



US00RE38858E

(19) **United States**  
(12) **Reissued Patent**  
**Grawey et al.**

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE38,858 E**  
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** **\*Nov. 1, 2005**

(54) **FRICTIONALLY DRIVEN BELTED WORK MACHINE**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(75) Inventors: **Charles E. Grawey**, Peoria, IL (US);  
**Robert J. Grob**, Peoria, IL (US);  
**Cullen P. Hart**, Surprise, IL (US)

DE	77535	8/1919
DE	855960	11/1952
DE	1201201	4/1966

(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **Caterpillar Inc.**, Peoria, IL (US)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(\*) Notice: This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/759,863**

(22) Filed: **Jan. 13, 2001**

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **5,363,936**  
Issued: **Nov. 15, 1994**  
Appl. No.: **08/136,837**  
Filed: **Oct. 18, 1993**

U.S. Applications:

(63) Continuation of application No. 08/674,423, filed on Oct. 7, 1996, now Pat. No. Re. 37,174, which is a division of application No. 06/938,685, filed on Dec. 3, 1986, now Pat. No. 5,279,378, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 06/563,338, filed on Dec. 20, 1983, now abandoned.

(51) **Int. Cl.**<sup>7</sup> ..... **B62D 55/88**; B62D 55/104;  
B62D 55/24

(52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **180/9.21**; 305/111; 305/170

(58) **Field of Search** ..... 180/9.1, 9, 9.21,  
180/9.36; 305/111, 113, 35 R, 35 EB, 38,  
24, 27, 28

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

107,702 A	9/1870 Minniss
990,648 A	4/1911 Hamilton
1,170,755 A	2/1916 Huddle

(Continued)

2 page photograph of motor grader used on public roads in Steamboat Springs, Colorado in 1981 bearing production No. CAT 119185-186, produced in Caterpillar Inc. v. Deere & Company.\*

"Firestone Champion Ground Grips Out Pull All Other Tractor Tires", Agricultural Engineering, May 1948, p. 187.

"No Clanks in These Tracks—and They Love Sand", Farm Implement News, Jun. 21, 1943, p. 28.

"Oliver Model HG Cletrac", The Oliver Corporation, Cleveland, OH Form No. Spec. H-3, 50M-10-45, U.S.A.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Kevin Hurley


(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Claude F White; Larry G Cain; Jeff A Greene

(57)

**ABSTRACT**

An elastomeric belt laying vehicle is disclosed for transmitting greater tractive effort to the earth as compared to comparably powered wheel vehicles and being operable at high speed on improved road surfaces without inflicting damage thereto. A pair of the wheels are arranged on each lateral side of the vehicle's chassis for support thereof. An inextensible, endless belt is highly tensioned throughout its length, is entrained about each pair of wheels, and is frictionally, drivingly coupled to at least one wheel of each pair. The structure of the belt, structure of the wheels and cooperating components thereof ensure engagement therebetween, provides long service with minimum maintenance thereof, and supplies the necessary frictional couple to effectively transmit driving torque from the wheels to the belt.

**9 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets**



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,196,922 A 9/1916 Black  
1,275,670 A 8/1918 Fry et al.  
1,297,104 A 3/1919 Colahan  
1,335,421 A 3/1920 Black  
1,355,540 A 10/1920 Cox  
1,397,139 A 11/1921 Muscott  
1,397,314 A 11/1921 Cox  
1,434,239 A 10/1922 Williams  
1,522,157 A 1/1925 Tracy et al.  
1,539,582 A 5/1925 Landry  
1,800,979 A 4/1931 Bayard et al.  
1,821,196 A 9/1931 Worley  
1,861,866 A 6/1932 Knox et al.  
1,959,972 A 5/1934 Wanamaker  
1,986,865 A 1/1935 Tschappat  
2,043,235 A 6/1936 Colby  
2,064,980 A 12/1936 Dorst  
2,107,490 A 2/1938 Mayne  
2,303,945 A 12/1942 Mayne et al.  
2,325,690 A 8/1943 Leguillon  
2,338,817 A 1/1944 Mayne  
2,342,953 A 2/1944 Mayne  
2,416,679 A 3/1947 Curtis  
2,449,421 A 9/1948 Slemmons et al.  
2,452,671 A 11/1948 Merrill  
2,461,850 A \* 2/1949 Slemmons ..... 305/8  
2,476,828 A 7/1949 Skromme  
2,533,271 A 12/1950 Livermon  
2,541,943 A 2/1951 Sherman et al.  
2,575,845 A 11/1951 Singer  
2,592,441 A 4/1952 Louthan  
2,592,541 A \* 4/1952 Curtis ..... 305/10  
2,707,403 A 5/1955 Thomson et al.  
2,829,013 A 4/1958 Patrick  
2,865,214 A 12/1958 Runton  
2,887,343 A 5/1959 West  
2,891,821 A 6/1959 Mayr  
2,898,965 A 8/1959 Eddy  
2,992,863 A 7/1961 Fredricks et al.  
2,998,998 A 9/1961 Hylder et al.  
3,082,044 A 3/1963 Klemm et al.  
3,107,128 A 10/1963 Ruane  
3,112,727 A 12/1963 Kiefer  
3,113,805 A 12/1963 Nodwell  
3,155,436 A 11/1964 Bonmartini  
3,216,520 A 11/1965 Blonsky  
3,354,735 A 11/1967 Holz  
3,378,314 A 4/1968 Knowles  
3,392,594 A 7/1968 Gorp  
3,451,728 A 6/1969 Sherbrooke  
3,484,140 A 12/1969 Grenier  
3,592,279 A 7/1971 Donelson  
3,600,044 A 8/1971 Cushman  
3,606,496 A 9/1971 Haycock  
3,609,804 A 10/1971 Morrison  
3,683,728 A 8/1972 Meserve  
3,688,858 A 9/1972 Jespersen  
3,801,164 A 4/1974 Mazzarins  
3,820,580 A 6/1974 Meserve et al.  
3,886,788 A 6/1975 Jeter, Jr.  
4,040,464 A \* 8/1977 Skidmore ..... 152/361 R  
4,081,016 A \* 3/1978 Clayton  
4,089,565 A \* 5/1978 Loegering et al.  
4,093,318 A 6/1978 Edwards  
4,144,923 A \* 3/1979 Curry ..... 157/13  
4,198,103 A \* 4/1980 Ward et al. .... 350/35 EB  
4,233,853 A \* 11/1980 Holz ..... 74/185

4,281,882 A 8/1981 van der Lely  
4,284,409 A \* 8/1981 van der Lely ..... 474/185  
4,306,607 A \* 12/1981 Curry ..... 157/13  
4,351,572 A \* 9/1982 Fujiwara et al. .... 305/27  
4,362,340 A \* 12/1982 van der Lely ..... 305/31  
4,364,426 A \* 12/1982 Mills et al. .... 152/209 R  
4,410,219 A \* 10/1983 van der Lely ..... 305/35 EB  
4,448,273 A \* 5/1984 Barbieri ..... 180/9.21  
4,449,756 A \* 5/1984 Weeks ..... 305/11  
4,519,654 A \* 5/1985 Satzler et al. .... 180/9.54 X  
4,537,267 A 8/1985 Satzler  
4,569,561 A 2/1986 Edwards et al.  
RE32,442 E 6/1987 Satzler  
4,781,257 A \* 11/1988 Gee et al. .... 180/9.5  
4,906,054 A \* 3/1990 Edwards et al. .... 305/38  
5,161,866 A \* 11/1992 Johnson ..... 305/35 EB  
5,246,246 A \* 9/1993 Kendall ..... 305/155 X  
5,279,378 A \* 1/1994 Grawey et al. .... 180/9.21  
5,352,029 A \* 10/1994 Nagorecka ..... 305/115 X

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FR 594998 9/1925  
FR 622093 \* 7/1926  
FR 662093 5/1927  
FR 650960 2/1929  
FR 728403 7/1932  
FR 1075239 10/1954  
FR 1355528 2/1964  
FR 1427191 12/1965  
FR 2502573 3/1982  
GB 223515 11/1924  
GB 256796 8/1926  
GB 278779 10/1927  
GB 452982 9/1936  
GB 702974 1/1954  
GB 2104015 3/1983  
WO WO81/01395 5/1981  
RE37,174 E 5/2001 Grawey et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Rubber Tracks for Agriculture:, C.O. Slemmons, SAE Hour-nal (Transactions), vol. 53, No. 12, Dec. 1945, pp. 713–718. “Siping Improves Tire Traction and Tread Life”, from National Louis University.  
“The New Cletrac Model HG With Full View Features”, The Cleveland Tractor Co., Cleveland, OH, Form No. 907 12–39, USA.  
“The ‘Square–Wheel’ Tractor Goes to Town”, Robert Mayne and H.W. Delzell, SAE Journal (Trans), vol. 50, 03–42, pp97–101.  
These Tracks Don’t Clank:, Farm Implement News, Dec. 26, 1940, p. 39.  
“Track and Tire Performance in Agricultural Soils”, J.H. Taylor and E.C. Burt, ASAE Paper No. 73–1509, Dec. 1973. Tread Design Effect on Winter Traction:, Thomas R. King and Frank E. Matyja, SAE Technical Paper Series 810067, Feb. 1981.  
Mechanical Engineers’ Handbook, edited by L. S. Marks, Fifth Edition, McGraw–Hill Book Co. 1951, p 228–229, 1381–1389.  
Copy a portion of publication “Implement & Tractor” 1947. Photographs of Citroen half–track type vehicle—1924. Photocopy of photograph of “Oliver HGR–47”.\*

\* cited by examiner

FIG 1




FIG 2




FIG 3




FIG 4








FIG 5








FIG. 11A




FIG. 11B






FIG-12A FIG-12B





FIG-12C






FIG-13









FIG-16



## FRICTIONALLY DRIVEN BELTED WORK MACHINE

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [ ] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

### CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

*This application is a continuing reissue application of reissue application Ser. No. 08/674,423 filed Oct. 7, 1996 now granted Pat. No. U.S. Re 37,174, which is a reissue of Ser. No. 08/136,837 filed Oct. 18, 1993 granted U.S. Pat. No. 5,363,936, which is a divisional of Ser. No. 06/938,685 filed Dec. 3, 1986 granted U.S. Pat. No. 5,279,378, which is a continuation in part of Ser. No. 06/563,338 filed Dec. 20, 1983 now abandoned.*

[This is a divisional of application Ser. No. 06/938,685, filed Dec. 3, 1986, U.S. Pat. No. 5,279,378 which is a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 563,338, filed Dec. 20, 1983, now abandoned.]

### TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention relates to crawler-type vehicles, tractors or equipment having tracks over wheels for providing both ground support and tractive effort, and more particularly, to a system for frictionally transmitting motive force through an interface between a wheel and a ground engaging belt.

### BACKGROUND ART

It has long been recognized that vehicles having ground engaging/propulsion systems which utilize track have higher traction in soil and cause less ground compaction than vehicles of comparable weight equipped with propulsion systems utilizing solid or pneumatic-tire-equipped wheels. The "footprint" or engagement area of track propulsion systems with the ground is larger than the footprint which is practically achievable by wheel propulsion systems due to the footprint of each wheel being relatively small. In an attempt to compensate for such reduced footprint, large wheel vehicles such as agricultural tractors often have four and sometimes six wheels mounted on each axle. Propulsion systems for agricultural applications today, however, nearly exclusively utilize pneumatic tires rather than track. Present day efforts to increase traction and reduce soil compaction have centered around optimizing wheel propulsion systems even though track systems inherently provide such objectives. As stated in the Agricultural Engineer, Winter 1982 Edition, page 109, "Now that the pneumatic tire provides the almost universal means of obtaining traction in agriculture, it is timely to incorporate a system of in-work tire pressure control which will enable tire deflection levels to be kept constant throughout the working cycle. The quest for increased traction with reduced levels of soil compaction makes the adoption of such a system increasingly desirable."

The popularity and nearly universal acceptance of wheel propulsion systems rather than track systems in agricultural use stems primarily from the present day track system's relatively higher noise levels, higher initial cost, lower maximum travel speed, and inability to transport itself on improved road surfaces without inflicting unacceptable damage. While the noise level and roading problems exhibited by conventional track may be minimized by cushioning the ground engaging surface thereof, commercially unac-

ceptably high wear rates generally occur at the moveable joints between the rigid track sections when such conventional track is used in high speed applications.

Those skilled in the art have recognized that the foregoing advantages of wheel and track propulsion systems could be realized by utilizing a propulsion system in which a continuous rubber belt is entrained about a pair of wheels. Problems encountered in actually reducing such belt system to practice include how to drive such belt with the entrained wheels, how to maintain structural integrity of the belt and wheels, how to retain the belt in lateral alignment with the wheels when the wheels are subjected to large lateral loads, how to provide long life for the belt and wheels, and how to accommodate debris ingestion between the wheels and belt while maintaining the driving relationship therebetween without damaging either.

Limited success has been achieved in providing belt systems for some light duty applications such as snowmobiles. Light duty belt systems utilizing positive drives are illustrated, by example, in U.S. Pat. Nos., 3,510,174 and 3,858,948 which issued May 5, 1970 and Jan. 7, 1975, respectively. An example of a light duty vehicle utilizing friction drive is illustrated in U.S. Pat. No. 4,198,103 which issued Apr. 15, 1980. Attempts to expand the use of belt systems to heavy-duty commercial applications have, in general, met with failure. The following U.S. patents are directed toward resolving the previously described belt system problems by utilizing positive belt drives and applying them to heavy-duty applications: U.S. Pat. No. 2,338,817 which issued Jan. 11, 1944; U.S. Pat. No. 2,461,849 which issued Feb. 15, 1949; U.S. Pat. No. 3,703,321 which issued Nov. 21, 1972; and U.S. Pat. No. 4,241,956 which issued Dec. 30, 1980. The 'U.S. Pat. No. 849 patent which is assigned to B. F. Goodrich explained why positive drive was preferred over others: "It has also been proposed to eliminate the use of cross bars and to drive the track by friction alone, but use of such a construction requires a great area of angular contact or 'wrap' about the driving wheel and confines the use of such tracks to drives for light vehicles providing great angular contact of the drive wheel."

Notwithstanding the 'U.S. Pat. No. 849 recommendation, still other U.S. patents sought to apply friction drive to heavy-duty applications: U.S. Pat. No. 2,476,828 issued Jul. 19, 1949; U.S. Pat. No. 2,476,460 issued Jul. 19, 1949; U.S. Pat. No. 2,998,998 issued Sep. 5, 1961; U.S. Pat. No. 1,411,523 issued Apr. 4, 1922; U.S. Pat. No. 2,537,745 issued Jan. 9, 1951; U.S. Pat. No. 2,531,111 issued Nov. 21, 1950; U.S. Pat. No. 2,494,065 issued Jan. 10, 1950; U.S. Pat. No. 2,429,242 issued Oct. 21, 1947; U.S. Pat. No. 2,350,076 issued May 30, 1944; U.S. Pat. No. 2,898,965 issued Aug. 11, 1959; U.S. Pat. No. 2,644,539 issued Jul. 7, 1953; U.S. Pat. No. 3,369,622 issued Feb. 20, 1968; and U.S. Pat. No. 4,281,882 issued Aug. 4, 1981. Other friction drive systems are shown in Otter Tractor Corporation advertising circular, U.K. Patent 1,604,615 published Dec. 9, 1981; U.K. Patent 2,048,800B published Jan. 12, 1983; U.K. Patent 278,779 published Oct. 20, 1927; Netherlands Patent 7,605,810 published Nov. 30, 1977; and German Patent 678,785 granted Jun. 29, 1939. Many of the aforementioned friction drive systems have a dual purpose driving/guiding structure which utilizes a driving slot having sloped lateral facing side surfaces and a belt having cooperatively sloped, laterally facing side surfaces which are frictionally engaged with the slot's side surfaces through an interference fit similar to V-belt drives. The driving slots of such structures tend to accumulate debris which disengages the frictionally engageable side surfaces. Radial grooves in the walls bounding

such slots have been used in attempts to expel debris from the slots but have been generally ineffective.

The aforementioned patents are representative of a large body of patents which purport to solve one or more of the belt system implementation problems. Such body of patents constitutes documentary evidence that efforts to achieve this blend of track and wheel propulsion systems have been exerted for over half a century without realizing any practical measure of success. Solutions to the problems of actually implementing a heavy-duty vehicular belt drive system have proven elusive and scientific scaling techniques have not, to date, been successfully applied to light duty vehicles for purposes of developing a heavy-duty belt system. Thus, despite the long felt need for and the advantages thereof, a heavy-duty application vehicle utilizing such belt system is commercially unavailable today.

It is, thus, the objective of this invention to provide a workable solution to the problems by taking into account that such vehicle's undercarriage, to be truly useful, should be roadable, provide high traction and low ground compression, and minimally disturb the underlying terrain, as well as operate in the heavy-duty working mode and provide a smooth ride for the operator in most soil conditions and topography from level land to steep inclinations while performing useful work without breaking the belts, losing drive capability between engaged wheels and belts, or disengaging the belts from the wheels.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally includes a chassis, a pair of longitudinally spaced wheels arranged on each lateral side of the chassis in supporting relation thereto, an endless belt having an elastomeric exterior surface and being highly tensioned to provide frictional coupling between an interior surface thereof and the outer periphery of at least one wheel of each pair, and guide apparatus for maintaining lateral registry between each belt and the associated wheels. The belt tension is regulated by a tensioning apparatus which maintains the frictional coupling, accommodates debris ingestion between the belt and wheels without damaging either, and augments the guide apparatus in maintaining lateral belt to wheel registry. The belts are longitudinally reinforced to permit their high degree of tensioning and are laterally and transversely reinforced to resist movement in those directions and further augment the guide apparatus in retaining lateral registration.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

The advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following description when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in which:

FIG. 1 is a side elevation view of the work vehicle;

FIG. 2 is a front elevation view of the work vehicle illustrated in FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a plan view taken along line III—III of FIG. 1;

FIGS. 4 and 5 are respective sectional views of a preferred and an alternate belt construction;

FIGS. 6, 7, and 8 are respective partial sectional views of a preferred, first alternate, and second alternate drive wheel structure;

FIG. 9 is a partial sectional view of a preferred idler wheel structure;

FIG. 10 is a partial sectional view taken along line X—X of FIG. 2;

FIGS. 11A and 11B are diagrammatic views of belt portions respectively defining "lateral" and "transverse" flexibility;

FIGS. 12A, 12B, and 12C are diagrammatic, partial sectional views of an engaged driver wheel-belt structure subjected to varying lateral loads;

FIG. 13 is a graphical representation of belt/wheel structure lateral load carrying as a function of deformation thereof;

FIGS. 14A, 14B, 14C, 14D, 14E, and 14F are diagrammatic representations of the relative operational configurations of a bias tire/belt and a rigid or cushioned wheel/belt and wear characteristics exhibited thereby;

FIG. 15 is a graphical representation contrasting the present invention's mean power efficiencies with 4-wheel drive vehicle's mean power efficiencies for different soil/soil conditions; and

FIG. 16 is a graphical representation of the pull/weight ratio as a function of the propulsion system's slip percentage for the present invention and a 4-wheel drive vehicle.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

In general, the greatest problems encountered in providing a belt-over-wheel propulsion system for heavy-duty application are:

- (1) Maintaining lateral registry between each belt and its entrained wheels when the utilizing vehicle is subjected to high lateral loads;
- (2) Maintaining a driving relationship between the driver wheel(s) and the entraining belt; and
- (3) Accommodating debris intrusion between each belt and its entrained wheels without damaging either.

Solutions to the aforementioned problems will be discussed in conjunction with the structure providing such solutions.

Referring now to the drawings in detail, FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary belt laying work vehicle 10 having a chassis 12 with a longitudinal axis 14 and a propulsion system 16 which resides generally beneath and in supporting relation to a frame 18 which, together with an operator's station 20 and an engine 22, constitutes the chassis 12. A rearwardly protruding drawbar 23 is joined to the frame 18 and constitutes an attachment structure to which draft loads may be connected. Although the exemplary work vehicle 10 constitutes a heavy-duty draft vehicle, the principles inherent in such exemplary structure are applicable to heavy-duty propulsion systems for other vehicles whether such vehicles are used for hauling, pushing, or pulling large loads. The illustrated draft work vehicle 10 was chosen as the exemplary apparatus since it has been actually reduced to practice and tested in a wide range of soil conditions, topographies, and loading characteristics.

The propulsion system 16 includes two pairs of longitudinally spaced apart wheel structures 24,26 which are arranged on opposite lateral sides 28,30 of the vehicle chassis 12 and which have respective radially outwardly facing peripheral surfaces 32,34, a pair of endless, substantially inextensible belts 36 each having an interior 38 and an exterior 40 surface which are respectively engaged with the wheel's outer peripheral surfaces 32,34 and the underlying terrain, and a roller support system 42 which is joined to the frame 18 on each lateral side of the chassis 12 and which is engageable with each belt's interior surface 38 longitudinally between the separated wheel structures 24,26 of each pair. The endless characteristic of the belt 36 means that the belt is continuous and has no connection joint(s).

Inasmuch as the propulsion system 16 has substantially identical components on each lateral side 28,30 of the

5

chassis 12, further reference will only be made to the set of components on the side 28. At least one of the wheel structures on each lateral side of the chassis 12 constitutes a driver wheel structure 44 which frictionally transmits power to the belt 36 from the chassis-mounted engine 22. The wheel structures 24,26 on each side are laterally aligned and have respective circumferential guide channels 46,48 which are each laterally bounded by positioning surfaces 50,52 and are adapted for receiving belt-resident guide structures. In the illustrated embodiment, the other wheel structure on each side constitutes an idler wheel structure 54 which helps to support the vehicle chassis 12, cooperates with the driver 44 to provide a path 56 along which the belt 36 can be driven, and, in the illustrated case, provides a measure of recoil capability. For purposes of the present invention, however, the front wheel structure 26 could also constitute a driver. The rear wheel structure 24 of the illustrated draft vehicle constitutes the driver wheel structure 44 and the front wheel structure 26 constitutes the idler wheel structure 54. The rear 24 and front 26 wheel structures are respectively mounted on laterally protruding axles 58,60 so as to rotate about respective axes 62,64 during vehicle movement.

The driver wheel structure 44 has arcuately spaced, laterally extending grooves 68 distributed in its outer peripheral surface 32. Each pair of adjacent grooves 68 defines an intermediate protuberance 70 having arcuately bounding walls or edges 72,74 of desired radial height 76 and an outwardly facing drive portion 77 of predetermined arcuate length 78 which constitutes the outer peripheral surface 32. "Leading" and "trailing" as used herein refers to the relative positioning of like elements during movement thereof. If the frictional couple between either drive wheel 44 and its associated belt 36 is lost as a result of mud or other friction reducing material intruding therebetween, that driver wheel 44 will rotate relative to the interior surface 38 of the belt 36 so as to cause the leading bounding wall, 72 or 74 depending on direction, of each engaged protuberance 70 to wipe that material from the belt's interior surface 38 into the groove 68 leading each protuberance 70. Such relative rotation continues for short duration, typically less than 90°, until a sufficient part of the belt's interior surface 38 has been cleaned to re-establish the driving frictional couple. Such friction reducing material, subsequent to its deposition into the grooves 68, is transmitted by those grooves 68 generally laterally and returned to the environment.

For frictionally coupling the driver wheel 44 with the belt 36, the maximum surface area for torque transmission therebetween is desired and thus the surface area corresponding to grooves 68 in the driver 44 should be minimized. Use of a single groove 68 requires the driver wheel 44 to rotate nearly one revolution relative to the belt 36 to wipe the torque transmitting area of the belt 36 and thus reestablish the frictional coupling between the belt 36 and driver 44. Such extreme amounts of relative motion results in reduced vehicle productivity, intermittent vehicular movement, and operator discomfort. Moreover, the resultant single groove would have to be very large to effectively conduct the debris wiped from the remainder of the wheel-belt interface. On the other hand, a large number of small grooves 68 would maintain the maximum surface area of frictional torque transmission and avoid the aforementioned disadvantages. However, a minimum cross-sectional area of each groove is necessary to effectively transversely conduct the wiped debris. It has been found that such minimum cross-sectional area has a minimum radial height 76 of about ½ inch. Operational experience indicates that for effective debris conduction, the arcuate length of the grooves 68 need

6

not be greater than the protuberances' arcuate length and should be the minimum consistent with effective conduction of wiped debris. The protuberances 70 will not, for known compounds of rubber and for the tensions required to frictionally couple the belt and drive wheels, effectively transmit torque if their aspect ratio of arcuate length 78 to radial height 76 is less than about 1:1. For practical sized wheels, however, such aspect ratio is preferably not less than about 3:1.

While the illustrated grooves 68 are arranged in the driver 44 at substantially 90° to the chassis' longitudinal axis and have radially oriented bounding walls 72,74, it is to be understood that the grooves 68 could be formed in the belt's interior surface 38 and that other angular arrangements of the grooves 68 and other wall orientations are operationally acceptable for purposes of the present invention. The "grooved" configuration thus provides a substantial contribution in maintaining the driving relationship regardless of the environment.

As illustrated in FIGS. 1, 2, and 3, the front wheel structure 26 has a smooth outer peripheral surface 34 about which the belt 36 is entrained and engaged. Inasmuch as the front wheel structure 26 in the illustrated embodiment constitutes an idler 54, maintaining a friction couple between it and the entraining belt's interior surface 38 is unnecessary.

The interior surfaces 38 of the endless, inextensible belts 36 illustrated in FIGS. 1, 2, and 3 constitute elastomer and are smooth to facilitate frictional engagement thereof with the associated driver 44 while the exterior surfaces 40 have elastomeric cleats 80 protruding therefrom for penetrating the underlying ground and enhancing the belt's tractive capability. The elastomeric character of the cleats 80 permits the illustrated vehicle 10 to travel on improved road surfaces without damaging same. The belts 36 preferably have an ultimate elongation of less than 5% to permit tensioning thereof with reasonable movements of tensioning apparatus and must be capable of sustaining tension loads of approximately 17,000 Newtons per lateral centimeter of belt width to provide the driving friction force typically transmitted by heavy-duty vehicles weighing in excess of about 4,500 Kilograms. As illustrated in FIGS. 1 and 3, each belt 36 has a guide structure 82 which is receivable in the wheels' guide channels 46,48 for maintaining lateral registry between each belt 36 and its entrained wheel structures 24,26. Each guide structure 82 includes alignment members 84 which are longitudinally separated, by way of example, by about 5.5 centimeters, preferably extend inwardly from the lateral center of the associated belt's interior surface 38, and have a high modulus of elasticity.

The rear 24 and front 26 wheel structures are relatively rigid in the lateral direction as compared to radial tires so as to promote belt guiding thereon for heavy-duty vehicle operation on side slopes or in other circumstances where the vehicle is subjected to high lateral loading. Due to the character of the exemplary draft vehicle 10 and for reasons to be discussed later relating to wear, greater lateral rigidity of the driver wheel structure 44 is required than of the idler wheel structure 54. The preferred driver wheel structure 44 constitutes a cushioned wheel structure 85 which is illustrated in FIG. 6, and includes a circular rigid metal drum 86 having a solid layer 90 of elastomer which is radially thin relative to the drum's diameter and which is bonded to the drum's outer periphery 92. By way of example the elastomer layer's radial thickness is about 5 centimeters and the drum's radius is about 51 centimeters. The material removing, friction enhancing grooves 68 previously

described are resident in the elastomer layer **90**. The circumferential guiding channel **46** is arranged about the cushioned wheel structure **85** generally along its mid-circumferential plane **93** to expose opposed inner edges **94** of the elastomeric layer **90** and opposed inner margins **95** of the drum **86**. The guiding channel **46** is defined by the laterally opposed positioning surfaces **50,52**, each of which includes a base portion **96** and an inner portion **98** which, by way of example, have respective angles of inclination **97** of approximately  $90^\circ$  and  $106^\circ$ . The base portions **96** constitute the inner edges **94** of the elastomeric layer **90** and the inner portions **98** constitute the inner margins **95** of the drums **86**. Such inner portions **98** preferably converge in a radially inward direction.

An alternative to such cushioned driver wheel structure **85** is a rigid wheel structure **100** which includes a completely rigid circular drum **86** as illustrated in FIG. 7. Friction enhancing grooves **68** in the rigid wheel structure's outer periphery provide the same material expulsion capability as do the grooves **68** in the elastomeric layer **90**. The cushioned driver wheel structure's elastomeric layer **90** cooperates with the belt's interior elastomeric surface **38** to envelop in a non-penetration mode any hard, non-flowable elements such as stones which become sandwiched between the wheel structures **24,26** and entrained belt **36**. The rigid driver wheel structure **100** also includes a circumferential guiding channel **46** laterally defined by positioning surfaces **50,52**. The completely rigid wheel structure **100** requires additional elastomeric material on the interior of a mating belt structure **36** which is suitable for use with the cushioned wheel structure **85** so as to provide the same propulsion system capacity to envelop and absorb non-flowable debris without sustaining belt penetration. The embodiment of FIG. 6 wherein the cushioning elastomeric layer **90** is arranged on the outer periphery of the drum **86** and on the interior surface of the belt **36** is less costly, requires less total elastomeric substance, and maintains greater longitudinal flexibility for the belt **36** than utilizing, in combination, a rigid driver wheel **100** and thicker, debris enveloping belt.

FIG. 8 illustrates another alternative driver wheel structure **44** which constitutes a pneumatic wheel structure **102** having a pair of laterally separated pneumatic wheels **104,106** which respectively include rigid rims **108,110** and inflatable, bias belted carcasses **112,114** mounted thereon. The bias belted carcasses **112,114** have laterally facing adjacent sidewalls **116,118** which are substantially parallel and preferably each have an angle of inclination **97** of  $90^\circ$  relative to the outer peripheral surface **32** thereof. The sidewalls **116,118** respectively include positioning surfaces **50,52** which define the circumferential guide channel **46**. Unlike conventional carcasses, the sidewalls **116,118** of the carcasses **112,114** are thicker and more planar to respectively provide greater lateral rigidity and better positioning surfaces **50,52** for engagement with the guide structure **82** with minimum surface area. Radial tire carcasses have insufficient rigidity in the lateral direction to provide the guiding required in all heavy-duty applications but the bias carcasses **112,114** will, in certain heavy-duty applications, such as motor grader vehicles, provide the requisite lateral stiffness. The lateral stiffness of the driver wheels **44** is an important factor in maintaining lateral registry of the belt **36** and wheels **24,26**.

The outer periphery **32** of the alternate pneumatic driver wheel structure **102** constitutes circumferentially alternating friction enhancing grooves **68** and protuberances **70** whose structure is the same as that shown for the driver wheel structures **44** illustrated in FIGS. 6 and 7. Both the cushioned

**85** and rigid **100** driver wheel structures are preferred over the pneumatic carcass driver wheel structure **102** for applications in which pantographing and/or relative motion between the wheel driver structure **44** and belt **36** cannot be tolerated and still provide reasonable wear. Other than the well-known pantographing which all bias tires experience, bias tires, when under load, also exhibit a phenomenon of tracing out a footprint for one revolution thereof which is shorter by approximately 2%–3% than is the circumference around such tire's outer periphery when unloaded. Such circumferential changing phenomenon causes relative motion between each belt's interior surface **38** and the protuberance's drive portions **77**. Such motion results in wear of the leading edge **72** (for forward vehicle motion) of the protuberances **70** and thus reduces the arcuate length **78** of their drive portions **77**. The practical effect of such wearing substantially reduces the-wiping action of the protuberances **70** on the interior surface **38** of the belt **36** which, in turn, reduces the friction coupling between the drive wheel structure **44** and the belt **36** when they are operated in mud or other adverse, friction coefficient reducing environments. Such relative bias wheel-to-belt motion during frictional engagement is illustrated in FIG. 14A where, for purposes of illustration, only one lateral groove **68** and associated protuberance's leading edge **72** are illustrated at the left side of FIG. 14A with the leading edge **72** being in circumferential alignment with a belt mark arrow **120** which marks the matching position of the belt **36**. The right side of FIG. 14A illustrates the relative positioning of the belt mark **120** and the protuberances' leading edge **72** after rotation of the bias wheel in the indicated direction. The belt marker **120** moves a further linear distance than did the protuberances' leading edge **72** so as to demonstrate the relative movement therebetween. FIG. 14B illustrates the principle that when such bias wheel is rotated under load for one revolution, it moves a linear distance A which is less than the bias wheel's circumference B when unloaded. The resulting wear of the protuberance's leading edge **72** is respectively shown in FIG. 14C.

FIGS. 14D and 14E illustrate the lack of relative movement between an entraining belt **36** and a cushioned **85** or rigid **100** wheel structure as respectively shown in FIGS. 6 and 7. The rigid **100** or cushioned wheel **85**, when rotated one revolution under load, traverses a linear distance C, as diagrammatically illustrated in FIG. 14E, which is substantially equal to its unloaded circumference B. No relative movement between the driver's outer periphery **32** and the entraining belt **36** occurs during driver rotation as is sequentially illustrated in FIG. 14D. Accordingly, the leading edge **72** of the single illustrated protuberance **70** exhibits little wear, retains the shape illustrated in FIG. 14F, and maintains its belt wiping capability.

FIG. 9 illustrates the preferred embodiment of the idler wheel structure **54** and constitutes a pair of inflatable pneumatic wheels **104,106** which are similar to those shown in FIG. 8, but lack the lateral, friction enhancing grooves. The lateral separation space or circumferential guiding channel **48** between the wheels **104,106** is arranged along the wheel structure's mid circumferential plane **107** and is bounded and defined by the adjacent, laterally facing positioning surfaces **50,52** which cooperate to provide belt-to-wheel guiding. The work vehicle **10** illustrated in FIG. 1 is primarily intended for agricultural use and thus requires a limited but finite recoil capacity for cases where debris of a specified size may intrude between either of the wheel structures **24,26** and the belt **36**. The pneumatic idler wheel structure **54**, due to its ability to elastically deform, inherently pro-

vides the degree of recoil necessary to accommodate debris normally encountered in most agricultural applications while continuing to function and without overstressing the belt, wheels, or support structure for the wheels. Such recoil capability is a contributing factor in non-destructably accommodating debris intrusion.

FIGS. 4 and 5 respectively illustrate the presently preferred belt structure 122 and an alternative belt structure 124. The preferred belt structure 122 includes an elastomeric interior surface 38, an elastomeric exterior surface 40, and a pair of lateral sides 126,128 which respectively engage the wheel structures' outer peripheries 32,34, the underlying terrain, and bound the interior 38 and exterior 40 surfaces. The preferred belt 122 has a body portion 130 which is defined by the interior 38 and exterior 40 surfaces and by the lateral edges 126,128 and has a central plane 132. The guide structure 82 joined to and protruding interiorly from the belt's interior surface 38 constitutes elastomeric material and is receivable in the wheels' guiding channels 46,48 to maintain lateral registry therewith. The longitudinally separated alignment members 84, better illustrated in FIG. 1, each have a pair of opposed, generally laterally facing locating surfaces 134 and a tip surface 136. Each locating surface 134 has a base portion 138 and an inner portion 140 which have respective exemplary angles of inclination 97 of approximately 94° and 110° relative to the laterally adjacent portion of the interior surface 38. The locating surfaces' base portions 138 are radially co-extensive with the guide channel's base portions 96. By way of example, the height of the base 138 and inner 140 portions perpendicular to the interior surface 38 are about 5 and 10.2 centimeters, respectively. Each alignment member 84 has, by way of example, a lateral width 141 of about 11.2 centimeters and a longitudinal length of about 15.2 centimeters. The cleats 80 are attached to the exterior surface 40 of the belt body portion 130 and extend exteriorly therefrom.

A belt reinforcing structure includes at least one inextensible reinforcing filament 142 which is wrapped longitudinally in the body portion 130 from one lateral side 126 thereof to the other lateral side 128 such that when the belt 122 is installed on the wheel structures 24,26, each circumferential wrap or turn 143 of the filament 142 is substantially parallel to the chassis' longitudinal axis 14. The reinforcing filament is interiorly disposed within the body portion 130 and a pair of breaker plies 144,146, well-known in the art and constituting part of the reinforcing structure, are arranged in the body portion between the central plane 132 and the reinforcing filament 142. The breaker ply 144 adjacent the reinforcing filament is laterally more narrow than is the lateral extent of the filament wraps 143. The breaker ply 146 disposed adjacent the central plane 132 is, in turn, laterally more narrow than the other breaker ply 144. Each breaker ply 144,146 has stiffening fibers therein which are preferably arranged at 90° to the stiffening fibers in the adjacent breaker ply 144,146 and, in the installed position of the belt on the vehicle, are preferably oriented at 45° relative to the chassis' longitudinal axis 14. The reinforcing structure includes a plurality of longitudinally separated, laterally extending reinforcing elements 148 which are arranged in the body portion 130 on the opposite side of the central plane 132 from the reinforcing filament 142 and breaker plies 144,146.

The reinforcing filament 142 provides the belt 36 with its longitudinally inextensible yet flexible character which is necessary to resist undesired stretching of the belt 36 when it is subjected to the tension force necessary to frictionally couple it to the entrained driver wheel structure 44. Such

longitudinal reinforcement allows, however, sufficient belt flexibility to readily conform to the outer peripheries 32,34 of the wheel structures 24,26 without diverting undue amounts of power from the vehicle's engine 22 for longitudinally conforming the belt to the wheel structure's outer peripheries. The bias plies 144,146 provide lateral stiffness to the belt 122 while the lateral reinforcing elements 148 provide transverse stiffness to the belt 122 which are respectively necessary to resist the exemplary deformations depicted in FIGS. 11A and 11B of a schematically illustrated belt. The lateral belt stiffness resists "snaking" as illustrated in FIG. 11A and cooperates with the wheel structures 24,26 in maintaining lateral registry therewith by resisting side loads imposed by the vehicle's chassis. The transverse stiffness provided by the reinforcing structure's lateral reinforcing elements 148 resists transversely imposed forces as illustrated in FIG. 11B, tends to promote the correct orientation of the belts' guide structure 82 for suitable reception in the guide channels 46,48, and contributes to maintaining the lateral registry between the belt 122 and wheel structures 24,26. Without such transverse stiffness, the belts 36 could assume the configuration illustrated in 11B causing the alignment members 84 to cock as shown and thus promote disengagement of the guide structure 82 from its guide channels 46,48 and, thus, disengagement of the belt 36 from the associated wheel structures.

An alternate belt structure 124, illustrated in FIG. 5, has an interior surface 38, an exterior surface 40, and opposed lateral edges 126,128 which respectively engage the wheel structures' outer peripheries 32,34, the underlying terrain, and laterally bound the interior 38 and exterior 40 surfaces. The alternate belt structure 124 has a body portion 130 which is defined by the interior 38 and exterior 40 surfaces and the lateral edges 126,128 and has a central plane 132. The alternate belt has a reinforcement structure which includes at least one reinforcing filament 142 similar to that of FIG. 4 which is wrapped in the body portion 130 in a manner and location substantially identical to that of FIG. 4. A pair of breaker plies 144,146, of similar structure and orientation to the breaker plies of FIG. 4, constitute a portion of the reinforcement structure and are arranged between the reinforcing filament 142 and the belts' exterior surface 40 in a similar manner to those of FIG. 4. The reinforcement structure of the alternate belt construction 124 lacks the reinforcing elements 148 of FIG. 4 but, for some applications, provides a satisfactory extent of transverse rigidity. The guide structure 82 of FIG. 5 is identical to that of FIG. 4 and is joined to the alternate belt 124 in a manner and location identical to that of the preferred belt 122. It is to be understood that the longitudinal filament 142 is illustrated by example only and that more filaments could be wrapped in parallel or that multiple filaments could be wrapped in an end-to-end manner rather than each filament 142 being continuous for the entire belt.

FIG. 3 illustrates a partial cutaway view of a portion of the propulsion system 16. The center portion of the top belt run has been removed to expose the cooperative arrangement of the belt 36 and entrained wheel structures 24,26. The front 26 and rear 24 wheel structures have respective mid circumferential planes 107,93 which are preferably aligned along a common longitudinal path 150 which is parallel to the chassis' longitudinal axis 14. The circumferential guiding channels 46,48 on the rear and front wheel structures lie along the path 150 so as to promote entry therein of the belt's guide structure 82.

As best illustrated in FIGS. 1 and 3, the roller support-system 42 distributes a portion of the weight and load

imposed on the vehicle frame **18** to the belt's interior surface **38** longitudinally between the entrained wheel structures **24,26**. The roller support system **42** includes a mounting structure **152** which is pivotally connected to the frame **18** about a mounting axis **154**, a leading **156** and a trailing **158** support arm connected to the mounting structure **152** and adapted to rotate about the mounting axis **154**, a leading **160** and a trailing **162** connection structure which are respectively pivotally mounted on the leading **156** and trailing **158** support arms, two pair of roller structures **164,165** which are rigid in all directions and which are respectively rotatably mounted on the connection structures **160,162**, and a force reaction structure **166** for biasing either support arm **156,158** increasingly toward the belt's interior surface **38** in response to the belt's interior surface **38** being increasingly biased toward the other support arm **156,158**. The biasing structure **166** includes a force transfer member **168** which is preferably pivotally connected to the mounting structure **152** about the mounting axis **154** and a resilient structure **170** such as rubber springs or inflatable air bags arranged between the force transfer member **168** and each support arm **156,158** for biasingly transmitting forces exerted on the force transfer member **168** by one support arm to the other support arm. Each roller structure **164** constitutes a pair of laterally separated roller elements **172** which are rollingly engaged with the belt's interior surface **38** on the lower belt run. The separation distance between laterally adjacent roller elements **172** constitutes a guide slot **174** which is laterally aligned with the associated circumferential guide channels **46,48**. The belts' guide structures **82** longitudinally-traverse the guide path formed by the wheel structures' circumferential guide channels **46,48** and the roller structures' guide slots **174**. By virtue of the guide structures' residence in the guide slots and channels, lateral registry of the belt **36** with the associated wheel structures **24,26** and roller structures **164,165** is assured.

Frictional coupling of the drive wheel **44** structure and entraining belt **36** requires biasing the belt into engagement with the driver wheel structure **44** with a normal force which, when multiplied by the coefficient of friction therebetween, is at least as great as the force which the engine **22** can exert on the ground through the belt **36** if a positive drive system was provided. Each belt **36** is tensioned by separating the longitudinally distal portions of the cooperating front **24** and rear **26** wheel structures. Common means for separating such longitudinally distal wheel structure portions include inflating the pneumatic carcasses **112,114** of the entrained wheel structures **24,26** and biasing the cooperating wheel structures **24,26** longitudinally apart either through wheel movement on their mounting axles or longitudinally separating the mounting axles **58,60**. If, as previously described, sufficient recoil capability is provided by one pneumatic wheel structure such as **54** in each cooperating pair **24,26**, the front wheels' pneumatic carcasses **112,114** can provide such recoil as well as tensioning the belts **36**.

If, however, pneumatic wheel structures such as **54** provide insufficient recoil capability or both cooperating wheel structures **24,26** need be rigid, additional recoil capability becomes necessary. Accordingly, in addition to the pneumatic front wheel structure **54**, a recoil/tensioning apparatus **176** was separately provided and is shown in FIG. **10**. The front axle **60** of the exemplary work vehicle **10** is pivotally mounted on the frame **18** through a sliding spherical bearing **178** about a pivot pin **180** which defines a longitudinal pivot axis **182** which is parallel to the chassis' longitudinal axis **14**. The front axle **60** includes a frame mounted base portion

**184** and two extension portions **186** which are each pivotally mounted at an intermediate region thereof to the base portion **184** about an adjustment pin **188**. Only one extension portion **186** is shown since the extension portions are identical and are mounted on opposite lateral ends of the base portion **184**. Each axle extension portion **186** has a laterally outwardly protruding wheel mounting region **190** on which a front wheel structure **26** is mounted and an adjustment region **192** which protrudes inwardly and is connected to a "toe in-toe out" apparatus **194** for adjusting the orientation of the front wheel structures' mid circumferential plane **107**. A strut **196** for tensioning the belt **36** connects the axle **60** at the adjustment pin **188** to a foundation member **198**. The adjusting apparatus **194** includes a screw bolt **200** which threadably joins the axle adjustment region **192** to the strut **196**.

A pair of hydraulic cylinders **202** each have a rod end **204** and a head end **206** which are respectively connected to the foundation member **198** and a thrust block **208** which is longitudinally slideable on the foundation member **198**. A retainer **210** is positioned vertically adjacent the thrust block **208**, extends laterally adjacent the foundation member **198**, and is joined to the thrust block **208** by a screw bolt **212**. Four retainer/screw bolt combinations **210,212**, one above and one below at each lateral end of the thrust block **208**, are utilized with the present invention. The thrust block **208** is pivotally mounted on the frame **18** by a swivel pin **214** which is coaxial with the pivot pin axis **182**. To accommodate applications where insufficient recoil is provided by one or more pneumatic wheel structures such as **54**, where the wheel structures and/or axles cannot be accurately located or precisely oriented to ensure accurate correlation between inflation pressure and the desired engagement pressure, or where the inextensible belt **36** cannot be installed or removed from the entrained wheel structures **24,26** simply by deflating the pneumatic wheels due to interference of the guide structure **82** with such deflated pneumatic wheels, it is believed to be more effective, less costly, and operationally of greater practicality to provide a separate mechanism such as the present invention's axle movement/belt tensioning system **176**. Such tensioning system provides a substantial contribution to enabling debris ingestion without damaging vehicle components.

The alignment members **84** sequentially pass through an alignment phase and a load carrying phase during their residence or partial residence in the wheel structures' guide channels **46,48** and the roller structures' guide slot **174**. The alignment phase begins when the inner locating surface portions **140** of the alignment members and the base positioning surface portions **50,52** move into lateral adjacent relationship. Lateral alignment of the wheel or roller structures and the alignment members **84** is provided by the progressive entry of the alignment members **84** into the guide channels **46,48** and guide slot **174**. If misaligned, the appropriate base positioning surface portion **50,52** serially engages the adjacent inner **140** and base **138** positioning surface portions to initially induce lateral deformation of the alignment members **84** which deformation decreases with increasing entry to cause relative lateral displacement of the alignment members **84** and the wheel or roller structures. The load carrying phase begins upon complete entry of the alignment members **84** in the guide channels **46,48** and slot **174** and continues until alignment members **84** exit therefrom. Discussion herein of the guide structure **82**'s interaction with the wheel and roller structures is limited to the load carrying phase of engagement therebetween.

FIGS. **12A, 12B, and 12C** illustrate cross-sectional views of the relative configuration of the preferred driver wheel

## 13

structure **85** and the entraining belt **36** for increasing degrees of side force exerted by the wheel structure **85** on the belt **36**. FIG. **12A** illustrates the engaged wheel structure and entraining belt for linear movement of the vehicle **10** on terrain having no side slope. There is a running clearance at the outer periphery **32** of the wheel structure **85** between laterally adjacent locating **134** and positioning **50,52** surfaces of approximately 0.3 centimeters. FIG. **12B** illustrates the belt/entrained drive wheel structure **85** when the vehicle **10** is operated on a side slope or is making a turn. The respective base portions **138** and **96** of the guide structure's left locating surface and the wheel structure's right positioning surface **50** deform to provide surface engagement therebetween. The deformation illustrated in FIG. **12B** is characteristic for most side hill conditions or vehicle turns and constitutes a radial distance of engagement therebetween of approximately 2½% of the wheel's diameter. FIG. **12C** illustrates the belt/entrained driver wheel structure **85** when the utilizing vehicle **10** is making a turn on a steep side slope. The base portions **138,96** of adjacent left locating and right positioning surfaces have fully engaged but such surface engagement remains near the wheel structures' outer periphery since the lateral engagement area therebetween is within 5% of the wheel structures' outer periphery.

FIG. **13** is a graphical representation of the lateral loads which are supported by the guide structure **82** as a function of the guide structure's deformation. Numbers have not been placed on FIG. **13** because the load and deformation magnitudes are a function of the vehicle weight, the material characteristics of the drive wheel and entraining belt, and the relative size of the engageable positioning and locating surfaces. FIG. **13** is instructive, however, for purposes of noting the trend in guide structure/driver wheel deformation for increasing load. The configuration of FIG. **12A** operates in the region designated **12A** on FIG. **13** where there is no load and no deformation. The configuration illustrated in FIG. **12B** operates at the point designated **12B** on FIG. **13** where some limited locating surface/positioning surface deformation has been sustained in resisting the side load. The belt/driver wheel configuration illustrated in FIG. **12C** occurs for the deflection and load indicated on FIG. **13** by the reference numeral **12C**. For the configuration of FIG. **12C**, the base portions of the elastomeric locating **134** and positioning **50** surfaces have become completely engaged and any more load exerted thereon will be resisted at a higher rate and lower deformation since the location surface's inner portion **140** will thereafter increasingly engage the inner portion **98** of the rigid drum's positioning surface **50**. Such increased load acceptance for a given deformation is graphically represented by the relatively steeper slope on the load/deformation curve of FIG. **13** for loads and deformations greater than those corresponding to the point marked **12C**.

Maintaining the friction couple between the driver wheel structures and associated belts minimizes the relative motion and reduces wear thereof. Insofar as engagement therebetween is limited to the radially facing driver wheel and belt surfaces, the wear problem does not exist. Maintaining lateral registry of the driver wheel **44** and belt **36** when lateral loads are exerted on either necessitates engagement between lateral surfaces of both. Such lateral surface engagement results in relative motion between the wheel and belt at the points on the wheel where the belt initially engages and disengages therewith. Between such points the lateral engaging surfaces either have no relative motion or are not engaged. At such points, however, the belt is moving in a linear mode while the mating wheel is rotating and

## 14

relative motion between the laterally engaging surfaces is unavoidable. Increasing relative motion results at increasing radial distances from the wheel's outer peripheral surface **32**. It is, accordingly, necessary to minimize the radial extent of lateral surface engagement and still provide lateral guiding therebetween. The belt's alignment members **84** are laterally tapered in a convergent manner such that their locating surfaces **134** diverge from the adjacent positioning surfaces **50,52** to minimize the lateral surface contact therebetween but are not tapered to such an extent that the driver wheel **44** can easily "walk up" the side thereof and unbelt itself. Multiple alignment members **84** are used rather than a continuous member to avoid the elevated levels of compression on the innermost fibers thereof during belt conformance around the entrained wheel structures.

The bond between the elastomeric alignment members **84** and each interior surface **38** has been found to be more durable in operation than the bond formed between elastomeric belt bodies and metal guides partially encased therein and partially protruding therefrom. Inasmuch as vehicle mobility and maneuverability is directly affected by the guiding interaction between the alignment members **84** and the wheel structures, the reliability of the alignment member-belt bond is of critical importance to the operation of the present invention.

The lateral most passes of the belt's longitudinal filament(s) **142** are arranged laterally beyond the driver wheel's outer peripheral surface **32** with which the belt is engaged as illustrated in FIGS. **12A**, **12B**, and **12C**. The purpose of such disposition is to lower the stresses imposed on those laterally outermost filaments when extreme amounts of debris are ingested between the belt **36** and wheels **24,26**. Such outer filaments experience the highest stress levels because ingested debris typically has a wedge shaped cross section with the greatest thickness being at the lateral extremes of the belt **36**. In the illustrated embodiment the driver wheel **44** is laterally tapered at its outer periphery **32**, but an equally effective solution to such problem is to laterally extend the belt and longitudinal filaments beyond the lateral edges of untapered driver wheel structures. Both such arrangements contribute toward the goal of accommodating debris ingestion without damaging propulsion system components.

The data presented in FIG. **15** illustrates the projected relative mean efficiencies of 4-wheel drive agricultural tractors and the present invention belted vehicle **10** in four different soils/soil conditions. Efficiency is defined as the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of the vehicle's drawbar horsepower divided by engine horsepower. Mean efficiency is the average of the vehicle's peak efficiency and the efficiency corresponding to a pulling force 10% less than that exerted at the peak efficiency. Such mean efficiency is considered representative of the actual way an agricultural tractor is used. While it is to be understood that soils and their conditions constitute a continuum based on many factors such as moisture, ground compaction, etc. rather than the discrete classifications shown, such classifications are useful for illustrating the relative vehicular performance for the acreage segments in the United States now tilled with 4-wheel drive vehicles. Soils in other parts of the world exhibit similar characteristics and can be generally categorized into the illustrated classifications, but the existing percentage of each soil/soil condition classification, worldwide, is unknown. The belted vehicle's advantage, however, for the illustrated soil/soil condition classifications remain 8.0%, 11.0%, 27.0%, and 56.0%.

The firm, strong classification is generally represented by Midwest soil, refers to the soil's high resistance to vehicular

15

sinking and high shear strength, and constitutes about 35% of the U.S. acres presently tilled by 4-wheel drive vehicles. The firm, weak classification generally represents Southwest soil, refers to the soil's high resistance to vehicular sinking and low shear strength and constitutes about 30% of the U.S. acres now tilled with 4-wheel drive vehicles. The tilled classification is generally representative of any farmed soil which has already been plowed or otherwise tilled and constitutes about 25% of the 4-wheel drive-tilled acres in the U.S. The soft, weak classification is generally represented by any soil which is wet and loose, refers to the soil's low resistance to vehicular sinking and low shear strength, and makes up about 10% of the acres presently tilled in the U.S. by 4-wheel drive vehicles. The belted vehicle's advantage varies from 8.0% in firm, strong soil to 56% in soft, weak soil. In general, the softer and looser the soil, the greater will be the belted vehicle's advantage.

These projections are based on data gathered during experimental, side-by-side testing in a variety of soils and soil conditions of a 4-wheel drive agricultural tractor weighing about 14,900 Kg and having a nominal engine rating of 260 Kw and the belted vehicle weighing about 10,900 Kg and having a nominal engine rating of 180 Kw. The final results of one series of tests showed the belted vehicle to have tilled only 4% less ground in the same time period while consuming 26% less fuel than the 4-wheel drive tractor. Insofar as the subject belted vehicle engaged in the testing was an experimental prototype, the results, while dramatic, can be further improved.

Propulsion system "slip percentage" is defined as the following ratio expressed as a percentage:  $100 - [(velocity\ of\ the\ vehicle) / (velocity\ of\ the\ propulsion\ system's\ ground\ engaging\ portion)]$ . The maximum pull/weight ratio of 4-wheel drive vehicles varies with soil conditions, vehicle balance, load characteristic, etc., but generally corresponds to a slip percentage averaging about 20–40%, as compared to the belted vehicle, whose maximum pull/weight ratio generally corresponds to a slip percentage of about 8–15%. FIG. 16 diagrammatically illustrates a representative set of curves which show the belted vehicle developing its maximum pull/weight ratio at a substantially lower slip percentage than does the 4-wheel drive vehicle. Of course, higher rates of slip result in higher wear rates of all drive components, but most especially the ground engaging portions.

The belted and 4-wheel drive tractors exerted approximate respective ground pressures of 3.45 Newtons per square centimeter and 10.3 Newtons per square centimeter. While it is well-known that crops often grow faster in soils having little compaction as compared to soils having greater compaction, one agriculturist actually observed that crops grown in soil tilled by the belted vehicle grew faster than crops grown in soil tilled by the higher powered, heavier 4-wheel drive tractor.

Vehicle operators reported a smoother ride from the belted vehicle 10 as compared to the wheel tractor which improvement manifests itself in comparatively improved operator performance as the time of operation increases. The ride improvement is also indicative of reduced maintenance requirements of chassis mounted components since those components are isolated from impact loads which commonly occur in traversing uneven terrain.

It should now be apparent that an elastomeric belt laying vehicle 10 has been provided which traverses improved road surfaces at high speed without inflicting damage, which has superior tractive effort and low unit ground pressure as compared with comparably powered wheel vehicles, and

16

which provides improved ride characteristics as compared with wheeled vehicles used in comparable conditions.

We claim:

[1. A belt laying work vehicle comprising:

a frame having opposed lateral sides;

a pair of longitudinally separated wheel structures having radially outwardly facing outer peripheral surfaces and being mounted in supporting relation to said frame on each lateral side thereof and at least one of each pair including a driver;

a pair of endless, inextensible belts each having opposite lateral sides and an interior surface and each being entrained about a respective pair of said wheel structures, a plurality of laterally extending grooves being disposed on one of said interior surface and said outer peripheral surface of each belt and entrained driver, respectively, for removing debris from therebetween;

means for controllably tensioning and urging each belt's interior surface and said outer peripheral surface of the associated driver into frictional driven engagement;

means for distributing a predetermined portion of the weight of said frame to the interior surface of each belt longitudinally between said wheel structures of each pair;

means for rotating each of said drivers;

guiding means including interengaging portions of said wheel structures and entraining belt for minimizing lateral movement of each belt relative to the respective entrained wheel structures and for radially localizing lateral engagement of each belt and the respective entrained driver to locations substantially adjacent said outer peripheral surface; and

said driver wheel structure having a circumference which is maintained substantially constant during rotation and under driving load.]

[2. A belt laying vehicle, as set forth in claim 1, wherein said weight distribution means includes;

a mounting structure connected to said frame;

a first and a second support arm pivotally connected to said mounting structure about a pivot axis;

a first and a second roller structure being respectively rotatably joined to said first and second support arms; and

means for biasingly transmitting forces exerted on one of said support arms by the roller structure joined thereto to the other roller structure through said other support arm.]

[3. A belt laying vehicle, as set forth in claim 2, wherein said guiding means includes a plurality of longitudinally separated alignment members, and each of said roller structures includes a pair of laterally separated rollers which define a guiding slot adapted for receiving said alignment members.]

[4. A belt laying vehicle, as set forth in claim 3, wherein each of said alignment members has a locating surface and is connected to and extends interiorly from a respective belt, and a positioning surface connected to each wheel structure and positioned laterally adjacent said locating surfaces.]

[5. A belt laying vehicle, as set forth in claim 2, wherein said means for biasingly transmitting forces includes a force transfer member pivotally connected to said mounting structure and a resilient structure arranged between said force transfer member and each support arm.]

17

**[6. A belt laying work vehicle comprising:**

a frame having opposed lateral sides;

a pair of longitudinally separated wheel structures being mounted in supporting relation to said frame on each lateral side thereof and at least one of each pair including a driver having a laterally rigid drum and a radially thin layer of elastomer joined directly to said drum, each elastomer layer having a radially outwardly facing outer peripheral surface;

a pair of endless, inextensible belts each having opposite lateral sides and an interior surface and each being entrained about a respective pair of said wheel structures;

means for controllably tensioning and urging each belt's interior surface and said outer peripheral surface of the associated driver into frictional driven engagement;

means for rotating each of said drivers;

guiding means including interengaging portions of said wheel structures and entraining belt for minimizing lateral movement of each belt relative to the respective entrained wheel structures and for radially localizing lateral engagement of each belt and the respective entrained driver to locations substantially adjacent said outer peripheral surface; and

said driver wheel structure having a circumference which is maintained substantially constant during rotation and under driving load.]

**[7. The belt laying vehicle of claim 6, including means for distributing a predetermined fraction of the weight of said frame to the interior surface of each belt longitudinally between said wheel structures of each pair.]**

**[8. The belt laying vehicle of claim 7, wherein said weight distribution means includes:**

a mounting structure connected to said frame;

a first and second arm pivotally connected to said mounting structure about a pivot axis;

a first and a second roller structure being respectively rotatably joined to said first and second arms; and

means for biasingly transmitting forces exerted on one of said arms by the roller structure joined thereto to the other roller structure through said other arm.]

**[9. The belt laying vehicle of claim 8, wherein each of said roller structures includes a pair of laterally separated rollers which define a guiding slot adapted for guidingly receiving said guide means' enterengaging belt portion.]**

**[10. A belt laying work vehicle comprising:**

a frame having opposed lateral sides;

a pair of longitudinally separated wheel structures having radially outwardly facing outer peripheral surfaces and being mounted in supporting relation to said frame on each lateral side thereof and at least one of each pair including a driver;

a pair of endless, inextensible belts each being entrained about a respective pair of said wheel structures and including a body portion bounded by opposed interior and exterior surfaces and opposed lateral sides, said body portion having reinforcing structure disposed therein between said lateral sides, a plurality of laterally extending grooves being disposed on one of said interior surface and said outer peripheral surface of each belt and entrained driver, respectively;

means for controllably tensioning and urging each belt's interior surface and said outer peripheral surface of the associated driver into frictional driven engagement;

18

means for rotating each of said drivers;

a plurality of longitudinally separated elastomeric alignment members joined to the interior surfaces laterally between the lateral extremes of said reinforcing structure, said alignment members being laterally engageable with said wheel structures; and

said drive wheel structure having a circumference which is maintained substantially constant during rotating and under driving load.]

**11. A belt laying heavy-duty work vehicle, comprising:**

*a frame having opposed lateral sides;*

*a set of longitudinally separated wheel structures being mounted in supporting relation to said frame on each lateral side thereof, each of said set of wheel structures including a pair of wheels, said wheels having radially outwardly facing outer peripheral surfaces, at least one of said pair of wheels being a driver;*

*a pair of endless, inextensible, reinforced belts each being entrained about a respective one of said pair of wheel structures and including a body portion bounded by opposed interior and exterior surfaces and opposed lateral sides;*

*a tensioning apparatus including at least one hydraulic cylinder operatively associated with each set of wheel structures whereby each set of wheel structures may be longitudinally separated to frictionally couple the interior surface of each of said pair of inextensible reinforced belts to each of said outer peripheral surfaces;*

*a set of rollers carried between each of said longitudinally separated wheel structures and disposed to engage the interior surface of each belt;*

*an engine operatively connected to each of said drivers;*

*a plurality of longitudinally separated elastomeric alignment members joined to the interior surfaces laterally between the lateral extremes of said body portions, said alignment members being laterally engageable with said wheel structures; and*

*each driver having a circumference which is maintained substantially constant during rotation under driving loads.*

**12. The belt laying work vehicle of claim 11 wherein said tensioning apparatus applies a tension force necessary to frictionally couple said endless, inextensible belts and said respective drivers.**

**13. The belt laying work vehicle of claim 12 wherein said tension force, when multiplied by the coefficient of friction between the drive wheel structure and the belt's, is at least as great as the predetermined driving force which the engine can exert on the ground through the belts.**

**14. The belt laying work vehicle of claim 12 wherein said pair of endless, inextensible belts have a width and said tension force is about 17,000 Newtons per lateral centimeter of belt width.**

**15. The belt laying work vehicle of claim 11 wherein said tensioning apparatus is arranged to move each of said other wheels longitudinally away from its respective driver.**

**16. The belt laying work vehicle of claim 11 wherein said tensioning apparatus includes a recoil apparatus.**

**17. The belt laying heavy-duty work vehicle of claim 11 further including a plurality of laterally extending grooves being disposed on one of said interior surface and said radially outwardly facing outer peripheral surface of each belt and each of said driver respectively.**

**18. An agricultural tractor, comprising:**

*a frame and an engine supported on the frame;*

19

two pairs of longitudinally spaced wheels, apparatus  
 mounting one pair of wheels on one side of the frame  
 and the other pair on the other side, at least one of each  
 pair including a driver driven by the engine, each  
 wheel having a generally circumferential outer periph- 5  
 eral surface, and each driver being so constructed and  
 arranged that its circumference is maintained substan-  
 tially constant during rotation under driving loads;  
 first and second endless, substantially inextensible, rein- 10  
 forced belts each having an interior surface and each  
 being entrained about a respective pair of the wheels;  
 a plurality of longitudinally spaced rollers located  
 between the wheels of each pair and engaged with the  
 interior surface of the respective belt;  
 a plurality of longitudinally separated elastomeric align- 15  
 ment members joined to the interior surfaces of the first  
 and second endless substantially inextensible rein-

20

forced belts, said alignment members being laterally  
 engagable with said wheel structure; and  
 a tensioning apparatus including a pair of hydraulic  
 cylinders each having a head end and a rod end and  
 each operatively associated with a respective pair of  
 wheels and their entrained belt to frictionally couple  
 the inner surface of the substantially inextensible rein-  
 forced belts to the outer peripheral surface of said pair  
 of wheels.  
 19. The agricultural tractor of claim 18 further including  
 a plurality of laterally extending grooves being disposed on  
 one of said interior surface and said generally circumfer-  
 ential outer peripheral surface of each belt and each of said  
 drivers respectively.

\* \* \* \* \*