



US00D423325S

United States Patent [19]
Liss

[11] **Patent Number: Des. 423,325**
[45] **Date of Patent: ** Apr. 25, 2000**

[54] **JOIST LEDGER WITH TAB**
[75] Inventor: **George Louis Liss**, Littleton, Colo.
[73] Assignee: **Steel Floors, LLC**, Denver, Colo.
[**] Term: **14 Years**
[21] Appl. No.: **29/104,061**
[22] Filed: **Apr. 27, 1999**

4,827,681 5/1989 Platt .
4,894,967 1/1990 Morton .
4,912,849 4/1990 Platt .
5,137,390 8/1992 Felsen .
5,149,221 9/1992 Slapsys .
5,596,859 1/1997 Horton et al. .
5,624,995 4/1997 Martin 52/715
5,857,306 1/1999 Pellock 52/643

Primary Examiner—Holly Baynham
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Rick Martin

Related U.S. Application Data

[63] Continuation of application No. 08/961,635, Oct. 30, 1997,
Pat. No. 5,956,916.
[51] **LOC (6) Cl.** **08-05**
[52] **U.S. Cl.** **D8/349**
[58] **Field of Search** D8/349, 354; 52/656.6,
52/220.7, 478; 403/355, 207, 242; 228/138

[57] **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for the joint ledger with tab, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION


FIG. 1 is a front plan view of a joist ledger with tab showing my new design in the preferred embodiment.
FIG. 2 is a top plan view of the joist ledger with tab.
FIG. 3 is a bottom plan view of the joist ledger with tab.
FIG. 4 is a right side plan view of a the joist ledger with tab.
FIG. 5 is a left side plan view of the joist ledger with tab.
FIG. 6 is a rear plan view of the joist ledger with tab.
FIG. 7 is an enlarged fragmented, elevational view of what is shown in FIG. 1;
FIG. 8 is an enlarged right side elevational view;
FIG. 9 is a top perspective view of the joist ledger with tab.
FIG. 10 is a right side plan view of an alternate embodiment of the invention having holes in the tab; and,
FIG. 11 is a left side plan view of the alternate embodiment shown in FIG. 10.
The undisclosed views of the second embodiment are identical in appearance to those shown for the first embodiment.

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

945,138 1/1910 Peterson 211/87.01
2,106,084 1/1938 Coddington 52/376
2,521,134 9/1950 Stanitz 211/87.01
2,744,590 5/1956 Butts 52/645
3,685,866 8/1972 Patenaude 52/721 X
3,818,662 6/1974 Deschutter .
3,845,601 11/1974 Kostecky .
3,854,192 12/1974 O'Konski .
4,042,991 8/1977 Macy et al. 52/645 X
4,047,348 9/1977 McSweeney .
4,288,958 9/1981 Chalmers et al. .
4,538,391 9/1985 Skrabis et al. .
4,625,948 12/1986 Lustvee .

1 Claim, 3 Drawing Sheets






FIG. 2




FIG. 1




FIG. 3




FIG. 5




FIG. 4




FIG. 6




FIG. 8




FIG. 7




FIG. 9





FIG. 10 FIG. 11