



US00D440315S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D440,315 S**
Hassenbein et al. (45) **Date of Patent:** **** Apr. 10, 2001**

(54) **EYE WRINKLE TREATMENT PAD**

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Jack L. Oney, Jr.

(75) **Inventors:** **Diana Gail Hassenbein**, Hamilton, OH (US); **Theresa Louise Johnson**, Batesville, IN (US); **Pauline Jane Errey**, Bracknell (GB)

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a eye wrinkle treatment pad, as shown and described.

(73) **Assignee:** **The Procter & Gamble Company**, Cincinnati, OH (US)

DESCRIPTION

(**) **Term:** **14 Years**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of an eye wrinkle treatment pad, embodying our new design;
FIG. 2 is a rear elevational view thereof;
FIG. 3 is a left side elevational view thereof;
FIG. 4 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 5 is a right side elevational view thereof;
FIG. 6 is a bottom view thereof;
FIG. 7 is a front elevational view thereof;
FIG. 8 is a perspective view of an alternative embodiment of the eye wrinkle treatment pad design;
FIG. 9 is a rear elevational view thereof;
FIG. 10 is a left side elevational view thereof;
FIG. 11 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 12 is a right side elevational view thereof;
FIG. 13 is a bottom view thereof; and
FIG. 14 is a front elevational view thereof.
FIG. 15 is a perspective view of another alternative embodiment of the eye wrinkle treatment pad design;
FIG. 16 is a rear elevational view thereof;
FIG. 17 is a left side elevational view thereof;
FIG. 18 is a top view thereof;
FIG. 19 is a right side elevational view thereof;
FIG. 20 is a bottom view thereof; and
FIG. 21 is a front elevational view thereof.

(21) **Appl. No.:** **29/106,661**

(22) **Filed:** **Jun. 18, 1999**

(51) **LOC (7) Cl.** **24-04**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D24/189**

(58) **Field of Search** D24/189; 606/204.35, 606/201; 602/74; 2/15

(56) **References Cited**


U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 355,489	*	2/1995	Almond	D24/189
2,001,862	*	5/1935	Batley	606/204.35
2,392,377	*	1/1946	Golding	606/204.35
2,540,247	*	2/1951	Dillon	606/204.35
3,782,372	*	1/1974	Carlton	606/204.35
3,949,741	*	4/1976	Hofmann	606/204.35
4,823,778	*	4/1989	Ewing	606/204.35
5,116,675	*	5/1992	Nash-Morgan	428/343
5,555,900	*	9/1996	Rich	132/200

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Stella Reid

1 Claim, 3 Drawing Sheets






Fig. 1




Fig. 2



Fig. 3




Fig. 4



Fig. 5




Fig. 6



Fig. 7




Fig. 8



Fig. 9




Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Fig. 12




Fig. 13




Fig. 14




Fig. 15




Fig. 16




Fig. 17




Fig. 18



Fig. 19




Fig. 20




Fig. 21