



US00D645118S

(12) **United States Design Patent**  
**Shepherd**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D645,118 S**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* \*Sep. 13, 2011**

(54) **NOZZLE TUBING HAVING OFFSET NOZZLES**

**DESCRIPTION**

- (75) Inventor: **Donald W. Shepherd**, Shelbyville, KY (US)
- (73) Assignee: **Caldwell Tanks, Inc.**, Louisville, KY (US)
- (\*) Notice: This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.
- (\*\*) Term: **14 Years**
- (21) Appl. No.: **29/362,443**
- (22) Filed: **May 25, 2010**
- (51) **LOC (9) Cl.** ..... **23-01**
- (52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D23/213**
- (58) **Field of Classification Search** ..... D23/213, D23/228; 239/548, 550, 566; 261/115  
See application file for complete search history.

This application is related to the following co-pending applications: application Ser. No. 12/787,243, filed May 25, 2010 and entitled Removable Mistig Array for an Abatement System; application Ser. No. 12/787,374, filed May 25, 2010 and entitled System and Method for Repairing or Servicing a Mistig Array Assembly of an Abatement System; application Ser. No. 12/787,372, filed May 25, 2010 and entitled Mistig Array Assembly Having Adjustable Nozzles; application Ser. No. 12/787,373, filed May 25, 2010 and entitled Mistig Array Assembly Having Upwardly and Downwardly Disposed Nozzles; application Ser. No. 29/362,440, filed May 25, 2010 and entitled Nozzle Tubing Having Offset Nozzles; application Ser. No. 29/362,444, filed May 25, 2010 and entitled Mistig Array Frame Structure; and application Ser. No. 29/362,442, filed May 25, 2010 and entitled Sealing Structure for Blocking an Opening.

FIG. 1 is an upper rear perspective view of a nozzle tubing having offset nozzles of the present invention; FIG. 2 is a lower front perspective view of the nozzle tubing having offset nozzles of FIG. 1; FIG. 3 is a left side view of the nozzle tubing having offset nozzles of FIG. 1; FIG. 4 is a section view of the nozzle tubing taken along the section line 4-4 of FIG. 1; the sectioned internal portions of the nozzle tubing are shown for illustrative purposes only and form no part of the claimed design; FIG. 5 is a top view of the nozzle tubing having offset nozzles of FIG. 1; FIG. 6 is a bottom view of the nozzle tubing having offset nozzles of FIG. 1; FIG. 7 is a rear plan view of the nozzle tubing having offset nozzles of FIG. 1; FIG. 8 is a front plan view of the nozzle tubing having offset nozzles of FIG. 1; FIG. 9 is a right side view of the nozzle tubing having offset nozzles of FIG. 1; and, FIG. 10 is a section view of the nozzle tubing taken along the section line 10-10 of FIG. 1; the sectional internal portions of the nozzle tubing are shown for illustrative purposes only and form no part of the claimed design. The broken lines showing nozzle tips, nozzle bases, and environmental structure are for illustrative purposes only and form no part of the claimed design. The nozzle tubing is shown fragmented to indicate indeterminate length.

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

2,802,543 A 8/1957 Clark  
(Continued)

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

WO 98047604 10/1998

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Shepherd, Donald W.; Fraser, Donald; Impact of Heat Rate, Emissions and Reliability from the Application of Wet Compression on Combustion Turbines; 2005; pp. 1-6; US.

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Robin V Webster

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Robert H. Eichenberger; Scott W. Higdon; Middleton Reutlinger

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a nozzle tubing having offset nozzles, as shown and described.

**1 Claim, 8 Drawing Sheets**



# US D645,118 S

Page 2

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,419,251	A	12/1968	Eckert			
3,522,000	A	7/1970	Kinney			
4,211,735	A	7/1980	Berlin			
4,247,308	A	1/1981	Calvert et al.			
4,343,771	A	8/1982	Edwards et al.			
D310,860	S *	9/1990	Delepine .....	D23/213		
D311,053	S *	10/1990	Delepine .....	D23/213		
D311,054	S *	10/1990	Delepine .....	D23/213		
4,980,099	A	12/1990	Myers et al.			
5,065,944	A	11/1991	O'Amato			
5,069,691	A	12/1991	Travis et al.			
D353,873	S *	12/1994	Schoeneman .....	D23/213		
5,387,376	A	2/1995	Gasser			
5,433,763	A	7/1995	Shagott et al.			
5,465,537	A	11/1995	Fullwodd			
5,523,028	A	6/1996	Reens et al.			
5,648,048	A	7/1997	Kuroda et al.			
5,651,502	A *	7/1997	Edwards .....	239/450		
5,867,977	A	2/1999	Zachary et al.			
5,930,990	A	8/1999	Zachary et al.			
6,007,604	A	12/1999	Risse			
6,051,055	A *	4/2000	Ukawa et al. ....	96/322		
6,076,739	A	6/2000	Littleford et al.			
6,230,091	B1 *	5/2001	McQuinn .....	701/50		
6,344,177	B1	2/2002	Littleford			
6,613,133	B2	9/2003	Piaskowski et al.			
6,719,829	B1	4/2004	Schwab			
6,857,268	B2	2/2005	Stinger et al.			
D503,772	S *	4/2005	Mody et al. ....	D23/213		
6,946,021	B2	9/2005	Aoyagi			
7,096,665	B2	8/2006	Stinger et al.			
D588,711	S	3/2009	Ryba			
D616,110	S	5/2010	Rimsky			
2009/0320440	A1	12/2009	Erickson et al.			

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Jolly, Sanjeev; Wet Compression—A Powerful Means of Enhancing Combustion Turbine Capacity; Presented at Power-Gen International; Dec. 2002; pp. 1-12; Florida, US.

Gajjar, Hemant; Chaker, Mustapha; Dighe, Ajay; Meher-Homji, Cyrus B.; Proceedings of ASME Turbo Expo 2003; Inlet Fogging for a 655 MW Combined Cycle Power Plant-Design, Implementation and Operation Experience; Jun. 2003; pp. 1-9; Georgia, US.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 4




FIG. 3




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7






FIG. 8




FIG. 10




FIG. 9