



US00D798391S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D798,391 S**  
**Howard** (45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* Sep. 26, 2017**

(54) **PENTAGONAL BUILDING BLOCK**  
(71) Applicant: **T. Dashon Howard**, Chicago, IL (US)  
(72) Inventor: **T. Dashon Howard**, Chicago, IL (US)  
(73) Assignee: **T. Dashon Howard**, Chicago, IL (US)  
(\*\*) Term: **15 Years**

5,020,287 A \* 6/1991 Woods ..... E04B 7/102  
52/80.2  
5,593,337 A 1/1997 Lapointe  
5,628,666 A 5/1997 Tomczyk et al.  
5,762,336 A 6/1998 Miller, Jr.  
6,059,631 A 5/2000 Maddock  
6,152,797 A 11/2000 David  
(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **29/592,644**  
(22) Filed: **Feb. 1, 2017**

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 29/486,147, filed on Mar. 26, 2014, now abandoned.  
(51) **LOC (10) Cl.** ..... **21-01**  
(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
USPC ..... **D21/484**  
(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
USPC ..... D21/468, 478, 479, 484-505; D19/59, D19/60, 51  
CPC ..... A63H 33/04; A63H 33/06; A63H 33/08; A63H 33/082; A63H 33/084; A63H 33/10; A63H 33/102; A63H 33/105; A63H 33/12; A63H 33/16; G09B 19/00  
See application file for complete search history.

**References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

3,533,202 A \* 10/1970 Gellert ..... E04B 1/344  
52/80.1  
3,562,077 A 2/1971 Raba  
3,698,124 A \* 10/1972 Reitzel ..... A63H 33/06  
428/542.2  
3,931,697 A 1/1976 Pearce  
4,682,450 A \* 7/1987 Diamond ..... G09B 25/04  
52/81.1  
4,701,131 A \* 10/1987 Hildebrandt ..... A63F 9/12  
434/211

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

“U.S. Appl. No. 29/486,147, Examiner Interview Summary mailed May 18, 2016”, 4 pgs.  
(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Cynthia M Chin  
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Schwegman Lundberg & Woessner, P.A.


(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a pentagonal building block, as shown and described.

**DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a pentagonal building block, showing our new design;  
FIG. 2 is a top plan view thereof, showing the vertical and horizontal symmetry of the design;  
FIG. 3 is a bottom plan view thereof, which is a mirror image of the front elevation view;  
FIG. 4 is a front elevation view thereof;  
FIG. 5 is a back elevation view thereof, which because of the vertical and horizontal symmetry of the design, is a mirror image of the front elevation view;  
FIG. 6 is a left side elevation view thereof, which because of the vertical and horizontal symmetry of the design, is a rotation from the front elevation view; and,  
FIG. 7 is a right side elevation view thereof, which because of the vertical and horizontal symmetry of the design, is a mirror image of the left side elevation view.

**1 Claim, 7 Drawing Sheets**



(56)

**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,282,849	B1	9/2001	Tuczek	
6,921,314	B2	7/2005	Miller	
7,029,364	B1 *	4/2006	Thomasson	..... G09B 23/00 446/388
8,429,874	B2	4/2013	Schneider	
8,650,808	B2	2/2014	Hooper	
8,651,059	B2 *	2/2014	Cartwright	..... A01K 61/007 119/223
9,340,967	B2 *	5/2016	Daenen	..... A63H 33/084
D759,766	S *	6/2016	Hardstaff	..... D21/492
D762,268	S *	7/2016	Berglund	..... D21/492
D763,970	S *	8/2016	Howard	..... D21/492
9,636,601	B2 *	5/2017	Reynolds	..... A63H 33/048


OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“U.S. Appl. No. 29/486,147, Final Office Action mailed Sep. 2, 2016”, 6 pgs.

“U.S. Appl. No. 29/486,147, Non Final Office Action mailed Dec. 2, 2015”, 7 pgs.

“U.S. Appl. No. 29/486,147, Response filed Jun. 2, 2016 to Non-Final Office Action mailed Dec. 2, 2015”, 9 pgs.

\* cited by examiner



**FIG. 1**







FIG. 2




**FIG. 3**



*FIG. 4*




**FIG. 5**



**FIG. 6**





*FIG. 7*