



(12) **United States Design Patent**
Eller et al.

(54) **ANTI-LIGATURE LOCK**

(75) Inventors: **Darren C. Eller**, East Lyme, CT (US); **Ryan Piantek**, Middletown, CT (US); **Dan Riley**, Easton, CT (US); **Andrew Geraci**, Branford, CT (US)

(73) Assignee: **Sargent Manufacturing Company,**
New Haven, CT (US)

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: 29/420,522

(22) Filed: **May 10, 2012**

(51) LOC (9) Cl. 08-07

(52) U.S. Cl.

USPC **D8/331**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

USPC D8/330, 331, 302, 336, 349–353,
D8/400; 70/57, 57.1, 77, 91, 92, 141, 224,
70/266, 431, 465

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

26,809	A	1/1860	Belfield
381,740	A	4/1888	Wollensak
385,906	A	7/1888	Chase
492,398	A	2/1893	Heiser
895,580	A	8/1908	Northam
975,037	A	11/1910	Hettwer
1,326,450	A	12/1919	Howard
1,348,882	A	8/1920	Kleinsmith
1,592,670	A	7/1926	Marshall
1,876,748	A	9/1932	Rand, Jr. et al.
2,054,741	A	9/1936	Davis
2,348,265	A	5/1944	Rippingille
2,580,584	A	1/1952	Nowak
RE24,443	E	3/1958	Labrie
2,857,783	A	10/1958	Ranck, Jr. et al.
2,883,868	A	4/1959	Fresard et al.


FIG. 6 is a top side elevational view thereof; and,

FIG. 7 is a bottom side elevational view thereof.

The back surface of the anti-ligature lock is substantially flat for mounting against a door and is not shown. The back surface of the anti-ligature lock forms no part of the claimed design.

The broken lines in the illustrations show environmental structure comprising a door and latchbolt and are not a part of the design sought to be patented.

1 Claim, 5 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,452,616 A

7/1969

Nelson et al.

3,500,668 A

3/1970

Henry

3,713,683 A

1/1973

Neary

3,731,506 A *

5/1973

Greene et al. 70/97

3,765,265 A

10/1973

Dzus, Jr.

4,064,721 A

12/1977

Morgan

4,078,449 A

3/1978

Kelly

4,575,141 A

3/1986

Burns

4,844,521 A *

7/1989

Langenbach et al. 292/143

4,887,483 A

12/1989

Vollath

5,033,282 A *

7/1991

Gartner et al. 70/278.7

5,303,965 A

4/1994

Meehan

5,819,561 A *

10/1998

Blehi, III 70/14

7,242,116 B2 *

7/2007

Kawazu et al. 307/326

7,373,694 B1

5/2008

Kopp

7,591,157 B2 *

9/2009

O'Neill et al. 70/118

7,659,817 B2 *

2/2010

Conti et al. 340/568.2

D612,717 S *

3/2010

Frankel D8/402

D621,687 S *

8/2010

Lundh et al. D8/331

7,802,828 B2

9/2010

Hopkins et al.

7,999,690 B1

8/2011

Shilts

8,171,761 B2 *

5/2012

Kidd 70/57.1

2003/0226384 A1

12/2003

Shedd et al.

2010/0013248 A1

1/2010

Keller

2010/0072762 A1

3/2010

Marks

2010/0126239 A1

5/2010

Berger

2011/0120024 A1

5/2011

Shilts

2011/0174026 A1

7/2011

Salvatore et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE

10053062

5/2002

EP

1927709

6/2008

FR

714668

11/1931

GB

257362

9/1926

GB

2276659

10/1994

GB

2413068

10/2005

GB

2459884

11/2009

JP

4521044

8/1970

JP

492398

10/1978

JP

895580

1/1994

JP

2004162401

6/2004

JP

2004324250

11/2004

JP

3137332

11/2007

WO

2010092398

8/2010

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7