



US00D414688S

United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: **Des. 414,688**

Loeb et al.

[45] Date of Patent: **** Oct. 5, 1999**

[54] **APPLICATOR TUBE**

[75] Inventors: **Deborah L. Loeb**, McHenry, Ill.;
James A. Nass, East Amherst, N.Y.

[73] Assignees: **Courtaulds Packaging**, Woodstock, Ill.;
The Mentholatum Co., Inc., Orchard
Park, N.Y.

[**] Term: **14 Years**

[21] Appl. No.: **29/085,281**

[22] Filed: **Mar. 19, 1998**

[51] **LOC (6) Cl.** **09-99**

[52] **U.S. Cl.** **D9/338**

[58] **Field of Search** D9/337, 338; D28/7,
D28/57, 76, 88; 222/92, 93, 106, 107; 401/55,
75, 130, 139

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 136,215	8/1943	Kaub .	
D. 188,397	7/1960	Hulsh	D58/17
D. 307,191	4/1990	Blutenthal	D28/7
D. 317,987	7/1991	Demarest	D9/338 X
D. 341,225	11/1993	Lang et al.	D28/76
D. 341,227	11/1993	Lang et al.	D28/76
D. 351,338	10/1994	Koptis	D9/338 X
D. 364,006	11/1995	Kamen	D28/88
D. 370,087	5/1996	Baltierra	D28/57
560,725	5/1896	Lawrence .	
766,566	8/1904	Symonds .	
1,137,086	4/1915	Rodiger .	
1,170,181	2/1916	O'Kane .	
1,171,654	2/1916	Ritz .	
1,573,514	2/1926	Hamilton .	
2,021,653	11/1935	Johnson	91/67.4
2,034,137	3/1936	Fitz-Gibbon	91/67.4
2,246,838	6/1941	Castino	132/74.5
2,344,060	3/1944	Ray	15/137
2,374,065	4/1945	Worthington	15/137
2,557,221	6/1951	Gazda	128/65
2,604,105	7/1952	Kruck	132/88.7
2,630,006	3/1953	Leach	72/133
2,636,644	4/1953	Taylor	222/92
2,663,463	12/1953	Benbury et al.	222/215

2,961,679	11/1960	Claypool	15/135
3,121,906	2/1964	Hulsh	15/592
3,219,044	11/1965	Bau	132/79
3,284,839	11/1966	Cook	15/541
3,412,900	11/1968	Macaulay	222/82
3,448,896	6/1969	Kobayashi et al.	222/92
3,879,141	4/1975	Shulman	401/265
3,979,163	9/1976	Beard	401/139
4,002,182	1/1977	Michel	132/88.7
4,011,968	3/1977	McGhie et al.	222/107
4,090,647	5/1978	Dunning	222/543
4,265,948	5/1981	Hayes et al.	428/35
4,484,976	11/1984	Despins	156/578
4,535,906	8/1985	Rowekamp	215/329
4,722,459	2/1988	Goncalves	222/135
4,755,075	7/1988	Leem	401/75
4,767,034	8/1988	Cramer	401/139 X
4,776,717	10/1988	Iizuka et al.	401/176
4,808,022	2/1989	Iizuka et al.	401/176
4,844,250	7/1989	Holoubek et al.	222/107
4,872,778	10/1989	Longo	401/266
4,887,924	12/1989	Green	401/261
4,925,327	5/1990	Wirt	401/205
4,976,563	12/1990	Iizuka et al.	401/176
4,981,629	1/1991	Cook	264/33
4,984,922	1/1991	Iizuka	401/277
5,007,757	4/1991	Iizuka	401/278
5,026,192	6/1991	Kiuchi et al.	401/176
5,046,877	9/1991	Longo	401/266
5,156,479	10/1992	Iizuka	401/151
5,180,245	1/1993	Iizuka	401/278
5,251,992	10/1993	Iizuka	401/268
5,373,965	12/1994	Halm et al.	222/92
5,464,122	11/1995	Lifshey	222/83
5,577,851	11/1996	Koptis	401/202
5,588,560	12/1996	Benedict et al.	222/106
5,622,283	4/1997	Morrison	222/103
5,638,990	6/1997	Kastberg	222/106

Primary Examiner—Nanda Bondade
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Armstrong, Westerman, Hattori,
McLeland & Naughton

[57] **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for an applicator tube, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a top front perspective view of an applicator tube showing my new design;




FIG. 2 is a side elevational view thereof, the opposite side being a mirror image;

FIG. 3 is a top plan view thereof;

FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view thereof with the bottom open;

FIG. 5 is a fragmentary side view of the bottom portion of the applicator tube after sealing, shown separately for clarity of illustration;

FIG. 6 is a fragmentary perspective view of FIG. 5; and, FIG. 7 is a perspective view of the applicator tube after sealing.

The undisclosed insides of the applicator tube form no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 6




Fig. 5




Fig. 7