

# (12) United States Design Patent (10) Patent No.:

US D503,650 S (45) Date of Patent: Apr. 5, 2005 Lax \*\*

### **GEM PAVILION** (54)

Inventor: Chaim Lax, New York, NY (US)

Assignee: Dynamic Diamond Corp., New York, (73)

NY (US)

14 Years Term:

Appl. No.: 29/191,618

Oct. 9, 2003 Filed:

(51)

(52)

(58)D11/89–92; 63/26–28, 32

#### (56)**References Cited**

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS


D251,659	S	*	4/1979	Grossbard
6,397,832	<b>B</b> 1		6/2002	Shuto 125/30.01
6,449,985	<b>B</b> 1		9/2002	Kejejian 63/32
D467,833	S		12/2002	Mardkha D11/90
D493,742	$\mathbf{S}$	*	8/2004	Lax
D493,743	$\mathbf{S}$	*	8/2004	Lax
D494,090	S	*	8/2004	Lax D11/90

# OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"The Graff Cushion Cut" (visited and printed on Mar. 27, 2003), at http://famousdiamonds.tripod.com/graffcushioncutdiamond.html.

"How to Buy a Diamond—The Four C's and The Rosetta Process" (visited on Mar. 27, 2003, revised and printed on Jun. 12, 2003), at http://www.cushette.com/howtobuy.asp. "The Rosetta Process and 'Diamond Impressionism" (visited on Mar. 27, 2003, revisited and printed on Jun. 12, 2003), at http://www.cushette.com/index.asp.

Lauren Lipton, When a Carat's Not Enough, 'Big' Diamonds Get Bigger As Brides Age, Compete; The Seven-Carat Upgrade, The Wall Street Journal, May 16, 2003, pp. W1 and W6.



Tiffany & Co., Advertisement, The Wall Street Journal, Sep. 15, 2003, p. A3.

\* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Ralf Seifert

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Fish & Neave LLP

(57)**CLAIM** 

The ornamental design for a gem pavilion, as shown and described.

## DESCRIPTION

A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material to which a claim for copyright is made. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure, as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent file or records, but reserves all other copyright rights whatsoever.

FIG. 1 is a bottom plan view of a gem pavilion showing my new design;

FIG. 2 is a front elevational view thereof, the rear elevational view being identical to the front elevational view;

FIG. 3 is a right side elevational view thereof, the left side elevational view being identical to the right side elevational view; and,

FIG. 4 is a front left corner elevational view thereof, the rear right corner elevational view being identical to the front left corner elevational view and the rear left and front right corner elevational views being mirror images of the front left corner elevational view.

It being understood that the broken lines are for exemplary illustrative purposes only and form no part of the claimed design.

# 1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets

