



US00D550375S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Thomassen et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D550,375 S**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **** Sep. 4, 2007**

(54) **PAVER**

(75) Inventors: **Marcel Thomassen**, L'Epiphanie (CA);
Sebastien Bouchard, Montreal (CA);
Marc-Andre Lacas, Laval (CA)

(73) Assignee: **Les Materiaux de Construction**
Oldcastle Canada Inc., Saint-John
(CA)

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/244,743**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 14, 2005**

(51) **LOC (8) Cl.** **25-01**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D25/112**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** D25/112-115,
D25/118; D21/484-485, 489-490, 499-502;
405/15-16, 33, 35, 284-286; 404/29-30,
404/34, 37, 42; 52/503-504, 575, 596-599,
52/603-605, 608, 612

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D230,478 S * 2/1974 Littman et al. D25/112
D429,343 S * 8/2000 Milot D25/113
D488,566 S * 4/2004 Fleishman D25/112

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Robert A. Delehanty

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Kilpatrick Stockton, LLP

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for paver, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a top perspective view of an paver according to the first variant of the design;

FIG. 2 is a top view of the paver of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a left-hand side view of the paver of FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 is a front view of the paver of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 is a right-hand side view of the paver of FIG. 1;

FIG. 6 is a rear view of the paver of FIG. 1;

FIG. 7 is a bottom view of the paver of FIG. 1;

FIG. 8 is a top view of an paver according to a second preferred variant of the design;

FIG. 9 is a top view of an paver according to a third preferred variant of the design;


FIG. 10 is a top view of an paver according to a fourth preferred variant of the design;

FIG. 11 is a top view of an paver according to a fifth preferred variant of the design; and,

FIG. 12 is a top view of an paver according to a sixth preferred variant of the design.

The design consists of the features of shape of the entire paver unit shown in the drawings. The most significant feature of the design is the peripheral shape of the paver unit. The drawings show six variants of the design which do not differ substantially from each other. FIGS. 1 to 7 show the first variant, FIG. 8 shows the second variant, FIG. 9 shows the third variant, FIG. 10 shows the fourth variant, FIG. 11 shows the fifth variant, and FIG. 12 shows the sixth variant. The broken line showing of the top portions of the pavers is for illustrative purposes only and forms no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 10 Drawing Sheets






FIG. 1




FIG. 2



FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5



FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12