



US00D764997S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Juchniewicz et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D764,997 S**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **** Aug. 30, 2016**

(54) **TRACTION MAT**

(71) Applicants: **Bruce A. Juchniewicz**, Chester, NH
(US); **Christopher Horak**, Derry, NH
(US)

(72) Inventors: **Bruce A. Juchniewicz**, Chester, NH
(US); **Christopher Horak**, Derry, NH
(US)

(**) Term: **15 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/528,300**

(22) Filed: **May 28, 2015**

(51) **LOC (10) Cl.** **12-16**

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **D12/203**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC D12/203, 217, 608; D6/582
CPC B60B 39/00; B60C 27/00
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,438,563	A	3/1948	Kollmeyer	
4,281,791	A	8/1981	Schaaf et al.	
4,294,405	A	10/1981	Ross	
4,795,093	A	1/1989	Kozelnicky	
4,826,077	A	5/1989	Egy	
D355,807	S *	2/1995	O'Rourke	D6/582
5,538,183	A *	7/1996	McGee	B60B 39/12 238/14
D392,924	S *	3/1998	Shleyovich	D12/608
D436,784	S *	1/2001	Iacovelli	D12/203
D450,645	S *	11/2001	Love	D12/203
6,394,362	B1 *	5/2002	Kramr	B60B 39/12 238/14
D464,304	S *	10/2002	Wright	D12/203
6,779,738	B1 *	8/2004	Stannard	B60B 39/12 238/14
D519,430	S *	4/2006	Moody	D12/203

D519,898	S *	5/2006	Hussaini	D12/203
D539,717	S *	4/2007	Hussaini	D12/203
D615,032	S *	5/2010	Pinto	D12/608
D615,792	S *	5/2010	Leigh	D6/582
D623,882	S *	9/2010	Oster	D6/582
D626,773	S *	11/2010	Oster	D6/582
D679,649	S *	4/2013	Merrill	D12/608
D702,057	S *	4/2014	Nutzati	D6/585
2008/0216228	A1 *	9/2008	Bonanno	A47K 3/002 4/583
2013/0008972	A1	1/2013	Lubnitsky	

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — George D Kirschbaum

Assistant Examiner — Clese Moore, Jr.

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — John H. Pearson, Jr., Esq.;
Walter F. Dawson, Esq.; Pearson & Pearson, LLC

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for the “improved traction mat”, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of the “improved traction mat”, showing our new design in open position;

FIG. 2 is a front perspective view of the “improved traction mat” in closed position, thereof;

FIG. 3 is a front view of the “improved traction mat”, thereof;

FIG. 4 is a rear view of the “improved traction mat”, thereof;

FIG. 5 is a left elevational view of the “improved traction mat”, thereof;


FIG. 6 is a left elevational view of the “improved traction mat”, thereof;

FIG. 7 is a top plan view of the “improved traction mat”, thereof; and,

FIG. 8 is a bottom plan view of the “improved traction mat”, thereof.

The broken lines shown illustrate portions of the improved traction mat that form no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets






FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 6



FIG. 5



FIG. 7



FIG. 8