



US00D474547S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D474,547 S**  
**Mueller et al.** (45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* May 13, 2003**

(54) **PARTITION TRIM**

(75) Inventors: **Karl Heinz Mueller**, E. Grand Rapids, MI (US); **Karl J. Mead**, E. Grand Rapids, MI (US); **David M. Gresham**, E. Grand Rapids, MI (US)

(73) Assignee: **Steelcase Development Corporation**, Caledonia, MI (US)

(\*\*) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/158,200**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 29, 2002**

(51) **LOC (7) Cl.** ..... **25-01**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D25/136**

(58) **Field of Search** ..... D25/58, 138, 136, D25/60; 52/243, 465, 800.1, 800.11, 802.1, 802.11, 716.1, 716.8, 717.01

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

3,778,175 A	12/1973	Zimmer
3,807,102 A	4/1974	Albinson et al.
3,849,962 A	11/1974	Albinson et al.
4,035,972 A	7/1977	Timmons
4,073,113 A	2/1978	Oudot et al.
4,109,429 A	8/1978	Whisson
4,192,106 A	3/1980	Hell
4,360,240 A	11/1982	Koncelik et al.
4,366,999 A	1/1983	Koncelik et al.
4,433,630 A	2/1984	Laborie
4,560,130 A	12/1985	Schwartz
4,571,906 A	2/1986	Ashton

(List continued on next page.)

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Exhibit A discloses a product catalog entitle "80/20 The Industrial Erector Set", published by 80/20 Inc., Columbia City, Indiana, disclosing a modular system using slotted beams for connections. ©2000.

*Primary Examiner*—Doris Clark  
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Price Heneveld Cooper DeWitt & Litton


(57) **CLAIM**

We claim the ornamental design for a partition trim, as shown and described.

**DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a corner section of a partition trim embodying our design;  
 FIG. 2 is a side view of FIG. 1;  
 FIG. 3 is a front view of FIG. 1, the rear being a mirror image thereof;  
 FIG. 4 is a top view of FIG. 1; and  
 FIG. 5 is a cross section taken along the line 5—5 in FIG. 4, showing a shape of the upper surface.  
 FIG. 6 is a perspective view of a corner section of a second embodiment of a partition trim embodying our design;  
 FIG. 7 is a side view of FIG. 6;  
 FIG. 8 is a front view of FIG. 6, the rear being a mirror image thereof;  
 FIG. 9 is a top view of FIG. 6; and  
 FIG. 10 is a cross-section taken along the line 10—10 in FIG. 9, showing a shape of the upper surface in FIG. 6.  
 FIG. 11 is a perspective view of a corner section of a third embodiment of a partition trim embodying our design;  
 FIG. 12 is a side view of FIG. 11;  
 FIG. 13 is a front view of FIG. 11, the rear being a mirror image thereof;  
 FIG. 14 is a top view of FIG. 11; and,  
 FIG. 15 is a cross section taken along the line 15—15 in FIG. 14, showing a shape of the upper surface.  
 The dashed lines and cross sections lines in FIGS. 1—15 are to show the environment and do not forma part of the present invention.  
 The claimed design is broken on the ends to indicate indefinite length.

**1 Claim, 3 Drawing Sheets**



# US D474,547 S

Page 2

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,611,448 A	9/1986	De Long	5,689,924 A	11/1997	Mason
4,677,794 A	7/1987	Parron et al.	5,737,893 A	4/1998	Rossiter et al.
4,891,922 A	1/1990	Hozer et al.	5,758,466 A	6/1998	Tucker
4,947,601 A	8/1990	McGuire	5,831,211 A	11/1998	Gartung et al.
5,056,577 A	10/1991	DeLong et al.	5,839,240 A	11/1998	Elsholz et al.
5,070,666 A	12/1991	Looman	5,875,596 A	3/1999	Muller
5,101,606 A	4/1992	Meru	5,881,518 A	3/1999	Edwards et al.
5,125,193 A	6/1992	Beaulieu	5,939,240 A	8/1999	Kobayashi
5,155,960 A	10/1992	Shaanan	5,970,675 A	10/1999	Schray
5,175,969 A	1/1993	Knauf et al.	D417,015 S	* 11/1999	Chau et al. .... D25/136
5,247,773 A	9/1993	Weir	6,009,675 A	1/2000	Waalkes et al.
5,274,975 A	* 1/1994	Haag ..... 52/465	6,052,958 A	4/2000	Miedema et al.
5,277,512 A	1/1994	Dwillies	6,098,358 A	8/2000	Waalkes et al.
5,287,666 A	2/1994	Frascaroli et al.	6,167,664 B1	1/2001	Reuter et al.
5,339,576 A	8/1994	Fussler	6,223,485 B1	5/2001	Beck et al.
5,341,615 A	8/1994	Hodges et al.	6,260,324 B1	7/2001	Miedema et al.
5,347,778 A	9/1994	Bray	6,276,103 B1	8/2001	Waalkes et al.
5,377,466 A	1/1995	Insalaco et al.	6,279,278 B1	8/2001	Morris et al.
5,394,658 A	3/1995	Schreiner et al.	6,282,854 B1	9/2001	Vos et al.
5,524,394 A	6/1996	Szabo, Sr. et al.	6,295,764 B1	10/2001	Berridge et al.
5,560,169 A	10/1996	Palmer	6,314,687 B1	11/2001	Schondelmayer et al.
5,600,926 A	2/1997	Ehrlich	6,330,773 B1	12/2001	MacDonald et al.
5,638,650 A	6/1997	Edwards	6,367,213 B1	4/2002	Reuter et al.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2





FIG. 3

5 ←



5 ←

FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10






FIG. 11




FIG. 12





FIG. 13

15 ←



15 ←

FIG. 14




FIG. 15