



US00D459095S

(12) **United States Design Patent**  
**Lewis et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D459,095 S**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* Jun. 25, 2002**

(54) **SEATING UNIT**

(75) Inventors: **Jack Lewis**, Grand Rapids, MI (US);  
**Wendell Massengill**, Dayton, TN (US)

(73) Assignee: **La-Z-Boy Incorporated**, Monroe, MI (US)

(\*\*) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/140,474**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 17, 2001**

(51) **LOC (7) Cl.** ..... **06-01**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D6/334; D6/381**

(58) **Field of Search** ..... D6/334, 335, 360,  
D6/367, 371, 373, 381, 500, 501, 502;  
5/12.1; 297/232, 445.1, DIG. 7

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

D352,610 S	*	11/1994	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/334
D362,354 S	*	9/1995	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/381
D363,388 S	*	10/1995	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/381
D367,371 S	*	2/1996	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/381
D393,758 S	*	4/1998	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/381
D409,014 S	*	5/1999	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/381
D420,820 S	*	2/2000	Lewis et al.	.....	D6/360
D424,826 S	*	5/2000	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/381
D430,739 S	*	9/2000	Palumbo	.....	D6/334
D436,745 S	*	1/2001	Lewis	.....	D6/334
D436,746 S	*	1/2001	Lewis	.....	D6/334

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Levitz, p. 3, Berkline Chair "B" at bottom center, Nov. 1, 1993.\*

Klaussner stylecraft, Model 1403 shown, Sep. 18, 1996.\*

\* cited by examiner

*Primary Examiner*—Gary D. Watson

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a seating unit, as shown and described.

**DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a seating unit embodying my new design.

FIG. 2 is a front elevational view of the design.

FIG. 3 is a side elevational view of the several embodiments of the design, the opposite side of each embodiment being a mirror image thereof (with or without the handle shown in phantom in FIG. 1).

FIG. 4 is a top plan view of the design.

FIG. 5 is a rear elevational view of the design.

FIG. 6 is a perspective view of a second embodiment of the design, the only difference of which is a matter of length.

FIG. 7 is a front elevational view of the second embodiment design.


FIG. 8 is a top plan view of the second embodiment of the design.

FIG. 9 is a rear elevational view of the second embodiment design; and,

FIG. 10 is a perspective view of the third embodiment design, the only difference of which is a matter of length.

The broken line structure of the figures is for environmental purposes and forms no part of the claimed design.

**1 Claim, 5 Drawing Sheets**






FIG 1




FIG 2

FIG 3




FIG 4





FIG 5

FIG 6





FIG 7

FIG 8





FIG 9



FIG 10

