



US00D529434S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D529,434 S**
Regallis et al. (45) **Date of Patent:** **** Oct. 3, 2006**

(54) **TIRE TREAD**

(75) Inventors: **John J. Regallis**, Akron, OH (US);
Jeffrey D. Parker, Akron, OH (US);
Nobuo Shimizu, Cuyahoga Falls, OH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Bridgestone Firestone North American Tire, LLC**, Nashville, TN (US)

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/236,428**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 16, 2005**

(51) **LOC (8) Cl.** **12-15**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D12/579**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** D12/511,
D12/512, 513, 543, 544, 545, 565, 578, 579,
D12/580, 600, 601; 152/209.1, 209.8, 209.12,
152/209.28

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D250,946 S	1/1979	Germain	
D261,381 S	10/1981	Amarger	
4,982,775 A *	1/1991	Matsumoto	152/209.1
D336,269 S	6/1993	Hinrichsen et al.	
D350,093 S *	8/1994	Fukushima	D12/600
D420,630 S	2/2000	De Coninck et al.	
D445,376 S	7/2001	Le Mentec	
6,263,933 B1 *	7/2001	Baus	152/209.12
D456,766 S	5/2002	Warchol et al.	
D481,990 S	11/2003	Hanna	
D492,643 S	7/2004	Robert	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Michelin XDL Tire, 2004 Tread Design Guide, Jan. 2004, p. 132. 3/5.*
- National RLB800 Drive Tire, 2004 Tread Design Guide, Jan. 2004, p. 135. 4/1.*
- Yokohama LY053 Tire, 2004 Tread Design Guide, Jan. 2004, p. 146. 1/5.*
- Goodyear RT-4A Tire, 2004 Tread Design Guide, Jan. 2004, p. 159. 4/*5.*
- Michelin XHD1 Tire, 2004 Tread Design Guide, Jan. 2004, p. 163. 3/4.*
- Mitas EM-60 Tire, 2004 Tread Design Guide, Jan. 2004, p. 165. 2/4.*

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Robert M. Spear

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Timothy R. Krogh

(57) **CLAIM**


The ornamental design for a tire tread, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a side perspective view of a tire tread showing my new design, it being understood that the tread pattern is repeated throughout the circumference of the tire tread, the opposite side being the same as that shown; FIG. 2 is a front elevational view thereof; FIG. 3 is a side elevational view of the right side thereof, the opposite side being identical thereto; and, FIG. 4 is an enlarged fragmentary front elevational view thereof.

The broken lines defining the tire sidewall, inner bead, and the peripheral boundary between the claimed tire tread and sidewall are for illustrative purposes only and form no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets






FIG-1




FIG-2




FIG-3




FIG-4