



US00D860082S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D860,082 S**
Poyorena et al. (45) **Date of Patent:** **** Sep. 17, 2019**

(54) **WHEEL WELL CLOSEOUT COMPONENT**

(71) Applicants: **Eric Poyorena**, Bothell, WA (US);
Rebecca Kern, Kent, WA (US); **Piotr Stegawski**, Kirkland, WA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Eric Poyorena**, Bothell, WA (US);
Rebecca Kern, Kent, WA (US); **Piotr Stegawski**, Kirkland, WA (US)

(73) Assignee: **PACCAR Inc**, Bellevue, WA (US)

(**) Term: **15 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/648,592**

(22) Filed: **May 22, 2018**

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 29/633,378, filed on Jan. 12, 2018.

(51) **LOC (12) Cl.** **12-16**

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **D12/184**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC D12/114, 181, 184, 196; D15/28
CPC B62D 25/16; B62D 25/18
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

9,278,716 B1 * 3/2016 Joseph B62D 25/18
D756,870 S * 5/2016 Tsutamori D12/184
D758,935 S * 6/2016 Platto D12/184
9,403,557 B1 * 8/2016 Sharma C21D 1/06
9,487,238 B2 * 11/2016 Iwano B62D 25/025
D775,031 S * 12/2016 Frascella D12/184
D787,395 S * 5/2017 Curic D12/181
9,669,876 B2 * 6/2017 Iwano B62D 25/04
9,738,322 B2 * 8/2017 Matthiessen B62D 25/02
D803,119 S * 11/2017 Beermann D12/184
D803,741 S * 11/2017 Tsubaki D12/184
D805,013 S * 12/2017 Whitla D12/181
D806,622 S * 1/2018 Granlund D12/184
D807,261 S * 1/2018 Zavatski D12/184

9,890,966 B2 * 2/2018 Mueller B62D 25/16
D817,829 S * 5/2018 Behmer D12/184
10,023,241 B2 * 7/2018 Umemoto B62D 25/161
10,035,543 B2 * 7/2018 Sato B60J 5/0444
D827,529 S * 9/2018 Al Attar D12/184
D828,254 S * 9/2018 Simm D12/184
10,077,085 B2 * 9/2018 Pfaffelhuber B62D 27/02

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"T800," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2002, 32-page brochure.

"T800," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2003, 32-page brochure.

"T800," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2005, 28-page brochure.

"T800," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2009, 28-page brochure.

"T800B," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1993, 8-page brochure.

"T2000," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1999, 20-page brochure.

"T2000," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2002, 20-page brochure.

"T2000," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2005, 20-page brochure.

Top: Mack Daycab, single-piece fairing, at least as early as 2005; Bottom: Volvo Daycab, multi-piece fairing assembly, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

"Unibilt™ Cab Sleeper System," Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton Texas, Aug. 1994, 6-page brochure.

Volvo Daycab, roof fairings comprised of multi-piece assembly, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

"W900," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1996, 7-page brochure.

"W900," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2002, 32-page brochure.

"W900," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2005, 28-page brochure.



"W900," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2009, 28-page brochure.

"The World's Best," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1992, 12-page brochure.

"T680," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2016, 34-page brochure.

"T880," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2016, 34-page brochure.

"AeroCab," Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, as early as 1995, 3-page brochure.



“AG130 Front Air Suspension,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2008, 2-page brochure.

“C500B,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, as early as 1990, 4-page brochure.

“Class Pays,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Sep. 1997, 10-page brochure.

“Class Pays,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Nov. 1998, 10-page brochure.

“Class Pays, Construction Trucks,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Nov. 2003, 16-page brochure.

“Class Pays, Truck Models,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Mar. 2005, 24-page brochure.

Foreground: International Daycab, multi-piece fairing assembly, at least as early as 2005; Background: Volvo Daycab, single-piece fairing, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

Ford Daycab, two-piece fairing assembly, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

Freightliner Daycab, multi-piece fairing assembly, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

“Interiors,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2005, 16-page brochure.

International Daycab, one-piece fairing, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

“K100E,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1984, 8-page brochure.

Kenworth T800 Daycab with 3-piece roof fairing, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

“Kenworth T2000 Daycab,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1997, 2 pages.

“Kenworth T2000 Daycab Roof,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1997, 2 pages.

“Kenworth T2000 Standard Cab with sleeper and one-piece fairing,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1997, 2 pages.

“Kenworth Truck Models,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2010, 16-page brochure.

Left: Volvo Daycab, adjustable roof failing, at least as early as 2005; Right: Freightliner Daycab, one-piece fairing, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

Mack Daycab, single-piece fairing, at least as early as 2005, 1 page.

“Model 377A/E,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Jan. 1995, 6-page brochure.

“Model 377A/E,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Aug. 1997, 2-page brochure.

“Model 378,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Mar. 1998, 3-page brochure.

“Model 385,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Oct. 1996, 5-page brochure.

“Model 387,” Peterbilt Motors Company, Denton, Texas, Oct. 2002, 2-page brochure.

“PACCAR Engines, 2010 Medium Duty Engines,” PACCAR Inc, Bellevue, Washington, 2010, 6-page brochure.

“PACCAR MX,” PACCAR Inc, Bellevue, Washington, 2010, 4-page brochure.

“Studio Sleeper,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1993, 5-page brochure.

“T170 Class 5,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2008, 4-page brochure.

“T270 Class 6 and T370 Class 7,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2008, 6-page brochure.

“T270 Class 6 and T370 Class 7,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2009, 6-page brochure.

“T270 Class 6 and T370 Class 7 Hybrid,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2009, 6-page brochure.

T300, Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1994, 4-page brochure.

“T300,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2001, 16-page brochure.

“T300,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2005, 16-page brochure.

“T400B and T450B,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1992, 10-page brochure.

“T440,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2010, 2-page brochure.

“T440,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2010, 6-page brochure.

“T470,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2010, 6-page brochure.

“T470H,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2009, 2-page brochure.

“T600,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1996, 7-page brochure.

“T600,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2002, 28-page brochure.

“T600,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2005, 24-page brochure.

“T600, Ten Years of Innovation,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 1995, 6-page brochure.

“T660,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2007, 36-page brochure.

“T660,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2009, 36-page brochure.

“T700,” Kenworth Truck Company, Kirkland, Washington, 2010, 6-page brochure.

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Susan Bennett Hattan

Assistant Examiner — Suzanne E Tisdell

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Christensen O’Connor Johnson Kindness PLLC

(57)

CLAIM

The ornamental design for a wheel well closeout component, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is an environmental view of a first representative embodiment of a wheel well closeout component according to our new design, wherein the wheel well closeout component is located on a left side of the truck;

FIG. 2 is a front-right perspective view thereof;

FIG. 3 is a rear-right perspective view thereof;

FIG. 4 is a right side elevational view thereof;

FIG. 5 is front elevational view thereof;

FIG. 6 is a rear elevational view thereof;

FIG. 7 is top plan view thereof;

FIG. 8 is a bottom plan view thereof;

FIG. 9 is an environmental view of a second representative embodiment of a wheel well closeout component according to our new design, wherein the wheel well closeout component is located on a right side of the truck;

FIG. 10 is a front-left perspective view thereof;

FIG. 11 is a rear-left perspective view thereof;

FIG. 12 is a left side elevational view thereof;

FIG. 13 is front elevational view thereof;

FIG. 14 is a rear elevational view thereof;

FIG. 15 is top plan view thereof;

FIG. 16 is a bottom plan view thereof;

FIG. 17 is an environmental view of a third representative embodiment of a wheel well closeout component according to our new design, wherein the wheel well closeout component is located on a left side of the truck;

FIG. 18 is a front-right perspective view thereof;

FIG. 19 is a rear-right perspective view thereof;

FIG. 20 is a right side elevational view thereof;

FIG. 21 is front elevational view thereof;

FIG. 22 is a rear elevational view thereof;

FIG. 23 is top plan view thereof;
FIG. 24 is a bottom plan view thereof;
FIG. 25 is an environmental view of a fourth representative embodiment of a wheel well closeout component according to our new design, wherein the wheel well closeout component is located on a right side of the truck;
FIG. 26 is a front-left perspective view thereof;
FIG. 27 is a rear-left perspective view thereof;
FIG. 28 is a left side elevational view thereof;
FIG. 29 is front elevational view thereof;
FIG. 30 is a rear elevational view thereof;
FIG. 31 is top plan view thereof; and,
FIG. 32 is a bottom plan view thereof.

The dashed broken lines in the drawings show portions of the wheel well closeout component that form no part of the claimed design.

While the broken lines form no part of the claimed design, the broken lines provide written description of prospective embodiments that are claimed when one or more of the broken lines are amended to solid lines. Similarly, while the solid lines in the drawings form part of the claimed design, the solid lines provide written description of prospective embodiments that are not claimed when one or more of the solid lines are amended to broken lines.

1 Claim, 24 Drawing Sheets




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.




Fig. 4.




Fig. 6.




Fig. 5.




Fig. 7.




Fig. 8.




Fig. 9.




Fig. 10.




Fig. 11.




Fig. 12.




Fig. 14.




Fig. 13.




Fig. 15.




Fig. 16.




Fig. 17.




Fig. 18.




Fig. 19.



Fig. 20.




Fig. 22.




Fig. 21.




Fig. 23.




Fig. 24.




Fig.25.




Fig. 26.




Fig. 27.



Fig. 28.




Fig. 30.




Fig. 29.




Fig. 31.




Fig. 32.