

US00RE38507E

(19) United States

(12) Reissued Patent

Olesen

(10) Patent Number: US RE38,507 E

(45) Date of Reissued Patent: Apr. 27, 2004

(54) ANTISTALING PROCESS AND AGENT

(75) Inventor: **Tine Olesen**, Bagsvaerd (DK)

(73) Assignee: Novozymes A/S, Bagsvaerd (DK)

(21) Appl. No.: 10/185,934

- (22) PCT Filed: Sep. 26, 1990
- (86) PCT No.: PCT/DK90/00244

§ 371 (c)(1),

(2), (4) Date: Jan. 30, 1992

(87) PCT Pub. No.: WO91/04669

PCT Pub. Date: Apr. 18, 1991

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: 6,197,352
Issued: Mar. 6, 2001
Appl. No.: 07/828,921
Filed: Jan. 30, 1992

(30) Foreign Application Priority Data

Sep.	27, 1989 (DK)	
(51)	Int. Cl. ⁷	
(52)	U.S. Cl	
, ,		426/63; 426/549; 426/64; 426/19
(58)	Field of Search	
		426/321, 549, 64, 61, 62, 63

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2.615.910 A	10/1052	Stone
2,615,810 A	10/1952	
3,249,512 A	5/1966	Bode
4,106,991 A	* 8/1978	Markussen et al 435/187
4,160,848 A	7/1979	Vidal et al 426/24
4,299,848 A	11/1981	De Stefanis 426/20
4,454,161 A	6/1984	Okada et al 426/48
4,560,651 A	12/1985	Nielsen et al 435/95
4,598,048 A	7/1986	Diderichsen et al 435/172.3
4,604,355 A	8/1986	Outtrup 435/95
4,647,538 A	3/1987	Zeikus et al 435/201
4,654,216 A	3/1987	Carroll et al 426/20
4,661,452 A	* 4/1987	Markussen et al 435/187
4,990,343 A	2/1991	Haarasilta et al 426/10
5,023,094 A	6/1991	Van Eijk 426/20
5,082,781 A	1/1992	Yamagata et al 435/201
5,188,956 A	2/1993	Nanmori et al 435/200
5,209,938 A	5/1993	Kraus et al 426/20
6,197,352 B1	3/2001	Olesen 426/20

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

A21D/13/02
A21D/8/04
A23L/1/10
A23L/1/10
A23L/1/10
C12N/9/26
A21D/8/04
A21D/8/04

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Vihinen, M. 1989. Microbial Amylolytic Enzymes. Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 24(4)329–416.*

Bechtel, W. G. 1959. Cereal Chemistry 36:368.*

Schultz, A. S. et al. 1952. Cereal Chemistry 29:200.*

Miller, B. S. et al 1953. Food Technology 7:35.*

Lin, Wei 1983. Changes in Carbohydrate Fractions in Enzyme Supplemented Bread and Potential Relationship to Staling. PhD Thesis, The Pennsylvania State University.*

Vihinen, M. et al., Microbial Amylolytic Enzymes, Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, vol. 24, Issue 4, 1989, pp. 329–418.

Outtrup, H. et al., Properties and Application of a Thermostable Maltogenic Amylase Produced by a Strain of Bacillus Modified by Recombinant–DNA Techniques, Starke 36:12(5), pp. 405–411.

Product Information: Novo Enzymes—Promozyme; Novo Industri A/S, Enzymes Division, Novo Allé, DK–2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark.

Srivastava, R.A.K., Studies on extracellular and intracellular purified amylases from a thermophilic *Bacillus stearother-mophilus*, Enzyme Microb. Technol., 1984, vol. 6, Sep., pp. 422–426.

Fevold, H., Classification, Purification and Isolation of Proteins, pp. 256–311, in "Amino Acids and Proteins" D. M. Greenberg, ed. Charles C. Thomas, Springfield, Ill. 1951.

Technical Information: Miles Enzyme Products—Diazyme L–200—Fungal Glucoamylase for Starch Hydrolysis, Miles Laboratories, Inc., Enzymes Products, P.O. Box 932, Elkhart IN 46515.

Product information: Enzymes from Miles—Clarase—Fungal Alpha—Amylase for Starch Hydrolysis, 1985, Miles Laboratories, Inc., Biotech Products Division, Elkhart, IN 46515.

Axford, D.W.E. et al., Effect of Loaf Specific Volume on the Rate and Extent of Staling in Bread, J.SCI. FD. AGRIC., 1968, vol. 19, Feb., pp. 95–101.

Anderson, J. Ansel, Enzymes and Their Role in Wheat Technology, Interscience Publishers (For America Association of Cereal Chemists) New York, 1946.

Stauffer, C. Ph.D., Enzyme Assays for Food Scientists, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, pp. 162–186. (1989).

Dubois, D. K., Enzymes in Baking—I. Classification, AIB Research Department Technical Bulletin, vol. II, Issue 10, Oct. 1980.

Dubois, D. K., Enzymes in Baking—II. Applications, AIB Research Department Technical Bulletin, vol. II, Issue 11, Nov. 1980.

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Carolyn Paden

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Elias J. Lambris; Jason I. Garbell

(57) ABSTRACT

The staling of leavened baked products such as bread is retarded by adding an enzyme with exoamylase activity to the flour or dough used for producing the baked product in question.

104 Claims, No Drawings

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dubois, D.K., Enzymes in Baking—III. Products, AIB Research Department Technical Bulletin, vol. II, Issue 12, Dec. 1980.

Daniels et al., Physical Chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, 1955, pp. 320-323; 340-343.

Rosendal, P. et al., Stability of Bacterial alpha–Amylase in the Starch Liquefaction Process, Starch/Stärke 31 (1979) Nr. 11, S. pp. 368–372.

Boyer, P.D. editor, The Enzymes—Vol. V.—Hydrolysis, Sulfate Ester, Carboxyl Esters, Glycosides—Hydration, Third Edition, 1971, pp. 191–205.

Brown, S.H. et al., Characterization of Amylolytic Enzyme Activities Associated with the Hyperthermophilic Archaebacterium *Pyrococcus furiosus*, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, vol. 56, No. 7, Jul. 1990, pp. 1985–1991.

International Conference—Thermophiles: Science and Technology, Reykjavik, Iceland, Aug. 23–26, 1992, Conference Programme and Abstract Book, IceTec, Reykjavik 1992, pp. 110.

Rudiger, A. et al., Optimization of growth and enzyme production of the extreme thermophilic archaeon *Pyrococcus woesei*, Dechema Biotechnology Conferences 5, vol. 5, Part A, 1992, pp. 151–153.

Saha, B. C. et al., Purification and characterization of a highly thermostable novel pullulanase from *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum*, Biochem. J. (1988) vol. 252, pp. 343–348.

Saha, B. C. et al., Characterization of an endo-Acting Amylopullulanase from Thermoanaerobacter Strain B6A, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Apr. 1990, vol. 56, No. 4, pp. 881–886.

Melasniemi, H., Purification and some properties of the extracellular α-amylase-pullulanase produced by *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum*, Biochem. J. (1988) vol. 250, pp. 813–818.

Dubois, D. K., White, Whole Wheat, Wheat, and Multigrain Bread—A Survey of Formulas and Processes, AIB Research Department Technical Bulletin, vol. IX, Issue 2, Feb., 1987. Dubois, D. K., Milk Bread and Rolls Formula and Processing Techniques, AIB Research Department Technical Bulletin, vol. V, No. 6, Jun., 1983.

Pyler, E.J., Baking Science & Technology, Third Edition, vol II, 1988, Sosland Publishing Co., Kansas City MO., pp. 744–745; 773.

Whitaker, J.R., Effect of Temperature on Rates of Enzyme-Catalyzed Reactions, Principles of Enzymology for the Food Sciences, Second Edition, pp. 301–305.

Dixon, M. et al., Enzymes, 1958, Academic Press Inc., Publishers, New York, pp. 150–153.

Schoch, T.J. et al., Studies on Bread Staling. I. The Role of Starch, Cereal Chemistry, vol. XXIV, No. 4, Jul. 1947, pp. 231–249.

Baking Update, No. 16, Practical Technology for Bakers from Gist-brocades Food Ingredients, (1994).

Zobel, H.F. et al., The Bread Staling Problem. X-Ray Diffraction Studies on Breads Containing a Cross-Linked Starch and a Heat-Stable Amylase, Cereal Chemistry, American Association of Cereal Chemists, vol. 36, No. 5, Sep., 1959. pp. 442–451.

Every, D., The Relationship of Dextrins in Bread to Staling and Crumb Gumminess, The Royal Australian Chemical Institute Cereal Chemistry Division—42nd RACI Cereal Chemistry Conference, Christchurch, New Zealand, Sep. 7–11, 1992.

Bechtel, W.G., Staling Studies of Bread Made with Flour Fractions. III. Effect of Crumb Moisture and of Tailings Starch, Cereal Chemistry, American Association of Cereal Chemists, vol. XXXI, No. 3, May, 1954, pp. 176–181.

Zeleznak, K. J. et al., The Role of Water in the Retrogradation of Wheat Starch Gels and Bread Crumb, Cereal Chemistry 63(5):407–411, 1986.

Pomeranz, Y. et al., Use of Amyloglucosidase in Breadmaking, Food Technology—Journal of the Institute of Food Technologists, vol. 18, No. 10, Oct. 1964, pp. 138–140.

Pyler, E.J., Baking Sciences & Technology 3rd Edition, vol. 1, 1988, Sosland Publishing Co., Kansas City, MO, pp. 30–32, 132–151.

The American Heritage Dictionary 1982, Second College Edition, p. 893, p. 1245.

Waldt, L., The Problem of Staling, its possible solution, The Bakers Digest, Oct. 1968, pp. 64–66, 73.

Odibo, F.J.C. et al., Purification and characterization of a thermostable pullulanase from *Thermoactinomyces thalpophilus*, Journal of Industrial Microbiology, 3 (1988) 343–350.

Nakamura, N. et al., Characterization of Pullulanase and α–Amylase Activities of a Thermus sp. AMD33, Starch, vol. 41, No. 3, Mar. 1989, pp. 112–117.

Jensen, B. F. et al., Bacillus Acidopullulyticus Pullulanase: Application and Regulatory Aspects for Use in the Food Industry, Process Biochemistry, Apr. 1984, vol. 19, No. 2. Walden, C.C., The Action of Wheat Amylases on Starch Under Conditions of Time and Temperature as They Exist During Baking, Cereal Chemistry, vol. 32, Nos. 1–6, Jan.–Nov., 1955, pp. 421–431.

Webb, E.C., Enzyme Nomenclature 1992, Recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of the Nomenclature and Classification of Enzymes, pp. 346, 355–356.

Windelmann, G., Microbial Degradation of Starch, Microbial Degradation of Natural Products, 1992, pp. 28–45.

Whitaker, John R., Principles of Enzymology for the Food Services, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1972.

Antranikian, G. et al., Production of Thermostable α -Amylase, Pullulanase, and α -Glucosidase in Continuous Culture by a New Clostridium Isolate, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Jul. 1987, p. 1668–1673.

Melaniemi, H., Characterization of α–amylase and pullulanase activities of *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricm*, Biochemical Journal, Aug. 15, 1987, vol. 246, No. 1, pp. 193–197.

Plant, A. et al., Active-site- and substrate-specificity of Thermoanaerobium Tok6-B1 pullulanase, Biochemical Journal, Sep. 1, 1987, vol. 246, No. 2, pp. 537-541.

Kulp, K. et al., Staling of White Pan Bread: Fundamental Causes, CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, Sep. 1981, pp. 1–48.

Saha, B. et al., Novel highly thermostable pullulanse from thermophiles, TIBTECH, Sep. 1989, vol. 7, pp. 234–239. Miller, R. S. et al., A Comparison of Cereal, Fungal, and Bacterial Alpha–Amylases as Supplements for Breadmaking, Food Technology, Jan. 1953, vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 38–42. Jakubczyk, T. et al., Studies on the Application of Some Amylotic Enzymes in Baking, Technologia Rolno–Spozywcza 8, Warszawa 1973, Summary.

^{*} cited by examiner

1

ANTISTALING PROCESS AND AGENT

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions 5 made by reissue.

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates to a process for retarding the staling of bread and similar baked products, as well as an agent for use in the process.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Staling of baked products, principally bread, has been ascribed to certain properties of the starch component of flour. Starch is essentially composed of amylose forming the core of starch granules and amylopectin forming the outer "envelope" of starch granules. Starch suspensions have been observed to retrograde on standing to precipitate the amylose which, by some, has been given as the explanation of the phenomenon of staling. Others have explained staling of bread in terms of the amylopectin chains in starch associating to cause a greater rigidity of the bread crumb which is characteristic of stale bread.

It is generally recognized to be of some commercial importance to retard the staling of baked products so as to improve their shelf-life. Retardation of the staling process may, for instance, be brought about by the addition of monoglycerides to dough. The antistaling effect of the monoglycerides may partly be ascribed to their ability to bind water and partly to the formation of monoglycerideamylose complexes wherein the long hydrocarbon chain penetrates into the cavity of the amylose helix and thereby stabilise the helical structure to prevent retrogradation.

Enzymatic retardation of staling by means of α -amylases has also been described, vide for instance U.S. Pat. No 2,615,810 and U.S. Pat. No. 3,026,205 as well as O. Silberstein, "Heat-Stable Bacterial Alpha-Amylase in Baking", Baker's Digest 38(4), August 1964, pp. 66–70 and 72. The use of α -amylase for retarding the staling of bread has, however, not become widespread. The reason for this is assumed to be that the medium-molecular weight branched compounds, termed maltodextrins (with 20–100 glucose units in the molecule), generated through the hydrolytic action of α -amylases have a sticky consistency in themselves resulting in the formation of a sticky or gummy crumb, and consequently an unacceptable mouthfeel, of the baked product if the α -amylase is overdosed so that the maltodextrins are present in excessive quantities.

It has previously been suggested to remedy the deleterious effects of very large doses of α -amylase added to dough by adding a debranching enzyme such as pullulanase, cf. U.S. Pat. No. 4,654,216, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference. The theory behind the addition of a debranching enzyme to obtain an antistaling effect while concomitantly avoiding the risk of producing a gummy crumb in the resulting bread is that by cleaving off the branched chains of the dextrins generated by α -amylase hydrolysis which cannot be degraded further by the α -amylase, the starch is converted to oligosaccharides which do not cause gumminess.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention represents a different approach to the problem of crumb gumminess likely to result from the 2

excessive use of α -amylase for retarding the staling of bread. Thus, the present invention relies on the use of an enzyme which is capable of retarding the staling of baked products but which does not hydrolyze starch into the abovementioned branched dextrins.

It has surprisingly been found that when the enzyme added to dough used for producing baked products is an exoamylase, an antistaling effect is obtained whereas the formation of a sticky or gummy crumb is substatially avoided except at very high levels of the enzyme which also give rise to other deleterious effects likely to be discovered when the baked products are subjected to quality control.

It was also found that by using exoamylase enzymes one avoids a certain softness and stickiness of the dough which is often encountered when α -amylases, especially fungal α -amylases, are used for antistaling, and especially if the α -amylase has been overdosed, even if only to a mild degree.

Accordingly, the present invention relates to a process for retarding the staling of leavened baked products, which process comprises adding an enzyme with exoamylase activity to flour or dough used for producing said baked products. In the following, this enzyme is usually referred to as an "exoamylase".

In another aspect, the present invention relates to a baked product produced by the present process.

It will often be advantageous to provide the exoamylase in admixture with other ingredients commonly used to improve the properties of baked products. These are commonly known as "pre-mixes" and are employed not only in industrial bread-baking plants/facilities, but also in retail bakeries where they are usually supplied in admixture with flour.

Hence, in a further aspect, the present invention relates to an agent for improving the quality of leavened, in particular yeast leavened, baked products, which agent comprises an enzyme with exoamylase activity in liquid or substantially dry form. For the present purpose, such an agent will be termed a "bread improver" in the following description although it will be understood that it may also be used for addition to other types of leavened baked products such as rolls, certain kinds of cakes, muffins, buns, etc.

DETAILED DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

Exoamylases are enzymes which hydrolyse (1-<4)α-glucosidic linkages in starch (and related polysaccharides) by removing mono- or oligosaccharide units from the nonreducing ends of the polysaccharide chains. The reducing groups liberated from the polysaccharide molecule may be in the α - or β -configuration. Examples of exoamylases which are useful for the present purpose are glucoamylase, β -amylase (which releases maltose in the β -configuration) and maltogenic amylase (which releases maltose in the 55 α-configuration, but in contrast to α-amylases predominantly produces maltotriose and maltotetraose and only minor amounts of higher oligosaccharides). The antistaling effect of adding exoamylase to dough is currently believed to be ascribable to the formation of sugars with a high water retention capacity which makes the baked product in question appear fresh (soft) for longer periods of time (e.g. glucose, maltose, maltotriose and/or maltotetraose), as well as to the modification of the native starch which reduces the tendency to retrogradation. Overdosing with the exoamylase 65 resulting in crumb stickiness is less likely to occur because the formation of branched maltodextrins with 20-100 glucose units to which the stickiness may be ascribed is, if not

completely avoided, at least significantly lower than when using α -amylase.

The use of amylase (primarily α-amylase), invertase and poly-saccharidase, as well as glucosidase (an exoamylase)) is suggested in EP 136 158 and EP 136 159 for the 5 preparation of cookies with a moist crumb structure. Amylase is capable of forming crystallization-resistant sugar, which is able to bind water, from one or more ingredients in the dough resulting in the aforementioned moist crumb when the dough is subsequently baked. The cookies are 10 indicated to be storage-stable.

It appears that the selection of the enzyme according to EP 136 158 and EP 136 159 is made with the object of obtaining a moist crumb structure due to the formation of waterbinding sugars from starch. With this end in view, pregela- 15 tinized starch is added to the dough to facilitate enzymatic hydrolysis into various sugar species. It further appears that the risk of obtaining a gummy crumb in the baked product through addition of too large an amount of a-amylase is not a problem to be avoided, but rather that moistness of the 20 baked crumb is the end result which the inventions disclosed in the above-mentioned EP applications intend to achieve. In fact, α-amylase which is known to produce crumb gumminess in leavened bread even when added in relatively low quantities is the preferred enzyme according to EP 136 159, 25 the branched maltodextrins produced by the α -amylase apparently providing satisfactory moisture characteristics to the cookies produced.

Contrary to this, the object of the present invention is to avoid a sticky or gummy crumb in the baked product. The 30 principal difference between the baked products disclosed in the EP applications and those produced by the present process resides chiefly in the type of dough used to make the respective products. The products made by the present process are leavened which implies that the gluten in the 35 dough which is composed of layers of protein "sheets" joined to bimolecular layers of lipo- and phospholipoproteins is expanded by the carbon dioxide produced by the leavening agent (e.g. yeast) into a thin film which coagulates to a firm structure on heating. Starch serves to make the 40 structure firmer as, on heating, it solidifies within the gluten structure. Thus, when preparing leavened baked products including an amylase enzyme to provide the antistaling effect, care must be taken to select one which results in a hydrolysis product with a good water retention capacity (e.g. 45 maltose, maltotriose and/or maltotetraose) and sufficient modification of the amylase and amylopectin to retard retrogradation so as to provide a longer-lasting softness of the baked product, without, however, excessively affecting the structure of the native starch. This seems to generate a 50 hydrolysis product with a sticky consistency (e.g. the branched maltodextrins with 20–100 glucose units produced by α -amylase) which would tend to impair this structure.

Consistent with the explanation given above, a preferred exoamylase for use in the present process is one which 55 exhibits exoamylase activity at and above the gelation temperature of starch (i.e. about 60–70° C.), as it has been found that the retrogradation of starch and consequently the precipitation of amylose responsible for staling takes place at this temperature. Another reason is that starch hydrolysis 60 is facilitated when the starch is gelatinized such that the swelling of the starch granules caused by their uptake of liquid (water) liberated by the coagulation of gluten loosens the normally tight structure of the starch granules to make them more accessible to enzyme activity. This leads to a 65 hydrolysis of the starch which is sufficient to retard retrogradation and to form adequate amounts of sugar without

4

excessively modifying the native starch, resulting in an improved water retention. Contrary to such a heat-stable exoamylase, cereal β -amylases inherently present in flour exhibit little starch hydrolytic activity in the process of baking as they are inactive at the gelation temperature of starch. It should be noted that the exoamylases will be inactivated later in the baking process, at temperatures above about 90° C. so that substantially no residual exoamylase activity remains in the baked bread.

Preferred exoamylase enzymes are microbial exoamylases as these are easier to produce on a large scale than exoamylases of, for instance, plant origin. An example of a suitable exoamylase is a maltogenic amylase producible by Bacillus strain NCIB 11837, or one encoded by a DNA sequence derived from Bacillus strain NCIB 11837 (the maltogenic amylase is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,598,048 and U.S. Pat. No 4,604,355, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference) This maltogenic amylase is capable of hydrolyzing $1,4-\alpha$ -glucosidic linkages in starch, partially hydrolyzed starch and oligosaccharides (e.g. maltotriose). Maltose units are removed from the nonreducing chain ends in a stepwise manner. The maltose released is in the α -configuration. In the U.S. Patents mentioned above, the maltogenic amylase is indicated to be useful for the production of maltose syrup of a high purity. Another maltogenic amylase which may be used in the present process is a maltogenic β-amylase producible by Bacillus strain NCIB 11608 (disclosed in EP 234 858, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference).

For the present purpose, this maltogenic amylase may be added to flour or dough in an amount of 0.1-10,000 MANU, preferably 1-5000 MANU, more preferably 5-2000 MANU, and most preferably 10-1000 MANU, per kg of flour. One MANU (Maltogenic Amylase Novo Unit) may be defined as the amount of enzyme required to release one μ mol of maltose per minute at a concentration of 10 mg of maltotriose (Sigma M 8378) substrate per ml of 0.1 M citrate buffer, pH 5.0 at 37° C. for 30 minutes.

The dough may be leavened in various ways such as by adding sodium bicarbonate or the like or by adding a leaven (fermenting dough), but it is preferred to leaven the dough by adding a suitable yeast culture such as a culture of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (baker's yeast). Any one of the commercially available S. cerevisiae strains may be employed.

The baked product is generally one made from, or at least containing a certain amount of, wheat flour as such baked products are more susceptible to staling than products made from, for instance, rye flour due to their airier structure. Thus, the baked product may be selected from the group consisting of white bread, whole-meal bread, and bread prepared from mixtures of wheat and rye flour. Of course rolls or the like made from the same type of dough are also included in this definition.

In the present process, the exoamylase enzyme may be added to the dough in the form of a liquid, in particular a stabilized liquid, or it may be added to flour or dough as a substantially dry powder or granulate. Granulates may be produced, e.g. as disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,106,991 and U.S. Pat. No. 4,661,452. Liquid enzyme preparations may, for instance, be stabilized by adding a sugar or sugar alcohol or lactic acid according to established procedures. Other enzyme stablilizers are well-known in the art.

In accordance with established practice in the baking art, one or more other enzymes may be added to the flour or dough. Examples of such enzymes are a-amylase (useful for providing sugars fermentable by yeast although it should only be added in limited quantities, for the reasons given above), pentosanase (useful for the partial hydrolysis of pentosans which increases the extensibility of the dough) or a protease (useful for gluten weakening, in particular when using hard wheat flour).

Also in accordance with established baking practice, one or more emulsifiers may be added to the flour or dough. Emulsifiers serve to improve dough extensibility and may also be of some value for the consistency of the resulting bread, making it easier to slice, as well as for its storage stability, as explained above. Examples of suitable emulsifiers are mono- or diglycerides, polyoxyethylene stearates, diacetyl tartaric acid esters of monoglycerides, sugar esters of fatty acids, propylene glycol esters of fatty acids, polyglycerol esters of fatty acids, lactic acid esters of monoglycerides, acetic acid esters of monoglycerides, lecithin or phospholipids.

When the bread improver of the invention is provided as 25 a substantially dry formulation, it will typically contain the exoamylase in substantially dry form. The enzyme may thus be in the form of a solid powder or granulate which may be prepared in a manner known per se as indicated above. The term "substantially dry formulation" should, in the present context, be understood to mean that the formulation should appear as a dry and free-flowing powder and that the moisture content of the bread improver formulation should not exceed about 15%, and preferably not exceed about 35 10%. When the bread improver is in the form of a semiliquid preparation, the enzyme may also be incorporated in liquid form.

Apart from the exoamylase, the bread improver of the invention may typically comprise one or more components selected from the group consisting of milk powder (providing crust colour), gluten (to improve the gas retention power of weak flours), an emulsifier (such as one of those mentioned above), granulated fat (for dough softening and 45 consistency of bread), an oxidant (added to strengthen the gluten structure; e.g. ascorbic acid, potassium bromate, potassium iodate or ammonium persulfate), another enzyme (e.g. α -amylase, pentosanase or a protease as explained above), an amino acid (e.g. cysteine) and salt (e.g. sodium 50 chloride, calcium acetate, sodium sulfate or calcium sulfate serving to make the dough firmer).

It is at present contemplated that the exoamylase may be present in the bread improver in an amount of 1–5,000,000 55 MANU (as defined above) per kg of the bread improver, preferably 10–2,500,000 MANU, more preferably 50–1, 000,000 MANU, most preferably 100–500,000 MANU, and in particular 1000–100,000 MANU of the exoamylase per kg of the bread improver. In accordance with conventional for practice for the use of bread improvers, this may be added to flour in an amount of 0.2–10%, in particular 0.5–5%, by weight of the flour.

The present invention is further illustrated in the follow- 65 ing example which is not in any way intended to limit the scope and spirit of the invention.

6 EXAMPLE

White pan bread was prepared from the following ingredients

Wheat flour*	100%	
Water	52%	
Sodium chloride	2%	
Baker's yeast	2.5%	

*) commercial wheat flour of moderate quality (treated with ascorbic acid): ≈11% protein, ≈15% humidity

by mixing with a spiral mixer for 4 minutes at 140 rpm and for 3 minutes at 280 rpm (Speed of the spiral rotor). The dough temperature was 26° C. The dough was allowed to rise for 40 minutes at 34° C. and, after degassing and moulding, for 65 minutes at 34° C. The bread was subsequently baked for 30 minutes at 230° C.

To the dough ingredients were added varying amounts of NOVAMYLTM (a recombinant maltogenic amylase encoded by a DNA sequence derived from Bacillus strain NCIB 11837, described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,598,048), Fungamyl 1600 S (a commercial α -amylase available from Novo-Nordisk a/s) and Veron F25 (a commercial α -amylase available from Rohm), respectively. The results appear from the following tables.

TABLE 1

) —		NOVAMYL™, 1500 MANU/g						
		Dosage in g/100 kg of flour						
P	roperties	0	6.7	13.3	27	53	107	
5 D	ough	short struc- ture	short struc- ture	short struc- ture	short struc- ture	short struc- ture	short struc- ture	
	olume idex	100	99	99	100	100	101	
`	rumb ructure	fine	fine	fine			coarser	
fr	rumb eshness 18 h)	100	240	270	280	310	310	
Ċ fr	rumb eshness 72 h)	100	160	200	230	270	270	
Ċ fr	rumb eshness 6 h)	100	160	390	425	500	580	
Ġ	ummy rumb	no	no	no	no	no	yes	

TABLE 2

Fungamyl TM 1600 S							
	Dosage in g/100 kg of flour						
Properties	0	10	20	40	80	160	
Dough	short struc- ture	pos.	pos.	dough too soft	dough too soft	dough too soft	
Volume index	100	102	107	107	106	106	
Crumb structure	fine ripe	fine/ ripe	fine/			coarser	
Crumb freshness (48 h)	100	240	280	290	330	320	

Fungamyl TM 1600 S									
		Dosage in g/100 kg of flour							
Properties	0	10	20	40	80	160			
Crumb freshness (72 h)	100	145	240	230	280	290			
Crumb freshness (96 h)	100	200	250	530	650	675			
Gummy crumb	no	no	no	no/yes	yes	yes			

TABLE 3

	Veron F25							
	Dosage in g/100 kg of flour							
Properties	0	10	20	40	80	106		
Dough	short struc- ture	pos.	pos.	pos.	pos.	pos.		
Volume index	100	100	100	102	102	102		
Crumb structure	fine	fine	fine			coarser		
Crumb freshness (48 h)	100	210	210	210	235	210		
Crumb freshness (72 h) Crumb freshness (96 h)	100	125	125			230		
Gummy crumb	no	no	no	no/yes	yes	yes		

It appears from the tables above that, compared to the use $_{40}$ of Fungamyl 1600 S and Veron F25, the addition of NOVAMYLTM to dough leads to improved storage properties of the resulting bread without a concomitant gumminess of the crumb which only occurs a far larger dosage of the enzyme. NOVAMYLTM does not significantly change other 45 dough or bread characteristics.

What is claimed is:

- 1. A process for retarding the staling of a baked product comprising
 - (a) adding a maltogenic α -amylase to either a flour that is 50then used to form a dough or directly to a dough wherein the maltogenic α -amylase is produced by Bacillus strain NCIB 11837 or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from Bacillus strain NCIB 11837 and is added in an amount to retard the staling of the baked 55 product; and
 - (b) baking the dough to form the baked product.
- 2. The process of claim 1, wherein the amount is from 0.1 to 10,000 MANU per kg of flour.
- to 5000 MANU per kg of flour.
- 4. The process of claim 3, wherein the amount is from 5 to 2000 MANU per kg of flour.
- 5. The process of claim 4, wherein the amount is from 10 to 1000 MANU per kg of flour.
- 6. The process of claim 1, further comprising adding a yeast culture to the dough.

8

- 7. The process of claim 1, wherein the baked product is bread.
- 8. The process of claim 7, wherein the baked product is white bread, whole-meal bread, or bread produced from mixtures of wheat and rye flour.
- 9. The process of claim 8, wherein the baked product is white bread.
- 10. The process of claim 1, wherein the baked product is made from or contains wheat flour.
- 11. The process of claim 1, further comprising adding one or more other enzymes to the flour or dough.
- 12. The process of claim 11, wherein the other enzyme(s) s/are α -amylase, pentosanase or a protease.
- 13. The process of claim 1, further comprising adding one or more emulsifiers to the flour or dough.
- 14. The process of claim 13, wherein the emulsifier(s) is/are mono- or diglycerides, polyoxyethylene stearates, diacetyl tartaric acid esters of monoglycerides, sugar esters of fatty acids, propylene glycol esters of fatty acids, polyglycerol esters of fatty acids, lactic acid esters of monoglycerides, acetic acid esters of monoglycerides, lecithin or phospholipids.
 - 15. A baked product produced by the process of claim 11.
 - 16. A process for producing a baked product comprising
 - (a) adding a maltogenic α -amylase to a flour wherein the maltogenic α-amylase is produced by Bacillus strain NCIB 11837 or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from Bacillus strain NCIB 11837;
 - (b) preparing a dough from the flour; and
 - (c) baking the dough to form the baked product.
- 17. The process of claim 16, wherein the baked product is bread.
- 18. The process of claim 17, wherein the baked product is white bread, whole-meal bread, or bread produced from 35 mixtures of wheat and rye flour.
 - 19. The process of claim 18, wherein the baked product is white bread.
 - 20. The process of claim 16, wherein the baked product is made from or contains wheat flour.
 - 21. A baked product produced by the process of claim 16.
 - 22. A process for producing a baked product comprising
 - (a) adding a maltogenic α-amylase to a dough wherein the maltogenic α-amylase is produced by Bacillus strain NCIB 11837 or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from Bacillus strain NCIB 11837; and
 - (b) baking the dough to form the baked product.
 - 23. The process of claim 22, wherein the baked product is bread.
 - 24. The process of claim 23, wherein the baked product is white bread, whole-meal bread, or bread produced from mixtures of wheat and rye flour.
 - 25. The process of claim 24, wherein the baked product is white bread.
 - 26. The process of claim 22, wherein the baked product is made from or contains wheat flour.
 - 27. A baked product produced by the process of claim 22.
- 28. In a process for producing a baked product, the improvement comprising incorporating a maltogenic α-amylase to an ingredient used for producing the baked 3. The process of claim 2, wherein the amount is from 1 60 product, wherein the maltogenic α -amylase is produced by Bacillus strain NCIB 11837 or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from Bacillus strain NCIB 11837.
 - 29. The process of claim 28, wherein the baked product is bread.
 - 30. The process of claim 29, wherein the baked product is white bread, whole-meal bread, or bread produced from mixtures of wheat and rye flour.

- 31. The process of claim 30, wherein the baked product is white bread.
- 32. The process of claim 28, wherein the baked product is made from or contains wheat flour.
 - 33. A baked product produced by the process of claim 28.
- 34. A composition, comprising (a) a maltogenic α-amylase produced by Bacillus strain NCIB 11837 or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from Bacillus strain NCIB 11837 and (b) one or more components selected from the group consisting of milk powder, gluten, an emulsifier, granulated fat, an oxidant, another enzyme, an amino acid and a salt.
- 35. The composition of claim 34, wherein the maltogenic α -amylase is in liquid form.
- 36. The composition of claim 34, wherein the maltogenic α -amylase is in dry form.
- 37. The composition of claim 34, wherein the oxidant is ascorbic acid, potassium bromate, potassium iodate or ammonium persulfate.
- 38. The composition of claim 34, wherein the other enzyme is α -amylase, pentosanase or a protease.
- 39. The composition of claim 34, wherein the amino acid is cysteine.
- 40. The composition of claim 34, wherein the salt is sodium chloride, calcium acetate, sodium sulfate or calcium sulfate.
- 41. A process for retarding the staling of a baked product comprising:
 - (a) adding a maltogenic \alpha-exoamylase to either a flour that is then used to form a dough or directly to a dough wherein the maltogenic \alpha-exoamylase is added in an amount to retard the staling of the baked product; and
 - (b) baking the dough to form the baked product.
- 42. The process of claim 41, wherein the amount is from 0.1 to 10,000 MANU per kg of flour.
- 43. The process of claim 42, wherein the amount is from 1 to 5000 MANU per kg of flour.
- 44. The process of claim 43, wherein the amount is from 5 to 2000 MANU per kg of flour.
- 45. The process of claim 44, wherein the amount is from 10 to 1000 MANU per kg of flour.
- 46. The process of claim 41, further comprising adding a 40 yeast culture to the dough.
- 47. The process of claim 41, wherein the baked product is bread.
- 48. The process of claim 47, wherein the baked product is white bread, whole-meal bread, or bread product from 45 mixtures of wheat and rye flour.
- 49. The process of claim 48, wherein the baked product is white bread.
- 50. The process of claim 41, wherein the baked product is made from or contains wheat flour.
- 51. The process of claim 41, further comprising adding one or more other enzymes to the flour or dough.
- 52. The process of claim 51, wherein the other enzyme(s) is/are an \alpha-amylase, pentosanase or protease.
- 53. The process of claim 41, further comprising adding 55 mixtures of wheat and rye flour. one or more emulsifiers to the flour or dough.
- 54. The process of claim 53, wherein the emulsifier(s) is/are mono- or diglycerides, polyoxyethylene stearates, diacetyl tartaric acid esters of monoglycerides, sugar esters of fatty acids, propylene glycol esters of fatty acids, polyg- 60 lycerol esters of fatty acids, lactic acid esters of monoglycerides, acetic acid esters of monoglycerides, lecithin or phospholipids.
 - 55. A baked product produced by the process of claim 41.
- 56. The process of claim 41, wherein said maltogenic 65 α-exoamylase is produced by a Bacillus strain or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from a Bacillus strain.

- 57. The process of claim 56, wherein said Bacillus strain is a Bacillus stearothermophilus strain.
- 58. The process of claim 41, wherein said maltogenic α-exoamylase is in granulate form.
 - 59. A process for producing a baked product comprising:
 - (a) adding a maltogenic α -exoamylase to a flour;
 - (b) preparing a dough from the flour; and
 - (c) baking the dough to form the baked product.
- 60. The process of claim 59, wherein the baked product is bread.
- 61. The process of claim 60, wherein the baked product is white bread, whole-meal bread, or bread produced from mixtures of wheat and rye flour.
- 62. The process of claim 61, wherein the baked product is white bread.
- 63. The process of claim 59, wherein the baked product is made from or contains wheat flour.
 - 64. A baked product produced by the process of claim 59.
- 65. The process of claim 59, wherein said maltogenic α-exoamylase is produced by a Bacillus strain or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from a Bacillus strain.
- 66. The process of claim 65, wherein said Bacillus strain is a Bacillus stearothermophilus strain.
- 67. The process of claim 59, wherein said maltogenic α-exoamylase is in granulate form.
 - 68. A process for producing a baked product comprising:
 - (a) adding a maltogenic \alpha-exoamylase to a dough; and
 - (b) baking the dough to form the baked product.
- 69. The process of claim 68, wherein the baked product is bread.
- 70. The process of claim 69, wherein the baked product is white bread, whole-meal bread, or bread produced from mixtures of wheat and rye flour.
- 71. The process of claim 70, wherein the baked product is white bread.
- 72. The process of claim 68, wherein the baked product is made from or contains wheat flour.
 - 73. A baked product produced by the process of claim 68.
- 74. The process of claim 68, wherein said maltogenic a-exo-amylase is produced by a Bacillus strain or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from a Bacillus strain.
- 75. The process of claim 74, wherein said Bacillus strain is a Bacillus stearothermophilus strain.
- 76. The process of claim 68, wherein said maltogenic α-exoamylase is in granulate form.
- 77. In a process for producing a baked product, the improvement comprising incorporating a maltogenic a-exoamylase into an ingredient used for producing the 50 baked product.
 - 78. The process of claim 77, wherein the baked product is bread.
 - 79. The process of claim 78, wherein the baked product is white bread, whole-meal bread, or bread produced from
 - 80. The process of claim 79, wherein the baked product is white bread.
 - 81. The process of claim 77, wherein the baked product is made from or contains wheat flour.
 - 82. A baked product produced by the process of claim 77.
 - 83. The process of claim 82, wherein said Bacillus strain is a Bacillus stearothermophilus strain.
 - 84. The process of claim 77, wherein said maltogenic α-exoamylase is produced by a Bacillus strain or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from a Bacillus strain.
 - 85. The process of claim 77, wherein said maltogenic α-exoamylase is in granulate form.

10

- 86. A composition, comprising
- (a) a maltogenic \alpha-exoamylase and
- (b) one or more components selected from the group consisting of milk powder, gluten, and emulsifier, granulated fat, an oxidant, another enzyme, an amino acid and a salt.
- 87. The composition of claim 86, wherein the maltogenic α -exoamylase is in liquid form.
- 88. The composition of claim 86, wherein the maltogenic α -exoamylase is in dry form.
- 89. The composition of claim 86, wherein the oxidant is ascorbic acid, potassium bromate, potassium iodate or ammonium persulfate.
- 90. The composition of claim 86, wherein the other enzyme is an α -amylase, pentosanase or protease.
- 91. The composition of claim 86, wherein the amino acid is cysteine.
- 92. The composition of claim 86, wherein the salt is sodium chloride, calcium acetate, sodium sulfate or calcium sulfate.
- 93. The composition of claim 86, wherein said maltogenic α -exoamylase is produced by a Bacillus strain or as encoded by a DNA sequence derived from a Bacillus strain.
- 94. The composition of claim 93, wherein said Bacillus strain is a Bacillus stearothermophilus strain.

12

- 95. The composition of claim 85, wherein said maltogenic α -exoamylase is in granulate form.
- 96. A composition comprising a maltogenic \alpha-exoamylase in granulate form.
- 97. The composition of claim 96, wherein said maltogenic α -exoamylase is produced by a Bacillus strain or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from a Bacillus strain.
- 98. The composition of claim 97, wherein said Bacillus strain is a Bacillus stearothermophilus strain.
- 99. The composition of claim 98, wherein said Bacillus stearothermophilus strain is Bacillus strain NCIB 11837.
- 100. A composition comprising a maltogenic α-exoamylase and flour.
- 101. The composition of claim 100, wherein said maltogenic co-exoamylase is produced by a Bacillus strain or is encoded by a DNA sequence derived from a Bacillus strain.
- 102. The composition of claim 101, wherein said Bacillus strain is a Bacillus stearothermophilus strain.
- 103. The composition of claim 102, wherein said Bacillus stearothermophilus strain is Bacillus strain NCIB 11837.
- 104. The composition of claim 100, wherein the composition is a dough.

* * * * *