US00RE37181E

(19) **United States**

(12) **Reissued Patent**

Hunniford et al.

(10) **Patent Number: US RE37,181 E**

(45) **Date of Reissued Patent: May 22, 2001**

(54) **PROCESS FOR REMOVAL OF DISSOLVED HYDROGEN SULFIDE AND REDUCTION OF SEWAGE BOD IN SEWER OR OTHER WASTE SYSTEMS**

(75) Inventors: **David J. Hunniford**, Tallevast; **H. Forbes Davis**, Sarasota, both of FL (US)

(73) Assignee: **U.S. Filter Corporation**, Palm Desert, CA (US)

(*) Notice: This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/198,506**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 24, 1998**

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **4,911,843**
Issued: **Mar. 27, 1990**
Appl. No.: **07/281,747**
Filed: **Dec. 9, 1988**

U.S. Applications:

(63) Continuation of application No. 08/437,874, filed on May 9, 1995, now Pat. No. Re. 36,651.

(51) **Int. Cl.**[7] **C02F 3/00**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **210/610**; 210/631; 210/916; 435/264; 435/266

(58) **Field of Search** 210/610, 611, 210/620, 631, 916; 435/264, 266, 282

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

221,232		11/1879	Hirsh	423/210
1,701,825	*	2/1929	Seil	435/266
1,997,252	*	4/1935	Fischer	210/631

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

3414556	*	10/1985	(DE) .
57-187099	*	11/1982	(JP) .

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Bryan, A. C., "Experiences With Odor Control at Houston, Texas", Sew. & Ind. Wastes, 28, 1512 (1956).

Carpenter, W.T., "Sodium Nitrate Used to Control Nuisance", Water Works and Sew., 79, 175 (1932).

Eliassen, R., et al., "The Effect of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons on Hydrogen Sulfide Production", Sew. Works Jour., 21, 457 (1949).

Fales, A.L., "Treatment of Industrial Wastes from Paper Mills and Tannery on Neponset River", Jour. Ind. Eng. Chem., 21, 216 (1929).

Heukelekian, H., "Effect of the Addition of Sodium Nitrate to Sewage on Hydrogen Sulfide Production and B.O.D. Reduction", Sewage Works Journal 15(2):255–261 (1943).

Heukelekian, H., "Some Bacteriological Aspects of Hydrogen Sulfide Production from Sewage", Sew. Works Jour., 20, 490 (1948).

Lawrance, W.A., "The Addition of Sodium Nitrate to the Androscoggin River", Sew. and Ind. Wastes, 22, 820 (1950).

Lorgan, G.P., et al., "Nitrate Addition for the Control of Odor Emissions from Organically Overloaded, Super Rate Trickling Filters", 33rd Ann. Purdue Ind. Waste Conf., West Lafayette, Ind., (1978).

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Christopher Upton

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Nixon & Vanderhye P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Removal of dissolved hydrogen sulfide and a reduction in BOD is achieved by the addition of nitrate ions to waste systems in an amount sufficient to stimulate growth of bacteria which utilize dissolved hydrogen sulfide in their metabolism. Specifically, about 2.4 lbs. *nitrate* oxygen per lb. of sulfide is required.

23 Claims, 1 Drawing Sheet



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,219,576		11/1965	Makabe	71/12
3,300,404		1/1967	Howe et al.	210/916
3,401,113		9/1968	Pruessner et al.	210/610
3,502,566		3/1970	Raymond et al.	210/610
3,607,736		9/1971	Miyaji	210/610
3,639,263		2/1972	Troscinski et al.	422/14
3,867,284	*	2/1975	Kappe et al.	210/631
3,930,998		1/1976	Knopp et al.	210/631
3,959,127		5/1976	Bartha et al.	210/610
3,959,130		5/1976	Kloster et al.	210/683
3,966,450		6/1976	O'Neill et al.	71/15
4,098,690		7/1978	Semmens	210/631
4,108,771		8/1978	Weiss	210/916
4,115,258	*	9/1978	Smith et al.	210/620
4,148,726	*	4/1979	Smith	210/620
4,153,547		5/1979	McLean	210/749
4,297,216		10/1981	Ishida et al.	210/631
4,446,031		5/1984	List	210/916
4,501,668		2/1985	Merk et al.	210/749
4,505,819		3/1985	Barnes et al.	210/610
4,680,127		7/1987	Edmondson	210/749
4,681,687		7/1987	Mouche et al.	210/916
4,725,405		2/1988	Cassin et al.	422/7
4,760,027	*	7/1988	Sublette	435/264

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

McKinney, R.E., "The Role of Chemically Combined Oxygen in Biological Systems", Jour. San. Eng. Div., proc. Amer. Soc. Civil Engr., 82 SA4, 1053 (1956).

Poduska, R.A., "Operation, Control, and Dynamic Modeling of the Tennessee Eastman Company Industrial Wastewater Treatment System", 34th Ann. Purdue Indust. Waste Conf., Lafayette, Md. (1970).

Pomery, R.D., "Controlling Sewage Plant Odors", Consulting Eng., Feb. 101 (1963).

Pomeroy, R.D., et al., "Sulfide Occurrence and Control in Sewage Collection Systes", U.S. Environmental protection Agency, EPA 600/X–85–052, Cincinnati, Ohio (1985).

Prakasam, T.B.S., et al., "Microbial Dentrification of a Wastewater Containing high Concentrations of Oxidized Nitrogen", Proceedings of the 31st Industrial Wste Conference, May 4–6, 1976, Purdue University.

Price, E.C., et al., "Sewage Treatment Plants Combat Odor Pollution Problems", Water and Sew. Works, 125, 10, 64 (1978).

Reid, G.W., et al., "Sewer Odor Studies", Sew. and Ind. Wstes, 28, 991 (1956).

Ryan, W.A., "Experiences with Sodium Nitrate Treatment of Cannery Wastes", Sew. Works Jour., 17, 1227 (1945).

Santry, I.W., Jr., "Hydrogen Sulfide Odor Control Measures", Jour. Water Poll. Control Fed., 38 459 (1966).

Santry, I.W., Jr., "Hydrogen Sulfide in Sewers", Jour. Water Poll. Control Fed., 35, 1580 (1963).

"Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 14th Ed., Amer. Pub. Health Assn., Wash. D.C., 499–509 1976,.

Peter R. Willenbring et al., "Calcium Nitrate" (incomplete title), Oct. 1988 or earlier.

"Biochemical Oxidation of Polluted Lake Sediment with Nitrate—A new Lake Restoration Method", 1976.

R.R. Dague, "Fundamentals of Odor Control", Journal Water Pollution Control Federation, 44(4): 583–594: Apr. 1972.

William H. Moss et al., "Full–scale use of physical/chemical treatment of domestic wastewater at Rocky River, Ohio", Journal Water Pollution Control Federation, 49(11): 2.249–2,254; Nov. 1977.

Leon S. Directo et al., "Pilot plant study of physical–chemical treatment", Journal Water Pollution Control Federation, 49(1),: 2,081–2,098; Oct. 1977.

Richard A. Poduska et al., "Successful storage lagoon odor control", Journal Water Pollution Control Federation, 53(3): 299,310; Mar. 1981.

Pomeroy, Johnston and Bailey, "Process Design Manual For Sulfide Control in Sanitary Sewage Systems", Oct. 1974.

"Basic Research On Sulfide Occurrence and Control In Sewage Collection Systems", National Technical Information Service, a–5 (Feb. 28, 1969).

Beardsley, C.W., et al., "Removal of Sewer Odors By Scrubbing With Alkaline Solutions", Sewage and Industrial Wastes, vol. 30, 220 (1958).

Lang, M., "Chemical Control Of Water Quality In A Tidal Basin", Journal WPCF, 1414–1416 (1966).

Sanborn, N.H., "Nitrate Treatment of Cannery Waste", The Fruit Products Journal and American Vinegar Industry, (1941).

"Methods For Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (1974).

Painter, H.A., "A Review of Literature on Inorganic Nitrogen Metabolism in Microorganisms", Water Research, The Journal of the International Assocation on Water Pollution Research, vol. 4, No. 6, (1970).

Pomeroy, R.D., et al., "Feasibility Study on In–Sewer Treatment Methods", Municipal, Environmental Research Lab. Chapter 6, "Chemical Treatment", 77 (1977).

Thistlethwayte, D.K.B., "The Control Of Sulphides In Sewerage Systems", Ann Arbor Science Publishers Inc., Chapter 13, "Corrective Measures For Existing Systems", 159 (1972).

"Water Supply and Sewerage", Ernest W. Steel, Chapter 27, pp. 600–601 (4th Ed. 1960).

* cited by examiner



FIG. 1

PROCESS FOR REMOVAL OF DISSOLVED HYDROGEN SULFIDE AND REDUCTION OF SEWAGE BOD IN SEWER OR OTHER WASTE SYSTEMS

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [ ] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

Appl. Ser. No. 08/437,874, filed May 9, 1995 and Appl. Ser. No. 09/198,506, filed Nov. 24, 1998, and are each reissues of U.S. Pat. No. 4,911,843 (which issued from Appl. Ser. No. 07/281,747, filed Dec. 9, 1988) Appl. Ser. No. 09/198,506 is a Continuation of Appl. Ser. No. 08/437,874, now U.S. Pat. No. Re. 36,651.

BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to a process for the removal or reduction of dissolved hydrogen sulfide, and reduction of BOD in sewer systems, municipal waste treatment plants and in other industrial waste applications.

It is known to add nitrates or nitrites to sewage to effect reduction in BOD and even to suppress the formation of hydrogen sulfide gas via bacterial action. See, for example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,300,404; 4,446,031; and 4,681,687.

It is also known to add nitrates to sewage in order to control objectionable odors. See, for example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,966,450; 4,108,771.

There have also been attempts to remove hydrogen sulfide directly from waste. For example, in U.S. Pat. No. 4,680,127, the patentee adds amounts of glyoxal, or glyoxal in combination with formaldehyde or glutaraldehyde, in order to reduce or scavenge the amount of hydrogen sulfide in aqueous or wet gaseous mediums.

In U.S. Pat. No. 4,501,668, the patentee utilizes polycondensation products produced by the condensation of acrolein and formaldehyde to eliminate hydrogen sulfide present in aqueous systems, such as waste water clarification plants. Merk also mentions benefits relating to corrosion prevention and deodorization.

In U.S. Pat. No. 3,959,130, the patentee decontaminates sewage systems, waste water treatment plants and other industrial waste applications containing hydrogen sulfide by adjusting the pH of the sewage of a value over 7.0 and bringing the sewage into contact with an ash product.

It has now been discovered that the addition of nitrate, via an aqueous sodium nitrate solution, to sewage systems, waste treatment plants and other industrial waste applications containing dissolved hydrogen sulfide will result in the elimination or substantial reduction of the hydrogen sulfide, as well as the elimination of other "minor" odors associated with other sulphur-containing compounds.

It is believed that the addition of nitrate provides an oxygen source which promotes the growth of naturally occurring bacteria which utilize in their metabolism the sulfur tied up as hydrogen sulfide. It has been demonstrated both in lab jar tests and in an actual sewage collection system test, that dosing sewage containing over 50 mg/L of dissolved hydrogen sulfide with a sodium nitrate solution reduces the dissolved hydrogen sulfide to less than 0.1 mg/L. Along with this phenomena a significant reduction in sewage biological oxygen demand, BOD, of up to about 70%, and overall "sweetening", i.e., removal of other minor odors, of the sewage has been observed. These phenomena are

believed to be the results of the biological process promoted by the nitrate addition.

More specifically, it has been found that 2.4 parts of nitrate oxygen (NO_3—O) are necessary to remove 1 part dissolved sulfide (S^{2-}). The source of nitrate to accomplish removal of the hydrogen sulfide is not specific, and aqueous solutions of both sodium nitrate and calcium nitrate appear to be suitable.

Because the necessary reaction is biochemical, it will not occur within a sterile solution, i.e., naturally occurring bacteria must be present. Moreover, the removal of hydrogen sulfide is not instantaneous. According to applicant's tests, an "incubation" period of about 8 to about 96 hours, and preferably about 24 to about 48 hours, is necessary to culture the bacteria, followed by about 1.5 to about 20 hours, and preferably about 3 to about 12 hours, for ongoing sulfide removal.

It has further been determined that the process in accordance with this invention achieves a significant reduction in sewage BOD due to the utilization of organic matter in the metabolism described.

Other objects and advantages will become apparent from the detailed description which follows.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

The FIGURE is a schematic diagram representing a sewage system employed in the Example described herein.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Removal of dissolved hydrogen sulfide and a reduction in BOD in waste systems treated with sodium nitrate or calcium nitrate is believed to occur for the reasons described below.

The presence of dissolved hydrogen sulfide in sewage occurs as a result of a lack of dissolved oxygen. The addition of nitrate ions NO_3 provides an oxygen source for certain bacteria already present in the waste or sewage to thrive.

The bacteria that grow as a result of the nitrate oxygen utilize the dissolved hydrogen sulfide as part of their metabolism. The dissolved hydrogen sulfide contains sulfur which the bacteria also require in their metabolism.

It is theorized that the biochemical reaction which occurs has the following half reactions:

$$8\ N\text{[}O_3\text{—]}O_3 \rightarrow 4N_2 + 12\text{[}0_2\text{]}O_2$$

$$12\text{[}0_2\text{]}O_2 + 5H_2S \rightarrow 5SO_4^{2-} + 4H_2O + 2H^+$$

Based upon the above it is calculated that 2.4 parts of nitrate oxygen **[**(NO_3—0)**]** (*NO_3—O*) are necessary to remove 1 part of dissolved sulfide (S^{2-}):

$$\frac{8 \text{ moles } NO_3^-}{5 \text{ moles } H_2S} \times \frac{48 \text{ lb Oxygen/mole } NO_3^-}{32 \text{ lb Sulfide/mole } H_2S}$$

yields 2.4 **[**lb**]** *lbs nitrate* oxygen/lb sulfide.

This ratio of oxygen to sulfide has been confirmed in both bench and field tests.

The source of nitrate to accomplish the sulfide removal is not critical, and both aqueous solutions of sodium nitrate and calcium nitrate have been used successfully.

This reaction is biochemical and it will not occur within a sterile solution, i.e., naturally occurring bacteria in sewage

must be present. Additionally, the sulfide removal is not instantaneous; tests have shown that an "incubation" period of 24–48 hours is necessary to culture the bacteria and thereafter 3–12 hours for ongoing sulfide removal. It is believed, however, that the incubation period may extend from about 8 to about 96 hours, and the ongoing removal period from about 1.5 to about 20 hours, depending on conditions.

The promotion of biological activity via nitrate addition as described also achieves a reduction in sewage BOD due to the utilization of organic matter in the metabolism described.

EXAMPLE

With reference to the FIGURE, sodium nitrate was added to a sewer system in Jacksonville, Florida at a master pump station, or feed point B, upstream of a second master pump station comprising a monitoring point A. The feed point B was at a point removed from an intersection C of the feed line and main sewage line, as indicated in the FIGURE.

The treated sewage continued to a downstream waste water treatment plant in Jacksonville, indicated as point D.

Average detention times (based on average daily flows, line sizes and lengths are as follows:

B→C 7 hours

C→A 3.3 hours

B→A 10.3 hours

In terms of the description provided above, the B→C distance and retention time of 7 hours constitutes the incubation period, coupled with the distance C→A and associated retention time of 3.3 hours comprises a total of 10.3 hours from addition of the nitrate station at point B to the monitoring at point A, thereby permitting sufficient time for the bacteria to culture.

The following table shows the change in dissolved hydrogen sulfide at point A, with addition of nitrate occurring at point B.

TABLE I

DATE	SODIUM NITRATE SOLUTION FEED · GPD	DAILY AVERAGE DISSOLVED H_2S PPM AT POINT A
2/22/88	0	35–40
2/23/88	0	30–50
2/24/88	1800	30
2/25/88	1800	15–20
2/26/88	1800	0.1–15
2/27/88	1200	0.1–
2/28/88	1200	0.3–
2/29/88	1200	0.1–8
3/01/88	650	0.7–1.5
3/02/88	650	1.0–1.5

During the period of time, the average daily H_2S at point B was 25–30 ppm.

It is readily apparent from the above chart that significant reduction in H_2S was achieved over a nine day period of time, commencing about 24 hours after the addition of the sodium nitrate, with maximum reductions occurring after 48 hours.

Subjective sampling also indicated a significant reduction in sewage odors other than hydrogen sulfide.

It was also found that sewage BOD was also reduced or indicated as in the following table:

TABLE II

	BOD (mg/L)		
DATE	POINT B	POINT A	POINT D
03/02/88	165	112	138
03/03/88	145	55	135

It will thus be appreciated that the present invention provides for the removal of significant amounts of *existing* dissolved hydrogen sulfide and a corresponding reduction in sewage BOD. By properly feeding sodium nitrate into the sewage or waste, odor and corrosion problems can also be substantially eliminated.

While the invention has been described in connection with what is presently considered to be the most practical and preferred embodiment, it is to be understood that the invention is not to be limited to the disclosed embodiment, but on the contrary, is intended to cover various modifications and equivalent arrangements included within the spirit and scope of the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A process for removing *existing* dissolved hydrogen sulfide from waste systems **[**comprising the steps**]** *wherein removal is achieved by a mechanism consisting essentially* of:

(a) adding nitrate ions to the waste in accordance with a ratio of **[**at least**]** *about but not less than* 2.4 parts nitrate oxygen for each 1 part *of said existing* dissolved *hydrogen* sulfide in order to provide a source of oxygen for naturally occurring bacteria present in the waste which utilize dissolved hydrogen sulfide in their metabolism;

(b) providing sufficient time to culture said bacteria within said waste systems; and

(c) providing ongoing time sufficient to enable said bacteria to remove *said existing* the dissolved hydrogen sulfide.

2. A process according to claim **1** wherein said nitrate ions are provided by the addition of sodium nitrate to the waste.

3. A process according to claim **1** wherein said nitrate ions are provided by the addition of calcium nitrate to the waste.

4. A process according to claims **2** or **3** wherein a period of from about 8 to about 96 hours is provided in the practice of step (b).

5. A process according to claims **2** or **3** wherein a period of from about 24 to about 48 hours is provided in the practice of step (b).

6. A process according to claims **2** or **3** wherein a period of from about 1.5 to about 20 hours is provided in the practice of step (c).

7. A process according to claims **2** or **3** wherein a period of from about 3 to about 12 hours is provided in the practice of step (c).

8. A process according to claim **1** wherein a period of from 8 to about 96 hours is provided in the practice of step (b).

9. A process according to claim **8** wherein a period from about 1.5 to about 20 hours is provided in the practice of step (c).

10. A process according to claim **8** wherein a period from about 3 to about 12 hours is provided in the practice of step (c).

11. A process according to claim **1** wherein a period of from about 24 to about 48 hours is provided in the practice of step (b).

12. A process according to claim **11** wherein a period of from about 1.5 to about 20 hours is provided in the practice of step (c).

13. A process according to claim **11** wherein a period of from about 3 to about 12 hours is provided in the practice of step (c).

14. A process according to claim **1** wherein a period of from about 1.5 to about 20 hours is provided in the practice of step (c).

15. A process according to claim **1** wherein a period of from 3 to about 12 hours is provided in the practice of step (c).

16. A process according to claim **1** wherein sewage BOD is also reduced by up to about 70%.

17. A process according to claim **1** wherein said process further eliminates minor odors associated with other sulphur-containing compounds.

[18. A process for removing from waste systems dissolved hydrogen sulfide and other minor odors associated with other sulphur-containing compounds comprising the steps of: providing a source of oxygen in the form of nitrate in the form of nitrate in the waste in sufficient amount to cause naturally occurring bacteria in the waste which utilize dissolved hydrogen sulfide and sulfur in their metabolism to grow, and providing sufficient time for the bacteria to culture in said waste, to thereby initiate a biochemical reaction which has the following half reactions:

$$8\ NO_3 \rightarrow 4N_2 + 12O_2$$

$$12O_2 + 5H_2S \rightarrow 5SO_4^{2-} + 4H_2O + 2H^-$$**]**

[19. The process according to claim **18** wherein the source of oxygen comprises sodium nitrate.**]**

[20. The process according to claim **18** wherein the source of oxygen comprises calcium nitrate.**]**

[21. The process according to claim **18** wherein about 8 to about 96 hours is provided for the bacteria to culture.**]**

[22. The process according to claim **18** wherein about 24 to about 48 hours is provided for the bacteria to culture.**]**

23. A process for removing *substantially all existing* dissolved H_2S and reducing sewage BOD in sewer systems **[**comprising the steps**]** *wherein removal is achieved by a mechanism consisting essentially* of:

(a) adding a source of oxygen in the form of nitrate to the sewer system in an amount equal to about 2.4 lb. *nitrate* oxygen per lb. *of said existing dissolved hydrogen* sulfide; and

(b) providing about 8 to about 96 hours to allow naturally occurring bacteria already present in the system to culture as a result of the addition of said source of oxygen; and providing about 1.5 to about 20 hours to effect ongoing H_2S removal and sewage BOD reduction.

24. The process according to claim **23** wherein said source of oxygen is sodium nitrate.

25. The process according to claim **23** wherein said source of oxygen is a calcium nitrate.

26. The process according to claim **23** wherein about 24 to about 48 hours is provided to allow bacteria present in the system to culture.

27. The process according to claim **23** wherein about 3 to about 12 hours are provided to effect ongoing H_2S removal and sewage BOD reduction.

28. The process according to claim **23** wherein, during the process, additional minor odors associated with other sulphur-containing compounds are also eliminated.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : Re 37,181 P2
DATED : May 22, 2001
INVENTOR(S) : Hunniford et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 2,
Line 39, delete "NO_3" and insert -- NO_3^- --.
Line 49, delete "$8N[O_3\text{-}]O_3 \rightarrow 4N_2+12[0_2]O_2$" and insert -- $8N[O_3\text{-}]O_3^- \rightarrow 4N_2+12[0_2]O_2$ --.

Column 3, Table I,
Line 52, delete "0.1-" and insert -- 0.1-4 --.
Line 52, delete "0.3-" and insert -- 0.3-4 --.

Signed and Sealed this

Nineteenth Day of February, 2002

Attest:

Attesting Officer

JAMES E. ROGAN
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : RE 37,181 E
DATED : May 22, 2001
INVENTOR(S) : Hunniford et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Title page,
Item [73], delete "**U.S. Filter Corporation**" and insert -- **United States Filter Corporation.** --

Signed and Sealed this

Fourteenth Day of January, 2003

JAMES E. ROGAN
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office