



US00D474417S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D474,417 S**  
**Belden, Jr. et al.** (45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* May 13, 2003**

(54) **THEFT DETERRENT TAG**

(75) Inventors: **Dennis D. Belden, Jr.**, Canton, OH (US); **Nicholas M. Sedon**, Massillon, OH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Alpha Security Products, Inc.**, North Canton, OH (US)

(\*\*) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/161,643**

(22) Filed: **May 31, 2002**

(51) **LOC (7) Cl.** ..... **10-05**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D10/104**

(58) **Field of Search** ..... D10/104; 340/568.1-568.8, 340/569, 570, 571, 572.1-572.9

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

3,858,280	A	1/1975	Martens
3,911,534	A	10/1975	Martens et al.
3,914,829	A	10/1975	Paskert
3,932,918	A	1/1976	Paskert
3,942,829	A	3/1976	Humble et al.
3,947,930	A	4/1976	Martens et al.
3,974,581	A	8/1976	Martens et al.
3,995,900	A	12/1976	Humble et al.
4,000,543	A	1/1977	Paskert
4,012,813	A	3/1977	Martens et al.
4,103,295	A	7/1978	Doerre
4,104,622	A	8/1978	Van Niel
4,187,509	A	2/1980	Weiner
4,196,424	A	4/1980	Williamson
4,221,025	A	9/1980	Martens et al.
4,299,870	A	11/1981	Humble
4,311,992	A	1/1982	DeChant
4,380,097	A	4/1983	Keifer
4,483,049	A	11/1984	Gustavsson et al.

(List continued on next page.)

*Primary Examiner*—Antoine Duval Davis  
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Sand & Sebolt


(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a theft deterrent tag, as shown and described.

**DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a first embodiment of a theft deterrent tag showing our new design.  
 FIG. 2 is a top plan view of FIG. 1.  
 FIG. 3 is a front elevation view of FIG. 1.  
 FIG. 4 is a right side elevation view of FIG. 3; the left side being a mirror image thereof.  
 FIG. 5 is a bottom plan view of FIG. 3.  
 FIG. 6 is a rear elevation view of FIG. 3.  
 FIG. 7 is a perspective view of a second embodiment of a theft deterrent tag showing our new design.  
 FIG. 8 is a top plan view of FIG. 7.  
 FIG. 9 is a front elevation view of FIG. 7.  
 FIG. 10 is a right side elevation view of FIG. 9; the left side being a mirror image thereof.  
 FIG. 11 is a bottom plan view of FIG. 9.  
 FIG. 12 is a rear elevation view of FIG. 9.  
 FIG. 13 is a perspective view of a third embodiment of a theft deterrent tag showing our new design.  
 FIG. 14 is a top plan view of FIG. 13.  
 FIG. 15 is a front elevation view of FIG. 13.  
 FIG. 16 is a right side elevation view of FIG. 15; the left side being a mirror image thereof.  
 FIG. 17 is a bottom plan view of FIG. 15.  
 FIG. 18 is a rear elevation view of FIG. 15.  
 FIG. 19 is a perspective view of a fourth embodiment of a theft deterrent tag showing our new design.  
 FIG. 20 is a top plan view of FIG. 19.  
 FIG. 21 is a front elevation view of FIG. 19.  
 FIG. 22 is a right side elevation view of FIG. 21; the left side being a mirror image thereof.  
 FIG. 23 is a bottom plan view of FIG. 21; and,  
 FIG. 24 is a rear elevation view of FIG. 21.  
 The dashed lines in the drawings indicate the position of an attachment pin and these lines form no part of the claimed design.

**1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets**



# US D474,417 S

Page 2

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,502,717 A	3/1985	Close	5,275,122 A	1/1994	Stolz et al.
4,523,356 A	6/1985	Charlot, Jr.	5,309,740 A	5/1994	Hansen
4,531,264 A	7/1985	Minasy	5,367,289 A	11/1994	Baro et al.
4,590,461 A	5/1986	Cooper	5,388,433 A	2/1995	Andersson et al.
4,603,453 A	8/1986	Yokoyama	5,392,620 A	2/1995	Stoltz et al.
4,649,397 A	3/1987	Heaton et al.	5,426,419 A	6/1995	Nguyen et al.
4,651,136 A	3/1987	Anderson et al.	5,428,875 A	7/1995	Nguyen et al.
4,670,950 A	6/1987	Wisecup et al.	5,437,172 A	8/1995	Lamy et al.
4,685,234 A	8/1987	Anderson et al.	5,438,738 A	8/1995	Stolz et al.
4,695,845 A	9/1987	Hildt	5,497,639 A	3/1996	Charlot, Jr.
4,722,119 A	2/1988	Green	5,524,463 A	6/1996	Schenkel et al.
4,751,500 A	6/1988	Minasy et al.	5,528,914 A	6/1996	Nguyen et al.
4,774,503 A	9/1988	Bussard	5,535,606 A	7/1996	Nguyen et al.
4,774,504 A	9/1988	Hartings	RE35,361 E	10/1996	Hogan et al.
4,847,592 A	7/1989	Hogen Esch et al.	5,572,191 A	11/1996	Lundberg
4,903,383 A	2/1990	Gartshore	5,600,977 A	2/1997	Piron
4,940,968 A	7/1990	De Nood	5,647,106 A	7/1997	Hogan
4,944,075 A	7/1990	Hogan	5,655,392 A	8/1997	Holmgren
D312,423 S	* 11/1990	Orlinsky et al. .... D10/104	5,680,681 A	10/1997	Fuss
4,987,754 A	1/1991	Minasy et al.	5,745,965 A	5/1998	Stoltz et al.
4,991,413 A	2/1991	Arnaldo	5,791,079 A	8/1998	Mazzucchelli
4,993,245 A	2/1991	Ott	5,841,349 A	11/1998	Holmgren
5,022,244 A	6/1991	Charlot, Jr.	5,852,856 A	12/1998	Seidel
5,031,287 A	7/1991	Charlot, Jr. et al.	5,942,978 A	8/1999	Shafer
5,054,172 A	10/1991	Hogan et al.	5,953,799 A	9/1999	Seidel
5,068,641 A	11/1991	Hogen Esch	5,955,951 A	9/1999	Wischerop et al.
5,069,047 A	12/1991	Lynch et al.	5,969,614 A	10/1999	Holmgren
5,077,872 A	1/1992	Guthammar	6,023,951 A	2/2000	Maurer et al.
5,079,540 A	1/1992	Narlow et al.	6,029,322 A	2/2000	Belotti et al.
5,088,165 A	2/1992	Minasy et al.	6,052,876 A	4/2000	Hogan et al.
5,099,228 A	3/1992	Israel et al.	6,137,413 A	10/2000	Ryan, Jr.
5,140,836 A	8/1992	Hogan et al.	6,188,320 B1	2/2001	Kolton et al.
5,144,820 A	9/1992	Holmgren	6,226,839 B1	5/2001	Sayegh
5,205,024 A	4/1993	Willard	6,255,950 B1	7/2001	Nguyen
5,208,580 A	5/1993	Crossfield			

\* cited by examiner




FIG-2




FIG-1




FIG-3




FIG-4




FIG-5




FIG-6






FIG-8




FIG-7




FIG-9




FIG-10




FIG-11




FIG-12




FIG-14




FIG-13




FIG-15




FIG-16




FIG-17




FIG-18




FIG-20




FIG-19




FIG-21




FIG-22




FIG-23




FIG-24