United States Patent [19]

Fitch

- **ENERGY ABSORBING DECELERATION** [54] BARRIERS
- John C. Fitch, Lakeville, Conn. [75] Inventor:
- Energy Absorption Systems, Inc., Assignee: [73] Chicago, Ill.
- Appl. No.: 748,122 [21]
- Dec. 6, 1976 Filed: [22]

Re. 29,544 [11] E [45] Reissued Feb. 21, 1978

[56] **References** Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,808,767	6/1931	DeGloria et al
1,926,131	9/1933	Wilde 256/1
2,088,087	7/1937	Hudson 256/1
3,141,655	7/1964	Platt
3,288,440	11/1966	Schimmelpenninck et al 256/13.1

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,293,615	4/1962	France

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Reissue of:

[64]	Patent No.:	3,606,258	
	Issued:	Sept. 20, 1971	
	Appl. No.:	788,890	
	Filed:	Jan. 2, 1969	

U.S. Applications:

Continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 665,359, Sept. 5, 1967, [63] abandoned.

[51]	Int. Cl. ²	E01F 15/00
	U.S. Cl.	
	Field of Search	
		404/8, 9

766,944 1/1957 United Kingdom 256/13.1

Primary Examiner-Werner H. Schroeder Attorney, Agent, or Firm-James B. Blanchard

ABSTRACT [57]

Highway safety devices comprising an array of energy absorbing barrier units each preferably comprising a dispersible mass, effective, when struck by a vehicle, to bring the vehicle to rest at a rate of deceleration tolerable to the vehicle occupants without imposing an overturning or lifting moment on the vehicle.

11 Claims, 19 Drawing Figures





U.S. Patent Feb. 21, 1978 Sheet 1 of 7 Re. 29,544

-



Ŧ17.1

#

.

.

.

-



٠

Λ





.

.

- - -

gadt c

.

INVENTOR. JOHN C. FITCH BY Strauch Nolan Neale NuestKung ATTORNEYS

Re. 29,544 U.S. Patent Sheet 2 of 7 Feb. 21, 1978





U.S. Patent Feb. 21, 1978 Sheet 3 of 7 Re. 29,544



.

r

.

.

.

INVENTOR

JOHN C. FITCH

BY *Strauch Nolan Neale Nies + Kurg* ATTORNEYS

Re. 29,544 U.S. Patent Sheet 4 of 7 Feb. 21, 1978



INVENTOR

U.S. Patent Feb. 21, 1978 Sheet 5 of 7 Re. 29,544



















JOHN C. FITCH



U.S. Patent Feb. 21, 1978 Sheet 6 of 7 Re. 29,544

T.= 4.4G



•

U.S. Patent Feb. 21, 1978 Sheet 7 of 7 Re. 29,544



-

.

.



.

92g -92e - (-) 92F~~ 92a ′92c. ∕92b∛ 92d 22 *tiq.16*

tio.17

920~~~ 92c 92d-^ **`22**



Fig.18

INVENTOR.

JOHN C. FITCH BY Strauch Nolan Neale Nies + Kurg

ATTORNEYS

ENERGY ABSORBING DECELERATION BARRIERS

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the 5 original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue.

RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 665,359, filed Sept. 5, 1967, now abandoned.

3,141,655; 3,288,440, 2,088,087; 2,375,443; and 3,292,909.

Among the deficiencies of most, if not all, of these prior proposals in their tendency to substitute one uncontrolled motion for another, i.e., to deflect the vehicle into the path of an oncoming vehicle; to improse an overturning moment on the vehicle, to create a secondary hazard, i.e., where the energy absorbing devices themselves may be projected into the path of outer 10 vehicles, or to subject the vehicle and its occupants to severe deceleration forces.

The familiar guard rails of various types, median dividers, fences, and walls are "tangent deflection" devices intended to deflect any vehicle which strikes 15 them tangentially. For the most part they are intended simply to change the direction of the vehicle without appreciably reducing its velocity or momentum. Since the tangent deflection devices are usually anchored and usually have greater rigidity than vehicles, they constitute in themselves further obstructions along the highway, especially when the ends of "tangent deflection" barriers are exposed to vehicle impingement. Then when they are struck by a vehicle travelling in a path at right angles or at a high angle to the barrier, the results are often as severe as when a vehicle strikes a bridge abutment or other fixed obstruction. This is because the "tangent deflection" barrier is, in these cases, being misused to perform the function of a "head-on" type of barrier. Most, if not all, of the prior barriers are by design intended to arrest or deflect the movement of the vehicle within a fixed, usually very short, distance regardless of the speed and weight of the vehicle. The result generally is the imposition of catastrophically high forces on the vehicle and the occupants.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to highway safety devices and more particularly to such devices for decelerating uncontrolled or improperly controlled vehicles as they 20 approach immovable obstructions such as bridge abutments or as they leave the highway at a point of particular danger.

As is well known, the matter of reducing the highway fatality and injury rate has been the subject of increasing 25 attention in recent years. The matter of highway safety includes a number of factors such as the quality of the vehicle, the training, skill and experience of the driver, the highway system itself and the establishment and enforcement of intelligent safety laws. Limited progress 30 is being made in all of these areas.

Studies have demonstrated that more than a third of fatal accidents involve only one vehicle. In the usual case the driver loses control of the vehicle which then leaves the road and often strikes a fixed obstruction 35 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION unavoidably in position adjacent to the highway and which may be a part of the highway system itself such With the foregoing considerations in mind, it is the as a bridge abutment. In such a case the occupants of the principal purpose and object of the present invention to provide improved devices for decelerating vehicles vehicle can be protected only by controlling the rate of vehicle deceleration to reduce the risk of injury caused 40 which obviate the above-stated disadvantages of prior by the "second collision" which occurs when the occuproposals and which provide for controlled decelerapants strike the interior of the vehicle. tion of vehicles without the creation of the secondary Since the impact velocity of the vehicle is beyond hazards noted above. control and its final velocity is zero, the risk of injury It is a further object of the present invention to procan be reduced only by increasing the distance through 45 vide an array of barrier units of novel construction which the vehicle and is occupants are decelerated. adapted to be positioned to intercept a vehicle moving **Proposals to incorporate crushable structure in the** toward an immovable object to progressively decelerate a vehicle by displacement or dispersion of all or a vehicle for this purpose have been, for the most part, unsuccessful. Because of practical limits in vehicle size portion of the mass represented by the units. the crush distance obtainable through the most sophisti- 50 It is a further object of the present invention to procated auto design is on the order of two feet and cannot vide improved deceleration barriers which are effective exceed four or five feet in a practical automobile that to decelerate a vehicle at a controlled rate while imparting a horizontal or overturning-resisting force on the can be built for a price acceptable to the purchasing vehicle at whatever angle or attitude the vehicle strikes public. Obviously a passenger car incorporating even two or three feet of lateral crush distance making the 55 the barrier. overall width of the vehicle 10 feet or more is not feasi-It is an additional object of the present invention to provide improved deceleration barriers for vehicles ble. Similarly, it is not feasible to increase significantly the crush distance of the fixed obstructions which necwhich are effective to "catch" the vehicle without subessarily possess a high degree of rigidity. stantial deflection of the vehicle while simultaneously Accordingly, it has been proposed to introduce sacri- 60 eliminating the so-called ramp or lifting effects. ficial energy absorbing devices in the path of the vehicle It is also an object of the present invention to provide adjacent to heavy fixed obstructions. Despite the need improved deceleration barriers which are of relatively for such devices and their obvious advantages, insofar uncomplicated mechanical construction and which can as presently known none have been accepted in any be manufactured and sold and erected at a cost which permits their use as sacrificial units. significant number either because of lack of efficiency, 65 prohibitive cost or because in some cases they increase In attaining these and other objects, the present inthe hazard or become hazardous themselves. Examples vention provides an array of sacrificial arrier units comof such prior proposals may be found in U.S. Pats. prising weights spaced and arranged to suit the require-

3

ments of a particular installation. Preferably, the individual weights are light, breakable containers the upper portion of which contains a dispersible mass such as sand or water and the lower portion of which is of light-weight crushable construction to prevent a ramp 5 effect which would project the vehicle upwards or give it an overturning vertical acceleration. Thus, the center of gravity of the individual weights is preferably at least as high as that of the average vehicle (about 22 inches) which permits the weights to impart a horizontal or 10 overturning-resisting force on the vehicle at whatever attitude or angle the vehicle strikes the weight. Each weight may be connected to the other weights by a cable system with the cables being so arranged as to "catch" the vehicle in such a manner to prevent the 15 vehicle from proceeding over or nosing under the cable and to prevent injury to the occupants of the vehicle by the cables. The individual weights preferably comprise sand filled frangible plastic containers which are of relatively 20 inexpensive, knockdown construction. The containers may be easily transported to the site, erected, filled with a dispersible mass and covered or sealed. Since they are not anchored and require little or no site preparation, their installation or replacement may be accomplished 25 at minimum cost.

4

DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Referring now more particularly to the drawings the barrier system of the present invention is illustrated in FIG. 1 in a typical application, i.e., adjacent the highway 20 in position to intercept and decelerate a vehicle 22 travelling toward a fixed obstruction 24. The barrier system, indicated generally at 26, comprises a series of individual units 28a through 28g which will be described in detail below. The number, arrangement and mass of the individual units may be varied as required to meet the requirements of a particular installation. In general, the use of a relatively large number of small units is to be preferred since such a system is in effect a variable capacity device. For example, a relatively light vehicle travelling at relatively slow speed will engage or displace perhaps only two or three of the units and the consequences of the impact between the vehicle and the units will be minimized. A larger vehicle or a vehicle travelling at a high speed may engage and displace all of the units. Since the engagement is sequential the adverse consequences of an impact against any particular unit is again minimized. The individual units may take a variety of forms. In general they comprise a light, breakable container filled with a dispersible mass such as sand and are so constructed as to dispose their center of gravity at least at the level of the center of gravity of the vehicle. Preferably the units are of cylindrical form, this form being preferred to simplify manufacture and assure low cost and to minimize damage to the vehicle upon impact. Typically, they are from 20 to 40" in diameter and 24 to 36" high to perform their dynamic function in a practical form. A presently preferred form of the individual units is shown in FIGS. 2-6 to which detailed reference will now be made. The containers comprise an essential cylindrical body 30, a lid 32 and a base or core assembly 34. Preferably, for ease of manufacture, the body 30 comprises identical halves 36 and 38 secured together as by rivets 40. When the two halves are secured together they form a cylinder open at the top and bottom. While there are many materials suitable for the fabrication of the container body, a structural foam plastic manufactured by Union Carbide Corporation has proved to be particularly well suited to this purpose. This material has a density of approximately 45 lbs. per cubic foot and a tensile strength of 1000 lbs per square inch. Such a material is 50 durable and weather resistant and yet upon heavy impact breaks into relatively small pieces which in themselves do not constitute a secondary hazard. To increase the frangibility of the body, it is provided with a series of spiral indentations 42 which, in effect, constitute break lines. The cover 32 is a single piece of high density polyethylene. The cover and the body are provided with a mating snap lock tongue and groove construction 44 which provides an effective moisture seal and minimizes the possibility of unauthorized removal of the cover. The core 34 is preferably formed of a rigid polyurethane foam having a density of approximately two lbs. per cubic foot. To reduce the density of the core and to increase its crushability it is provided with several voids 65 46. It will be understood that other materials may also be used for the core it being necessary only that the core be crushable, of low density, and of sufficient rigidity to support the contents of the container.

DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a diagrammatic top plan view of the barrier in accordance with the present invention shown in its 30 normal initially installed configuration;

FIGS. 2 and 3 are side elevations taken 90° apart of one form of the individual barrier units;

FIG. 4 is a transverse section taken along line 4-4 of FIG. 2;

FIGS. 5 and 6 are fragmentary enlarged sections taken along lines 5—5 and 6—6 of FIG. 3 showing details of construction of the containers;

FIG. 7 is a perspective view illustrating the components of a knockdown container;

FIG. 8 is an exploded view of the container of FIG. 7 shown partially erected;

FIG. 9 is a perspective view of the container components of FIGS. 7 and 8 shown erected and installed;

FIG. 10 is a vertical section taken along line 10-10 45 of FIG. 9;

FIG. 11 is a vertical section illustrating a slightly modified container;

FIG. 12 is an exploded view showing the components of another form of container;

FIG. 13 is a perspective view showing the components of FIG. 12 assembled and installed;

FIG. 14 illustrates a further modification of one of the individual units;

FIG. 15 illustrates graphically a specific array of units 55 and performance data;

FIG. 16 is a diagrammatic top plan view of another embodiment of the barrier in its normal initially in-

stalled configuration;

FIG. 17 is a view similar to FIG. 16 but illustrating 60 the configuration of the barrier system after it has been struck by a vehicle;

FIG. 18 is an enlarged view showing a pair of interconnected units incorporated in the barrier system of FIGS. 16 and 17; and

FIG. 19 is a view similar to FIG. 16 but showing a modified application of the barrier system of the present invention.

In the interests of standardization it is contemplated that the containers will be provided in a minimum number of basic sizes and it is believed that two such sizes will suffice for presently existing requirements. For example in one basic size the container may have a 5 diameter of approximately 36" and a height of approximately 31". The overall weight of the unit can be varied either by varying the extent to which the space above the core 34 is filled with sand or by varying the height of the filler core. For example, if the height of 10 the core is 17" the weight of the container, when filled with sand, will be approximately 800 lbs. The weight of the unit may be reduced by partial filling to about 400 lbs. The weight may be increased to about 1000 lbs. by reducing the height of the core to 12". Conveniently, 15 the containers can be made larger simply by elongating the body **30**. In a specific case a 1400 lb. barrier unit may be produced by increasing the overall height of the body to approximately 36", by using a 12" core and filling the space above the core fully with sand. In all 20 cases it will be noted that the center of gravity of the barrier is well above its vertical midpoint. It is usually just below the vertical midpoint of the material in the space above the core. It is important that the center of gravity of the unit be disposed essentially at the same 25 lever as the center of gravity of the impacting vehicle to avoid imparting vertical acceleration to the vehicle. In the average passenger car the center of gravity is approximately 22" above the supporting roadway. Dry sand is a preferred filler for the container since it 30 has relatively high mass per unit volume and is readily available at low cost. It has many of the characteristics of a fluid and it may be dispersed with minimal damage to the colliding vehicle or to other adjacent vehicles. The sealed cover 32 keeps the sand essentially moisture 35 free so that it will not freeze and become a solid mass in the winter time. At the time of installation of the barrier system, a supply of container bodies, lids and cores and a supply of sand is trucked to the desired site. The container 40 bodies are then arranged in the desired pattern. Since they are of light-weight construction they may be set in place manually without difficulty. Little or no site preparation is required since the units are not anchored to the ground and since they impose a load on the support-45 ing surface of not more than approximately 2 lbs. per square inch the available surface is adequate for support. After the container bodies are suitably arranged, the cores are slipped in place, the space above the cores is filled to the desired level with sand and the lids are 50 snapped in place. The number, size and disposition of the weights depends on the anticipated speeds and weight for the vehicles travelling along the adjacent highway and are thus subject to some variations. A typical array of weights shown diagrammatically in 55 FIG. 1 and graphically in FIG. 15 is intended for installation in front of a fixed abutment adjacent a highway along which passenger cars are expected to travel at the

depth of penetration of the vehicle into the barrier system before it is brought to rest depends upon the initial engagement speed of the vehicle and its weight. The braking effort exerted by the driver of the vehicle can be ignored for all practical purposes. In all cases regardless of the direction of the vehicle it will engage and displace the units sequentially and only a predetermined unit at any one time is instantaneously accelerated to the vehicle speed thus causing a slow controlled vehicle deceleration with minimum damage to the vehicle and with minimum risk to the vehicle occupants.

Two phenomena are involved when a vehicle is stopped by the barrier system. Initially, the deceleration of the vehicle occurs because of a transfer of momentum from the vehicle to the barrier. In the final portion

of the deceleration, the frictional forces become predominant. High speed photography has established that when a vehicle travelling at highway speed strikes the first unit, the unit virtually explodes. A fan of sand is thrown out from the vehicle primarily in a plane normal to the vehicle with the sand being instantaneously accelerated to approximately the vehicle speed. Since the vehicle thereafter decelerates rapidly, the sand fans out ahead of the vehicle and falls to the ground thereby dissipating its acquired energy through air resistance and sliding friction. This action continues with decreasing vigor until the vehicle no longer strikes the units with sufficient speed to throw the sand into the air. The vehicle then begins to push the remaining sand as a homogeneous unit dissipating energy directly as friction until both the vehicle and the barrier come to rest. Thus the inertia of the sand is utilized at high speeds as a decelerating agent while at the low speeds the weight and the internal and surface friction of the sand mass becomes predominant.

It is now well known that most injuries are caused by the "second collision" which occurs when the occupant strikes the interior of the vehicle after the vehicle strikes an object.

In the illustrated embodiment it will be noted that a relatively light unit 28a is disposed a considerable distance from the remainder of the weights to form a gap in the barrer. The size and placement of this unit is selected to decelerate the vehicle at a rate sufficient to create artificially the "second collision" with g forces which can be tolerated by the vehicle occupant. Thus, by the time the vehicle strikes the second unit, 28b, the occupants are solidly supported by the internal vehicle structure under which condition they can tolerate high rates of deceleration. The greater the spacing between the first and second units, the less is the relative speed between the occupant and the vehicle required to reposition the occupant against the interior of the vehicle before the vehicle reaches the remainder of the barrier. Space restrictions, however, usually limit the spacing to about 15 ft. Depending upon the assumption as to initial impact speed and the distance traversed inside the car, the initial barrier mass must be about four to five hun-

usual highway speeds.

The barrier system of the present invention comprises 60 a number of individual units rather than a single unit for several reasons. A relatively minor consideration is the ease of manufacturing, shipping and handling the smaller units.

Of much greater importance is the flexibility permit- 65 ted in arranging the units and particularly to impart to the barrier system the capability of functioning as a variable capacity device. It is to be understood that the

dred lbs.

As the vehicle progresses through the barrier, it continues to strike the individual units in a series of impacts. In the initially contacted portion of the barrier system, the deceleration of the vehicle is a function of the amount of mass impacted per foot of travel. After each mpact the sand involved in that impact is displaced out of the area of impact and continues at its own postimpact velocity while the vehicle is slowed further by succeeding impacts.

The friction effects are those which occur when the vehicle stops throwing sand and begins pushing it. When the vehicle acts as a bulldozer against the mass supported by the ground, energy is lost directly to friction between the mass and the ground. Motion pictures of impacts indicate that this transition from inertial to frictional behavior occurs at around 20 m.p.h. At speeds below 20 m.p.h. the deceleration is due primarily to the friction effects.

The unique concept of utilizing unanchored frangible 10 individual units, together with multiple impact deceleration permits the barrier system of the present invention to safely decelerate vehicles of widely different initial momentum as graphically shown in FIG. 15. As far as is known no prior barrier system which is practical for 15 general highway use is effective to decelerate a heavy automobile at a safe rate without decelerating a lighter or more rapidly travelling vehicle at a dangerously high rate. Once the basic concepts are fully appreciated, the 20 particular configuration of the array lends itself to routine mathematical analysis. If it is assumed that all of the mass of the barrier is in one unit and if it is further assumed that the collision is inelastic the reduction of vehicle speed during a single 25 impact will be the ratio of the weight of the barrier to the weight of the vehicle. However, for a large barrier extending several yards ahead of the vehicle the collision occurs as a series of impacts rather than as a single impact. For purposes of analysis the barrier may be 30 considered to be divided into an infinite number of infinitestimal small portions. From this the following relationship can be derived:

ferred form previously referred to in column 4, lines 11-22.

It will be noted from FIG. 15 that the array of weights shown will safely decelerate a 2000 lb. passenger car from a speed of 60 m.p.h. with a short duration, maximum g force on the vehicle of slightly over 12 and the same array will decelerate a 3500 lb. passenger car with a maximum g force of 6.2, both rates being within the range of human tolerance without the expectation of significant injury.

Actual tests have demonstrated conclusively that the barrier system of the present invention provides for deceleration of the vehicle and its occupants by means outside the vehicle in a survivable time-distance range with or without the use of seat belts although, as in most emergency situations, the use of seat belts affords a significant added safety factor. The barrier system dramatically reduces the second collision problem by inducing a minimal speed reduction prior to the second collision after which human tolerance of high g forces is greatly increased. It has also been established that the barrier system will operate equally well on vehicles colliding at any attitude, including broadside. A secondary, but not insignificant advantage, from the use of sand as the dispersible mass, is the substantial reduction in fire hazard. It is usually found after an arrestment that the engine compartment is liberally covered with sand which effectively inhibits the creation or maintenance of fires. Another factor of critical importance to the successful function of the barrier system is the construction of the individual units in such a manner that they do not create a ramp effect. In most, if not all prior barrier 35 systems, the vehicle is given a strong vertical or other undesirable acceleration perpendicular to the line of travel. As a result the vehicle often passes over the

$$\frac{\mathbf{V_o}}{\mathbf{V_f}} = \mathbf{L_n}^{-1} \quad \frac{\mathbf{M_b}}{\mathbf{M_v}}$$

where:

 $V_o = initial$ or original velocity

 $V_f =$ final velocity due to multiple successive impacts 40

 L_n^{-1} = "the quantity whose natural logarithm is"

 $M_b = mass$ of barrier or sand weight

 $M_{\nu} = mass$ of vehicle or car weight

If it is assumed that it is desired to decelerate a 2000 lb. vehicle from 60 m.p.h. to 0 m.p.h. and minimize the 45 second collision, the barrier configuration is derived by first determining the total weight of the dispersible mass in the barrier system. The foregoing equation yields a figure of 5250 lbs. Next the weight of the dispersible mass in the initial unit to achieve a 10 m.p.h. reduction 50 in speed must be determined. Using the same equation we arrive at a figure of about 400 lbs.

The size of the gap to permit the second collision to occur before the vehicle strikes the main barrier array can be determined by calculating the distance the vehi- 55 cle travels at 60 m.p.h. while the occupants travel a distance of approximately 2 ft. at 10 m.p.h. In a typical case the gap should be about 12-15 ft. The length of the array of barrier units, excluding the first unit, assuming an initial speed of 60 m.p.h. and a deceleration well 60 within the range of human tolerance will be about 18 ft. The size of the individual units can then be determined. To maintain deceleration at relatively constant g's the units will be of increasing size ranging from about 400 lbs. for the second unit to about 1000 lbs. for 65 the eighth unit. Additional units which may be considerably larger, i.e., 1400 lbs. each may be added as a safety factor thus conforming with the presently pre-

barrier with little or no loss of forward speed, is violently deflected or is overturned.

Because of the unique construction of the individual barrier units of the present invention the center of gravity of the units is located at or above the height of the center of gravity of the impacting vehicle thus eliminating entirely the ramp effect. Similarly, if the vehicle approaches the barrier system broadside it will not be tripped or overturned.

FIGS. 7-10, to which detailed reference will now be made, illustrate a modified form of container specifically developed to be shipped and stored in minimum space. The container comprises a body member 50, top and bottom covers 52 and 54, a core assembly 56, and a divider plate 58. All of these parts may be fabricated from any suitable material, such as plastic or treated paper, having the requisite weather resistance and sufficient strength to support the static loads imposed on them yet having low resistance to breakage on impact. At least the main body member 50 must be of flexible material or suitably hinged to permit it to be folded flat as shown in FIG. 7. Similarly, the core assembly 56 is preferably constructed of a number of separate sheets and is of the so called egg crate construction so that it may also be folded substantially flat as shown in FIG. 7. The unit may be erected by first positioning the bottom cover member 54, inserting the body member 50 into the bottom cover member after forming it to cylindrical shape, then erecting the core assembly 56 and installing it within the body. The divider plate 58 is then installed, the space above the divider filled with sand

and the assembly is completed by installation of the top cover member 52.

9

Since the entire unit is frangible, the core assembly is of light weight and crushable construction and the center of gravity of unit is artificially elevated, its function is the same as that of the barrier described above.

The barrier unit illustrated in FIG. 11 is essentially of the same construction and comprises a body member 60, a removable cover 62, a transverse floor member 64, and a low density crushable base assembly 66. In this form of the invention the base assembly comprises a series of concentric light-weight tubes 68, 70 and 72. Typically the base section is approximately 12 inches high and the height of the upper container section is 18 inches making an overall height of about 30 inches. For esthetic reasons it may be desirable in some cases to depart from the purely functional barrier unit configuration discussed above. FIGS. 12 and 13 disclose a form of barrier unit comprising a hollow light-weight crushable base or pedestal section 73 the upper end of which is adapted to receive a container 74 which is circular in top plan view and ovate in section. As before, the container is adapted to hold a dispersible mass such as sand. The top filler opening 75 may be closed with a removable cover member 76. The components of the barrier unit are preferably fabricated from lightweight, low cost plastic. In the embodiment of the invention shown in FIG. 14 the dispersible mass, such as sand, is contained within a flexible bag 80 preferably fabricated from plastic, the bottom central portion of the bag being supported on a light-weight crushable pedestal 82, and the container 80 is preferably selectively closed by a removable cover plate 84.

10

lengths will be in light tension to minimize the stress on the cables, the containers and the vehicle upon impact.

A typical impact situation is illustrated in FIG. 17. In the case illustrated the vehicle 22 has left the highway 20 in the direction of a fixed object 24. The vehicle first contacts the unit 92b which decelerates the vehicle to a certain extent with minimum damage to the vehicle. The vehicle then continues on its course until it reaches unit 92f which it displaces toward unit 92j. Immediately upon displacement of the unit 92f the unit 92c is also 10 displaced, although the rate of acceleration of the unit 92c will be initially less than that of the unit 92f because of the cable geometry. Thus, the cumulative deceleration of the vehicle continues with ultimate displacement 15 of the units 92a and 92j. As noted above, the depth of penetration of the vehicle into the barrier system before it is brought to rest depends on the initial engagement speed of the vehicle, and its weight. But in all cases, regardless of the direction of the vehicle, it will engage and displace the barrier units in a predetermined sequence thus providing the desired slow controlled vehicle deceleration. The cable arrangement assists in preventing the ramp effect since the lower cable is so located as to "catch" any vehicle below the wheel centerline and the vehicle center of gravity, and the upper cable is located to intercept the vehicle above the wheel center and the center of gravity and above the bumper or body nose. As a result the vehicle is positively intercepted by the cable system and the vehicle has no tendency to rise above the system. A double cable system also insures that cables cannot slip over the nose or hood of an average vehicle which could result in injury to the vehicle occupants. FIG. 19 illustrates another typical application of the 35 invention.

The functional advantage of the types shown in 12, 13 and 14 lies in the fact that the mass retention (the sand) is contained by tension forces only acting on the container skin.

In this case the array of barrier units, indicated generally at 106, if positioned in front of a fixed object 108 such as a large structural support at a "gore," i.e., the point where an exit ramp 110 leaves the main highway 20. It frequently happens that when a vehicle approaches the gore the driver is undecided about which lane to use and, because of this, or because of a sudden change of mind, enters the area between the main highway and the exit ramp. For many reasons it is not always feasible to avoid entirely the use of fixed objects in this area. In such a situation it has been found that the vehicle may be protected by arranging the series of units 112a, As best shown in FIG. 18 the cable system comprises 50 b, c, and d in essentially straight-line fashion in front of the fixed object 108. The individual units are of the same construction as the units described above and they are interconnected by the same type of cable system indicated generally at 114. Preferably, however, the size and mass of the individual units in this barrier system is increased as they approach the fixed object in order to increase the rate of deceleration of the vehicles as they approach the object.

The individual barrier units shown in FIGS. 12, 13 $_{40}$ and 14 are functionally the same as the units described above.

FIGS. 16, 17, 18 and 19 illustrate a modification of the barrier system of the present invention in which the individual barrier units are interconnected in a unique 45 manner by a cable system comprising a series of dual cable assembles each indicated at 90. The individual units 92a–92k are preferably of cylindrical form as illustrated in FIGS. 2-11.

upper and lower cables 94 and 96 connected at suitable intervals by vertical strands 98. At their opposite ends the upper and lower cables 94 and 96 are attached to cable loops 100 and 102 which encircle the container and base respectively, the loops being held at the proper 55 height by a series of projections 104 provided in the wall of the base and container. Typically the upper cable 94 will be at an elevation of approximately 26 inches above ground and the lower cable 96 at an elevation of some 10 inches. The cables may be of light- 60 weight steel or nylon having sufficient tensile strength. Nylon is preferred for some installations since it is essentially weatherproof, and because of its stretchability, functions as an energy absorber.

Such an arrangement also has the advantages that a

In use, the containers are first arranged in the desired 65 pattern. The cable lengths 90 are then connected and the individual containers are filled with sand. Then final adjustments are made to assure that the individual cable

maximum free space is provided in the approaches to the object while providing, in the region closely adjacent to the fixed object, mass of sufficient size to provide full protection for the vehicle and its occupants. From the foregoing it will be apparent that the abovestated objects and advantages of the invention have been obtained by the provision of a safety barrier for decelerating vehicles by means independent of the vehicle in a survivable time-distance range whether or

11

not the occupants are provided with seat belts. The barrier system permits utilization of all the distance which is available in a given hazardous fixed object site making it possible to bring the vehicle to a safe stop with little or no injury to passengers and minor damage 5 to the vehicle.

The barrier system of the present invention drastically reduces the second collision problem by inducing a minimal speed reduction to create the second collision under controlled conditions after which human toler- 10 ance to higher g forces is greatly increased. [The deceleration of the vehicle is not accompanied by the production of secondary hazards particularly in the form of still integral flying masses.]

[Most important, the barrier mass is so arranged so 15 that no passenger car, however shaped or constructed, can climb over or nose under the barrier. The barrier system of the present invention has another significant advantage over known prior systems, namely, its low cost. The cost of installation of the 20 barrier system of the present invention is nominal as compared to the costs of other highway safety devices. For example, the cost of materials used in a test installation of typical dimensions is under \$1000. The special containers used as the individual barrier 25 units are shipped directly to the highway maintenance depot nearest the erection site. Special tools and equipment are not required for their installation, the only requirement being that the area on which the array is to be placed is reasonably level. The maintenance crew 30 merely places the containers in position according to the pre-engineered diagram for each site and fills them with sand to the level called for. After collision, the damaged barrier units are replaced and can be refilled with the original sand, supplemented as required.

12

Re. 29,544

tainer at least partially filled with a dispersible mass, the center of gravity of each of said units being disposed above its vertical midpoint, a first series of flexible connectors interconnecting the upper portions of said units, a second series of flexible connectors interconnecting the lower portions of said units, and a third series of flexible connectors interconnecting said first and second series of connectors.

5. The apparatus according to claim 4 wherein said units are disposed between a roadway and a fixed abutment, said units extending away from said abutment in an essentially straight line and the mass of said units progressively decreasing in a direction away from said abutment.

6. The apparatus according to claim 4 wherein said

What is claimed and desired to be secured by Letters Patent is:

units are arranged in a group comprising a series of rows in front of a fixed hazard the width of said group in a direction transverse to the path of said vehicle being greater than the width of said vehicle whereby said vehicle will initially contact and displace only certain units, other of said units being progressively displaced upon movement of said vehicle into said group to thereby decelerate said vehicle at a controlled rate as it approaches said hazard.

7. The apparatus according to claim 4 wherein said units are arranged in a group adjacent to a fixed abutment to intercept a vehicle travelling toward the abutment, said units being of generally decreasing mass in a direction away from said abutment there being a substantial space between the unit most remote from said abutment and the next adjacent unit.

8. Sacrificial inertial barrier apparatus for decelerating a vehicle as it approaches a hazardous area comprising a plurality of individual frangible barrier units 35 adapted to be positioned in the path of said vehicle in a manner to be substantially freely displaceable upon impact by said vehicle, at least the upper portion of said units comprising a dispersible mass of predetermined density and said units having a lower light-weight collapsible support portion, the density of said lower support portion being appreciably below said predetermined density, the mass and density of said upper portion of said units being so related to the mass and density of said lower support portion, as to dispose the center of gravity of said barrier units substantially at the level of the center of gravity of said vehicle when said barrier units are in a static state prior to impact as well as during the incidence of impact whereby upon impact, the inertia of the dispersible mass decelerates the vehicle without imparting a substantial vertical moment to the vehicle, and said units being arranged in a pattern of increasing mass in the direction of movement of said vehicle. 9. The barrier apparatus according to claim 8 wherein said units are arranged in a group adjacent to a fixed abutment to intercept the vehicle travelling toward the abutment, the ones of said units adjacent to said abutment being closely adjacent to each other and there being a substantial space between the unit most remote from said abutment and the next adjacent unit. 10. The apparatus according to claim 8 wherein both the magnitude of the dispersible mass in the individual units and the number of individual units increase in said pattern in the direction toward said hazardous area with the volume of said individual units occupied by said lower support portion decrease correspondingly in the direction toward said hazardous area.

1. Sacrificial inertial barrier apparatus for decelerating a vehicle as it approaches a hazardous area comprising at least one frangible barrier unit adapted to be 40 positioned in the path of said vehicle in a manner to be substantially freely displaceable upon impact by said vehicle, at least the upper portion of said unit comprising a dispersible mass of predetermined density and said unit having a lower light-weight collapsible support 45 portion, the density of said lower support portion being appreciably below said predetermined density, the mass and density of said upper portion of said unit being so related to the mass and density of said lower support portion as to dispose the center of gravity of said barrier 50 units substantially at the level of the center of gravity of said vehicle when said barrier unit is in a static state prior to impact as well as during the incidence of impact whereby upon impact the inertia of the dispersible mass decelerates the vehicle without imparting a substantial 55 vertical moment to the vehicle.

 The apparatus according to claim 1 wherein said units are held in position on a supporting surface solely by their weight.
The barrier apparatus according to claim 1, 60 wherein said barrier unit is essentially cylindrical, and its axis is essentially vertical.
Apparatus for decelerating a vehicle as it approaches a hazardous area comprising a series of individual barrier units adapted to be arranged on a surface 65 adjacent to said area, said units being freely moveable on said surface and displaceable upon impact by said vehicle, each of said units comprising a frangible con-

11. The apparatus according to claim 10 wherein said units are arranged in accordance with the expression

Re. 29,544 14 $V_o =$ initial or original velocity, $V_i =$ final velocity due to multiple successive impacts, $L_n^{-1} =$ "the quantity whose natural logarithm is", $\frac{V_0}{V_t} = L_n^{-1} \frac{M_b}{M_v}$ $M_b = mass of the barrier impacted, and <math>M_v = mass of an impacting vehicle.$ 5

.

.

where:

13

.

.

10

15





