

US00D640970S

# (12) United States Design Patent

Umstot et al.

# (10) Patent No.:

US D640,970 S

(45) **Date of Patent:** 

\*\* Jul. 5, 2011

(54) **TIRE** 

Inventors: Dale Edward Umstot, Atwater, OH

(US); Richard Irl Zimmerman, Grantsville, MD (US); Hans-Peter Guevara Leocadio, North Ridgeville, OH (US); Shannon Joseph Hughes,

Rootstown, OH (US)

(73) Assignee: The Goodyear Tire & Rubber

Company, Akron, OH (US)

(\*\*) Term: 14 Years

(21) Appl. No.: 29/334,192

(22) Filed: Mar. 23, 2009

(52) U.S. Cl. ..... D12/600

(58) Field of Classification Search ....... D12/592–600; 152/209.1, 209.8, 209.9, 209.12, 209.18,

152/209.25

See application file for complete search history.

## (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D397,650 S		9/1998	Himaro D12/147
D410,218 S		5/1999	Hitzky et al D12/147
D441,696 S		5/2001	Fierro et al
D504,388 S		4/2005	Umstot et al
D512,958 S		12/2005	Allison et al
D531,114 S		10/2006	Dixon et al
D549,155 S	*	8/2007	Umstot et al
D599,283 S	*	9/2009	Umstot et al
D612,801 S	*	3/2010	Scheifele D12/588

\* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Caron D Veynar

Assistant Examiner — George D Kirschbaum

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Richard B. O'Planick

# (57) CLAIM

The ornamental design for a tire, as shown and described.

## **DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a tire showing our new design, it being understood that the pattern repeats uniformly throughout the circumference of the tread;

FIG. 2 is a front elevational view thereof;


FIG. 3 is a right side elevational view thereof; the opposite side elevational view being identical thereto;


FIG. 4 is an enlarged fragmentary front elevational view thereof;

FIG. 5 is a perspective view of a second embodiment of a tire showing our new design, it being understood that the pattern repeats uniformly throughout the circumference of the tread and that the opposite side perspective view is identical thereto; and,

FIG. 6 is a front elevational view of a second embodiment, it being understood that an enlarged fragmentary view thereof would be substantially identical to that shown in FIG. 4, with the exception of the inclusion of the sidewall in solid lines. In the drawings, the broken lines showing of the sidewall, inner bead and the peripheral boundary between the tire tread and the sidewall in FIGS. 1 through 4 depict environmental subject matter and form no part of the claimed design.

# 1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets








FIG-1




FIG-2




FIG-3




FIG-4




FIG-5




FIG-6