

US00RE35521E

United States Patent

[11] E

Patent Number:

Re. 35,521

Mizuhara

[45] Reissued Date of Patent: May 27, 1997

[54]	BRAZING ALLOY OF COPPER, SILICON,
	TITANIUM, ALUMINUM

Howard Mizuhara, Hillsborough, [75] Inventor:

Calif.

Assignee: The Morgan Crucible Company plc, [73]

Madeira Walk, United Kingdom

Appl. No.: 195,314

Feb. 10, 1994 Filed: [22]

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

5,087,416 [64] Patent No.:

Feb. 11, 1992 Issued:

420,416 Appl. No.:

Oct. 12, 1989 Filed:

U.S. Cl. 420/489; 228/262.61; 228/262.8 [52]

[58]

228/262.61, 262.6, 262.7, 262.8

References Cited [56]

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

11/1976 Cheskis et al. 148/432 7/1986 Ikushima et al. 420/492 4,599,119

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

5/1987 62-050426 Japan. 3/1988 63-050439 Japan .

5/1928 United Kingdom. 278355 2/1976 United Kingdom. 1520721

Primary Examiner—Deborah Yee

Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Dean W. Russell; Kilpatrick &

Cody

ABSTRACT [57]

A brazing alloy for brazing ceramics has the following composition, in weight percent: 1 to 4 silicon; 1 to 5 titanium; 0.5 to 6 aluminum; 89 to 96 copper.

18 Claims, No Drawings

BRAZING ALLOY OF COPPER, SILICON, TITANIUM, ALUMINUM

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specifi- 5 cation; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

This invention concerns a ternary brazing alloy of copper, silicon and titanium for brazing ceramics. Such a ternary alloy is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,426,033 and 10 European Patent Application 0 038 584. U.S. Pat. No. 4,426,033 discloses a ternary alloy containing 50 to 98.75% by weight of copper, 0.25 to 5% titanium, and from about 1% to about 45% of a third metal selected from the group consisting of silicon, tin, germanium, magnanese, nickel and 15 cobalt. EP application 0 038 584 discloses a ternary brazing alloy containing 40 to 85 atomic % copper, 5 to 60 atomic % titanium, 0 to 55 atomic % silicon.

A problem with the ternary brazing alloys disclosed in the above references is the formation of oxidation product 20 on the braze fillet area during the direct brazing of ceramic to metal.

I have found that if a controlled amount of aluminum is added to a copper, silicon titanium brazing alloy of controlled composition, that the formation of oxidation product 25 can be prevented or greatly minimized. A brazing alloy as per this invention has a composition of, in weight percent, 1 to 4 silicon, 1 to 5 titanium, 0.5 to 6 aluminum, 89 to 96 copper.

In the following examples, all compositions are 30 expressed in weight percent.

EXAMPLE 1

An alloy with a composition of 92.25% copper, 3.25% silicon, 2% aluminum, 2.5% titanium was tungsten arc melted on water-cooled copper hearth. The button was rolled down into 2 mil thickness foil.

The foil was placed between 1.2"×1.2"×0.060" thick alumina substrate and Kovar strip 1/4" wide by 10 mil thick by 3" long. The assembly was brazed at 1050° C. under 10⁻⁵ 40 torr vacuum. An excellent looking braze was obtained. Pulling of brazed Kovar strip using Instron at 2 inch per minute resulted in 20 pound force peel which is an excellent strength. The alloy with a hardness of 138 Knoop hardness number met or harness criteria. Kovar is a alloy of iron, cobalt and nickel made by Westinghouse Corporation.

An ASTM F-19 alumina tensile test piece was brazed with copper sandwich. That is, the assembly from bottom was alumina, 2 mil brazing alloy preform, 10 mil copper, 2nd 50 brazing alloy preform and alumina. Ten sets were assembled and brazed to above schedule. All tested better than 10^{-9} cc/ second leak rate using He gas and helium mass spectrograph.

EXAMPLE 2

An alloy with a composition of 94.0% copper, 2.75% silicon, 1% aluminum, 2.25% titanium was melted and peel test prepared as in Example 1. An excellent bright gold colored braze resulted. Peel test showed that 25 pound force 60 average peel strength in pounds. was required to pull off the Kovar tab from the alumina substrate.

EXAMPLE 3

As in Example 1, a button was prepared with a compo- 65 sition of 92.00% copper, 3.00% aluminum, 2.75% silicon, 2.25% titanium, and rolled into 2 mil foil.

Peel tests were prepared and also hermeticity samples were prepared. The assemblies were brazed under 10⁻⁵ torr vacuum and at 1050° C.

The peel test required 17 pound average pull on Kovar strip. The five (5) hermeticity test samples all passed the helium leak check.

EXAMPLE 4

An alloy with a composition of 90.25% copper, 5% aluminum, 2.25% silicon, 2.5% titanium was melted and rolled to 2 mil thickness foil as in Example 1. Peel test and hermeticity braze samples were prepared as in Example 1. It tested 16 lbs force to peel off Kovar from the alumina substrate. The hermeticity test showed that it met the 10^{-9} cc/sec. leak requirement.

EXAMPLE 5

A powder admixture consisting of 97.75% minus 325 mesh atomized alloy powder, with a composition 94.12% copper, 2.81% silicon, 3.07% aluminum is mixed with 2.25% titanium hydride minus 325 mesh powder. This combination results in a melted composition of 92.00% Cu. 3.00% Al, 2.75% Si, 2.25% Ti. A screening paste consisting of 90% of above admixture and 10% screening vehicle #235 from Ceramic Color Company was prepared.

Using 105 mesh screen, with the screen placed 0.050" above $1.2"\times1.2"\times0.060"$ thick alumina substrate as a snap off distance, the prepared paste was screened on to the alumina substrate and measured about 4 mil thick, dried, which is equivalent to 2 mil foil. Three strips of Kovar peel test strips, measuring 0.25"×3"×0.010" thick were placed over coated alumina substrate. The assemblies were brazed at 1050° C.×10 minutes under 10⁻⁵ torr vacuum furnace. The brazed layers between the strips were pin hole free.

The peel test resulted in 17 pound force to peel off the Kovar strip, which is equivalent to moly-metallized ceramic system.

In the following table, alloy compositions within this invention are in weight percent.

		Composition							
45	Sample	Cu	Al	Si	Ti	Liquidus	Solidus	KHN	Peel
	83-13	94.00	1.0	2.75	2.25	1035	994	114	25
	65-13	92.50	2.0	3.50	2.0	1000	967	140	11
	65-16	92.25	2.0	3.25	2.50	1010	975	138	20
	65-10	93.50	2.0	3.00	1.50	1021	983	13	
5 0	65-18	93.0	2.0	2.50	2.50	1036	995	115	18
	83-16	93.75	2.0	2.00	2.25	1049	1003	101	20
	65-2	91.25	3.0	3.25	2.50	1009	956	160	14
	65-G	92.00	3.0	3.00	2.00	1013	968	144	15
	65-3I	92.00	3.0	2.75	2.25	1012	978	130	17
	65-03	91.25	4.0	2.25	2.50	1022	990	148	14
	65-04	90.25	5.0	2.25	2.50	1019	985	150	16
55	65-05	90.25	6.0	1.25	2.50	1032	1003	139	13

In the table, KHN is the Knoop hardness. Liquidus and solidus temperatures are in degrees Centigrade. Peel is the

I claim:

- 1. A brazing alloy for brazing ceramics having the following composition, in weight percent: 94 Cu, 2.75 Si, 1 Al, 2.25 Ti.
- 2. A brazing alloy for brazing ceramics having the following composition, in weight percent: 92.25 Cu, 3.25 Si, 2 Al, 2.5 Ti.

1

- 3. A brazing alloy for brazing ceramics having the following composition, in weight percent: 92 Cu, 2.75 Si, 3 Al, 2.25 Ti.
- 4. A brazing alloy for brazing ceramics having the following composition, in weight percent: 90.25 Cu, 2.25 Si, 5 Al, 2.5 Ti.
- 5. A brazing alloy for brazing ceramics having a composition consisting essentially of, in weight percent: 90.25-94.0 Cu, 1.25-3.5 Si, 1.0-6.0 Al, 1.5-2.5 Ti.
- 6. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the brazing alloy 10 composition is, in weight percent: 92.5 Cu, 2.0 Al, 3.5 Si, 2.0 Ti.
- 7. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the brazing alloy composition is, in weight percent: 93.5 Cu, 2.0 Al, 3.0 Si, 1.5 Ti.
- 8. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the brazing alloy composition is, in weight percent: 93.0 Cu, 2.0 Al, 2.5 Si, 2.5 Ti.
- 9. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the brazing alloy composition is, in weight percent: 93.75 Cu, 2.0 Al, 2.0 Si, 20 2.25 Ti.
- 10. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the brazing alloy composition is, in weight percent: 91.25 Cu, 3.0 Al, 3.25 Si, 2.5 Ti.
- 11. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the brazing alloy 25 composition is, in weight percent: 92.0 Cu, 3.0 Al, 3.0 Si, 2.0 Ti.

- 12. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the brazing alloy composition is, in weight percent: 91.25 Cu, 4.0 Al, 2.25 Si, 2.5 Ti.
- 13. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the brazing alloy composition is, in weight percent: 90.25 Cu, 6.0 Al, 1.25 Si, 2.5 Ti.
- 14. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the alloy comprises a foil.
- 15. The brazing alloy of claim 5 in which the alloy comprises a paste.
- 16. A brazed joint having a first material comprising a ceramic, a second material selected from the group consisting of metals and ceramics, and a brazing alloy disposed between the first material and the second material having a composition consisting essentially of, in weight percent: 90.25-94.0 Cu, 1.25-3.5 Si, 1.0-6.0 Al, 1.5-2.5 Ti.
- 17. The brazed joint of claim 16 in which the brazing alloy comprises a foil.
- 18. A brazed joint having a first material comprising a ceramic, a second material selected from the group consisting of metals and ceramics, and a brazing material disposed between the first material and said second material, the brazing material comprising a powder admixture of 2.25% titanium hydride powder and 97.75% atomized powder having a composition consisting of, in weight percent: 94.12 Cu, 3.07 Al and 2.81 Si.

* * * *