



US00D517778S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D517,778 S**
Steichen (45) **Date of Patent:** **** Mar. 28, 2006**

(54) **IVY FISHING HAT**(76) Inventor: **Matthew Steichen**, 1135 Robin Dr.,
Carol Stream, IL (US) 60188(**) Term: **14 Years**(21) Appl. No.: **29/208,764**(22) Filed: **Jul. 2, 2004**(51) **LOC (8) Cl.** **02-03**(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D2/869**(58) **Field of Classification Search** D2/865,
D2/866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873,
D2/874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881,
D2/882, 883, 886; 2/171, 172, 175.1, 195.1,
2/200.1, 209.12, 209.13

See application file for complete search history.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,023,117 A	*	6/1991	Stephens	428/17
D326,363 S	*	5/1992	Cooper	D5/32
5,159,720 A	*	11/1992	Scott, Jr.	2/171
5,727,253 A	*	3/1998	Wilkinson	2/69
6,499,141 B1	*	12/2002	Egnew	2/69
2004/0165109 A1	*	8/2004	Lee	348/375

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Jeffrey Asch

Assistant Examiner—Elizabeth Albert

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Wallenstein Wagner & Rockey, Ltd.

(57)

CLAIM

I claim the ornamental design for an ivy fishing hat, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of one embodiment of an ivy fishing hat.

FIG. 2 is a front view of the hat of FIG. 1.

FIG. 3 is a back view of the hat of FIG. 1.

FIG. 4 is a side view of the hat of FIG. 1.

FIG. 5 is a top view of the hat of FIG. 1.

FIG. 6 is a bottom view of the hat of FIG. 1.

FIG. 7 is a perspective view of another embodiment of an ivy fishing hat.

FIG. 8 is a front view of the hat of FIG. 7.

FIG. 9 is a back view of the hat of FIG. 7.

FIG. 10 is a side view of the hat of FIG. 7.

FIG. 11 is a top view of the hat of FIG. 7; and,
FIG. 12 is a bottom view of the hat of FIG. 7.

The details shown as chains of dots represent stitches in all figures. The details shown in long dashed broken lines are for illustrative purposes only and form no part of the claimed design.

The design is understood to consist of clusters of the stems of two or more leaves, randomly attached to the surface of a hat. For example, the leaves that are only partly shown toward the rear of the brim and the crown in FIGS. 1 and 7 are understood to be part of clusters of two or more leaves having an appearance similar to the clusters shown in the other views.

Furthermore, inconsistencies between the way the leaf clusters appear in the different views of the drawings are understood to be caused by the variations in the random, irregular pattern in which the leaves are attached to the hat and to the organic nature of the leaves themselves in which every leaf is a different size and shape from every other leaf. Differences in the appearance of the leaves are also due to the variations of the different viewpoints, i.e., the viewpoint being a perspective view or front, rear, side, etc. view.

These minor variations in the appearance of the leaves from one view to another do not render the designs patentably distinct, but are considered to be due to the subject matter of the leaves.

1 Claim, 10 Drawing Sheets



FIG. 1



FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6



FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12