



US00D436738S

(12) **United States Design Patent**
Bredendick et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US D436,738 S**
(45) **Date of Patent: ** Jan. 30, 2001**

(54) **EMBOSSED PAPER PRODUCT**

(56)

References Cited

(75) Inventors: **Kenneth E. Bredendick**, Mount Pleasant, TX (US); **Edward J. Giesler, Sr.**, Suamico; **Chester W. Gooding, Jr.**, Neenah, both of WI (US); **Kambiz B. Makoui**, Allentown, PA (US)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 1,546 2/1862 Ney .
D. 6,214 10/1872 Meyer .
D. 8,306 5/1875 Gould .
D. 18,689 10/1888 Koskul .
Re. 27,453 8/1972 Schutts et al. .
D. 38,567 5/1907 Sackman .
D. 46,761 12/1914 Tyrrell .

(73) Assignee: **Fort James Corporation**, Deerfield, IL (US)

(List continued on next page.)

(*) Notice: This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

Primary Examiner—Robert M. Spear
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(57) **CLAIM**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/091,905**

We claim the ornamental design for an embossed paper product, as shown and described.

(22) Filed: **Aug. 7, 1998**

DESCRIPTION

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 08/969,693, filed on Nov. 13, 1997, now Pat. No. 5,922,439, which is a continuation of application No. 08/654,877, filed on May 29, 1996, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 08/487,861, filed on Jun. 7, 1995, now Pat. No. 5,861,081, which is a division of application No. 08/038,982, filed on Mar. 29, 1993, now Pat. No. 5,458,950.

FIG. 1 is a top plan view of an embossed paper product bearing a repeating emboss pattern embodying our new design; and,

FIG. 2 is an enlarged fragmentary perspective view thereof, shown broken away for ease of illustration.


The embossed paper product has no substantial thickness. The opposite side of the embossed paper product of FIG. 1 is a mirror image of FIG. 1. The broken line boundaries on FIG. 1 indicate that the product has indeterminate length and width and that the claimed design repeats uniformly throughout the length and width of the product. The broken lines form no part of the claimed design.

(51) **LOC (7) Cl.** **05-06**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **D5/53**

(58) **Field of Search** D5/1, 2, 25, 47, D5/49, 52, 53, 57, 58, 61, 99; D6/595, 603, 608; D24/124; D25/142, 157, 163; 428/154, 156, 171, 542.6, 904, 904.4

1 Claim, 2 Drawing Sheets



US D436,738 S

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 66,264	12/1924	Boyd .		D. 382,118	8/1997	Ferrero .	
D. 76,220	9/1928	Boyd .		D. 382,119	8/1997	Ferrero .	
D. 90,401	8/1933	Cusson .		D. 382,162	8/1997	Ertolacci et al. .	
D. 93,156	8/1934	Coe .		D. 382,713 *	8/1997	Giesler, Sr.	D5/53
D. 99,856 *	6/1936	Daniels	D5/1	D. 392,833	3/1998	Haban .	
D. 100,371	7/1936	Grabelsky .		1,036,427 *	8/1912	Bird	D5/53 X
D. 104,871 *	6/1937	Minoff	D5/47	1,268,802 *	6/1918	Schumacher	D5/1 X
D. 109,070	3/1938	Minoff .		2,574,375	11/1951	Chapman .	
D. 110,189 *	6/1938	Minoff	D5/47	2,834,809	5/1958	Schutts et al. .	
D. 113,603 *	2/1939	Levy	D5/47	3,312,583	4/1967	Rochlis .	
D. 120,305	4/1940	Samuelson .		3,323,983	6/1967	Palmer et al. .	
D. 122,780	10/1940	Moses .		3,337,388	8/1967	Wosaba, II .	
D. 124,310	12/1940	Fryda .		3,414,459	12/1968	Wells .	
D. 125,584	4/1941	Green .		3,541,216	11/1970	Rochlis .	
D. 134,230	10/1942	Rosenstein .		3,556,907	1/1971	Nystrand .	
D. 164,418	9/1951	Hamilton .		3,817,827	6/1974	Benz .	
D. 164,587	9/1951	Marks .		3,879,257	4/1975	Gentile et al. .	
D. 175,222	7/1955	Holt .		3,940,529	2/1976	Hepford et al. .	
D. 178,459	8/1956	McCord .		4,093,756	6/1978	Schmidt .	
D. 178,461	8/1956	McCord .		4,284,465	8/1981	Walbrun .	
D. 181,520	11/1957	Ebstein .		4,307,141	12/1981	Walburn .	
D. 184,884	4/1959	McCord .		4,320,162	3/1982	Schulz .	
D. 185,026	4/1959	McCord .		4,326,002	4/1982	Schulz .	
D. 185,027	4/1959	McCord .		4,333,979	6/1982	Sciaraffa et al. .	
D. 189,902	3/1961	Ellefson .		4,376,671	3/1983	Schulz .	
D. 190,616	6/1961	Lynch .		4,483,728	11/1984	Bauenfeind .	
D. 217,211	4/1970	Rost .		4,546,029 *	10/1985	Cancio et al.	428/156
D. 226,048	1/1973	Stumpf et al. .		4,618,523 *	10/1986	Yoshida	428/542.6
D. 231,021	3/1974	Gilling et al. .		4,671,983	6/1987	Burt .	
D. 240,963 *	8/1976	Pester	D5/25	4,759,967	7/1988	Bauernfeind .	
D. 241,071 *	8/1976	Pester	D5/25	4,913,911	4/1990	Wildt .	
D. 245,057	7/1977	Couturier .		4,921,034	5/1990	Burgess et al. .	
D. 262,747	1/1982	Erickson .		4,942,007	7/1990	Kunimoto et al. .	
D. 272,673	2/1984	Bonomo .		4,978,565	12/1990	Pigneul et al. .	
D. 288,150 *	2/1987	Schulz et al.	D5/53	4,980,219	12/1990	Hiraide et al. .	
D. 298,701	11/1988	Drew .		5,061,535	10/1991	Kreckel et al. .	
D. 301,405	6/1989	Kawaguchi .		5,173,351 *	12/1992	Ruppel et al.	428/154
D. 311,997	11/1990	Legare .		5,202,173 *	4/1993	Wu et al.	428/156
D. 313,512	1/1991	Legare .		5,366,785 *	11/1994	Sawdai	428/171
D. 314,319	2/1991	Keller .		5,409,572	4/1995	Kershaw et al. .	
D. 314,672	2/1991	Legare .		5,458,950 *	10/1995	Bredenick et al.	428/154
D. 317,084	5/1991	Cerny .		5,609,939 *	3/1997	Petersen et al.	428/156
D. 319,349	8/1991	Schultz et al. .		5,650,214 *	7/1997	Anderson et al.	428/156
D. 362,967 *	10/1995	Rothwell et al.	D5/53	5,674,587 *	10/1997	James et al.	428/156
D. 363,610	10/1995	Saffran et al. .		5,827,597 *	10/1998	James et al.	428/156
D. 369,907	5/1996	Sayovitz et al. .		5,837,346 *	11/1998	Langille et al.	428/156
D. 370,127 *	5/1996	Bonaddio et al.	D5/58	5,861,081 *	1/1999	Bredendick et al.	D5/53 X

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2