



US00D451675B1

(12) **United States Design Patent**  
**Hardy et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D451,675 S**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*\* Dec. 11, 2001**

(54) **MESH BASKET**

**DESCRIPTION**

(75) Inventors: **Christopher Hardy**, Springfield;  
**Richard Kromer**, Morton Grove, both  
of IL (US)

(73) Assignee: **Design Ideas, Ltd.**, Springfield, IL  
(US)

(\* ) Notice: This patent is subject to a terminal dis-  
claimer.

(\*\* ) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/115,615**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 16, 1999**

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(62) Division of application No. 29/092,939, filed on Aug. 31,  
1998.

(51) **LOC (7) Cl.** ..... **03-01**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D3/306**

(58) **Field of Search** ..... D3/201, 304, 306,  
D3/307, 309, 305, 312, 314; 220/485, 493;  
D19/75, 90

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Re. 16,431 9/1926 Kratzer .

(List continued on next page.)

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Miller's Office Products catalog 1997 p. 453 Mesh Desk  
Accessories.\*

(List continued on next page.)

*Primary Examiner*—Louis S. Zarfaz

*Assistant Examiner*—Deanne Levy


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—SAIDMAN DesignLaw  
Group

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a mesh basket, as shown and  
described.

FIG. 1 is a front view of a mesh basket showing our new design, the rear view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 2 is a right side view thereof, the left side view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 3 is a top view thereof;  
FIG. 4 is a bottom view thereof;  
FIG. 5 is a front view of a second embodiment of our new design, the rear view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 6 is a right side view thereof, the left side view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 7 is a top view thereof;  
FIG. 8 is a bottom view thereof;  
FIG. 9 is a front view of a third embodiment of our new design, the rear view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 10 is a right side view thereof, the left side being substantially identical;  
FIG. 11 is a top view thereof;  
FIG. 12 is a bottom view thereof;  
FIG. 13 is a front view of a fourth embodiment of our new design, the rear view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 14 is a right side view thereof, the left side view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 15 is a top view thereof;  
FIG. 16 is a bottom view thereof;  
FIG. 17 is a front view of a fifth embodiment of our new design, the rear view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 18 is a right side view thereof, the left side being substantially identical;  
FIG. 19 is a top view thereof, the bottom view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 20 is a front view of a sixth embodiment of our new design, the rear view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 21 is a right side view thereof, the left side view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 22 is a top view thereof, the bottom view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 23 is a front view of an seventh embodiment of our new design, the rear view being substantially identical;  
FIG. 24 is a right side view thereof, the left side view being substantially identical; and,  
FIG. 25 is a top view thereof, the bottom view being substantially identical.  
The dashed lines shown in FIGS. 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, 20, and 23, define boundaries between the claimed design and unclaimed subject matter and form no part of the claimed design.

**1 Claim, 18 Drawing Sheets**



# US D451,675 S

Page 2

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 152,141	12/1948	Crawford et al. .	2,502,781	4/1950	Erickson .
D. 194,513	2/1963	Sparling .	2,658,444	10/1953	Wheeler .
D. 212,074	8/1968	Woodruff et al. .	2,706,064	4/1955	Vitols .
D. 222,238	10/1971	Rehrig .	2,721,671	10/1955	Averill .
D. 383,283	9/1997	Hankins, III .	2,768,022	10/1956	Pope .
D. 393,766	4/1998	Mazzola et al. .	2,851,186 *	9/1958	Rupe, Jr. .... 220/6
D. 419,302 *	1/2000	Hardy et al. .... D3/306	2,993,615	7/1961	Bruce .
887,097 *	5/1908	Kimber ..... 220/486	3,250,430	5/1966	Cella .
920,313	5/1909	Franklin .	3,272,377 *	9/1966	Schray ..... 220/494
1,098,053	5/1914	Porter .	3,935,958	2/1976	Frangos .
1,382,592	6/1921	Ames .	4,339,048	7/1982	McMillen et al. .
1,384,755	7/1921	Hall .	5,305,686	4/1994	Svensson .
1,463,098 *	7/1923	Snyder ..... 220/630			
1,660,410 *	2/1928	Beckman ..... 220/486			
1,840,561	1/1932	Miller .			
1,890,983 *	12/1932	Griffith ..... 220/6			
2,462,693 *	2/1949	Wabshaw ..... 206/505			

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Design Ideas Catalogue, p. 60, items A-C, p. 61, items A-I, p. 77, item E issued 1998.

\* cited by examiner

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6






FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18





FIG. 19





# FIG. 20




FIG. 21





FIG. 22



# FIG. 23




FIG. 24




FIG. 25

