



US00D922476S

(12)

United States Design Patent
Dominguez et al.

(10)

Patent No.: **US D922,476 S**

(45)

Date of Patent: **** Jun. 15, 2021**

- (54) **VIEWING DEVICE**
- (71) Applicant: **Magic Leap, Inc.**, Plantation, FL (US)
- (72) Inventors: **Daniel Roger Dominguez**, Sunrise, FL (US); **Samantha Jo Gamble**, Plantation, FL (US); **Robert Coleman Skelton**, Oakland Park, FL (US); **Bradley Fraser**, Miami Beach, FL (US); **Masamune Kaji**, Plantation, FL (US)
- (73) Assignee: **Magic Leap, Inc.**, Plantation, FL (US)
- (**) Term: **15 Years**
- (21) Appl. No.: **29/731,616**
- (22) Filed: **Apr. 16, 2020**

Related U.S. Application Data

- (62) Division of application No. 29/636,492, filed on Feb. 8, 2018, now Pat. No. Des. 894,258.
- (51) **LOC (13) Cl.** **16-06**
- (52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **D16/326**
- (58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC D16/300, 315, 326
CPC G02C 2200/08; G02C 7/10
See application file for complete search history.

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D638,462 S	5/2011	Bumgardner et al.	
D640,256 S	6/2011	So	
D836,629 S	12/2018	Maffucci et al.	
D857,693 S *	8/2019	Natsume	D14/372
D858,520 S *	9/2019	Natsume	D14/372

D862,464 S *	10/2019	Natsume	D14/372
D875,729 S *	2/2020	Natsume	D14/372
D894,258 S *	8/2020	Dominguez	D16/326
2019/0187490 A1	6/2019	Dominquez et al.	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Restriction Requirement dated Jul. 10, 2019, Design U.S. Appl. No. 29/636,492, dated Jul. 10, 2019, (6 pages).
“To the Dismay of Its Audience, Magic Leap Livestream Dodges Key Questions”, Magic Leap, posted at roadtovr.com., posting date Jun. 6, 2018, [online], [site visited Jun. 28, 2019]. Available from Internet, URL: [https:// www .roadtovr.com/meet-magic-leap-one-livestream-dodged-questions/](https://www.roadtovr.com/meet-magic-leap-one-livestream-dodged-questions/), Jun. 6, 2018, (6 pages).
Ashley, James , “Magic Leap One vs. Hololens V1 Comparison”, posted at imaginativeuniversal.com, [site visited Jun. 28, 2019]. Available from Internet, URL: www.imaginativeuniversal.com/blog/2018/10/08/magic-leap-one-vs-hololens-v1-comparison/, Oct. 8, 2018, (13 pages).

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — George D. Kirschbaum
Assistant Examiner — Maria J. Edwards
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Stephen M. De Klerk

(57)


CLAIM

The ornamental design of a viewing device, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front view of the viewing device according to our design;
FIG. 2 is a rear view thereof;
FIG. 3 is a top plan view thereof;
FIG. 4 is a bottom view thereof
FIG. 5 is a right side view thereof; and,
FIG. 6 is a left side view thereof.
The dashed lines show portions of the viewing device and form no part of the claim.

1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Sun, Leo , “Can Advanced Micro Devies, Inc. Be Saved By Augmented and Virtual Reality?”, posted at fool.com, Available from Internet, URL: <https://www.fool.com/investing/general/2015/05/20/can-advanced-micro-devices-i nc-be-saved-by-augment.aspx>, May 20, 2010, (8 pages).

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6