insert: --Notice: More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of patent RE39,133. The reissue applications are RE42,226 and RE42,194.--

Signed and Sealed this
Twenty-sixth Day of February, 2013



Teresa Stanek Rea
Acting Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office