

# (19) United States (12) Reissued Patent Prince et al.

(10) Patent Number: US RE37,525 E
 (45) Date of Reissued Patent: \*Jan. 22, 2002

#### (54) METHOD FOR TREATING INFECTIOUS RESPIRATORY DISEASES

- (75) Inventors: Gregory A. Prince, Potomac; Val G.
   Hemming, Gaithersburg, both of MD (US)
- (73) Assignee: Henry M. Jackson Foundation, Rockville, MD (US)

Wyngaarden et al., "Parainfluenza Viral Diseases", Cecil Textbook Medicine, 18:1760, 1766, 1790 (1988). Hall et al., "Respiratory Syncytial Viral Infection in Children with Compromised Immune Function", The New England Journal of Medicine, 315:77–81 (1986). Takimoto et al., "Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infectious on an Adult Medical Ward", Arch. Intern. Med., 151:706–708 (1991).

A.I. Braude, "Pleuropulmonary Infections", Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology, 2:822 (1986).
B. G. Katzung, Basic and Clinical Pharmacology, 3:456–457 (1987).

(\*) Notice: This patent issued on a continued prosecution application filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d), and is subject to the twenty year patent term provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(a)(2).

(21) Appl. No.: **08/608,313** 

(22) Filed: Feb. 28, 1996

## **Related U.S. Patent Documents**

Reissue of:

Patent No.:	5,290,540
Issued:	Mar. 1, 1994
Appl. No.:	07/877,095
Filed:	May 1, 1992
	Issued: Appl. No.:

U.S. Applications:

- (63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 07/694,079, filed on May 1, 1991, now abandoned.
- (51) Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup> ...... A61K 9/12

Kalant et al., Principles of Medical Pharmacology, 5:481 (1989).

E. Marshall, "Visiting Experts Find the Mystery Disease of Naples is a Common Virus", Science, 203:980–981 (1979). Leer et al., "Corticosteroid Treatment in Bronchiolitis", Amer. J. Dis. Child., 117:495–503 (1969).

Thomas et al., "Infection of Gnotobiotic Calves with a Bovine and Human Isolate of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Modification of the Response by Dexamethasone", Archives of Virology 79:67–77 (1984).

Nicolai et al., "Acute Viral Bronchiolitis in Infancy: Epidemiology and Management", Lung, pp. 396–405 (1990). Amundson et al., "High–Dose Corticosteroid Therapy for *Pneumocystis carinii* Pneumonia in Patients with Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome", Southern Medical Journal, pp. 711–714 (1989).

H. Chmel, "*Pneumocystis cariini* Pneumonia", Arch. Intern. Med., 150:1793–1794 (1990).

Schiff et al., "Steroids for *Pneumocystis carinii* Pneumonia and Respiratory Failure in the Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome", Arch. Intern. Med. 150:1819–1821 (1990). J. Rahal, "Corticosteroids as Adjunctive Therapy for Pneumocystis Pneumonia in Patients with AIDS", The New England Journal of Medicine, p. 1666 (1991). Haahtela et al., "Comparison of a B2–Agonist, terbutaline" with an Inhaled Corticosteroid, Budesonide in Newly Detected Asthma", The New England Journal of Medicine, pp. 388–392 (1991). Maayan et al., "The Functional Response of Infants with Persistant Wheezing to Nebulized Beclomethasone Dipropionate", Pediatric Pulmonology, 2:9-14 (1986). McGowan et al., "Guidelines for the Use of Systemic Glucocorticosteroids in the Management of Selected Infections", J. of Infectious Diseases, 165:1–13 (1992).

(52)	U.S. Cl		424/45; 424/85.8; 514/570;
(50)			514/885; 514/958; 514/959
(58)	Field of Search	l	
			514,570, 005, 550, 555
(56)	Re	eferen	ces Cited
	U.S. PA	ΓΕΝΤ	DOCUMENTS
	3,189,604 A 6	6/1965	Beal, III et al.
	4,146,717 A 3	8/1979	Yamamoto et al.
	4,933,169 A * 6	6/1990	Shanbrom 424/46

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA	096153	10/1970
GB	919828	2/1963
GB	1268772	8/1972
JP	0244402	3/1979

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

G. Döring et al., "Immunologic Aspects of Cystic Fibrosis",

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Raj Bawa (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrertt & Dunner, L.L.P.

#### Chest, 94:109S–115S (1988).

M.E.C. Horn et al., "Role of Viruses and Bacteria in Acute Wheezy Bronchitis in Childhood: A Study of Sputum", Archives of Disease in Childhood, 54:587–592 (1979). S.A. Bozzette et al., "A Controlled Trial of Early Adjunctive Treatment With Corticosteriods for *Pneumocystis carinii* Pneumonia in the Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome", The New England Journal of Medicine, 323:1451–1457 (1990).

Abstract from Database WPI, Accession No. 80–004275 (17).

#### ABSTRACT

(57)

A method of treating pneumonia caused by a microorganism by administering directly into the lower respiratory tract of a host an amount of an anti-inflammatory agent effective to reduce inflammation is provided. The method may further include administering to a host an amount of an antiinfectious agent with activity against the microorganism effective to reduce the concentration of the microorganism.

#### **5** Claims, No Drawings

## US RE37,525 E Page 2

Gennaro, (1985), Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, Mack & Co., p. 1676.

Rote Liste 77, 048 (1979).

G. Cuenant et al., "Efficacy of Endonasal Neomycin—Tixocortol Pivalate Irrigation in the Treatment of Chronic Allergic and Bacterial Sinusitis," ORL 48:226–232 (1986). Raphael Dolin, "Antiviral Chemotherapy" and "Fungal Infections," Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine at 493–497, 747–48 (12<sup>th</sup> ed. 1991).

Weatherall et al., eds., "Infections: Antimicrobial Chemotheraphy" Oxford Textbook of Medicine 1:5.35–.53 (1987). Craig et al., "Hormones and Drugs Affecting the Adrenal Cortex," Modern Pharmacology at 856–72 ( $3^{rd}$  ed. 1990). Taylor et al., "The Respiratory Systems," Family Medicine Principles and Practice at 218–19 ( $3^{rd}$  ed. 1988). Appleton & Lange, "Pneumonia," The Principles and Practice of Medicine at 609 ( $26^{th}$  ed. 1989). Hoeprich et al., "Viral Pneumonias" and "Bacterial Pneumonias," Infectious Diseases 4:357,385–86 (1972).

Clark et al., "Drug Effects on the Respiratory Tract," Goth's Medical Pharmacology 12:513–14 (1988).

C. Reed, "Aerosol; Steroids as Primary Treatment of Mild Asthma," The New England Journal of Medicine 325:425–26 (1991).

Lenore Gelb (ed.), "Revised Label Warns of Severe Viral Problems with Corticosteroids," FDA Bulletin 21(3):3 (1991).

A. R. Gennero et al. Remington's Pharaceutical Sciences, Mack Publishing, PA. 17:671–73, 958–962 (1985).

\* cited by examiner

#### METHOD FOR TREATING INFECTIOUS **RESPIRATORY DISEASES**

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

This application and copending Application No. 09/534, 879, filed Mar. 24, 2000, are each reissues of U.S. Pat. No. 5,290,540 (Application No. 07/877,095, filed May 1, 1992), 10 which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Ser. No. 07/694,079, filed May 1, 1991, now abandoned, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

treatment requires the delivery of ribavirin (1-beta-Dribofuranosyl-1,2,4,-triazole-3-carboxamide) by small particle aerosol for 12–20 hours a day for at least 3 days (Hall et al., 1983 New Eng. J. Med. 308:1443-1447; Taber et al., 1983 Pediatrics 72:613–618). This treatment involves intervention in the replicative cycle of the RSV. Ribavirin appears to be of only marginal efficacy, and its use has recently come under increasing criticism (Khan, 1991 Am. Rev. Resp. Dis. 143:A510).

Inhaled corticosteroids (e.g., beclamethasone) are commonly used in the treatment of allergic asthma (reactive airway disease). However, corticosteroid therapy was not found to be beneficial in the treatment of viral bronchiolitis, especially that caused by RSV (Leer et al., 1969 Amer. J. Dis. Child. 117:495). Indeed, the prevailing wisdom is that 15 the use of corticosteroids (and presumably other antiinflammatory agents) during respiratory viral infections is contraindicated (Stecenko, 1987, Contemp. Pediat. 4:121; Thomas et al., 1984, Arch Virol. 79:67–77; Sieber, 1977, Pediat. Res. 11:230; Mandell, Douglas & Bennett, Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases, Third Edition, 1990, p. 1274). It should be noted, however, that all of these reports were based upon the use of systemically administered corticosteroids. The use of topically administered corticosteroids, or other anti-inflammatory agents such as ibuprofen or indomethacin, in the treatment of infectious respiratory tract disease has not been addressed in the scientific literature. Recent reports show that the major component of pulmonary disease caused by PIV3 and Ad-5 is the host immu-30 nologic response to infection, rather than direct viral injury to host tissues (Porter et al., 1991 J. Virol. 65:103-111; Ginsberg et al., 1991, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 88:1651–1655). Therefore, elimination of virus from infected tissues, such as in case of ribavirin therapy, may not be expected to reverse host responses already triggered by infection. The host immunologic response is also triggered by many bacterial, fungal and parasitic pulmonary infections, as exemplified by Mycoplasma pneumoniae or Pneumocystis carinii pneumonias. The use of anti-inflammatory agents, particularly corticosteroids, in infectious diseases has long been controversial (McGowan, 1992, J. Infect. Dis. 165:1–3), presumably due to the fact that suppression of the inflammatory response can lead to impairment of the hosts's ability to clear the infectious agent. However, three sets of observations have recently called into question the conventional wisdom. First, high doses of systemically administered corticosteroids may have a lifesaving effect in viral meningitis (McGowan, 1992, J. Infect. Dis. 165:1–3). Second, it has become evident that the use of high doses of corticosteroids, in conjunction with chemotherapeutic agents, has lifesaving potential in many cases of Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in HIV patients (Rahal, 1991 ,New Eng. J. Med. 324:1666). Finally, it has become apparent that at least three major respiratory viruses (RSV, PIV3, and type 5 adenovirus), which cause minimal direct viral lysis of host tissues, produce a pulmonary disease which is predominantly host-mediated. That is, most, if not all, of the pulmonary pathology is due to the accumulation of host tries are assumed to be at least as great as in this country, 60 inflammatory and immune cells in lung tissues, rather than the direct destruction of host tissue by the viruses (Ginsberg, 1989 Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 86:3823-3827; Ginsberg, 1990 Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 87:6191–6195; Porter, 1991 Am. J. Pathol. 93:185-205; Prince, 1978 J. Virol. 65:103–111).

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is related generally to the therapy of lower respiratory tract diseases caused by respiratory viruses or other infectious agents. More particularly, the present invention is related to a novel, effective, and rapid method of treating lower respiratory tract disease caused particularly by parainfluenza virus type 3 (PIV3) or adenovirus type 5 (Ad-5) by direct administration of corticosteroids or antiinflammatory drugs into the lower respiratory tract. One embodiment of the invention is primarily directed to a method of treating lower respiratory tract infections that alters the immune response to infection, and is not concerned with the presence of viable infectious agents per se. However, the method of the present invention can also be used in combination with anti-infective therapy.

Another embodiment of the invention includes antiinfective therapy. This embodiment is directed to a method of treating lower respiratory tract disease caused particularly by respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and parainfluenza virus type 3 (PIV3) by administering a combination of an antiinfectious agent and an anti-inflammatory agent. Therapy using a topically applied combination of an anti-infectious agent plus an anti-inflammatory agent dramatically reduces both components of pulmonary pathology, namely alveolar inflammation (interstitial pneumonia) and bronchiolar 40 inflammation (bronchiolitis), and accelerates clearance of the infectious agent. Lower respiratory tract disease caused by viruses and other infections agents is a serious problem in all ages, particularly in the very young and the elderly. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and parainfluenza virus type 3 (PIV3) are the leading causes of pulmonary disease in infants and children worldwide (Chanock, 1990, in Fields et al., eds. Virology, 2d ed., New York, Raven Press, pp. 963–988; McIntosh, 1990, in Fields et al., eds. Virology, 2d ed. New 50 York, Raven Press, pp. 1045–1072). While the clinical and financial burdens of the two viruses are uncertain, a 1985 study by the National Academy of Sciences estimated that nearly 10,000 deaths and medical costs in excess of one billion dollars are due to RSV and PIV3 each year in the 55 United States (New Vaccine Development, Establishing) Priorities. Vol. 1. Diseases of Importance in the United States, Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, 1985, pp. 385–409). Clinical and financial burdens in other counalthough no estimates have been published. In spite of their importance, however, no vaccine has been developed against either virus.

Currently there is no licensed therapy for PIV3 or Ad-5 lower respiratory disease and the licensed therapy for treat- 65 ing diseases caused by other respiratory viruses is of limited efficacy. In the case of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV),

Of direct relevance to the current proposal are observations from the mouse model of type-5 adenovirus pneumo-

## 3

nia that cytokine levels (tumor necrosis factor, interleukin-1, and interleukin-6) correlate with pulmonary pathology (Ginsberg, 1991 Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 88:1651–1655). Suppression of these cytokines with specific antiserum causes partial ablation of the pathologic process. Corticos- 5 teroid treatment of mice prior to viral challenge results in suppression of all three of these cytokines and nearly complete prevention of pneumonia.

The theoretical basis of the proposed combination of anti-infective and anti-inflammatory therapy is the assump-<sup>10</sup> tion that antiviral therapy, alone, would be unlikely to have a dramatic effect on a pulmonary disease process caused primarily by the host inflammatory response. Indeed, the demonstration in experimental models, both of RSV and PIV3, that pulmonary pathology reaches its maximum two <sup>15</sup> days after peak viral titers (Porter, 1991 J. Virol. 65:103–111,; Prince, 1978 Am. J. Pathol. 93:185–205,) suggests that viral titers may already be declining when patients are hospitalized with RSV or PIV3 pneumonia. Since there is no drug currently identified with both antiviral <sup>20</sup> (RSV and PIV3) and anti-inflammatory properties, a combination of a potent antiviral (human immunoglobulin, IgG) and a potent anti-inflammatory (corticosteroid) was used. Two viruses were chosen for the anti-infective therapy, 25 parainfluenza virus type 3 (PIV3) and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). These viruses were chosen for the following reasons: (1) RSV is the most important cause of infectious pneumonia in infants, and PIV3 is the next most important cause; and (2) antiviral therapies have been demonstrated 30 against both viruses. In the case of RSV, ribavirin has been licensed for topical therapy and is in widespread clinical use; additionally, purified antibody with high levels of anti-RSV activity has been shown effective in eliminating pulmonary RSV when used topically (Prince et al., 1987 J. Virol. 35 61:1851–1854; Prince et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,800,078). The examples and discussion provided in this application demonstrate that (1) combined topical therapy using an anti-infective agent and a corticosteroid dramatically reduces pulmonary pathology caused by each of the two  $_{40}$ viruses, RSV and PIV3; and (2) corticosteroids are effective when used either with an antiviral chemotherapeutic agent such as ribavirin or an antiviral biologic agent such as purified antibody. The invention provides an effective method of treating  $_{45}$ lower respiratory tract disease which targets the injurious immunologic host response. As discussed above, the use of anti-inflammatory agents to treat infections is usually not recommended because the inflammatory response is part of the immune system, and one would not expect suppressing 50part of the immune system to be of benefit in treating an infection. This invention unexpectedly provides a method of treating lower respiratory tract disease which uses antiinflammatory agents to reduce the host's immune response to the disease.

#### 4

and anti-inflammatory therapy, the invention should provide a dramatic, yet inexpensive, treatment of the most common forms of infant and childhood pulmonary disease.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is, therefore, an object of the present invention to provide a therapeutic device, comprising means for delivering directly into the lower respiratory tract of a subject afflicted with disease caused by PIV3, Ad-5, or other infectious agents, an effective amount of a corticosteroid or an anti-inflammatory drug in the form of small particle aerosol, so that said disease or symptoms thereof are either alleviated, controlled, or cured. It is a further object of the present invention to provide a more effective, simple and quick-acting method of treating infectious respiratory disease caused by viral, bacterial, fungal, and parasitic agents such as those described above, than heretofore available therapeutic modalities. Another object of the invention is to provide a method of treating lower respiratory tract disease in a host, susceptible to or suffering from a lower respiratory tract disease caused by an infectious agent. This method comprises administering to the host an amount of an anti-infectious agent with activity against the infectious agent and topically administering to the host an amount of an anti-inflammatory agent effective to produce a therapeutic effect against the disease.

Other objects and advantages of the invention will become evident from the following detailed description of the invention.

# DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The above and various other objects and advantages of the present invention are achieved by (1) a therapeutic device, comprising means for delivering directly into the lower respiratory tract of a subject afflicted with disease caused by PIV3, Ad-5, or other infectious agents, an effective amount of a corticosteroid or other anti-inflammatory drug such as ibuprofen or indomethacin, in the form of small particle aerosol, so that said disease or symptoms thereof are either alleviated, controlled, or cured; and (2) a method of treating respiratory disease, comprising topically administering to a host suffering from pulmonary disease caused by infectious agents such as parainfluenza virus type 3 (PIV3) or adenovirus type 5 (Ad-5), an effective amount of a corticosteroid or a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug to produce therapeutic effect against pulmonary disease. One embodiment of the invention provides a method of treating lower respiratory tract disease in a host, susceptible to or suffering from a lower respiratory tract disease caused by an infectious agent. This method comprises administering to the host an amount of an anti-infectious agent with 55 activity against said infectious agent and topically administering to the host an amount of an anti-inflammatory agent effective to produce a therapeutic effect against said disease. This method is preferred because it includes both an antiinflammatory agent and an anti-infectious agent. The antiinflammatory agent reduces the host's inflammatory reaction to the infection and the anti-infectious agent fights the infection.

Applicants' studies have shown that a therapeutic approach combining topically administered antiviral and anti-inflammatory agents accelerates the clearance of virus from infected laboratory animals, while reversing the disease process in their lungs. These studies have employed 60 human immunoglobulin (IgG) as the antiviral agent, and triamcinolone acetonide as the anti-inflammatory agent; however, other antiviral and anti-inflammatory agents may also be used. IgG and corticosteroids are already in common clinical use for other indications and are relatively inexpen-65 sive. Clinical trials have not been performed. However, based on the dramatic results of the combined anti-infective

Another embodiment of the invention provides a method of treating lower respiratory tract disease in a host, susceptible to or suffering from a lower respiratory tract disease caused by an infectious agent, comprising topically administering to the host an amount of an anti-inflammatory agent

## 5

effective to produce a therapeutic effect against said disease. Preferably, the anti-inflammatory agent is administered directly into the lower respiratory tract of the host.

The anti-infectious agent may be administered topically, orally, intravenously, or intraperitoneally. Topical adminis- 5 tration is preferred. The primary advantage of topical administration of a therapeutic drug is that higher concentrations of drug may be delivered to affected tissues with a lower total dose to the patient than is necessary with systemic administration, thus potentially circumventing many of the 10 known side effects of systemic administration of high doses of drugs such as corticosteroids.

In a preferred embodiment, the anti-inflammatory agent and the anti-infectious agent are administered directly into the lower respiratory tract of the host. The anti-inflammatory 15 agent and/or the anti-infectious agent may be administered intranasally. The anti-inflammatory agent and/or the antiinfectious agent may be administered intranasally in the form of aerosol particles.

## b

antibodies in the human immunoglobulin may be monoclonal, polyclonal, or genetically-engineered antibodies. In a preferred embodiment, the human immunoglobulin is human immunoglobulin G. In another preferred embodiment, the anti-infectious agent is human immunoglobulin G which comprises polyclonal antibodies. The human immunoglobulin G may be administered at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 100 mg/kg body weight of the host. A preferred dosage for the human immunoglobulin G is from 0.1 mg to 20 mg/kg body weight of the host.

The human immunoglobulin may be human immunoglobulin A or human immunoglobulin M. In a preferred embodiment, the human immunoglobulin A or M comprise monoclonal antibodies.

The anti-inflammatory agent may be administered at a 20 dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 100 mg/kg body weight of the host. A preferred range for the anti-inflammatory agent is a dosage of from 2  $\mu$ g to 0.2 mg/kg body weight of the host.

The anti-infectious agent may be administered at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 1000 mg/kg body weight of the host. A <sup>25</sup> preferred range for the anti-infectious agent is a dosage of from 2  $\mu$ g to 20 mg/kg body weight of the host.

The anti-inflammatory agent may be a corticosteroid. Suitable corticosteroids are cortisone, hydrocortisone, triamcinolone, dexamethasone, or beclamethasone. Triamcinolone is a preferred corticosteroid.

The corticosteroid may be administered at a dosage of from 0.01 to 1000 mg/kg body weight of the host. A preferred range for the corticosteroid is a dosage of from 0.5 to 50 mg/kg body weight of the host.

In another preferred embodiment, the anti-infectious agent is human immunoglobulin which comprises antibodies to a virus, especially respiratory syncytial virus or parainfluenza virus type 3.

The anti-infectious agent may be an anti-bacterial agent such as a macrolide, a penicillin, a cephalosporin, or a tetracycline. The anti-infectious agent may be an antifungal agent such as amphotericin b, fluconazole, or ketoconazole. The anti-infectious agent may be an anti-parasitic agent such as trimethoprim, pentamidine, or a sulfonamide. The antiinfectious agent may be an anti-viral agent such as ribavirin or amantidine.

The host may be a mammal, especially a human.

A preferred embodiment of the invention provides a method of treating lower respiratory tract disease in a host, susceptible to or suffering from a lower respiratory tract disease caused by a virus, comprising administering to the 30 host an amount of an anti-viral agent with activity against said virus and administering directly to the lower respiratory tract of the host an amount of an anti-inflammatory agent effective to produce a therapeutic effect against said disease. The anti-viral agent may be administered directly to the lower respiratory tract of the host. The virus may be respiratory syncytial virus or parainfluenza virus type 3. The anti-viral agent may be ribavirin or human immunoglobulin G which comprises antibodies to said virus. In another preferred embodiment, the invention provides a method of treating lower respiratory tract disease in a human, susceptible to or suffering from a lower respiratory tract disease caused by respiratory syncytial virus or parainfluenza virus type 3, comprising administering directly into the lower respiratory tract of the human an amount of an anti-inflammatory agent and an amount of human immunoglobulin G effective to produce a therapeutic effect against said disease. The anti-inflammatory agent and the human immunoglobulin G may be administered in the form of aerosol particles. The anti-inflammatory agent may be a corticosteroid. In turn, the corticosteroid may be triamcinolone.

The anti-inflammatory agent may be indomethacin, ibuprofen, or acetylsalicylic acid. The anti-inflammatory agent may be an anti-cytokine agent. In turn, the anticytokine agent may be a monoclonal or polyclonal antibody directed against a cytokine. The cytokines may be tumor necrosis factor, an interleukin, or an interferon.

The infectious agent may be a virus. Viruses to which the invention is applicable include influenza virus type A, influenza virus type B, influenza virus type C, parainfluenza virus 45 type 1, parainfluenza virus type 2, parainfluenza virus type 3, respiratory syncytial virus, a respiratory coronavirus, or a respiratory adenovirus. Applicants have conducted experiments that demonstrate the suitability of the invention in treatment of disease caused by parainfluenza virus type 3,  $_{50}$ respiratory syncytial virus, or adenovirus type 5.

The infectious agent may be a bacterium. Bacteria to which the invention is applicable include Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Staphylococcus aureus, klebsiella, or legionella.

The infectious agent may be a fungus. Fungi to which the invention is applicable include Coccidioides immitus, Histoplasma capsulatum, or Cryptococcus neoformans. The infectious agent may be Pneumocystis carinii. The infectious agent may be a rickettsia, such as Q fever or typhus.  $_{60}$ The anti-infectious agent may be an antibody to the infectious agent. The antibody may be a polyclonal antibody or monoclonal antibody. The monoclonal antibody may be derived from mouse cells, human cells, or geneticallyengineered cells.

In another preferred embodiment, the invention provides a method of treating lower respiratory tract disease in a host, 55 susceptible to or suffering from a lower respiratory tract disease caused by parainfluenza virus type 3, adenovirus type 5, or respiratory syncytial virus, comprising administering directly into the lower respiratory tract of the host an amount of an anti-inflammatory agent effective to produce a therapeutic effect against said disease.

The anti-infectious agent may be human immunoglobulin which comprises antibodies to said infectious agent. The

One embodiment of the invention provides a medication that comprises aerosol particles comprising an antiinfectious agent and an anti-inflammatory agent. This medication is useful in treating lower respiratory tract disease.

Another embodiment of the invention provides a device 65 that expels aerosol particles. The aerosol particles comprise an anti-infectious agent and an anti-inflammatory agent.

#### 7

One embodiment of the invention provides a device comprising a therapeutic means that delivers directly into the lower respiratory tract of a host susceptible to or suffering from a lower respiratory tract disease caused by an infectious agent, an amount of an anti-infectious agent and 5 an anti-inflammatory agent effective to produce a therapeutic effect against said disease. This device may have a means comprising a small particle aerosol.

Unless defined otherwise, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly <sup>10</sup> understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. Although any methods and materials similar or equivalent to those described herein can be used in the practice or testing of the present invention, the preferred methods and materials are now described. All <sup>15</sup> publications mentioned are incorporated herein by reference. Unless mentioned otherwise, the techniques employed or contemplated herein are standard methodologies well known to one of ordinary skill in the art. The materials, methods, and examples are illustrative only and not limiting. <sup>20</sup>

#### 8

Therapeutic efficacy of topically administered drugs

Two sets of experiments were performed using hydrocortisone acetate. In the first, animals were infected with PIV3 and subsequently treated with topically administered hydrocortisone acetate. In the second, animals were infected with Ad-5 and subsequently treated with topically administered hydrocortisone acetate.

Topical administration was accomplished by anesthetizing the animals, holding them in a vertical posture, and instilling a solution containing corticosteroid onto the nares. A total volume of 0.1 ml/100 gm body weight was used. Previous studies showed that this method of instillation resulted in the rapid deposition of inoculum into the lungs 15 (Prince et al., 1978, Am. J. Pathol. 93:771–792). A smallparticle ultrasonic nebulizer (Portasonic 8500D, DeVilbiss Co., Somerset, PA) was used to demonstrate the feasibility of generating an aerosol of hydrocortisone acetate solution. However, for human administration it is desirable to use a small particle aerosol delivered by a device that could be triggered by inhalation or used synchronously with the inhalation phase of ventilation for patients on a ventilator. Such a device could deliver aerosol from powder (spinhaler) or liquid. Since many patients, especially young infants and debilitated adults, may have diminished respiratory inhalation vigor, it is important to synchronize aerosol generation with inhalation. This could be accomplished by having inhalation trigger the aerosol delivery to the airway (nasal 30 prongs, oral tube, etc.). The trigger mechanism could include negative pressure from inhalation, chest movement, or electrical triggering synchronized with diaphragmatic contraction. Electrical leads used to monitor respirations 35 could be used to synchronize aerosol generation to be triggered at the first initiation of diaphragmatic contraction and respiration. Any form of aerosol generator is suitable if aerosol delivery is synchronized with inhalation and appropriate particle size is consistently generated.

The term "small particle aerosol" as used herein means particles of pharmaceutically acceptable vehicle less than 10 microns in size, preferably less than 5 microns in size, and more preferably less than 2 microns in size containing the drug(s) to be delivered to the lower respiratory tract.

#### EXAMPLES

#### Animals

Inbred cotton rats (Sigmodon hispidus) were obtained from the colony of Virion Systems, Inc. Adult animals, free from specific rodent pathogens, were used. Animals were housed in large polycarbonate rat cages with a bedding of hardwood chips, and fed a diet of standard rat chow and water. Adult animals ranging from 1 to 8 months of age were used. No age-related differences in response to infection or treatment were seen.

#### Drug Testing

40 Hydrocortisone acetate was selected as the prototypical corticosteroid for the anti-inflammatory studies. Cotton rats were infected (Day 0) by intranasal instillation of PIV3 (10<sup>6</sup>) pfu/animal) or Ad-5 (10<sup>9</sup> pfu/animal). Animals were anesthetized with methoxyflurane, and the inoculating virus was  $_{45}$ delivered in a volume of 0.1 ml/100 gm body weight of the animal. On Day 3, animals were anesthetized with methoxyflurane, and hydrocortisone acetate (50 mg/ml) was instilled intranasally in a volume of 0.1 ml/100 gm body weight. The same treatment with hydrocortisone acetate was repeated once per day on Days 4 and 5. For purposes of comparison, control animals were infected concurrently on Day 0, but received no treatment on Days 3, 4, or 5. On Day 6, all animals were euthanized by carbon dioxide intoxication. 55

In order to demonstrate the general applicability of the method of the present invention, other corticosteroids were also tested. These included dexamethasone acetate (8 mg/ml) and triamcinolone acetonide (40 mg/ml). Of course, other corticosteroids or anti-inflammatory agents known to  $_{60}$  one of ordinary skill in the art could also be used.

Therapeutic efficacy is determined by comparing two parameters in treated versus control animals: (1) the percentage of alveoli on a single H&E-stained coronal section of lungs containing interstitial and/or intraalveolar pathology; and (2) the percentage of bronchioles, on the same section of lungs, affected by peribronchiolar lymphocytic infiltration.

The therapeutic effect on PIV3 pulmonary disease is demonstrated by the results presented in Table 1. "Treated" denotes treatment with hydrocortisone acetate as described above. The raw data from which the results in the following tables were extracted are presented in Appendix A.

TABLE 1
---------

Experiment	Group	# Animals	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles
p •	P	// 1 <b>1</b> 111110010	, • • • • • • • • •	,° 10110110100

2

6

#### Histology

Lungs were removed from the thorax and inflated through the trachea with neutral buffered formalin. Histologic sec- 65 tions were made by following standard procedures and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Control	4	25	61
Treated	4	11	9
Control	4	41	65
Treated	4	30	15
Control	3	75	61
Treated	3	20	24
Control	6	82	95
Treated	3	37	14

The therapeutic effect on Ad-5 pulmonary disease is summarized in Table 2.

5

50

## 9

TABLE 2
---------

Experiment	Group	# Animals	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles
3	Control	4	43	71
4	Treated Control	4 4	9 70	16 91
·	Treated	4	38	39

## 10

comparison, control animals were infected concurrently on Day 0, but received no treatment on Days 3, 4, or 5. On Day 6, the time of maximum pulmonary pathology in infected, untreated animals, all animals were euthanized by carbon dioxide intoxication.

#### Histology

The same histologic procedure as described above was used.

As shown by the data, in each instance, both with PIV3<sup>10</sup> and Ad-5, there was a significant reduction in alveolar and bronchiolar inflammation following treatment by topically administered hydrocortisone acetate. The net reduction in disease caused by PIV3 ranged from 27–56% for alveolar inflammation, and 77–85% for bronchiolar inflammation. <sup>15</sup> The net reduction in disease caused by Ad-5 ranged from 46–79% for alveolar inflammation, and 57–77% for bronchiolar inflammation. There was no evidence of exacerbated disease in any hydrocortisone acetate-treated animals.

#### Therapeutic efficacy of combined therapy

Three experiments were performed using combined therapy: (1) anti-PIV3 antibody (100 mg/kg) and triamcinolone acetonide (4 mg/kg) were used to treat PIV3 infection; (2) anti-RSV antibody (25 mg/kg) and triamcinolone acetonide (4 mg/kg) were used to treat RSV infection; (3) ribavirin (20 mg/kg) and triamcinolone acetonide (4 mg/kg) were used to treat RSV infection. All agents were administered topically as described above in "Drug Testing". Therapeutic efficacy was determined by comparing the following parameters in the treated and control animals: (1) in the case of PIV3, the percentage of alveoli on a single H&E-stained coronal section of lungs containing interstitial and/or intraal-\_ 25 veolar pathology (RSV does not cause significant alveolar disease in the cotton rat); and (2) in the case of PIV3 and RSV, the percentage of bronchioles affected by peribronchiolar lymphocytic infiltration. As shown in Table 4, animals treated with triamcinolone 30 showed dramatically decreased pulmonary pathology. The Student t-test, the standard test for paired data, has been applied to these data to determine the level of significance of reduction of disease. In all reported studies, each group contained a minimum of four animals.

Results obtained with PIV3 infection, using topically administered dexamethasone acetate or triamcinolone acetonide are presented in Table 3:

TABLE 3

Experi-	Group	#	%	%
ment		Animals	Alveoli	Bronchioles
5	Control	4	70	83
	Dexamethasone	3	50	21
	Triamcinolone	4	24	9

Compared to control values, dexamethasone acetate reduced alveolar inflammation by 28% and bronchiolar inflammation by 75%, and triamcinolone acetonide reduced alveolar inflammation by 80% and bronchiolar inflammation by 89%. The effect of other infectious agents and drugs are evaluated in the same manner as described above. Antiinflammatory drugs include such non-steroidal antiinflammatory agents as indomethacin, ibuprofen and the like.

Further experiments were performed to investigate the use of an anti-infectious agent in combination with an antiinflammatory agent. The following procedure was used.

#### Animals

Inbred cotton rats (Sigmodon hispidus), as described above, were used.

#### Drug Testing

Triamcinolone acetonide was selected as the prototypical corticosteroid for the combined anti-infective and antiinflammatory studies. As shown above, a variety of corticosteroids demonstrate similar anti-inflammatory action in 55 infectious pulmonary disease. Therefore, the use of triamcinolone acetonide is merely by example of a general property of corticosteroids. Cotton rats were infected (Day 0) by intranasal instillation of PIV3 ( $10^6$  pfu/animal) or RSV  $(10^5 \text{ pfu/animal})$ . Animals were anesthetized with 60 methoxyflurane, and the inoculating virus was delivered in a volume of 0.1 ml/100 gm body weight of the animal. On Day 3, animals were anesthetized with methoxyflurane, and treated by intranasal instillation of anti-infective agent or a combination of anti-infective agent and corticosteroid, in a 65 volume of 0.1 ml/100 gm body weight. The same treatment was repeated once per day on Days 4 and 5. For purposes of

TABLE 4					
Pulmonary Pathology, PIV3					
Treatment	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles			
Untreated Triamcinolone	70 24 (p < 0.01)	83 9 (p < 0.001)			

45 However, viral titrations showed that triamcinolone treatment, while reversing pulmonary pathology, both increased and prolonged viral shedding (Table 5).

#### TABLE 5

Pulmor	nary Viral Tite	rs (pfu/gm), PI	<u>V3</u>
Treatment	Day 6	Day 8	Day 10
Untreated Triamcinolone	$10^{2.6}$ $10^{5.3}$	<10 <sup>2</sup> 10 <sup>4.9</sup>	<10 <sup>2</sup> 10 <sup>4.1</sup>

Similar results were seen when cotton rats infected with RSV were treated with triamcinolone. That is, pulmonary pathology was dramatically reduced, while viral shedding was increased and prolonged.

In another series of experiments, cotton rats infected either with PIV3 or RSV were treated (on days 3, 4, and 5) with topically administered triamcinolone, with IgG containing high antiviral activity, or with a combination of triamcinolone and IgG (Tables 6 and 7). RSV causes moderate bronchiolitis in S. Hispidus but no significant interstitial pneumonia.

## 11

TABLE 6

Pulmonary Pathology and Viral Titers, PIV3

Treatment	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles	Day 6 Titer
Untreated	31	74	$10^{3.1}$
Triamcinolone	10 (p < 0.05)	6 (p < 0.001)	$10^{4.6}$
IgG	75 (N.S. <sup>a</sup> )	92 (N.S.)	$<10^2$
Triam. + IgG	7 (p < 0.05	2 (p < 0.025)	$10^{2.4}$

<sup>a</sup>N.S. denotes not significant.

#### TABLE 7

## 12

viral titers may be reduced within 24 hours, but that antibody does not reverse pulmonary pathology in the case of either virus. Ribavirin, although licensed for therapeutic use against RSV, has not shown a dramatic antiviral effect in experimental animals, either in our laboratory or by other investigators. Nevertheless, it was tested because it is the only drug licensed for therapeutic use against RSV. It neither effected a significant reduction in pulmonary RSV titers, nor affected pulmonary pathology.

By contrast, the concurrent use of an antiviral agent 10(either antibody or ribavirin) and a corticosteroid (triamcinolone acetonide), applied topically to the pulmonary tissues, resulted in dramatic decreases in viral titer (in the case of antibody), and virtual elimination of pulmonary 15 pathology (in the cases both of ribavirin and antibody). Therefore, the novel use of combined therapy carries the advantages of accelerated virus clearance, and reversal of pulmonary disease. The results presented herein clearly establish the thera-20 peutic efficacy of the methods, medications, and devices of the present invention against pulmonary disease. Of course, the present invention opens a new vista for more effective treatment of viral lower respiratory tract disease through the topical administration of corticosteroids and anti-inflammatory drugs and the combination of anti-25 infective and anti-inflammatory therapy. It must be noted that a definitive advantage of the new treatment modality disclosed herein is that a therapeutic agent which is already licensed for parenteral use in humans can now also be administered by inhalation for a new therapeutic indication. It is understood that the examples and embodiments described herein are for illustrative purposes only and that various modifications or changes in light thereof will be suggested to persons skilled in the art and are to be included 35 within the spirit and purview of this application and scope of

Pulmonary Pathology and Viral Titers, RSV						
Treatment	% Bronchioles	Day 6 Titer				
Untreated Triamcinolone IgG Triam. + IgG	22 0.5 (p < 0.025) 27 (N.S.) 0.5 (p < 0.025)	10 <sup>3.4</sup> 10 <sup>4.8</sup> <10 <sup>2</sup> <10 <sup>2</sup>				

The results of these studies led to the following conclusions:

1. Treatment with triamcinolone reduced pulmonary 2 pathology, but prolonged and increased viral shedding.

2. Treatment with IgG reduced viral titers to undetectable or near-undetectable levels, but had no effect on pulmonary pathology.

3. Combined treatment, utilizing triamcinolone and IgG together, resulted in a dramatic decrease in pulmonary pathology and accelerated viral clearance, thus combining the advantages of each individual treatment modality.

A final experiment tested combined therapy of RSV disease using ribavirin as the antiviral agent (Table 8).

TABLE 8

Treatment	% Bronchioles	Day 6 Titer
Untreated	38	10 <sup>3.8</sup>
Triamcinolone	0 (p < 0.001)	10 <sup>5.2</sup>
Ribavirin	48 (N.S.)	10 <sup>4.2</sup>
Triam. + Ribavirin	0.5 (p < 0.01)	10 <sup>5.1</sup>

Ribavirin, although licensed as a therapeutic agent for RSV infection, is minimally effective under these conditions in the cotton rat, an observation which agrees with recently published data from another laboratory using the cotton rat model (Gilbert et al., 1992 Antiviral Research 17:33–42).

As was the case with IgG, ribavirin alone had no effect on pulmonary pathology. Unlike IgG, however, ribavirin did not reduce viral titers. Furthermore, combined therapy using ribavirin and triamcinolone, while reducing pulmonary pathology, resulted in increased viral titers, indicating that 55 IgG is clearly superior to ribavirin as an antiviral agent, and that combined ribavirin/corticosteroid therapy is not as effective as combined IgG/corticosteroid therapy. The most preferred method of treating diseases of the lower respiratory tract is with a combination of IgG and triamcinolone. 60 Traditional treatment of the two most important causes of viral pulmonary disease of infants, RSV and PIV3, consists of administering an antiviral agent to the respiratory tissues. However, the effect of antiviral agents has heretofore been described only in terms of reduction in viral titer, and not in 65 terms of reversing pulmonary histopathology. Using purified antibody against RSV or PIV3, applicants have shown that

the appended claims.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Stocks of respiratory viruses (such as RSV and PIV3) were prepared by growing appropriate monolayers of sus-40 ceptible cells in tissue culture and then inoculating the cells with seed virus. For example, HEp2 cells were inoculated into flat-bottomed flasks suspended in tissue culture media to facilitate cell replication. The cells were incubated until a 45 confluent monolayer of cells covered the bottom of the flask. At the point in time when confluence was achieved (about 3) or 4 days) the cells were inoculated with the seed stock of the virus. The infected bottle was then incubated, in a temperature-controlled incubator in a 5% carbon dioxide atmosphere, for 3 to 4 days when the RSV-infected cells 50 begin to express generalized infection. At that point, the bottle and its contents were frozen to minus 80° C. Freezing lyses the virally infected cells and frees virus. The contents of the bottle were centrifuged to separate the cellular debris from the supernatant which contained substantial amounts of infectious virus (often from 4.5 to  $5.5 \log_{10}$  plaque-forming units (pfu) of virus/milliliter). This material was frozen at a minus 80° C. until used in the various assays or animal experiments. Cotton rats were challenged to induce infection in the following manner. The frozen virus (usually at a concentration of  $10^5$  pfu/ml) was that and then immediately instilled (0.05 ml/nostril) into anesthetized cotton rats. RSV or PIV3 infections did not make the animals perceptibly ill. However, when euthanized on the fourth or fifth day of infection, about 10<sup>5</sup> pfu or virus/gram of lung tissue was recovered. Lungs were removed from the animal, homog-

## 13

enized in tissue culture fluid, and cultured on HEp2 monolayers. The amount of virus was then quantified.

Neutralizing antibody titers (titers for RSV or PIV3) in human or animal serum or pooled immunoglobin (IgG) were determined in the following manner. Known quantities of 5 the virus were mixed with various dilutions of serum or IgG and incubated for an hour. The mixture was then poured onto a HEp2 cell monolayer and allowed to fix for an hour; the monolayer was washed with culture media and an overlay was added to immobilize the virus. The cells were cultured for about 5 days and then the amount of virus neutralized 10 was enumerated by counting the number of plaques. Each plaque is considered to be one virus particle that was not inactivated by antibodies in the serum or IgG preparation. The results of these assays were expressed as geometric mean titers. In the literature this is called a 60% plaque 15 reduction neutralization titer. Cotton rats (Sigmodon hispidus) are currently produced by Virion Systems, Inc., Bethesda, Md., for commercial sale. Virion Systems, Inc. is licensed by the United States Department of Agriculture for this function. Breeding stock of the same species is also available from the National Center for 20 Research Resources, Bethesda, Md., which is part of the National Institutes of Health. A single pool of purified human IgG, with known neutralizing antibody titer, was used with each virus throughout the experiments, to minimize experimental variability. Pre-25 liminary experiments were conducted to determine the dose of each IgG preparation which, when given three days post-infection, reduced pulmonary viral titers to undetectable levels within 24 hours. Once determined, this dose was used in all subsequent experiments. Viruses. Two prototype viruses, the Long strain of RSV (originally isolated in 1956) and strain 23451 of PIV3 (isolated in 1964) were used in all studies. A pool was prepared of each strain. The RSV pool contained  $10^{5.5}$ pfu/ml, while the PIV3 pool contained 10<sup>6.5</sup> pfu/ml. Both pools were found to be free of bacteria, mycoplasmas, and <sup>35</sup> extraneous viruses by culture. Titrations of virus in pulmonary tissues. Animals were sacrificed by carbon dioxide intoxication. After the thoracic wall was removed aseptically, the lungs were dissected from the heart, and homogenized in a TenBroeck tissue grinder in 40 10 parts (v:w) of Hanks, balanced salt solution, modified for stabilizing virus by the addition of 0.218 M sucrose, 4.4 mM glutamate, 3.8 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 7.2 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>. After low-speed centrifugation, serial ten-fold dilutions of homogenate, each in duplicate, were applied to cell mono- 45 layers in multi-well culture dishes. HEp-2 cells were used for RSV assay, and MA-104 cells for PIV3 assay. After four days incubation at 37° C. under methylcellulose overlay, the cells were stained with crystal violet in glutaraldehyde solution, and the plaques counted. Viral titers were 50 expressed as a geometric mean, plus or minus the standard error, for all animals (a minimum of four) at a given time point. The Student t-test of summary data was used to compare different treatment groups.

#### 14

Standard published pathologic criteria for the identification and scoring of bronchiolitis and interstitial pneumonia were used (Porter, 1991 J. Virol. 65:103–111). Briefly, bronchiolitis is the accumulation of inflammatory cells, mostly or exclusively lymphocytes, in and around bronchioles. There may be accompanying damage to the epithelial cells, including loss of cilia or cell death. Interstitial pneumonia is thickening of the alveolar walls, usually accompanied by infiltration of inflammatory cells into the alveolar septae. Bronchiolitis was quantitated by examining each bronchiole within the lung section (generally 40-70 bronchioles) and scoring for the presence or absence of lymphocytic infiltration. The percentage of affected bronchioles was then calculated. Interstitial pneumonia was quantitated by estimating the percentage of affected alveolar tissue in the lung section. A minimum of four animals were examined for each time point. Arithmetic means of pathology scores were calculated, and differences between treatment groups evaluated by the Student t-test of summary data. In each experiment, uninfected animals served as sentinels to rule out the presence of adventitious agents.

#### TABLE 1

#### APPENDIX A: INDIVIDUAL ANIMALS CONSTITUTING THE SUMMARY DATA PRESENTED IN TABLE 1–8

Control1 $(untreated)$ 234Mean:Standard Error:Treated5 $(Hydrocortisone)$ 678Mean:Standard Error:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:ControlControl9(untreated)101112Mean:Standard Error:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.1Untreated:1iginificance vs.1Untreated:46Treated53(Hydrocortisone)545555	35 30 10 25 25 25 5.40 5 15 15 15 10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50 20	$ \begin{array}{r} 60\\ 56\\ 63\\ 63\\ 61\\ 4.31\\ 8\\ 10\\ 14\\ 4\\ 9\\ 2.08\\ p < 0.001 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{r} 75\\ 65\\ 62\\ 56\\ 65\\ 9.81\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 1$
34Mean: Standard Error: Treated5(Hydrocortisone)678Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: Control9(untreated)101112Mean: Standard Error: Treated13Mean: Standard Error: Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean: Standard Error: Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: ignificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)454646Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	10     25     25     25     5.40     5     15     15     10     11     2.39     N.S.     40     50     25     41     5.91     50	$\begin{array}{c} 63\\ 63\\ 61\\ 4.31\\ 8\\ 10\\ 14\\ 4\\ 9\\ 2.08\\ p < 0.001\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 75\\ 65\\ 62\\ 56\\ 65\\ 9.81\\ 16\\ \end{array}$
34Mean: Standard Error: Treated5(Hydrocortisone)678Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: Control9(untreated)101112Mean: Standard Error: Treated13Mean: Standard Error: Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean: Standard Error: Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: ignificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)454646Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	25 25 5.40 5 15 15 10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 50 50	$\begin{array}{c} 63\\ 61\\ 4.31\\ 8\\ 10\\ 14\\ 4\\ 9\\ 2.08\\ p < 0.001\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 75\\ 65\\ 62\\ 56\\ 62\\ 56\\ 65\\ 9.81\\ 16\\ \end{array}$
Mean: Standard Error: Treated5Treated5(Hydrocortisone)678Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: Control9(untreated)101112Mean: Standard Error: Treated101112Mean: Standard Error: Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean: Standard Error: Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: ignificance vs. Untreated: ignificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44 (untreated)45 46 46Treated53 (Hydrocortisone)54	25 5.40 5 15 15 10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 50 50	$ \begin{array}{r} 61\\ 4.31\\ 8\\ 10\\ 14\\ 4\\ 9\\ 2.08\\ p < 0.001\\\\ 75\\ 65\\ 62\\ 56\\ 65\\ 9.81\\ 16\\\\ \end{array} $
Standard Error:Treated5 $(Hydrocortisone)$ 678Mean:Standard Error:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:0Control9(untreated)101112Mean:Standard Error:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:16Mean:151616Mean:151616Mean:13Standard Error:16Significance vs.141516Mean:15Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:13ignificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)454646Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	5.40 5 15 15 10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	4.31 8 10 14 4 9 2.08 p < 0.001 75 65 62 56 65 9.81 16
Standard Error:Treated5 $(Hydrocortisone)$ 678Mean:Standard Error:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:0Control9(untreated)101112Mean:Standard Error:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:16Mean:151616Mean:151616Mean:13Standard Error:16Significance vs.141516Mean:15Significance vs.1 and 2 are combinControl44(untreated)454646Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	5.40 5 15 15 10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	4.31 8 10 14 4 9 2.08 p < 0.001 75 65 62 56 65 9.81 16
(Hydrocortisone)678Mean:8Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:9(untreated)101112Mean:111211Mean:13Standard Error:15Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:15Standard Error:16Mean:151615Mean:151616Mean:15Significance vs.1 and 2 are combinControl44(untreated)454646Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	5 15 15 10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	$     \begin{array}{r}       8 \\       10 \\       14 \\       4 \\       9 \\       2.08 \\       p < 0.001 \\       75 \\       65 \\       62 \\       56 \\       65 \\       9.81 \\       16 \\     \end{array} $
78Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:Control9(untreated)101112Mean:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:Ignificance vs.Untreated:Ignificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	15 10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	$     10 \\     14 \\     4 \\     9 \\     2.08 \\     p < 0.001 \\     75 \\     65 \\     62 \\     56 \\     65 \\     9.81 \\     16 \\     16   $
78Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:Control9(untreated)101112Mean:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:'gnificance vs.Untreated:'gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	15 10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	14 4 9 2.08 p < 0.001 75 65 62 56 65 9.81 16
Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:Control9(untreated)101112Mean:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	10 11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	4 9 2.08 p < 0.001 75 65 62 56 65 56 65 9.81 16
Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:Control9(untreated)101112Mean:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	11 2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	9 2.08 p < 0.001 75 65 62 56 65 9.81 16
Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:Control9(untreated)101112Mean:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combiningControl44(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	2.39 N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	2.08 p < 0.001 75 65 62 56 65 9.81 16
Significance vs. Untreated: Control 9 (untreated) 10 11 12 Mean: Standard Error: Treated 13 (Hydrocortisone) 14 15 16 Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	N.S. 40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	p < 0.001 75 65 62 56 65 9.81 16
Untreated: Control 9 (untreated) 10 11 12 Mean: Standard Error: Treated 13 (Hydrocortisone) 14 15 16 Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	40 50 50 25 41 5.91 50	75 65 62 56 65 9.81 16
Control9(untreated)101112Mean:12Standard Error:TreatedTreated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:15Standard Error:5Significance vs.Untreated:gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinControl44(untreated)454645Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	50 50 25 41 5.91 50	65 62 56 65 9.81 16
(untreated)101112Mean:13Standard Error:13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:15Standard Error:16Mean:15Standard Error:16Mean:14Standard Error:10Significance vs.10Untreated:10gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)454646Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	50 50 25 41 5.91 50	65 62 56 65 9.81 16
1112Mean:Standard Error:Treated13(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	50 25 41 5.91 50	62 56 65 9.81 16
12Mean: Standard Error: TreatedTreated13 (Hydrocortisone)14 15 16Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44 (untreated)45 46 Treated46 TreatedTreated53 (Hydrocortisone)54	25 41 5.91 50	56 65 9.81 16
Mean: Standard Error: Treated 13 (Hydrocortisone) 14 15 16 Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	41 5.91 50	65 9.81 16
Standard Error: Treated 13 (Hydrocortisone) 14 15 16 Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	5.91 50	9.81 16
Treated13(Hydrocortisone)1415151616Mean:16Standard Error:16Significance vs.1Untreated:1gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)454645Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	50	16
(Hydrocortisone)141516Mean:Standard Error:Significance vs.Untreated:gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54		
15 16 Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	20	
16Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinedControl44 (untreated)Control44 45 46 46Treated53 (Hydrocortisone)54		20
Mean: Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	25	6
Standard Error: Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	25	18
Significance vs. Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	30	15
Untreated: gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combin Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54	6.77	3.11
gnificance when Exps. 1 and 2 are combinControl44(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	N.S.	p < 0.001
Control 44 (untreated) 45 46 Treated 53 (Hydrocortisone) 54		
(untreated)4546Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	ed:	
46Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	55	54
A6Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	80	72
Treated53(Hydrocortisone)54	90	58
(Hydrocortisone) 54	15	21
	25	23
.).)	20	27
Control 47	95	96
(untreated) 48	70	86
(unit) (u	80	93
50	00	98
50	75	98
51	75 80	100
Treated 56	80	_
	80 95	5
(Hydrocortisone) 57	80 95 10	20
58 mificance when Ever 1-2 6 and 7 are av	80 95 10 60	29
gnificance when Exps. 1, 2, 6 and 7 are co	80 95 10 60 40	29 8

IgG. Purified human IgG (Sandoglobulin, manufactured by Sandoz, Ltd., Basel, Switzerland), with high neutralizing titer against either RSV or PIV3, was used. Several lots of Sandoglobulin were screened, and two lots with high titer against RSV and PIV3, respectively, were set aside in sufficient quantity to perform all of the experiments. Histopathology studies. After animals were sacrificed by carbon dioxide intoxication, the chest cavity was opened, and the heart and lungs removed intact. The trachea was cannulated with a blunt needle, the lungs inflated with 10% neutral buffered formalin to their normal volume, and the trachea tied with a suture. Coronal sections of the lungs were cut at a thickness of 4 microns, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

(untreated)

15

## TABLE 1-continued

## 16

TABLE 3

APPENDIX A: IN THE SUMMAI	NDIVIDUAL AN RY DATA PRES			5	Experime #	nt Treatment	Animal #	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles
Treatment	Animal #	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles		5	Control (untreated)	33 34	70 80	91 82
Mean:		58	74	10		(unrieuteu)	35	50	75
Standard Error:		6.62	4.20				36	80	84
Treated						Mean:		70	83
(Hydrocortisone)						Standard Error:		7.07	3.29

(Hydroco	ortisone)				
Mean:		2	15		
Standard	Error:		4.23	2.22	
Significa	nce vs.	p <	0.001	p < 0.001	
Untreate	d:				
					•
	T/	ABLE 2			
Emporimont	11		0%	07-	I
Experiment #	Treatment	Animal #	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles	
3	Control	17	10	80	1
	(untreated)	18	50	56	
		19	20	88	
		20	90	59	
	Mean:		43	71	
	Standard Error		17.97	7.85	
	Treated	21	10	18	
	(Hydrocortisone)	22	10	16	
	· · ·	23	5	21	
		24	9	8	
	Mean:		9	16	
	Standard Error:		1.25	2.78	
	~! !^				

Dexamethasone	37	80	29
	38	20	21
	39	50	14
Mean:		50	21
Standard Error:		17.32	4.33
Significance vs.		N.S.	p < 0.001
Untreated:			
Triamcinolone	40	50	12
	41	10	4
	42	30	17
	43	5	2
Mean:		24	9
Standard Error:		10.28	3.50
Significance vs.		p < 0.01	p < 0.001
Untreated:			

### TABLE 4

	Standard Error:		1.25	2.78	35				
	Significance vs. Untreated:		N.S.	p < 0.001		PULI	MONARY PATH	OLOGY, PIV3	
4	Control	25	50	94			Animal		
	(untreated)	26	90	83		Treatment	#	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles
		27	80	89					
		28	60	97	40	Untreated	33	70	91
	Mean:		70	91			34	80	82
	Standard Error:		9.13	3.07			35	50	75
	Treated	29	50	26			36	80	84
	(Hydrocortisone)	30	40	37		Mean:		70	83
		31	40	42		Standard Error:		7.07	3.29
		32	20	50	45	Triamcinolone	40	50	12
	Mean		38	39			41	10	4
	Standard Error:		6.29	5.02			42	30	17
	Significance vs.		p < 0.05	p < 0.001			43	5	2
	Untreated:		-			Mean:		24	9
ignificance	when Exps.		p < 0.02	p < 0.001		Standard Error:		10.28	3.5
-	combined:		-	1	50	Significance vs. Untreate	ed	p < 0.01	p < 0.001

#### TABLE 5

PULMONARY VIRAL TITERS (pfu/gram), PIV3

	Da	Day 6		y 8	Day 10	
Treatment	Animal #	Viral Titer	Animal #	Viral Titer	Animal #	Viral Titer
Untreated	59 60 61 62 63	$10^{3.2}$ $10^{2.6}$ $<10^{2.0}$ $10^{2.0}$ $10^{2.8}$	64 65 66 67 68	$<10^{2.0}$ $<10^{2.0}$ $<10^{2.0}$ $<10^{2.0}$ $<10^{2.0}$	69 70 71 72 73	$<10^{2.0}$ $<10^{2.0}$ $<10^{2.0}$ $<10^{2.0}$ $<10^{2.0}$
Geometric mean: Standard Error:	00	$10^{2.54}$ $10^{0.24}$		<10 <sup>2.0</sup> 0	, .	<10 <sup>2.0</sup> 0

18

## 17

TABLE 5-continued

#### PULMONARY VIRAL TITERS (pfu/gram), PIV3

	Da	Day 6 Day 8		<u>y 8</u>	Day 10	
Treatment	Animal #	Viral Titer	Animal #	Viral Titer	Animal #	Viral Titer
Triamcinolone	74	$10^{5.0}$	79	10 <sup>4.8</sup>	83	$10^{3.1}$
	75	$10^{5.3}$	80	$10^{5.0}$	84	$10^{3.3}$
	76	$10^{5.6}$	81	$10^{5.1}$	85	$10^{4.4}$
	77	$10^{5.4}$	82	$10^{5.0}$	86	$10^{4.4}$
	78	$10^{4.9}$				
Geometric Mean:		$10^{3.23}$		$10^{4.96}$		$10^{4.12}$
Standard Error:		$10^{0.13}$		$10^{2.08}$		$10^{0.38}$

Signif. vs. Untreated	p < 0.001	p < 0.001	p < 0.001
<u> </u>	1	1	1

#### TABLE 6

#### PULMONARY PATHOLOGY AND VIRAL TITERS, PIV3

Treatment	Animal #	% Alveoli	% Bronchioles	Animal #	Day 6 Titer
Untreated	87	15	19	91	$10^{3.4}$
	88	35	95	92	$10^{2.7}$
	89	20	84	93	$10^{3.1}$
	90	50	92	94	$10^{3.1}$
Mean:		31	74	Geometric Mean:	$10^{3.1}$
Standard Error:		7.47	17.98	Standard Error:	$10^{0.14}$
Triamcinolone	95	5	7	99	$10^{4.6}$
	96	10	8	100	$10^{4.6}$
	97	0	4	101	$10^{4.4}$
	98	25	5	102	$10^{4.7}$
Mean:		10	6	Geometric Mean:	$10^{4.58}$
Standard Error:		5.4	0.91	Standard Error:	$10^{0.06}$
Signif. vs. Untreated:		p < 0.05	< 0.001		p < 0.001
IgG	103	60	93	107	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
-	104	70	81	108	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
	105	90	99	109	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
	106	80	93	110	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
Mean:		75	92	Geometric Mean:	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
Standard Error:		6.45	3.77	Standard Error:	0
Signif. vs. Untreated:		N.S.	N.S.		p < 0.001
Triamcinolone + IgG	111	5	2	114	$10^{3.0}$
_	112	5	2	115	$10^{2.0}$
	113	10	2	116	$10^{2.6}$
				117	$10^{2.6}$
Mean:		7	2		$10^{2.4}$
Standard Error:		1.67	9		$10^{0.25}$
Signif. vs. Untreated:		p < 0.05	p < 0.025		N.S.

#### TABLE 7

#### PULMONARY PATHOLOGY AND VIRAL TITERS, RSV

Treatment	Animal #	% Bronchioles	Animal #	Day 6 Titer
Untreated	118	17	122	10 <sup>3.5</sup>
	119	24	123	$10^{3.4}$
	120	6	124	$10^{3.4}$
	121	39	125	$10^{3.3}$
Mean:		21.5	Geometric mean:	$10^{3.4}$
Standard Error:		6.91	Standard Error:	$10^{0.06}$
Triamcinolone	126	0	130	10 <sup>4.7</sup>
	127	2	131	10 <sup>4.6</sup>
	128	0	132	$10^{4.7}$
	129	0	133	$10^{5.0}$
Mean:		0.5	Geometric mean:	10 <sup>4.8</sup>
Standard Error:		0.5	Standard Error:	$10^{0.08}$
Significance vs. Untreated		p < 0.025		p < 0.001
IgĞ	134	32	138	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
	135	3	139	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
	136	25	140	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
	137	48	141	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
Mean:		27	Geometric mean:	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
Standard Error:		9.34	Standard Error:	0

## 19

 TABLE 7-continued

#### PULMONARY PATHOLOGY AND VIRAL TITERS, RSV

Treatment	Animal #	% Bronchioles	Animal #	Day 6 Titer
Significance v. Untreated		N.S.		p < 0.001 <10 <sup>2.0</sup>
Triamcinolone + IgG	142	0	146	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
	143	0	147	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
	144	2	148	$10^{2.0}$
	145	0	149	<10 <sup>2.0</sup>
Mean:		0.5	Geometric mean:	$10^{2.0}$
Standard Error:		0.5	Standard Error:	0
Significance vs. Untreated		p <0.025		p < 0.001

#### TABLE 8

#### PULMONARY PATHOLOGY AND VIRAL TITERS, RSV

Treatment	Animal #	% Bronchioles	Animal #	Day 6 Titer
Untreated	150	51	154	$10^{3.8}$
	151	10	155	$10^{3.6}$
	152	52	156	$10^{4.6}$
	153	42	157	$10^{3.9}$
Mean:		38	Geometric mean:	$10^{3.8}$
Standard Error:		9.84	Standard Error:	$10^{0.14}$
Triamcinolone	158	0	162	$10^{5.0}$
	159	0	163	$10^{4.9}$
	160	0	164	$10^{5.1}$
	161	0	165	$10^{5.4}$
Mean:		0	Geometric mean:	$10^{5.2}$
Standard Error:		0	Standard Error:	$10^{0.11}$
Significance vs. Untreated		p < 0.001		p < 0.001
Ribavirin	166	61	170	104.1
	167	31	171	$10^{4.7}$
	168	49	172	$10^{4.1}$
	169	52	173	$10^{4.3}$
Mean:		48	Geometric mean:	$10^{4.2}$
Standard Error:		6.29	Standard Error:	$10^{0.14}$
Significance vs. Untreated		N.S.		N.S.
Triamcinolone + Ribavirin	174	0	178	$10^{5.0}$
	175	0	179	$10^{5.0}$
	176	2	180	$10^{5.1}$
	177	0	181	$10^{5.1}$
Mean:		0.5	Geometric mean:	$10^{5.1}$
Standard Error:		0.5	Standard Error:	$10^{0.02}$
Significance vs. Untreated		p < 0.01		p < 0.001

#### What is claimed is:

45 [1. A method of treating pneumonia in a host, susceptible to or suffering from pneumonia caused by a microorganism selected from a virus, a bacterium, a fungus, and Pneumocystis carinii, comprising administering directly into the lower respiratory tract of the host an anti-inflammatory agent selected from a corticosteroid, indomethacin, ibuprofen, and acetylsalicylic acid at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 1000 mg/kg body weight of the host to reduce inflammation and an anti-infectious agent with activity against said microorganism at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 1000 mg/kg body weight of the host to reduce the concentration of said 55 microorganism; the anti-inflammatory agent and the antiinfectious agent being administered in the form of a small particle aerosol having a size less than 10 microns.] [2. The method of claim 1, wherein the anti-infectious agent is administered topically, orally, intravenously, or 60 intraperitoneally.

[5. The method of claim 1, wherein the anti-inflammatory agent is administered intranasally.]

20

[6. The method of claim 1, wherein the microorganism is influenza virus type A, influenza virus type B, influenza virus type C, parainfluenza virus type 1, parainfluenza virus type 2, parainfluenza virus type 3, respiratory syncytial virus, a respiratory coronavirus, or a respiratory adenovirus.]

[7. The method of claim 1, wherein the microorganism is parainfluenza virus type 3, respiratory syncytial virus, or adenovirus type 5.]

[8. The method of claim 1, wherein the anti-infectious agent is an antibody to said microorganism.]

[9. The method of claim 8, wherein the antibody is a polyclonal or monoclonal antibody.]
[10. The method of claim 1, wherein the anti-infectious agent is human immunoglobulin which comprises antibodies to said microorganism.]
[11. The method of claim 10, wherein said human immunoglobulin is human immunoglobulin G is a dosage of from 0.1 μg to 100 mg/kg body weight of the host.]
[12. The method of claim 10, wherein said microorganism is respiratory syncytial virus or parainfluenza virus type 3.]
[13. The method of claim 1, wherein the anti-infectious agent is an anti-bacterial agent, antifungal agent, antiparasitic agent, or anti-viral agent.]

[3. The method of claim 1, wherein the anti-inflammatory agent is a corticosteroid.]

[4. The method of claim 3, wherein the corticosteroid is selected from the group consisting of cortisone, 65 hydrocortisone, triamcinolone, dexamethasone, and beclamethasone.]

5

## 21

[14. The method of claim 1, wherein the anti-infectious agent is an anti-viral agent selected from the group consisting of ribavirin and amantidine.]

[15. The method of claim 1, wherein the host is a mammal.]

[16. The method of claim 1, wherein the host is a human.] **17**. A method of treating *infectious* pneumonia *and infectious bronchiolitis* in a human *host*, susceptible to or suffering from pneumonia or bronchiolitis caused by respiratory syncytial virus or parainfluenza virus type 3, 10 comprising *concurrently* administering [directly] into the lower respiratory tract of the [human] host an antiinflammatory agent selected from a corticosteroid, indomethacin, ibuprofen, and acetylsalicylic acid at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 1000 mg/kg body weight of the host to 15 reduce inflammation and an *a* human immunoglobulin G at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 100 mg/kg body weight of the host to reduce the concentration of the respiratory syncytial virus or parainfluenza virus type 3, the anti-inflammatory agent and the anti-infectious agent being administered in the 20 form of a small particle aerosol having a size less than 10 microns.

## 22

inflammatory agent selected from a corticosteroid, indomethacin, ibuprofen, and acetylsalicylic acid at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 1000 mg/kg weight of the host to reduce inflammation.]

[21. The method of claim 1 wherein the anti-inflammatory agent is administered at a dosage of 2  $\mu$ g to 0.2 mg/kg and the anti-infectious agent is administered at a dosage of 2  $\mu$ g to 200 mg/kg.]

22. A method of treating *infectious* pneumonia *and infec*tious bronchiolitis in a mammalian host, susceptible to or suffering from pneumonia or bronchiolitis caused by a microorganism selected from a virus, a bacterium, a fungus, and Pneumocystis carinii an infectious agent selected from the group consisting of influenza virus type A, influenza virus type B, influenza virus type C, parainfluenza virus type 1, parainfluenza virus type 2, parainfluenza virus type 3, respiratory syncytial virus, respiratory coronavirus, respiratory adenovirus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella, Legionella, Coccidiodes immitus, Histoplasma capsulatum, Cryptococcus neoformans, Pneumocystis carnii, and rickettsia comprising topically concurrently administering [directly] into the lower respiratory tract of the host an anti-inflammatory agent [selected from a corticosteroid, indomethacin, ibuprofen, and acetylsalicylic acid at a dosage of from  $[0.1 82 g] 0.1 \mu g$  to 1000 mg/kg body weight of the host to reduce inflammation and an human immunoglobulin G at a dosage of from  $0.1 \,\mu g$  to  $100 \,m g/kg$  body weight of the host to reduce the concentration of said infectious agent, microorganism, the anti-inflammatory agent and the human immunoglobulin G being administered in the form of a small particle aerosol having a size less than 10 microns. 23. The method of claim 17, wherein the antiinflammatory agent is selected from the group consisting of

18. The method of claim 17, wherein the antiinflammatory agent is a corticosteroid.

[19. A method of treating pneumonia in a host, susceptible 25 to or suffering from pneumonia caused by parainfluenza virus type 3, adenovirus type 5, or respiratory syncytial virus, comprising administering directly into the lower respiratory tract of the host an anti-inflammatory agent selected from a corticosteroid, indomethacin, ibuprofen, and acetyl- 30 salicylic acid at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 1000 mg/kg body weight of the host to reduce inflammation and an antiinfectious agent with activity against said virus at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 1000 mg/kg body weight of the host to reduce the concentration of said virus, the anti-inflammatory 35 agent and the anti-infectious agent being administered in the form of a small particle aerosol having a size less than 10 microns. **[20**. A medication that comprises aerosol particles having a size of less than 10 microns comprising an anti-infectious 40 agent with activity against an infectious agent at a dosage of from 0.1  $\mu$ g to 1000 mg/kg body weight of a host to reduce the concentration of said infectious agent and an anti-

a corticosteroid, indomethacin, ibuprofen, and acetylsalicylic acid.

24. The method of claim 22, wherein the antiinflammatory agent is selected from the group consisting of a corticosteroid, indomethacin, ibuprofen, and acetylsalicylic acid.

\* \* \* \* \*

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO.: RE 37,525 EDATED: January 22, 2002INVENTOR(S): Prince et al.

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

<u>Title page,</u> Item [57], **ABSTRACT**,

"5 Claims, No Drawings" should read -- 3 Claims, No Drawings --.

## <u>Column 22,</u>

Line 13, between "carinii" and "an", insert -- ] --. Line 24, after "anti-inflammatory agent", delete "[". Line 25, after "acetylsalicylic acid", delete "]". Line 30, after "agent,", delete "microorganism,". Lines 33-36, delete the claim in its entirety. Lines 37-40, delete the claim in its entirety.

## Signed and Sealed this

Eleventh Day of February, 2003



#### JAMES E. ROGAN Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office