



US00D633363S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Quinlan

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D633,363 S**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **** Mar. 1, 2011**

(54) **GRIP FOR WATER FED POLE**

(75) Inventor: **David Quinlan**, Plantsville, CT (US)

(73) Assignee: **Unger Marketing International, LLC**,
Bridgeport, CT (US)

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/360,797**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 30, 2010**

(51) **LOC (9) Cl.** **08-06**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D8/303**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** D8/303,
D8/47, 48, 87, 107, 300, 321; D4/102, 138;
D7/393, 394, 395, 401.2, 645; D21/756,
D21/771, 775; D32/48, 51, 52; 16/110.1,
16/405, 406, 411, 421, 422; 30/169, 340;
81/58, 63.2, 177.1-177.7, 489

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- D274,122 S * 6/1984 Stahel et al. D8/303
- D323,280 S * 1/1992 Chen D8/303
- D370,043 S * 5/1996 Blonski D21/756
- D377,895 S * 2/1997 Theken D8/303
- D404,282 S * 1/1999 Hsu D8/303
- D410,834 S * 6/1999 Hsu D8/303
- D429,990 S * 8/2000 Kunkler D8/303
- D466,388 S * 12/2002 Chemtob D8/107
- 6,568,299 B2 * 5/2003 Hu 81/63.2
- D479,024 S * 8/2003 Libman D32/52
- D481,290 S * 10/2003 Thompson et al. D8/107

- D508,970 S * 8/2005 Travis D21/771
- 7,065,838 B2 * 6/2006 Mitchell et al. 16/436
- D525,511 S * 7/2006 Hung D8/107
- D542,493 S * 5/2007 Berti D32/52
- D586,967 S * 2/2009 Libman et al. D32/51

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Stella M Reid

Assistant Examiner—Derrick Holland

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero
& Perle, L.L.P.

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a grip for water fed pole, as shown
and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a top perspective view of a grip for water fed pole
showing my new design;

FIG. 2 is a bottom perspective view thereof;

FIG. 3 is a first side elevation view thereof;


FIG. 4 is a second side elevation view thereof;

FIG. 5 is a top plan view thereof; and,

FIG. 6 is a bottom plan view thereof.

The broken lines shown represent unclaimed subject matter
and form no part of the claim. Where the broken lines abut the
stippled shaded surfaces it is understood that those broken
lines represent an unclaimed boundary between claimed and
unclaimed surfaces. None of the broken lines form any part of
the claimed design.

1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets






FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 6




FIG. 5