

US00D459095S

# (12) United States Design Patent (10) Patent No.:

Lewis et al.

US D459,095 S

Jun. 25, 2002 (45) Date of Patent:

## **SEATING UNIT**

Inventors: Jack Lewis, Grand Rapids, MI (US); Wendell Massengill, Dayton, TN (US)

Assignee: La-Z-Boy Incorporated, Monroe, MI

(US)

14 Years Term:

Appl. No.: 29/140,474

Apr. 17, 2001 Filed:

LOC (7) Cl. ...... 06-01 (51)

(52)

(58)D6/367, 371, 373, 381, 500, 501, 502;

5/12.1; 297/232, 445.1, DIG. 7

#### **References Cited** (56)

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D352,610 S	*	11/1994	Natuzzi et al D6/334
D362,354 S	*	9/1995	Natuzzi et al D6/381
D363,388 S	*	10/1995	Natuzzi et al D6/381
D367,371 S	*	2/1996	Natuzzi et al D6/381
D393,758 S	*	4/1998	Natuzzi et al D6/381
D409,014 S	*	5/1999	Natuzzi et al D6/381
D420,820 S	*	2/2000	Lewis et al D6/360
D424,826 S	*	5/2000	Natuzzi et al D6/381
D430,739 S	*	9/2000	Palumbo
D436,745 S	*	1/2001	Lewis
D436,746 S	*	1/2001	Lewis

# OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Levitz, p. 3, Berkline Chair "B" at bottom center, Nov. 1, 1993.\*

Klaussner stylecraft, Model 1403 shown, Sep. 18, 1996.\*

\* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Gary D. Watson (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.

#### (57)**CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a seating unit, as shown and described.

### **DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a seating unit embodying my new design.

FIG. 2 is a front elevational view of the design.

FIG. 3 is a side elevational view of the several embodiments of the design, the opposite side of each embodiment being a mirror image thereof (with or without the handle shown in phantom in FIG. 1).

FIG. 4 is a top plan view of the design.

FIG. 5 is a rear elevational view of the design.

FIG. 6 is a perspective view of a second embodiment of the design, the only difference of which is a matter of length.


FIG. 7 is a front elevational view of the second embodiment design.


FIG. 8 is a top plan view of the second embodiment of the design.


FIG. 9 is a rear elevational view of the second embodiment design; and,

FIG. 10 is a perspective view of the third embodiment design, the only difference of which is a matter of length. The broken line structure of the figures is for environmental purposes and forms no part of the claimed design.


# 1 Claim, 5 Drawing Sheets












Jun. 25, 2002

FIG 4







FIG 5

FIG 6








FIG 7

Jun. 25, 2002

FIG 8







Jun. 25, 2002

<u>\_</u>

