



US00D412798S

United States Patent [19]

Lewis, Sr.

[11] Patent Number: Des. 412,798

[45] Date of Patent: ** Aug. 17, 1999

[54] STORAGE AND DISPLAY MODULE FOR CEILING FIXTURE DOWNRODS

[76] Inventor: Robert A. Lewis, Sr., 119 Mark David Blvd., Casselberry, Fla. 32707

[**] Term: 14 Years

[21] Appl. No.: 29/087,910

[22] Filed: May 12, 1998

[51] LOC (6) Cl. 06-04

[52] U.S. Cl. D6/469

[58] Field of Search D6/449, 455, 457, D6/458, 462, 552, 466-469, 403, 405; D7/701, 707, 708; D34/38; 220/23.83, 507; D9/341, 414, 501, 517; 248/146, 127; 206/595-600, 386, 443; 211/1, 13.1, 60.1, 69.1, 71.01, 74, 85.18, 67, 68, 70; 53/44.4; 410/42; D19/75, 84, 85; D25/164; D11/152, 131.1; D99/34; 232/5

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 177,788	5/1956	Abadessa, Jr.	D6/469
D. 198,952	8/1964	Mittleberger	D7/708
D. 202,080	8/1965	Knaust	D19/85
D. 251,049	2/1979	Gardner	D6/468
D. 373,867	9/1996	Rask	D6/552
1,636,608	7/1927	Kenyon, Jr. et al.	211/60.1
1,804,962	5/1931	Thorpe	211/70
3,237,786	3/1966	Milliken	206/597
3,388,807	6/1968	Emmitt	211/74

3,638,790	2/1972	Schmid et al.	206/597 X
3,649,464	3/1972	Freeman	220/507 X
4,250,687	2/1981	Lueneberg et al.	53/444
4,510,119	4/1985	Hevey	206/443 X

Primary Examiner—Doris V. Coles
Assistant Examiner—Linda Brooks
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Elsie C. Turner

[57] CLAIM

The ornamental design for a storage and display module for ceiling fixture downrods, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a storage and display module for ceiling fixture downrods showing my new design, shown broken away in the middle to indicate indeterminate length, it being understood that the contours repeat uniformly along its length, with ends of three downrods shown in broken lines for purposes of illustration only and forming no part of the claimed design.



FIG. 2 is a plan view of the closed end thereof;

FIG. 3 is a plan view of the open end thereof;

FIG. 4 is a side elevation view of FIG. 1, it being understood that the other three sides are identical; and,

FIG. 5 is a perspective view of a second embodiment of FIG. 1, the only differences being the increased number of cylinders and their decreased width, it being understood that all the other surfaces are the same as the first embodiment shown in FIGS. 1-4, with ends of three downrods shown in broken lines for purposes of illustration only and forming no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet






FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 4




FIG. 3




FIG. 5