



US00D872987S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Hawthornthwaite et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D872,987 S**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **** Jan. 21, 2020**

(54) **PORTION OF A SHOE**

(71) Applicant: **ROTHY'S, INC.**, San Francisco, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Stephen Hawthornthwaite**, San Francisco, CA (US); **William Roth Martin**, San Francisco, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **ROTHY'S INC.**, San Francisco, CA (US)

(**) Term: **15 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/707,054**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 25, 2019**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Continuation of application No. 29/662,598, filed on Sep. 6, 2018, now Pat. No. Des. 866,943, which is a continuation of application No. 29/622,877, filed on Oct. 20, 2017, now Pat. No. Des. 831,313, which is a division of application No. 29/544,350, filed on Nov. (Continued)

(51) **LOC (12) Cl.** **02-04**

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **D2/971**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC D2/896, 902, 906-908, 923, 925-942, D2/946, 969, 971; D5/47, 49, 50, 53, 54, D5/56, 60
CPC ... A43B 13/181; A43B 13/141; A43B 13/186; A43B 13/188; A43B 23/00; A43B 23/02; A43B 5/00; A43B 23/26; A43B 1/04; A43B

(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

20,439 A * 6/1858 Michel et al. A43B 3/16
36/7.1 R

D16,609 S * 4/1886 Buchanan D2/939

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Rothy's, Inc. vs. Giesswein Walkwaren AG, Defendant Giesswein Walkwaren Ag's Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Rothy, Inc.'s Complaint For Failure To State A Claim, Civil Action No. 3:19-cv-03071-JD, Document 29. 20 Pages Total, (Aug. 29, 2019).

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Dominic V Simone

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Arent Fox LLP


(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a portion of a shoe, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front left perspective view of a first embodiment of a portion of a shoe showing our new design; FIG. 2 is front view of the design shown in FIG. 1; FIG. 3 is a rear view of the design shown in FIG. 1; FIG. 4 is a left side view of the design shown in FIG. 1; FIG. 5 is a right side view of the design shown in FIG. 1; FIG. 6 is a top view of the design shown in FIG. 1; FIG. 7 is a bottom view of the design shown in FIG. 1; FIG. 8 is front left perspective view of a second embodiment of a portion of a shoe showing our new design; FIG. 9 is front view of the design shown in FIG. 8; FIG. 10 is a rear view of the design shown in FIG. 8; FIG. 11 is a left side view of the design shown in FIG. 8; FIG. 12 is a right side view of the design shown in FIG. 8; FIG. 13 is a top view of the design shown in FIG. 8; and, FIG. 14 is a bottom view of the design shown in FIG. 8. The broken lines shown in the figures are included for the purpose of illustrating portions of the portion of the shoe and form no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 12 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

2, 2015, now Pat. No. Des. 805,276, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 29/512,404, filed on Dec. 18, 2014, now Pat. No. Des. 768,366.

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ... 11/14; A43B 7/00; A43B 3/12; A43C 3/00; A43C 5/00; A43C 7/00; A43C 11/004
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

687,513	A *	11/1901	Bibikov	A43B 3/126 36/11.5
D86,152	S *	2/1932	Bellak	D2/920
D109,313	S *	4/1938	Delman	D2/935
D133,819	S *	9/1942	Harris	D2/939
D137,763	S *	4/1944	Goldstein	D2/939
D137,765	S *	4/1944	Goldstein	D2/941
D149,683	S *	5/1948	Sandler	D2/930
D149,695	S *	5/1948	Sandler	D2/930
D163,185	S *	5/1951	Joyce	D2/930
D167,503	S *	8/1952	Diamant	D2/923
D176,338	S	12/1955	Joyce	
D180,334	S *	5/1957	Keyes	D2/971
D190,900	S *	7/1961	Gorssman	D2/939
D204,390	S	4/1966	Bodicky	
D247,396	S	3/1978	Schlerth	
D247,932	S *	5/1978	Katz	D2/927
D273,627	S *	5/1984	Huberman	D2/941
D499,865	S *	12/2004	Belley	D2/969
D500,191	S *	12/2004	Belley	D2/969
D527,513	S *	9/2006	Della Valle	D2/919
D545,037	S *	6/2007	Shaffer	D2/969
D571,084	S *	6/2008	Comeau	D2/925
D571,548	S *	6/2008	Seamans	D2/925
D571,584	S	6/2008	Thompson	
D579,637	S *	11/2008	Liow	D2/925
D611,693	S *	3/2010	Schwartz	D2/969
D612,591	S *	3/2010	Schwartz	D2/969
D660,565	S *	5/2012	Della Valle	D2/925
D668,027	S *	10/2012	Millieret	D2/925
D668,438	S *	10/2012	Millieret	D2/925
D668,847	S *	10/2012	Gavrieli	D2/925
D668,848	S *	10/2012	Gavrieli	D2/925
D668,849	S *	10/2012	Pozzi	D2/925

D668,850	S *	10/2012	Pozzi	D2/925
D676,634	S *	2/2013	Gavrieli	D2/925
D693,103	S *	11/2013	McMillan	D2/971
D730,030	S	5/2015	Della Valle et al.	
D730,635	S *	6/2015	Lin	D2/939
D730,636	S	6/2015	Della Valle et al.	
D744,730	S *	12/2015	Kirkwood	D2/923
D768,366	S	10/2016	Hawthornthwaite et al.	
D783,242	S	4/2017	Arzegar	
D783,988	S	4/2017	da Costa Pereira Machado	
D804,156	S	12/2017	Hawthornthwaite et al.	
D805,276	S	12/2017	Hawthornthwaite et al.	
D811,068	S	2/2018	Kim	
D831,313	S *	10/2018	Hawthornthwaite	D2/939
D836,314	S	12/2018	Hawthornthwaite et al.	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Rothy's, Inc. v. JKM Technologies, LLC d/b/a Oesh Shoes, and Dr. Casey Kerrigan, Defendants JKM Technologies, LLC's and Dr. Casey Kerrigan's Answer to Complaint for Patent Infringement, Trade Dress Infringement, and Unfair Competition, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims, Civil Action No. 3:18CV00067, Case 3:18-cv-00067-MFU-JCH. Document 49. 307 Pages Total, (Jan. 28, 2019).

Rothy's, Inc. v. JKM Technologies, LLC d/b/a Oesh Shoes, and Dr. Casey Kerrigan d/b/a Oesh Shoes, Rothy's Memorandum in Support of Motion to Strike Certain of Defendants' Affirmative Defenses, Civil Action No. 3:18CV00067 (MFU), Case 3:18-cv-00067-MFU-JCH, Document 53. 17 Pages Total, (Feb. 19, 2019).

Rothy's, Inc. v. JKM Technologies, LLC d/b/a Oesh Shoes and Dr. Casey Kerrigan, Defendants' Brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Certain of Defendants' Affirmative Defenses, Civil Action No. 3:18CV00067, Case 3:18-cv-00067-MFU-JCH, Document 55. 16 Pages Total, (Mar. 5, 2019).

Rothy's, Inc. v. JKM Technologies, LLC d/b/a Oesh Shoes and Dr. Casey Kerrigan d/b/a Oesh Shoes, Rothy's Reply Brief in Support of its Motion to Strike Certain of Defendants' Affirmative Defense, Civil Action No. 3:18CV00067 (MFU) Case 3:18-cv-00067-MFU-JCH, Document 56. 27 Pages Total, (Mar. 12, 2019).

Rothy's, Inc. v. JKM Technologies, LLC d/b/a Oesh Shoes, and Dr. Casey Kerrigan, Defendants' Surreply in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Certain of Defendants' Affirmative Defenses, Civil Action No. 3:18CV00067 Case 3:18-cv-00067-MFU-JCH, Document 57-1. 19 Pages Total, (Mar. 19, 2019).

* cited by examiner



FIG. 1




FIG. 2



FIG. 3



FIG. 4



FIG. 5



FIG. 6



FIG. 7



FIG. 8




FIG. 9



FIG. 10



FIG. 11



FIG. 12



FIG. 13




FIG. 14