

(12) **United States Plant Patent**
Hancock

(10) **Patent No.:** **US PP21,777 P3**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 15, 2011**

(54) **BLUEBERRY PLANT DENOMINATED**
'HURON'

(50) Latin Name: *Vaccinium corymbosum*
Varietal Denomination: **Huron**

(75) Inventor: **James F. Hancock**, East Lansing, MI
(US)

(73) Assignee: **Board of Trustees of Michigan State**
University, East Lansing, MI (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **12/386,216**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 15, 2009**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2010/0269233 P1 Oct. 21, 2010

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A01H 5/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **Plt./157**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** **Plt./157**
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

PP15,103 P3 8/2004 Hancock
PP15,146 P3 9/2004 Hancock
PP15,185 P3 9/2004 Hancock

Primary Examiner—Kent L Bell

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Harness, Dickey & Pierce,
P.L.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

'Huron' is a new blueberry cultivar of primarily *Vaccinium corymbosum* from the Michigan State University breeding program. The rest of its parentage is from *V. darrowii* (12.5%) and *V. angustifolium* (3.15%). It is a productive, early ripening cultivar with very high fresh market quality and a long storage life. It is intended for areas where northern highbush cultivars are grown successfully. Plants of 'Huron' are vigorous and upright. Canes are numerous, moderately branched and the fruit are well exposed. Its berries are moderately large, have small, dry picking scars, medium blue color, excellent firmness and superior flavor, if allowed to fully ripen.

2 Drawing Sheets

1

Latin name and variety denomination: The present invention relates to a new and distinct variety of *Vaccinium corymbosum*, which is hereby denominated 'Huron.'

SUMMARY

The present invention relates to a new and distinct variety of highbush blueberry plant, denominated 'Huron.' 'Huron' is a new blueberry cultivar of primarily *Vaccinium corymbosum* from the Michigan State University breeding program. The rest of its parentage is from *V. darrowii* (12.5%) and *V. angustifolium* (3.15%). It is a productive, early ripening cultivar with very high fresh market quality and a long storage life. It is intended for areas where northern highbush cultivars are grown successfully. Plants of 'Huron' are vigorous and upright. Canes are numerous, moderately branched and the fruit are well exposed. Its berries are moderately large, have small, dry picking scars, medium blue color, excellent firmness and superior flavor, if allowed to fully ripen. The size of the fruit is unusually regular and is presented in a loose cluster.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention will become more fully understood from the detailed description and the accompanying drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1 is a photographic print in full color of a 'Huron' blueberry bush in the late stage of ripening, where the bush is in the foreground and the additional plants or portions thereof in the background and the grass on the ground are not part of the 'Huron' blueberry plant; and

2

FIG. 2 is a photographic print in full color illustrating a 'Huron' branch with exemplary fruit clusters, where most, but not all, of the fruit shown is mature.

DETAILED BOTANICAL DESCRIPTION

The following is a detailed botanical description of the new and distinct variety of blueberry denominated 'Huron,' its flowers, fruit and foliage. The original selection of 'Huron' was evaluated at the Southwest Michigan Research and Extension at Benton Harbor, Mich. for ten years. Hardwood cuttings were also set in a replicated design with 26 other Michigan State University selections at Grand Junction, Mich., South Haven, Mich., Lacota, Mich., Corvallis, Oreg., and Lowell, Oreg. The plantings in Michigan were evaluated for seven years, while the plantings in Oregon were evaluated for two years.

The first harvest of 'Huron' falls between the most widely grown early cultivar 'Duke' and the most important midseason ones, 'Draper' and 'Bluecrop'. 'Huron' has larger fruit than 'Bluecrop' and 'Duke', as well as a longer shelf life. It is a little smaller fruited than 'Draper' with slightly darker fruit, but its fruiting season is earlier. 'Draper' is described in "Blueberry plant denominated 'Draper,'" U.S. Plant Pat. No. 15,103 to Hancock, which was filed on Jan. 23, 2003 and issued Aug. 24, 2004, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

Emasculated flowers of MU-6566, the male parent (i.e., the seed parent), were pollinated in 1991 with pollen from G-344U, the female parent. The seeds were germinated, grown in a greenhouse for 1 year and then field planted at the

Southwest Michigan Research and Extension Center (SWM-REC) in Benton Harbor, Mich. ‘Huron’ was selected from a group of 87 siblings in 1997.

MU-6566 [MU-22 (‘Ashworth’×‘Bluecrop’)×MU-13 (‘Ashworth’×‘Earliblue’)] originated from the USDA/ARS collaborative breeding program. It was originally selected at Jonesboro, Me. and evaluated later at Grand Junction, Mich. for 6 years. It had an unusually late flowering date for an early genotype and very high yields, but its fruit were dark and weak flavored. G-344 [US 75 (‘Bluecrop’×*V. darrowii* Fla 4b)×Elizabeth)], also came from the USDA/ARS collaborative breeding program and was originally selected in Ham-
monton, N.J. It was later evaluated at Grand Junction, Mich. for 10 years. The fruit of G-344 were firm, powder blue with a pleasant, complex flavor, but many of its flower buds were damaged by winter cold, presumably due to a high contribu-
tion (25%) of the native southern species, *V. darrowii*, in its ancestry.

‘Huron’ is moderately self-fertile but requires’ pollination from another highbush blueberry cultivar for maximum fruit development.

‘Huron’ may be propagated by hardwood cuttings in a greenhouse and then planted in the field. Initiation of root development from hardwood cuttings may take about four to six weeks. In addition, ‘Huron’ may be propagated by rooted softwood cuttings. Furthermore, generation of micro-shoots in a greenhouse using established tissue culture methods may be used to produce plants of ‘Huron.’

Initiation of root development from microshoots takes about three to four weeks. Such methods are discussed in the following references: Doran, W. L. and Bailey, J. S. “Propa-
gation of the high bush blueberry by softwood cuttings,” Bulletin Massachusetts Agricultural Experiment Station; no. 410. Amherst, Mass. Massachusetts State College, 1943; Doehlert, C. A. “Propagating blueberries from hardwood cut-
tings,” Circular (New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Sta-
tion) 490. New Brunswick, N.J. New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, 1945; Doehlert, C. A. “Propagating blue-
berries from hardwood cuttings,” Circular (New Jersey Agri-
cultural Experiment Station) 551. New Brunswick, N.J.: New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, 1953; Zimmerman, R. H. 1991. Micropropagation of temperate zone fruit and nut
crops. In: Debergh, P. C. and Zimmerman, R. H. (eds.) Micro-
propagation: Technology and application. Kluwer, Dor-
drecht; El Shiekh, A.; Wildung, D. K.; Luby, J. J.; Sargent, K. L.; Read, P. E. “Long term effects of propagation by tissue
culture or softwood single node cuttings on growth habit, yield, and berry weight of ‘Northblue’ blueberry,” Journal of
the American Society for Horticultural Science. 1996, 121: 2, 339 342; Galletta, G. J.; Ballington, J. R.; Daubeney, H. A.; Brennan, R. M.; Reisch, B. J.; Pratt, C.; Ferguson, A. R.; Seal, A. G.; McNeilage, M. A.; Fraser, L. G.; Harvey, C. F.; Beat-
son, R. A.; Hancock, J. F.; Scott, D. H.; Lawrence, F. J.; Janick, J. (ed.); Moore, J. N. “Fruit breeding. Volume II. Vine
and small fruits,” Department of Horticulture, Purdue Uni-
versity, West Lafayette, Ind. 1996 John Wiley and Sons; New York; USA; Strik, B.; Brun, C.; Ahmedullah, M.; Antonelli, A.; Askham, L.; Barney, D.; Bristow, P.; Fisher, G.; Hart, J.; Havens, D. Draper A. D. and Chandler C. K. “Accelerating
highbush blueberry selection evaluation by early propaga-
tion,” Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Sci-
ence. 1986 111(2): 301-303; Pritts M. P. and Hancock J. F. (Eds.) “Highbush blueberry production guide,” Northeast
Regional Agricultural Engineering Service, Ithaca, N.Y., USA 1992.

Taxonomic characteristics disclosed herein are standard in the practice (R E Gough, R J Hindle, and V G Shutak, “Iden-

tification of Ten Highbush Blueberry Cultivars using Mor-
phological Characteristics,” *HortScience* 11 (5): 512-4, 1976). Color descriptions, except those given in common
terms, are presented in Royal Horticultural Society Colour Chart designations. In cases where the color descriptions
cited from The Royal Horticultural Society Colour Chart differ from the colors shown in the drawings, the colors cited
from The Royal Horticultural Society Colour Chart should be considered accurate. Any deviation from these colors in the
drawings is due to failure of the photographic process to exactly duplicate the colors of nature. In addition, fruit color
designations in Table 1 are applicable only to mature fruit.

TABLE 1

‘Huron’ Characteristics	
Characteristic	‘Huron’
Bush:	
Mature height:	1.4 m
Mature width:	0.9 m
Height/width ratio:	1.5
Growth habit:	Upright
Annual renewal canes:	32-65
Internode length on spring shoots:	2-3 cm
Mature cane color:	Grayed-green (197A)
Mature cane length:	1.0-1.4 m
Mature cane width:	0.5-2.5 cm
Bark texture:	Smooth to rough
Fall color on new shoots:	Yellow green (146C) with blushes of red-purple (61B)
Foliage:	
Leaf shape:	Ovoid to Elliptic
Apex shape:	Acute
Base shape:	Obtuse
Leaf length:	4.7-6.7 cm (5.4 cm average)
Leaf width:	2.0-2.8 cm (2.6 cm average)
Leaf length/width ratio:	2.0
Leaf margin:	entire
Leaf nectarines:	Absent
Pubescence:	none
Color upper surface:	green (137C)
Color lower surface:	green (138C)
Petiole length:	3.0 cm
Petiole color:	Green (137C)
Bud:	
Bud shape:	Ob lanceolate
Bud width:	2.0-3.0 mm (2.1 mm average)
Bud length:	4.1 mm-5.3 mm (5.1 mm average)
Color:	Reddish-brown
Blossoms:	
Shape of corolla:	Elongate-ureolate
Calyx:	5 lobed
Style length:	7-9 mm
Color of open flower:	White
Flower # per cluster:	8-9
Pistil:	One per flower
Pistil Color:	Green (137A)
Pistil length:	7-9 mm
Flower diameter:	5-6 mm
Flower length:	7-9 mm
Fragrance:	Faint blueberry aroma
Reproductive Organs:	
Type:	Berry
Seed size:	1.7 mm
Number of seeds:	20-50 (42 average)
Mature fruit:	
Length:	1.0-1.2 cm (1.1 cm average)
Width:	1.5-1.9 cm (1.75 cm average)
Color:	Violet Blue (98A)

TABLE 1-continued

‘Huron’ Characteristics	
Characteristic	‘Huron’
Shape:	Globose, uniform
Color with bloom:	Violet blue (98D)
Color without bloom:	Blue (103A)
Pedicel scar size:	1.8 mm
Pedicel length:	4-6 mm
Pedicel color:	Green (137A)
Peduncle length:	5-6 cm
Peduncle color:	Green (137A)
Average weight:	1.7 g

Consistent high yields at multiple sites in Michigan indicate that the buds and wood of ‘Huron’ are tolerant to fluctuating late fall and spring temperatures. It is exceptionally late flowering and was one of the few early to mid-season genotypes to survive a late frost in the mid-1990s. ‘Huron’ also has excellent winter hardiness, as it has routinely been challenged with mid-winter temperatures below –20° C.

In the trials conducted in Michigan at Grand Junction, ‘Huron’ was consistently one of the top rated advanced selections. It had among the highest fruit load of any of the early to midseason cultivars and the best flavor, as illustrated in Tables 2, 3, and 5. The average date of first harvest was 5 days before ‘Draper’ and six days after ‘Duke’. The fruit of ‘Huron’ was slightly softer than ‘Draper’ and much firmer than ‘Bluecrop’ and ‘Duke’. ‘Huron’s’ fruit were smaller than ‘Draper’, but larger than ‘Duke’ and ‘Bluecrop’. Its fruit color was similar to ‘Duke’, but a little darker than ‘Bluecrop’ and ‘Draper’. ‘Huron’ fruit had a storage life as long as ‘Draper’, which was several weeks longer than ‘Duke’ and ‘Bluecrop,’ as illustrated in Table 4. ‘Huron’ had the second highest levels of soluble solids next to ‘Draper’ and the second lowest acidity next to ‘Duke’. The evaluations done at Lacota and South Haven were informal, but generally mirrored the observations made at Grand Junction.

In the trials conducted in Oregon, ‘Huron’ was superior to all but a few of the advanced selections. However, its yields were not as high as in Michigan and its fruit were a little softer and smaller. Its fruit flavor and firmness was superior to ‘Bluecrop,’ but not ‘Draper.’ ‘Draper’ and ‘Bluecrop’ also had higher yields than ‘Huron’.

TABLE 2

Mean fruit ratings and ranges (parenthesis) of ‘Duke,’ ‘Huron,’ ‘Draper,’ and ‘Bluecrop’ at Grand Junction, Michigan from 2001-2007. Two year old plants were set in 1999 at 4 × 10’ spacing with 26 other Michigan State University selections. Evaluations were made when the bushes were about 50% ripe.							
Cultivar	Date of 1 st harvest	Weight (g)	Color	Pick-ing scar	Firm-ness	Flavor	Fruit load
‘Duke’	7/3 (6/26-7/11)	1.5 (1.4-2.0)	7 ^Z (7-8)	8 (7-9)	8 (7-9)	6 (5-7)	7 (7-9)
‘Huron’	7/9 (6/28-7/22)	1.7 (1.6-1.9)	7 (7-8)	8 (7-9)	8 (7-9)	9 (8-9)	8 (8-9)
‘Draper’	7/14 (7/10-7/19)	2.1 (1.5-2.6)	8 (8-9)	9 (8-9)	9 (8-9)	8 (8-9)	8 (7-9)

TABLE 2-continued

Mean fruit ratings and ranges (parenthesis) of ‘Duke,’ ‘Huron,’ ‘Draper,’ and ‘Bluecrop’ at Grand Junction, Michigan from 2001-2007. Two year old plants were set in 1999 at 4 × 10’ spacing with 26 other Michigan State University selections. Evaluations were made when the bushes were about 50% ripe.							
Cultivar	Date of 1 st harvest	Weight (g)	Color	Pick-ing scar	Firm-ness	Flavor	Fruit load
‘Bluecrop’	7/14 (7/4-7/21)	1.6 (1.4-2.0)	8 (7-8)	7 (7-8)	7 (7-8)	6 (5-7)	7 (8-9)

^ZThe rating scale 1-9, with 1-4 = inferior, 5-6 = acceptable, 7 = good, 8 = very good, and 9 = superior.

TABLE 3

Taste panel results for ‘Duke,’ ‘Huron,’ ‘Draper,’ and ‘Bluecrop’ in 2006 and 2007. The taste panel consisted of 25-30 individuals representing a cross section of the East Lansing, MI community. The panelists were given 5 fully ripe fruit of each variety and were asked to sample the fruit together. They rated it from 1 (poor) to 10 (superior) for sweetness, tartness, texture and overall flavor.				
Cultivar	Sweetness	Tartness	Texture	Overall Flavor
‘Duke’	5.1 (5.1-5.2)	6.0 (6.0-6.3)	7.2 (7.1-6.9)	5.9 (5.6-6.2)
‘Huron’	6.2 (5.8-6.6)	5.7 (5.6-5.8)	6.9 (6.5-7.3)	7.2 (7.0-7.4)
‘Draper’	5.3 (4.7-6.0)	6.1 (5.7-6.5)	7.5 (7.5-7.6)	6.6 (6.1-7.0)
‘Bluecrop’	6.1 (5.7-6.5)	6.0 (5.8-6.2)	7.0 (6.9-7.1)	7.2 (7.1-7.3)

TABLE 4

Chemical and physical measurements of the fruit of ‘Duke,’ ‘Huron,’ ‘Draper,’ and ‘Bluecrop’ harvested in Grand Junction Michigan in 2006 and 2007. Five fruit samples were evaluated for soluble solids (SS), titratable acidity (TA) and firmness (g/mm). Storage life was calculated as the number of weeks that the majority of fruit remained firm at 5° C.					
Cultivar	SS	TA	SS/TA	Firmness (g/mm)	Storage life
‘Duke’	11.6 (10.8-12.4)	0.59 (0.58-0.61)	19.7 (17.7-21.3)	302 (250-352)	3.0 (1.0-5)
‘Huron’	11.5 (11.0-12.0)	0.65 (0.61-0.69)	17.7 (18.0-17.4)	359 (336-383)	6.0 (5-7)
‘Draper’	12.9 (12.9-13.0)	0.81 (0.97-0.65)	15.9 (13.2-20.0)	345 (320-365)	6.0 (5-7)
‘Bluecrop’	11.0 (10.0-12.0)	0.82 (0.75-0.89)	13.4 (13.3-13.5)	202 (180-222)	2.5 (1-4)

TABLE 5

Mean fruit ratings of ‘Draper,’ ‘Huron,’ and ‘Bluecrop’ at Lowell and Corvallis, OR from 2001-2002. Two-year-old plants were set in 2000 at 4 × 10’ spacing with 26 other Michigan State University selections. Evaluations were made when the bushes were 50% ripe. All values were similar in the two years.							
Location	Cultivar	Fruit load	Size	Color	Picking scar	Firm-ness	Flavor
Corvallis	‘Draper’	8 ^Z	8	8	8	9	8
	‘Huron’	7	7	7	7	8	8
	‘Bluecrop’	8	7	7	7	7	6

TABLE 5-continued

Mean fruit ratings of ‘Draper,’ ‘Huron,’ and ‘Bluecrop’ at Lowell and Corvallis, OR from 2001-2002. Two-year-old plants were set in 2000 at 4 × 10’ spacing with 26 other Michigan State University selections. Evaluations were made when the bushes were 50% ripe. All values were similar in the two years.							
Location	Cultivar	Fruit load	Size	Color	Picking scar	Firm-ness	Flavor
Lowell	‘Draper’	8	8	8	8	9	8
	‘Huron’	7	7	7	7	8	7
	‘Bluecrop’	8	7	7	7	7	6

^ZThe rating scale 1-9, with 1-4 = inferior, 5-6 = acceptable, 7 = good, 8 = very good, and 9 = superior.

Blueberry growers in Michigan and the cooler production regions across the USA, Europe, and Canada will find ‘Huron’ desirable as a new early northern highbush variety. However, some fruit pedicles of ‘Huron’ fruit remain attached in very hot weather. The fruit of ‘Huron’ also develops sugar slowly and if picked too early can be very tart. In addition, the fruit clusters of ‘Huron’ are relatively tight, which may reduce picking efficiency.

What is claimed is:

1. A new and distinct highbush blueberry plant, substantially as illustrated and described herein.

* * * * *



Figure 1



Figure 2