

US00D522903S

(12) **United States Design Patent**  
**Jorgensen**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D522,903 S**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* Jun. 13, 2006**

- (54) **RING WITH STAR OF DAVID**
- (76) Inventor: **Ragnar R. Jorgensen**, Handvaerhaven  
33, st.th., 2400 Kobehavn NV (DK)
- (\*\*) Term: **14 Years**
- (21) Appl. No.: **29/227,405**
- (22) Filed: **Apr. 11, 2005**
- (30) **Foreign Application Priority Data**

Oct. 11, 2004 (DK) ..... DA 2004 00314

- (51) **LOC (8) Cl.** ..... **11-01**
- (52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D11/26**
- (58) **Field of Classification Search** ..... D11/1-4,  
D11/6, 9-10, 12, 17, 22, 26-50, 53-79, 81,  
D11/84, 91-95, 103, 108; 63/1.13, 3-4, 12-13,  
63/14.1-14.9, 15, 15.1-15.4, 15.45, 15.5-15.6,  
63/15.65, 15.7-15.9, 20, 22-23, 26-29, 35,  
63/43

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

- D99,688 S \* 5/1936 Tomlison ..... D11/39
- D136,481 S \* 10/1943 Bartmess ..... D11/38
- D184,833 S \* 4/1959 Sager ..... D11/39
- D215,329 S \* 9/1969 Shiman ..... D11/34
- D221,797 S \* 9/1971 Annesley ..... D11/26
- D245,323 S \* 8/1977 Galeza ..... D11/39

- D262,199 S \* 12/1981 Bogner et al. .... D11/38
- D337,543 S \* 7/1993 Caldow ..... D11/26
- 6,026,572 A \* 2/2000 Caldaro ..... 63/15
- D424,966 S \* 5/2000 Ofiesh, II ..... D11/26
- D440,180 S \* 4/2001 Giesen ..... D11/26
- 2005/0199007 A1 \* 9/2005 LaCroix ..... 63/28
- 2005/0257567 A1 \* 11/2005 Bondanza ..... 63/15.1

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Platinum Guild International USA catalog; Platinum Edition 9; 2001; p. 27; Benchmark ring, upper center of page;\*

\* cited by examiner

*Primary Examiner*—Cathy Anne MacCormac  
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle, Anderson & Citowski, P.C.



(57) **CLAIM**

I claim the ornamental design for a ring with star of David, as shown.


**DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a ring embodying my design; FIG. 2 is a front view thereof, it being understood that the rear is substantially similar; FIG. 3 is a top plan view thereof; FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view thereof; and, FIG. 5 is a right side elevation view thereof, it being understood that the left side elevation view is substantially similar.


**1 Claim, 2 Drawing Sheets**




**FIG - 1**




**FIG - 2**






**FIG - 3**



**FIG - 4**



**FIG - 5**