



US00D819470S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D819,470 S**
Schueren et al. (45) **Date of Patent:** **** Jun. 5, 2018**

(54) **HOUSING FOR BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS APPARATUS**

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP

(71) Applicant: **IntegenX, Inc.**, Pleasanton, CA (US)

(57) **CLAIM**

We claim the ornamental design for a housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus, as shown and described.

(72) Inventors: **Robert A. Schueren**, Los Altos, CA (US); **Alexander Kindwall**, Pleasanton, CA (US); **David King**, Menlo Park, CA (US); **Chungsoo Charles Park**, Redwood City, CA (US); **James Klevenberg**, Livermore, CA (US)

DESCRIPTION

(73) Assignee: **IntegenX, Inc.**, Pleasanton, CA (US)

FIG. 1 is an isometric view of a housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus.

(**) Term: **15 Years**

FIG. 2 is a rear isometric view of the housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus of FIG. 1.

(21) Appl. No.: **29/581,062**

FIG. 3 is a front view of the housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus of FIG. 1.

(22) Filed: **Oct. 14, 2016**

FIG. 4 is a back view of the housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus of FIG. 1.

Related U.S. Application Data

FIG. 5 is a bottom view of the housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus of FIG. 1.

(62) Division of application No. 29/525,151, filed on Apr. 27, 2015, now Pat. No. Des. 772,086.

FIG. 6 is a top view of the housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus of FIG. 1.

(51) **LOC (11) Cl.** **10-04**

FIG. 7 is a left side view of the housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus of FIG. 1; and,

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **D10/81; D24/234**

FIG. 8 is a right side view of the housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus of FIG. 1.

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC **D10/81; D24/216, 232-234**
(Continued)

A housing for a biochemical analysis apparatus is shown in FIGS. 1-8. To give some sense of scale, the housing is sized to fit on a laboratory workbench and may, by way of non-limiting example, have dimensions of approximately 11" wide by 18" high by 21" deep.

(56) **References Cited**

Stipple shading is used throughout to show surface contouring and as an aid to determining boundaries between claimed subject matter and unclaimed environmental structure; unclaimed environmental structure is not shaded or hatched, whereas claimed subject matter is shaded or hatched. It is to be understood that the use of stipple shading does not convey any particular surface finish or surface texture, but is merely used as an aid to show surface contouring and the extent of claimed subject matter. In views where no natural boundary exists between claimed subject matter and unclaimed environmental structure, dashed-dotted-dashed lines are used to indicate such boundaries. For further clarity, tangent lines indicating smooth transitions between surfaces are depicted in grey dashed lines (short-

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS


D421,653 S 3/2000 Purcell
D467,349 S 12/2002 Niedbala et al.
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 2, 2016 in U.S. Appl. No. 29/525,151.
U.S. Appl. No. 29/616,150, filed Sep. 1, 2017, Smith et al.

Primary Examiner — Antoine Duval Davis

(Continued)



short-long dashes). Broken lines, such as dashed and dotted lines, are used to indicate unclaimed environmental structure. In cases where broken lines are used to represent features on a shaded surface, it is to be understood that the surface itself is still claimed but that the particular structures on that surface are unclaimed environmental structure.

1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC Y10T 436/00; Y10T 436/10; Y10T 436/100833; Y10T 436/101666; Y10T 436/102499; Y10T 436/103332; Y10T 436/104165; Y10T 436/104998; Y10T 436/105831; Y10T 436/106664; Y10T 436/107497; Y10T 436/108331; Y10T 436/109163

See application file for complete search history.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D474,280	S	5/2003	Niedbala et al.
D556,914	S	12/2007	Okamoto et al.
7,776,195	B2	8/2010	Kureshy et al.
RE41,946	E	11/2010	Anderson et al.
D631,968	S	2/2011	Sevel
D689,193	S	9/2013	Shinohara et al.
D730,535	S	5/2015	Gutmann et al.
8,986,527	B2	6/2015	Lin et al.
D733,917	S	7/2015	Klein et al.
D737,702	S	9/2015	Selberg et al.
9,128,072	B2	9/2015	Dießel et al.
9,145,573	B2	9/2015	Pederson
D740,434	S	10/2015	Isozaki
D772,086	S	11/2016	Schueren et al.
D775,365	S *	12/2016	Mathers D24/232
9,562,920	B2 *	2/2017	Asao G01N 35/1011
D794,211	S *	8/2017	Ang D24/232

* cited by examiner




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 4




Figure 3




Figure 6




Figure 5




Figure 7




Figure 8