



US00D798391S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D798,391 S**
Howard (45) **Date of Patent:** **** Sep. 26, 2017**

(54) **PENTAGONAL BUILDING BLOCK**
(71) Applicant: **T. Dashon Howard**, Chicago, IL (US)
(72) Inventor: **T. Dashon Howard**, Chicago, IL (US)
(73) Assignee: **T. Dashon Howard**, Chicago, IL (US)

5,020,287 A * 6/1991 Woods E04B 7/102
52/80.2
5,593,337 A 1/1997 Lapointe
5,628,666 A 5/1997 Tomczyk et al.
5,762,336 A 6/1998 Miller, Jr.
6,059,631 A 5/2000 Maddock
6,152,797 A 11/2000 David
(Continued)

(**) Term: **15 Years**
(21) Appl. No.: **29/592,644**
(22) Filed: **Feb. 1, 2017**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 29/486,147, filed on Mar. 26, 2014, now abandoned.
(51) **LOC (10) Cl.** **21-01**
(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **D21/484**
(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC D21/468, 478, 479, 484-505; D19/59, D19/60, 51
CPC A63H 33/04; A63H 33/06; A63H 33/08; A63H 33/082; A63H 33/084; A63H 33/10; A63H 33/102; A63H 33/105; A63H 33/12; A63H 33/16; G09B 19/00
See application file for complete search history.

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,533,202 A * 10/1970 Gellert E04B 1/344
52/80.1
3,562,077 A 2/1971 Raba
3,698,124 A * 10/1972 Reitzel A63H 33/06
428/542.2
3,931,697 A 1/1976 Pearce
4,682,450 A * 7/1987 Diamond G09B 25/04
52/81.1
4,701,131 A * 10/1987 Hildebrandt A63F 9/12
434/211

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“U.S. Appl. No. 29/486,147, Examiner Interview Summary mailed May 18, 2016”, 4 pgs.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Cynthia M Chin

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Schwegman Lundberg & Woessner, P.A.


(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a pentagonal building block, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a pentagonal building block, showing our new design;
FIG. 2 is a top plan view thereof, showing the vertical and horizontal symmetry of the design;
FIG. 3 is a bottom plan view thereof, which is a mirror image of the front elevation view;
FIG. 4 is a front elevation view thereof;
FIG. 5 is a back elevation view thereof, which because of the vertical and horizontal symmetry of the design, is a mirror image of the front elevation view;
FIG. 6 is a left side elevation view thereof, which because of the vertical and horizontal symmetry of the design, is a rotation from the front elevation view; and,
FIG. 7 is a right side elevation view thereof, which because of the vertical and horizontal symmetry of the design, is a mirror image of the left side elevation view.

1 Claim, 7 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,282,849 B1 9/2001 Tuzcek
6,921,314 B2 7/2005 Miller
7,029,364 B1 * 4/2006 Thomasson G09B 23/00
446/388
8,429,874 B2 4/2013 Schneider
8,650,808 B2 2/2014 Hooper
8,651,059 B2 * 2/2014 Cartwright A01K 61/007
119/223
9,340,967 B2 * 5/2016 Daenen A63H 33/084
D759,766 S * 6/2016 Hardstaff D21/492
D762,268 S * 7/2016 Berglund D21/492
D763,970 S * 8/2016 Howard D21/492
9,636,601 B2 * 5/2017 Reynolds A63H 33/048

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“U.S. Appl. No. 29/486,147, Final Office Action mailed Sep. 2, 2016”, 6 pgs.

“U.S. Appl. No. 29/486,147, Non Final Office Action mailed Dec. 2, 2015”, 7 pgs.

“U.S. Appl. No. 29/486,147, Response filed Jun. 2, 2016 to Non-Final Office Action mailed Dec. 2, 2015”, 9 pgs.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7