

[54] **STRAWBERRY JEWEL**

[75] **Inventors:** John C. Sanford, Geneva, N.Y.;  
Donald K. Ourecky, Lauderdale  
Lakes, Fla.; Jack E. Reich, II,  
Geneva, N.Y.

[73] **Assignee:** Cornell Research Foundation, Inc.,  
Ithaca, N.Y.

[21] **Appl. No.:** 751,091

[22] **Filed:** Jul. 2, 1985

[51] **Int. Cl.⁴** ..... A01H 5/00

[52] **U.S. Cl.** ..... Plt./48

[58] **Field of Search** ..... Plt./48, 49

*Primary Examiner*—James R. Feyrer

[57] **ABSTRACT**

A strawberry plant which has large fruit, all-around performance and good fruit quality.

**3 Drawing Figures**


**1**

**SUMMARY**

This invention is a new and distinct variety of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *Ananassa*) which is exceptional for its very attractive large fruit, all-around performance and good fruit quality.

**ORIGIN**

This new cultivar was developed by the small fruits breeding program of the Department of Horticultural Sciences, Cornell University, New York State Agricultural Experiment Station, Geneva, N.Y. The cross was N.Y. 1221 × 'Holiday', which was made in 1969. The specific clone was the sole selection of 292 seedlings from the cross and was selected in June 1971. It has been extensively tested under the number N.Y. 1324. In the fall of 1985, N.Y. 1324 will be named 'Jewel'.



**DESCRIPTION**

N.Y. 1324 has a moderately vigorous plant growth habit. The trifoliolate leaves are borne on erect to semi-erect moderately stout petioles. The petioles are covered with a moderately dense coating of hairs which are generally perpendicular to the petiole epidermis or tending to tilt slightly toward the apical end. The leaflet shape is generally oval to round with approximately straight edges at the base subtending an obtuse angle. Leaflet margins are coarsely toothed. Leaflet upper epidermis is smooth, slightly ridged between lateral veins, dark green and lightly populated with hairs. The lower epidermis is smooth and light green with few hairs.

N.Y. 1324 spreads by means of runners of moderate vigor and number, producing a matted row of medium plant density when that cultural method is used. It also appears well adapted to ribbon-row culture. Propagation is by means of the runner plants and by tissue culture. N.Y. 1324 is adapted to the Northeastern, Great Lakes and Midwestern regions of the United States. It is

**2**

a hardy and consistent-cropping cultivar resistant to mildew and leaf spot but susceptible to verticillium wilt and red stele.

The fruit of N.Y. 1324 ripens in mid-to-late-midseason. The primary and secondary berries are medium to large, bright red, glossy and blunt-conic to oblate in shape. Tertiary and quaternary berries are smaller and mostly round. Seeds are yellow and set flush with, or slightly raised above, the berry surface. The calyx is medium to large in size, attractive, medium green in color, slightly reflexed and set into a slightly depressed basal surface of the berry. The berry skin is better than average in abrasion resistance. The flesh is slightly firmer than average. The berry color is a bright red, corresponding to Red 46A of The Royal Horticultural Society (London) Colour Chart. The flavor is moderately sweet and slightly acid with some of the aromatic quality of its parent, 'Holiday'. Picking ease is good, with the pedicel tending to snap cleanly a short distance below the calyx.

Table 1 sets forth mean maturity dates based on a 1982 field trial. Traditional cultivars are underlined. Mean date of harvest was calculated on a weighted basis. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, based on Waller and Duncan's BSD test, K=100.

Table 2 sets forth mean subjective fruit skin toughness scores. Traditional cultivars are underlined. Skin toughness was subjectively determined by rubbing the skin of several berries in the hand from each replicate of each genotype. Each plot at each harvest (replicate) was scored independently. Each genotype was rated 1 to 9 with '9' being most resistant to skin abrasion.

Table 3 sets forth mean Instron measurements from 1982 (firmest fruit listed first). Traditional cultivars are underlined. Each genotype mean score reflects the force required for the Instron probe to penetrate the flesh of undamaged berries. Twelve berries were tested of each genotype on the same day of harvest for each harvest date. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, based on Waller and Duncan's BSD test, K=100.

Table 4 sets forth mean berry weight of 29 strawberry genotypes based upon 1982 field trials. Traditional cultivars are underlined. Mean berry weight was determined by dividing total yield per plot by total number of berries per plot. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different based on Waller and Duncan's BDS test, K=100.

Table 5 sets forth mean subjective fruit appearance scores. Traditional cultivars are underlined. Berries were rated 1 to 9 with '9' being the most attractive. Each plot at each harvest (replicate) was scored independently. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, based upon Waller and Duncan's BSD test, K=100.

Table 6 sets forth mean subjective flavor scores. Traditional cultivars are underlined. Berries were rated 1 to 9 with '9' being best flavor. Each plot at each harvest (replicate) was scored independently. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, based on Waller and Duncan's BSD test, K=100.

Table 7 sets forth fruit rot incidence on strawberry cultivars and selections tested in 1978. Shown is the combined score for all rots (Botrytis, soft rots and white mold). Data supplied by Dr. H. S. Aldwinckle, Department of Plant Pathology, New York State Agricultural Experiment Station.

TABLE 1

Mean maturity date of NY 1324 vs. other cultivars.	
Genotype	Mean Weighted Date of Harvest <sup>1</sup>
'Earlidawn'	June 23 A
'Midland'	June 24 AB
NY 1402	June 25 ABC
MDUS 4380	June 26 BCD
'Lester'	June 26 BCD
MDUS 4355	June 26 BCD
NY 1524	June 26 BCD
MDUS 4774	June 26 BCD
'Catskill'	June 27 CDE
NY 1560	June 27 CDEF
'Honeoye'	June 28 DEFG
'Holiday'	June 28 DEFG
NY 1530	June 28 DEFG
MDUS 4579	June 28 DEFGH
'Raitan'	June 28 DEFGHI
NY 1570	June 29 EFGHIJ
NY 1333	June 29 FGHIJK
MDUS 4426	June 29 FGHIJK
NY 1324 - 'Jewel'	June 30 GHIJKL
NY 1529	July 1 HIJKLM
NY 1368	July 1 HIJKLMN
NY 1431	July 1 IJKLMN
NY 1406	July 1 IJKLMN
NY 1580	July 1 JKLMN
'Allstar'	July 1 JKLMN
'Canoga'	July 2 KLMN
'Scott'	July 2 LMN
'Sparkle'	July 3 MN
NY 1482	July 4 N

TABLE 2

Mean subjective fruit skin toughness scores for NY 1324 and other cultivars.		
Treatment	Replicates	Mean Score*
NY 1524	6	7.7 A
NY 1529	5	7.6 AB
NY 1324 - 'Jewel'	5	7.4 AB
NY 1530	6	7.2 ABC
MDUS 4426	5	7.0 ABCD
NY 1368	5	6.8 ABCDE
MDUS 4579	5	6.8 ABCDE
'Holiday'	8	6.8 ABCDE
NY 1580	3	6.7 ABCDEF
'Canoga'	4	6.5 ABCDEF
'Scott'	8	6.5 BCDEF
'Lester'	7	6.3 BCDEF
'Allstar'	4	6.2 BCDEFG
NY 1333	5	6.0 CDEFG
NY 1406	9	5.9 DEFG
MDUS 4355	6	5.8 DEFG
MDUS 4774	5	5.6 EFGH
NY 1482	4	5.5 EFGHI
NY 1560	4	5.3 FGHI

TABLE 2-continued

Mean subjective fruit skin toughness scores for NY 1324 and other cultivars.		
Treatment	Replicates	Mean Score*
NY 1402	5	5.2 FGHI
NY 1431	5	5.2 FGHI
'Raritan'	7	5.0 GHI
'Honeoye'	7	4.5 HIJ
MDUS 4380	6	4.3 IJK
'Sparkle'	5	3.8 JK
'Earlidawn'	8	3.7 JK
Midland	7	3.0 K
NY 1570	1	2.0 KL
'Catskill'	7	1.1 L

TABLE 3

Mean firmness measurements for NY 1324 and other cultivars.	
Genotype	Mean puncture force (daltons)*
NY 1570	65.8 A
NY 1529	62.7 A
MDUS 4579	57.6 A
MDUS 4774	56.4 A
NY 1524	53.9 AB
NY 1530	46.9 BC
NY 1580	46.7 BC
NY 1560	46.1 BCD
'Holiday'	45.7 CD
'Canoga'	44.7 CDE
MDUS 4426	44.2 CDE
'Allstar'	39.3 CDEF
NY 1431	38.3 DEFG
'Scott'	37.6 EFGH
NY 1406	35.9 FGHI
NY 1324 - 'Jewel'	33.5 FGHIJ
NY 1402	32.5 FGHIJ
NY 1333	30.8 GHIJ
NY 1482	30.1 GHIJK
MDUS 4380	30.0 HIJK
'Honeoye'	28.8 IJK
MDUS 4355	28.7 IJK
'Lester'	28.6 IJK
'Midland'	27.1 JKL
NY 1368	27.1 JKL
'Raritan'	25.9 JKL
'Earlidawn'	25.6 JKL
'Sparkle'	22.0 KL
'Catskill'	19.9 L

TABLE 4

Mean berry weight for NY 1324 and other cultivars	
Genotype	Grams/berry*
NY 1524	14.4 A
'Canoga'	13.7 AB
'Allstar'	13.6 AB
NY 1333	13.5 ABC
MDUS 4426	13.4 ABC
NY 1431	12.6 ABCD
NY 1482	12.5 ABCD
NY 1529	11.8 BCDE
NY 1570	11.5 CDEF
NY 1324 - 'Jewel'	11.3 DEFG
'Lester'	11.2 DEFG
NY 1580	11.2 DEFG
NY 1406	10.9 DEFGH
NY 1368	10.8 DEFGHI
'Holiday'	10.7 DEFGHIJ
NY 1560	10.5 EFGHIJK
MDUS 4579	10.2 EFGHIJKL
'Honeoye'	10.0 EFGHIJKL
MDUS 4380	10.0 EFGHIJKL
NY 1402	10.0 EFGHIJKL
MDUS 4774	9.7 FGHJKL
'Raritan'	9.3 GHIJKL
'Scott'	9.1 HIJKL
MDUS 4355	9.0 HIJKL
'Catskill'	8.9 HIJKL

TABLE 4-continued

Mean berry weight for NY 1324 and other cultivars		
Genotype	Grams/berry*	
NY 1530	8.8	IJKL
'Midland'	8.7	JKL
'Sparkle'	8.6	KL
'Earlidawn'	8.3	L

TABLE 5

Mean fruit appearance scores for NY 1324 and other cultivars.		
Genotype	Replicates	Mean Score*
NY 1333	5	7.6 A
'Lester'	7	7.3 AB
NY 1324 - 'Jewel'	5	6.8 ABC
NY 1524	6	6.5 ABCD
NY 1530	6	6.3 ABCD
MDUS 4355	6	6.3 ABCDE
'Honeoye'	7	6.3 ABCDE
NY 1529	5	6.2 ABCDEF
MDUS 4380	6	6.2 BCDEF
'Scott'	8	6.1 BCDEF
NY 1368	5	6.0 BCDEF
NY 1560	4	5.8 CDEF
'Raritan'	7	5.7 CDEFG
'Allstar'	4	5.5 CDEFG
'Canoga'	4	5.5 CDEFG
'Holiday'	8	5.5 CDEFG
NY 1431	5	5.4 CDEFG
NY 1530	3	5.3 CDEFG
NY 1482	4	5.3 DEFG
NY 1402	5	5.0 EFG
NY 1406	9	4.9 FG
MDUS 4774	5	4.8 FG
'Earlidawn'	8	4.6 G
MDUS 4426	5	4.2 G
MDUS 4579	5	4.0 G
'Midland'	7	4.0 G
'Sparkle'	5	3.4 G
NY 1570	2	3.0 GH
'Catskill'	7	1.9 H

TABLE 6

Mean flavor scores for NY 1324 and other cultivars.		
Treatment	Replicates	Mean Score*
'Lester'	7	6.3 A
NY 1570	2	6.0 AB
NY 1529	5	6.0 AB
NY 1324 - 'Jewel'	5	5.8 AB
'Holiday'	8	5.8 AB
NY 1368	5	5.6 AB
NY 1560	4	5.5 AB
'Sparkle'	5	5.4 AB
NY 1524	6	5.3 AB
'Raritan'	7	5.3 AB
'Honeoye'	7	5.1 AB
'Allstar'	4	5.0 AB
'Canoga'	4	5.0 AB
MDUS 4380	6	5.0 AB
'Scott'	8	4.9 AB
NY 1530	6	4.8 AB
MDUS 4355	6	4.8 AB
MDUS 4426	5	4.8 AB
NY 1333	5	4.8 AB
NY 1431	5	4.8 AB
NY 1580	3	4.7 AB
MDUS 4774	5	4.6 B
NY 1402	5	4.6 B
'Midland'	7	4.4 B
NY 1406	9	4.2 B
NY 1482	4	4.0 B
'Catskill'	7	4.0 B
MDUS 4579	5	3.8 B
'Earlidawn'	8	3.8 B

TABLE 7

Mean fruit rot scores for NY 1324 and other cultivars.		
Cultivar or Selection	Mean Number Rotted Per 12	Reps.
Earlidawn	11.7 A	3
NY 1476	11.2 A	5
NY 1402	11.2 A	5
Vibrant	10.6 AB	5
Veestar	10.6 AB	5
NY 1477	10.4 AB	5
NY 1515	10.0 ABC	3
NY 1531	9.8 ABC	5
NY 1530	9.8 ABC	5
NY 1415	9.8 ABC	5
NY 1287	9.8 ABC	5
NY 1475	9.4 ABCD	5
V6747R6	9.4 ABCD	5
Holiday	9.4 ABCD	5
MD 4359 (Lester)	9.2 ABCDE	5
NY 1529	9.0 ABCDEF	5
NY 1527	9.0 ABCDEF	4
NY 1261	9.0 ABCDEF	5
Surecrop	9.0 ABCDEF	5
NY 1366	8.0 ABCDEFG	3
NY 1264	8.0 BCDEFG	4
Tenira	7.4 CDEFG	5
NY 1285	7.2 CDEFGH	5
NY 1524	7.0 CDEFGH	3
NY 1431	7.0 CDEFGH	5
NY 1368	7.0 CDEFGH	5
NY 1333	6.8 CDEFGH	5
NY 1362 (Canoga)	6.6 DEFGH	5
NY 1384	6.4 EFGH	5
Guardian	6.2 FGH	5
NY 1324 - 'Jewel'	5.8 GH	5
NY 1406	5.2 GH	5
NY 1404	4.8 GH	5
NY 1409 (Honeoye)	4.4 H	5

Combined score for all rots (Botrytis, soft rots, and white mold). Data supplied by Dr. H. S. Aldwinckle, Department of Plant Pathology, New York State Agricultural Experiment Station.

DESCRIPTION OF PHOTOGRAPHS

The following describes the accompanying photographs, documenting the unique features characterizing this new culture.

FIG. 1. Ribbon-row of 'Jewel' in second year from planting. Plot is a single line of plants spaced 6" apart in the row and all runners kept removed.

FIG. 2. Fruit of 'Jewel' in a one-quart box. Note size of fruit, wedge-conic shape and glossy, attractive appearance.

FIG. 3. Comparison of the fruit of 'Jewel' with the corresponding color from The Royal Horticultural Society Colour Chart.

MERITS

The most outstanding feature of N.Y. 1324 is its well-balanced, all-around performance. It combines good fruit size (Table 4), attractiveness (Table 5), quality (Table 6), tough skin (Table 2) and moderately firm flesh (Table 3). In addition, the fruit of N.Y. 1324 are better than average in resistance to various fruit rots (Table 7). Also, N.Y. 1324 has consistently been rated above most other cultivars in frozen fruit taste panel trials.

The plants of N.Y. 1324 have good hardiness and wide regional adaptation. Thirteen of sixteen cooperative testers from different regions rated N.Y. 1324 as above-average to outstanding for all of the following traits: yield, flavor, fruit size, appearance, firmness, keeping quality and foliar disease resistance.

We claim:

1. The new and distinct variety of strawberry herein described and illustrated and identified by the characters enumerated above.

\* \* \* \* \*




FIG 1



FIG 2




FIG 3