

US00D667365S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Dixon et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D667,365 S**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **** Sep. 18, 2012**

(54) **TIRE**

(75) Inventors: **Max Harold Dixon**, Kent, OH (US);
Ashley Amanda Strader, Akron, OH (US)

(73) Assignee: **The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company**, Akron, OH (US)

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/380,239**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 2, 2010**

(51) **LOC (9) Cl.** **12-16**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D12/594**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** D12/568-603;
152/209.1-209.28

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D368,882 S	4/1996	Heinen et al.	D12/147
D384,613 S	10/1997	Le et al.	D12/146
D402,937 S	12/1998	Robert	D12/146
D403,275 S	12/1998	Gillard et al.	D12/143
D412,305 S	7/1999	Harden, Jr. et al.	D12/147
D444,432 S	7/2001	Warchol	D12/147
D452,199 S	12/2001	Robert et al.	D12/146
D499,068 S	11/2004	Thomas et al.	D12/602
D559,171 S	* 1/2008	Sakuraba et al.	D12/602

D583,755 S	* 12/2008	Takahashi	D12/596
D592,590 S	5/2009	Janesh et al.	D12/600
D599,282 S	9/2009	Nicolas	D12/588

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — George D Kirschbaum

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Richard B. O'Planick

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a tire, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a tire showing our new design, it being understood that the pattern repeats uniformly throughout the circumference of the tread;

FIG. 2 is a front elevational view thereof;

FIG. 3 is a right side elevational view thereof; the opposite side elevational view being identical thereto;

FIG. 4 is an enlarged fragmentary front elevational view thereof;

FIG. 5 is a perspective view of a second embodiment of a tire showing our new design, it being understood that the pattern repeats uniformly throughout the circumference of the tread and that the opposite side view is identical thereto; and, FIG. 6 is a front elevational view of a second embodiment, it being understood that an enlarged fragmentary view thereof would be substantially identical to that shown in FIG. 4, with the exception of the inclusion of the sidewall in solid lines.

In the drawings, the broken lines showing of the sidewall, inner bead and the peripheral boundary between the tire tread and the sidewall in FIGS. 1 through 4 depict environmental subject matter and form no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets






FIG-1




FIG-2




FIG-3




FIG-4



FIG-5




FIG-6