



US00D439511S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Beaver et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US D439,511 S**

(45) **Date of Patent: ** Mar. 27, 2001**

(54) **TWO-PART BOTTLE**

(75) Inventors: **Larry Gene Beaver**, Lake Park; **Edwin Frank Hoff, Jr.**, Valdosta; **Allan Steven Las**, Valdosta; **Terrie Jo Pellitteri**, Valdosta, all of GA (US); **Mark T. MacLean-Blevins**, Westminster; **Donald Patrick Reilly**, Baltimore City, both of MD (US)

(73) Assignee: **Griffin L.L.C.**, Valdosta, GA (US)

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/112,165**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 8, 1999**

(51) **LOC (7) Cl. 09-03**

(52) **U.S. Cl. D9/347; D9/524; D9/528**

(58) **Field of Search** D9/300, 516-517, D9/520, 522-524, 526-528, 530-533, 536-540, 542, 548-549, 552, 556, 558-559, 564, 569, 572, 574-575; 215/371, 375, 382-383, 396, 398; 220/661, 671-672, 675, 770-772, 375

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 280,599	*	9/1985	Green	D9/341
D. 356,026		3/1995	Iaia et al.	.	
2,646,063		6/1953	Hayes	.	
3,104,813		9/1963	Baatrup	.	

(List continued on next page.)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Green Garden, Inc., Lawn & Garden Accessories, "Hose End Sprayers," brochure, 4 pages.

Five pages of photocopy of bottle of Armor All E-Z Spray Window Wash.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/236,142 filed Jan. 22, 1999.

U.S. Design patent application Ser. No. 29/111,906 filed Oct. 6, 1999.

U.S. Design patent application Ser. No. 29/111,582 filed Sep. 30, 1999.

U.S. Design application Ser. No. 29/111,583 filed Sep. 30, 1999.

U.S. Design patent application Ser. No. 29/111,586 filed Sep. 30, 1999.

U.S. Design patent application Ser. No. 29/111,587 filed Sep. 30, 1999.

U.S. Design patent application Ser. No. 29/111,585 filed Sep. 30, 1999.

U.S. Design patent application Ser. No. 29/111,584 filed Sep. 30, 1999.

Primary Examiner—Ted Shooman

Assistant Examiner—Carol Rademaker

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Kilpatrick Stockton LLP

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a two-part bottle, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of an embodiment of our new two-part bottle shown with the front and rear portions separated;

FIG. 2 is a left side view of the front portion of the two-part bottle shown in FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a left side view of the rear portion of the two-part bottle shown in FIG. 1;


FIG. 4 is a top plan view of the two-part bottle shown in FIG. 1 shown with the front and rear portions separated and offset from each other;

FIG. 5 is a bottom plan view of the two-part bottle shown in FIG. 1 shown with the front and rear portions separated and offset from each other;

FIG. 6 is a front view of the two-part bottle shown in FIG. 1 shown with the front and rear portions separated and offset from each other; and,

FIG. 7 is a rear view of the two-part bottle shown in FIG. 1 shown with the front and rear portions separated and offset from each other.

1 Claim, 5 Drawing Sheets



US D439,511 S

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

		5,290,470	3/1994	Dutcher .	
3,104,825	9/1963	Hayes .	5,316,159 *	5/1994	Douglas et al. D9/341
3,106,347	10/1963	Hayes .	5,320,288	6/1994	Ketcham, Jr. .
3,181,797	5/1965	Hayes .	5,332,157	7/1994	Proctor .
3,201,049	8/1965	Hayes .	5,370,275	12/1994	Mills et al. .
3,381,899	5/1968	Forsman .	5,376,296	12/1994	Dutcher .
4,057,505	11/1977	Nakagawa et al. .	5,383,603	1/1995	Englhard et al. .
4,071,463	1/1978	Steinhauer .	5,385,270	1/1995	Cataneo et al. .
4,113,645	9/1978	DeSimone .	5,398,846	3/1995	Corba et al. .
4,116,851	9/1978	Rupe et al. .	5,402,916	4/1995	Nottingham et al. .
4,126,239 *	11/1978	Gehrig et al. 215/6	5,433,350	7/1995	Graubart .
4,355,739	10/1982	Vierkotter .	5,439,141	8/1995	Clark et al. .
4,489,890	12/1984	Martin .	5,467,900	11/1995	Maas et al. .
4,527,741	7/1985	Garneau .	5,472,119	12/1995	Park et al. .
4,570,856	2/1986	Groth et al. .	5,535,950	7/1996	Barriac et al. .
4,618,077	10/1986	Corsette .	5,560,545	10/1996	Grogan et al. .
4,656,840 *	4/1987	Loofbourrow et al. 215/10	5,562,250	10/1996	O'Neill .
4,747,523	5/1988	Dobbs .	5,564,600	10/1996	Renault .
4,789,495	12/1988	Cahall et al. .	5,566,860	10/1996	Schiltz et al. .
4,801,046	1/1989	Miczka .	5,567,247	10/1996	Hawes et al. .
4,806,263	2/1989	Leathers et al. .	5,586,695	12/1996	Labus et al. .
4,964,539	10/1990	Mueller .	5,595,345	1/1997	Chura et al. .
5,009,342	4/1991	Lawrence et al. .	5,605,578	2/1997	Hawes et al. .
5,039,016	8/1991	Gunzel, Jr. et al. .	5,626,259	5/1997	Maas et al. .
5,052,590	10/1991	Ratcliff .	5,676,280	10/1997	Robinson .
5,100,059	3/1992	Englhard et al. .	5,676,282	10/1997	Satterfield .
5,143,261	9/1992	Drobish .	5,713,519	2/1998	Sandison et al. .
5,152,461	10/1992	Proctor .	5,735,422	4/1998	Binter .
5,213,265	5/1993	Englhard et al. .	5,954,213 *	9/1999	Gerhart et al. 215/6
5,223,168	6/1993	Holt .			

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3





FIG. 4





FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7