



US00D909732S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D909,732 S**  
**Lesecq** (45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* Feb. 9, 2021**

(54) **SHOE**  
(71) Applicant: **NIKE, Inc.**, Beaverton, OR (US)  
(72) Inventor: **Fiona L. Lesecq**, Portland, OR (US)  
(73) Assignee: **NIKE, Inc.**, Beaverton, OR (US)  
(\*\*) Term: **15 Years**  
(21) Appl. No.: **29/691,675**  
(22) Filed: **May 17, 2019**  
(51) **LOC (13) Cl.** ..... **02-04**  
(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
USPC ..... **D2/972**  
(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
USPC ..... D2/896, 902, 904, 906-908, 944, 969,  
D2/972-974; D5/47, 49, 50, 53, 54, 56,  
D5/60  
CPC ... A43B 13/181; A43B 13/141; A43B 13/186;  
A43B 13/188; A43B 23/00; A43B 23/02;  
A43B 5/00; A43B 23/26; A43B 1/04;  
A43B 11/14; A43B 7/00; A43B 3/12;  
A43B 3/00; A43C 3/00; A43C 5/00;  
A43C 7/00; A43C 11/004; A43C 1/00;  
A43C 1/06  
See application file for complete search history.

D407,540 S 4/1999 Dolinsky  
D408,618 S 4/1999 Wilborn et al.  
D429,061 S 8/2000 Burruano et al.  
D432,296 S 10/2000 Adam  
D487,186 S \* 3/2004 Maatita ..... D2/972  
7,325,337 B2 2/2008 Cox et al.  
D624,297 S \* 9/2010 Henderson ..... D2/972  
D634,536 S 3/2011 Lee et al.  
D671,718 S \* 12/2012 McVicker ..... D2/908  
D682,528 S 5/2013 Link  
D752,330 S \* 3/2016 O'Connor ..... D2/972  
D770,752 S \* 11/2016 McMillan ..... D2/972  
D796,826 S 9/2017 Hardman  
D806,368 S 1/2018 Sassi  
(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**  
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS  
2,489,368 A 11/1949 Faybert  
D307,350 S \* 4/1990 Brown ..... D2/970  
D336,771 S 6/1993 Hatfield et al.  
D349,808 S 8/1994 Passke et al.  
D350,016 S 8/1994 Passke et al.  
D363,372 S 10/1995 Hatfield et al.  
D375,619 S 11/1996 Backus et al.  
D386,588 S 11/1997 Cooper  
D393,340 S 4/1998 Doxey  
D395,337 S 6/1998 Greene

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

The Nike Air Max Dia Is a Women's Shoe—and We Really Want a Pair [Online], retrieved [Jun. 10, 2019], retrieved from GQ.com [Internet] <URL:https://www.gq.com/story/nike-air-max-dia-sneaker-of-the-week>; 8 color sheets, Dated Feb. 14, 2019.  
(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Dominic V Simone  
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.

(57) **CLAIM**  
The ornamental design for a shoe, as shown and described.

**DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of a shoe showing my new design;  
FIG. 2 is a side view thereof; and,  
FIG. 3 is a rear perspective view thereof.  
The broken line immediately adjacent to the rear edge of the photographic area defines a boundary of the claimed design and form no part thereof. The broken lines depicting the remainder of the shoe show features that form no part of the claimed design.

**1 Claim, 3 Drawing Sheets**



(56)

**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D810,407 S	2/2018	DeAlmeida	
D817,628 S	5/2018	Hatfield	
D822,353 S	7/2018	Donadei	
D851,370 S	6/2019	Stavseng et al.	
D874,104 S	2/2020	Gerig	
D882,929 S *	5/2020	Reyes .....	D2/972
D894,580 S *	9/2020	Woodman .....	D2/972
D896,496 S *	9/2020	Hernandez .....	D2/972
D899,751 S *	10/2020	Fadden .....	D2/972

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Compare Nike Hypervenom 2—Nike Hypervenom Phantom II vs Phinish vs Phatalli DF vs Phelon II vs Phade II [Online], retrieved [Jun. 10, 2019], retrieved from FootyHeadlines.com [Internet] <URL:<https://www.footyheadlines.com/2015/06/compare-nike-hypervenom-2---nike-hypervenom-phantom-ii-vs-phinish-vs-phatal-ii-df-vs-phelon-ii-vs-phade-ii.html>>; 11 color sheets, Dated Jun. 4, 2015.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3