



US00D568466S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D568,466 S**
Dikeman et al. (45) **Date of Patent:** **** *May 6, 2008**

(54) **INTRAVENOUS SITE SECUREMENT
DEVICE FOR CATHETERS**

2004/0167475 A1* 8/2004 Wright et al. 604/180

(75) Inventors: **W. Cary Dikeman**, Lenexa, KS (US);
Chris Winsor, Olathe, KS (US); **Steve
A. Cash**, Overland Park, KS (US);
Larry C. Smith, Lenexa, KS (US)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Complaint [dated Jan. 29, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l, Inc. v. Nexus
Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No. 1:07-CV-00057***.

(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **Nexus Medical, LLC**, Lenexa, KS
(US)

Primary Examiner—Louis S. Zarfaz
Assistant Examiner—Eric L Goodman

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Hovey Williams LLP

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(57) **CLAIM**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/276,852**

The ornamental design for an intravenous site securement
device for catheters, as shown and described.

(22) Filed: **Feb. 7, 2007**

Related U.S. Application Data

DESCRIPTION

(62) Division of application No. 29/245,311, filed on Dec.
21, 2005.

(51) **LOC (8) Cl.** **24-02**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D24/128**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** D24/128,
D24/189; D30/133, 155; 128/DIG. 6, DIG. 126;
604/174, 178–180; 602/5–597

See application file for complete search history.

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of an intravenous site securement device for catheters embodying our design, with the catheter, the adhesive strip for securing the device to the patient, the platform, the circular openings in the retainer for coupling to the platform, and the reinforcement and grasping ribs provided on the pull-tabs of the retainer being shown in broken lines for illustrative purposes only and forming no part of the claimed design;

FIG. 2 is an opposite perspective view of the device depicted in FIG. 1, but with the catheter, adhesive strip, and platform being removed so that only the retainer is depicted;

FIG. 3 is an end elevation view of the device as depicted in FIG. 2;

FIG. 4 is a top elevation view of the device as depicted in FIGS. 2 and 3, with the bottom elevation view being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 5 is an end elevation view of the device opposite that shown in FIG. 3; and,


FIG. 6 a side elevation view of the device as depicted in FIGS. 2–6, with the opposite side elevation view being a mirror image thereof.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,256,880	A *	6/1966	Caypinar	128/877
4,114,626	A *	9/1978	Beran	604/180
4,129,128	A *	12/1978	McFarlane	604/180
4,392,857	A *	7/1983	Beran	604/179
D293,717	S *	1/1988	Proulx et al.	D24/189
D401,329	S *	11/1998	Bierman	D24/128
6,024,761	A *	2/2000	Barone et al.	607/108
6,572,588	B1 *	6/2003	Bierman et al.	604/180
D480,144	S *	9/2003	Adams et al.	D24/189
6,663,600	B2 *	12/2003	Bierman et al.	604/174
2002/0026152	A1 *	2/2002	Bierman	604/174

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet



OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Nexus Medical, LLC's Answer to Venetec International, Inc.'s Complaint and Counterclaim [dated Mar. 22, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l, Inc. v. Nexus Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No. 1:07-CV-00057***.

Venetec International, Inc.'s Reply to Nexus Medical, LLC's Counterclaim [filed Apr. 11, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l Inc. v. Nexus Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No. 1:07-CV-00057***.

First Supplemental Complaint [dated Jul. 24, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l, Inc. v. Nexus Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No. 1:07-CV-00057***.

Nexus Medical, LLC's Answer to Venetec International, Inc.'s First Supplemental Complaint and Counterclaim [dated Aug. 8, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l, Inc. v. Nexus Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No. 1:07-CV-00057***.

Venetec International, Inc.'s Reply to Nexus Medical, LLC's Counterclaim [filed Aug. 28, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l, Inc. v. Nexus Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No.: 1:07-CV-00057***.

Second Supplemental Complaint [filed Sep. 5, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l, Inc. v. Nexus Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No.: 1:07-CV-00057***.

Nexus Medical, LLC's Answer to Venetec International, Inc.'s Second Supplemental Complaint and Counterclaim [filed Sep. 19, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l, Inc. v. Nexus Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No. 1:07-CV-00057***.

Venetec International, Inc.'s Reply to Nexus Medical, LLC's Counterclaim [filed Sep. 27, 2007]. *Venetec Int'l, Inc. v. Nexus Medical, LLC*, USDC D.Del., Case No. 1:07-CV-00057***.

Request For Inter Partes Reexamination Under 37 C.F.R. 1.913 [filed Jun. 25, 2007]. In re Bierman, USPTO, Reexamination No. 95/000,271.

Civil Docket for Case No. 1:07-CV-00057*** [printed Oct. 22, 2007].

* cited by examiner





FIG. 3




FIG. 4



FIG. 5




FIG. 6