



US00D518298S

(12) **United States Design Patent**  
**Hynnek et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D518,298 S**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* Apr. 4, 2006**

(54) **PAPER PRODUCT**

4,332,847 A 6/1982 Rowland  
D314,673 S 2/1991 Legare  
5,128,182 A 7/1992 Bunker et al.

(75) Inventors: **Martin A. Hynnek**, Appleton, WI (US); **Jamie T. Leisch**, DePere, WI (US); **Michael Paul Van Handel**, Madison, WI (US); **Galyn A. Schulz**, Greenville, WI (US)

(Continued)

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

U.S. Appl. No. 29/169,927, filed Oct. 29, 2002, Hynnek.  
U.S. Appl. No. 29/169,928, filed Oct. 29, 2002, Hynnek.  
U.S. Appl. No. 29/169,929, filed Oct. 29, 2002, Hynnek.  
Polygon symbol #1175, Trademarks & Symbols, vol. 2; Kuwayama, c. 1973, p. 124.

*Primary Examiner*—Gary D. Watson  
*Assistant Examiner*—T. Chase Nelson

(73) Assignee: **Fort James Corporation**, Atlanta, GA (US)

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.

(\*\*) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/187,042**

(22) Filed: **Jul. 28, 2003**

(57) **CLAIM**

**Related U.S. Application Data**

The ornamental design for a paper product, as shown and described.

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 29/169,929, filed on Oct. 29, 2002, now abandoned, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 29/169,928, filed on Oct. 29, 2002, now Pat. No. Des. 487,905.

**DESCRIPTION**

(51) **LOC (8) Cl.** ..... **05-06**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D5/61; D5/53**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** ..... D5/1-3, D5/5, 7-8, 11, 13-16, 19-20, 23-28, 30, D5/32, 35-37, 39, 43, 45, 47, 49-50, 52-66, D5/99; D2/749, 994; D6/582-583, 595-596, D6/598, 608, 603-606, 613, 616, 617, 619, D6/622; 428/17-18, 151, 154, 156, 171, 428/187, 198, 199, 540, 542.2, 542.6, 919, 428/904.4; D24/124, 125; D25/142, 152; 5/413 AM, 709; 162/134, 140, 231; 156/148, 156/209; D7/396.4-5

FIG. 1 is a top plan view of a paper product showing the new design. The broken lines shown along the borders of FIG. 1 depict boundaries of the repeat unit of the paper product. The broken line boundaries indicate that the product has indeterminate length and width, and that the repeat unit continues uniformly throughout the length and width of the product. The broken lines form no part of the claimed design; and,

FIG. 2 is another top plan view of a paper product showing the new design, wherein the design shown in FIG. 2 is rotated 90° relative to the design shown in FIG. 1. The broken lines shown along the borders of FIG. 2 depict boundaries of the repeat unit of the paper product. The broken line boundaries indicate that the product has indeterminate length and width, and that the repeat unit continues uniformly throughout the length and width of the product. The broken lines form no part of the claimed design.

See application file for complete search history.


FIGS. 1 and 2 illustrate two examples of the orientation of the new design. It should be appreciated that the new design may be rotated at any degree of orientation.

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

D4,228 S 7/1870 Jefford  
1,074,824 A 10/1913 Wadsworth  
D69,727 S 3/1926 Wertheimer  
2,380,447 A 7/1945 Jungersen  
4,244,683 A 1/1981 Rowland

**1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet**




# US D518,298 S

Page 2


---

| U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS |   |         |                  |           |    |          |                    |       |
|-----------------------|---|---------|------------------|-----------|----|----------|--------------------|-------|
| D331,665              | S | 12/1992 | Underhill        | 6,036,322 | A  | 3/2000   | Nilsen et al.      |       |
| 5,171,624             | A | 12/1992 | Walter           | 6,039,839 | A  | 3/2000   | Trokhan et al.     |       |
| 5,300,347             | A | 4/1994  | Underhill et al. | 6,103,345 | A  | 8/2000   | Oshima et al.      |       |
| D367,764              | S | 3/1996  | Makoui et al.    | 6,136,416 | A  | 10/2000  | Smith et al.       |       |
| D367,765              | S | 3/1996  | Makoui et al.    | D436,738  | S  | 1/2001   | Bredendick et al.  |       |
| D367,766              | S | 3/1996  | Makoui et al.    | D440,051  | S  | 4/2001   | Bredendick et al.  |       |
| 5,512,219             | A | 4/1996  | Rowland et al.   | D440,455  | S  | 4/2001   | Dreitz             |       |
| 5,565,151             | A | 10/1996 | Nilsen           | D443,766  | S  | 6/2001   | Bredendick et al.  |       |
| D378,875              | S | 4/1997  | Miller et al.    | 6,258,443 | B1 | 7/2001   | Nilsen et al.      |       |
| 5,706,132             | A | 1/1998  | Nestegard et al. | 6,277,470 | B1 | 8/2001   | Smith et al.       |       |
| 5,759,468             | A | 6/1998  | Smith et al.     | 6,309,716 | B1 | 10/2001  | Fisher et al.      |       |
| 5,840,406             | A | 11/1998 | Nilsen           | 6,325,515 | B1 | 12/2001  | Coderre et al.     |       |
| 5,888,618             | A | 3/1999  | Martin           | D459,897  | S  | 7/2002   | Bredendick et al.  |       |
| 5,936,770             | A | 8/1999  | Nestegard et al. | 6,413,615 | B1 | 7/2002   | Smith et al.       |       |
| D418,308              | S | 1/2000  | Lu               | D493,622  | S  | * 8/2004 | Hynnek et al. .... | D5/53 |

\* cited by examiner



**FIG. 1**



**FIG. 2**