



US00D437119S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Jahner et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US D437,119 S**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **** Feb. 6, 2001**

(54) **EMBOSSED FLOWER ABSORBENT PAPER PRODUCT**

(75) Inventors: **Wendy Ann Jahner**, Kaukauna, WI (US); **Patricia A. Stern**, Cumming; **Christopher O. Luetzgen**, Roswell, both of GA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc.**, Neenah, WI (US)

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/104,959**

(22) Filed: **May 14, 1999**

(51) **LOC (7) Cl.** **05-06**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D5/53; D5/37; D5/39**

(58) **Field of Search** D5/1, 2, 25, 37, D5/47, 49, 52, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 99; D2/749, 883, 889, 980, 984, 994; D6/613; D24/124; D25/142; 428/154, 156, 171, 187, 199, 542.6, 904.4

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | | | | | |
|------------|---|---------|--------------------|-------|---------|
| D. 153,340 | * | 4/1949 | Di Yorio | | D5/35 |
| D. 159,309 | | 7/1950 | Alexander | | D92/1 |
| D. 258,154 | | 2/1981 | Elhook, Jr. et al. | | D59/2 C |
| D. 260,193 | | 8/1981 | Elhook, Jr. et al. | | D59/2 B |
| D. 267,361 | | 12/1982 | Schulz | | D59/2 B |
| D. 268,961 | * | 5/1983 | Erickson | | D5/53 |
| D. 288,150 | | 2/1987 | Schulz et al. | | D5/53 |
| D. 298,586 | | 11/1988 | Drew et al. | | D5/53 |
| D. 298,588 | | 11/1988 | Peddada | | D5/53 |
| D. 298,589 | * | 11/1988 | Drew et al. | | D5/53 |
| D. 319,349 | | 8/1991 | Schultz et al. | | D5/53 |
| D. 352,833 | | 11/1994 | Schulz | | D5/53 |
| 353,666 | | 12/1886 | Crane, Jr. | | |
| D. 354,853 | | 1/1995 | Schulz | | D5/53 |

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

0495637B1 7/1992 (EP) .
2132141 7/1984 (GB) .

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Robert M. Spear
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Karl V. Sidor

(57) **CLAIM**

We claim the ornamental design for an embossed flower absorbent paper product, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front view of the embossed flower absorbent paper product showing our new design applied to an absorbent paper product having a sinusoidal pattern of dots bordering the flower embossing pattern.

FIG. 2 is a cross-sectional view taken along 2—2 thereof. FIG. 3 is a front view of a second embodiment of the embossed flower absorbent paper product, it being understood that a cross sectional view thereof is substantially the same as FIG. 2 except for the omission of the sinusoidal pattern of dots; and,



FIG. 4 is a front view thereof. The broken line showing of a sinusoidal pattern thereon is for illustrative purposes and forms no part of the claimed design.

The paper product is shown broken away on all four sides to represent indeterminate length and width; it being understood that the dot-dash lines define one repeat unit of the surface pattern and that the pattern repeats uniformly throughout the entire surface of the paper product.

The surfaces or portions of the article not shown in the drawing or described in the specification form no part of the claimed design.

In the drawings, the dot-dash lines define one repeat unit of the surface pattern, which repeats uniformly throughout the entire surface.

1 Claim, 3 Drawing Sheets



US D437,119 S

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|-------------------|-------|-------|------------|---------|------------------|-------|---------|
| D. 354,854 | 1/1995 | Schulz | | D5/53 | D. 393,949 | 5/1998 | Schulz et al. | | D5/53 |
| D. 354,855 | 1/1995 | Schulz | | D5/53 | D. 401,421 | 11/1998 | Schulz | | D5/53 |
| D. 354,856 | 1/1995 | Schulz | | D5/53 | 4,320,162 | 3/1982 | Schulz | | 428/154 |
| D. 358,940 | 6/1995 | Samolinski et al. | | D5/53 | 4,659,608 | 4/1987 | Schulz | | 428/171 |
| D. 368,587 | 4/1996 | Schulz | | D5/53 | 4,803,032 | 2/1989 | Schulz | | 264/284 |
| D. 371,910 | 7/1996 | Schulz | | D5/53 | 4,927,588 | 5/1990 | Schulz | | 264/258 |
| D. 373,905 | 9/1996 | Schulz | | D5/53 | 4,978,565 | 12/1990 | Pigneul et al. | | 428/156 |
| D. 375,633 * | 11/1996 | Spanagel et al. | | D5/37 | 5,030,081 | 7/1991 | Schulz | | 425/363 |
| D. 378,876 * | 4/1997 | Spanagel et al. | | D5/37 | 5,093,068 | 3/1992 | Schulz | | 264/284 |
| D. 381,810 * | 8/1997 | Schulz et al. | | D5/53 | 5,300,347 | 4/1994 | Underhill et al. | | 428/171 |
| D. 384,508 * | 10/1997 | Zander et al. | | D5/53 | 5,328,565 | 7/1994 | Rasch et al. | | 162/113 |
| D. 390,363 * | 2/1998 | Baum et al. | | D5/53 | 5,409,572 | 4/1995 | Kershaw et al. | | 162/109 |
| D. 391,400 | 3/1998 | Enderby | | D5/37 | 5,436,057 | 7/1995 | Schulz | | 428/156 |
| D. 393,370 | 4/1998 | Schulz et al. | | D5/53 | 5,874,156 | 2/1999 | Schulz | | 428/156 |




FIG. 1



FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4