



US00D528308S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Gonzales

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D528,308 S**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **** Sep. 19, 2006**

(54) **CAMOUFLAGE PATTERN APPLIED TO A FABRIC**

(76) **Inventor:** **Carlos Gonzales**, 2 Beebee Rd., P.O. Box 175, Lander, WY (US) 82520

(**) **Term:** **14 Years**

(21) **Appl. No.:** **29/242,605**

(22) **Filed:** **Nov. 10, 2005**

(51) **LOC (8) Cl.** **05-06**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D5/62**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** D5/1-3, D5/5, 7-8, 11, 13-16, 19-20, 23-28, 30, D5/32, 35-37, 39, 43, 45, 47, 49-50, 52-66, D5/99; D2/749, 994; D6/582-583, 595-596, D6/598, 608, 603-606, 613, 616, 617, 619, D6/622; 428/17-18, 151, 154, 156, 171, 428/187, 198, 199, 540, 542.2, 542.6, 919, 428/904.4; D24/124, 125, 126; D25/142, D25/152; 5/413 AM, 709; 162/134, 140, 162/109, 231; 156/148, 209; D7/396.4-5
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D56,195 S	*	8/1920	Reybet	D5/37
D75,366 S	*	5/1928	Heinke	D5/20
D75,545 S	*	6/1928	Bunting	D5/32
D79,752 S	*	10/1929	Paisner	D5/62
D79,755 S	*	10/1929	Paisner	D5/62
D87,193 S	*	6/1932	Black	D5/62
D88,121 S	*	10/1932	Vogelman	D5/32
D88,304 S	*	11/1932	Lukas	D5/37
D88,971 S	*	1/1933	Lukas	D5/37

D89,926 S	*	5/1933	Spring	D5/62
D99,359 S	*	4/1936	Holm	D5/62
2,712,704 A	*	7/1955	Mason	D5/62
3,969,454 A	*	7/1976	Thornber et al.	D5/62
D252,030 S	*	6/1979	Popeck	D5/62
D299,188 S	*	1/1989	Norton	D5/62
D317,563 S	*	6/1991	Spry	D5/62
D320,506 S	*	10/1991	Nasser	D5/62
D366,154 S	*	1/1996	Bick et al.	D5/32
5,753,323 A	*	5/1998	Andrus	428/919
5,972,479 A	*	10/1999	Lehman	428/187
D474,897 S	*	5/2003	Clausen et al.	D5/62
D501,091 S	*	1/2005	McGahee	D5/62

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—T. Chase Nelson

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Emery L. Tracy

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a camouflage pattern applied to a fabric, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

The sole FIGURE is a top plan view illustrating the camouflage pattern applied to a fabric.

The broken line border about the outer perimeter is indicative of indeterminate size and shape and depicts the fabric to which the camouflage pattern is applied, and is not part of the design. The vertical and horizontal sinusoidal shaped white lines, which are not part of the claimed design, indicate that the design continues indeterminately in both length and width.

The varying patterns of gray tones and solid black are understood to represent contrast.

The bottom surface is plain and unadorned.

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet



